

Pr	oject N	o Review Date:		
Re	viewer	-	_(T)	_(M)
		Section No. 1 – Pre-Construction Documentation		
1.	Was tl	ne Preconstruction Conference held in accordance with ACPAM?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
2.	Were	utility owners participating in JPA's invited to the Pre-Constructic	on Confer	ence?
			ΠY	□N
3.	Were	the minutes distributed within 14 days as required?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
4.	Verify	the minutes are on file?	ΠY	ΠN
5.	Review	v the files for the following Preconstruction submittals:		
	a)	Labor Burden Rates	ΠY	□N
	b)	Notarized Affidavit for Vehicle Registration	ΠY	□N
	c)	Sublet Agreements	ΠY	□N
	d)	Contractor's letter accepting the MOT Plan	ΠY	□N
	e)	Contractor's Worksite Supervisor Certification	ΠY	□N
	f)	Erosion Control Plan	ΠY	□N
	g)	Necessary Permits	ΠY	□N
	h)	Hurricane Evacuation Plan	ΠY	□N
	i)	Acceptance of Existing Elevations in the Plans	ΠY	□N
6.	Have a	all necessary permits been acquired?	ΠY	□N
7.	Is the	Notice to Proceed on File?	ΠY	□N
8.	Is the	Affidavit on file for the Bid Doc. Escrow?	ΠY	□N
9.	Did th	e Contractor furnish the preliminary work schedule?	ΠY	ΠN



CEI	Indepen	dent As	surance	Review
-----	---------	---------	---------	--------

Pr	oject No Review Date:_		
Re	viewer: % Complete:	(T)	_(M)
	Section No. 2 – Support Documents/Project File	es	
1.	Is there a job file index for all documents?	ΠY	ΠN
2.	Is the correspondence numbered in a clear format?	ΠY	ΠN
3.	Are backup files being generated?	ΠY	ΠN
4.	Are formal communications in writing?	ΠY	ΠN
5.	Are important oral communications confirmed in writing and forwa	rded to affec	ted parties
		ΠY	ΠN
6.	Has the CEI developed a tracking log for sublet requests/approvals?	ΠY	ΠN
7.	Does the log reflect adequate response time?	ΠY	ΠN
8.	Is there a hard copy of the Engineer's Comp. Book?	ΠY	ΠN
9.	Is the shop drawing log up to date?	ΠY	ΠN
10	. Is the RFI log up to date?	ΠY	ΠN
11	. Is the submittal log up to date?	ΠY	ΠN
12	. Is there a file and tracking log established for NFTR documents?	ΠY	ΠN
13	. Are there shop drawing present for required items?	ΠY	ΠN
14	. Have the shop drawings on file been reviewed and approved?	ΠY	ΠN
15	. Have required shop drawing been incorporated into the final plans?	P □Y	ΠN
16	Are accident reports filled out and on file?	ΠY	ΠN
17	. Is the Document Summary Manual being assembled?	ΠY	ΠN
18	. Has the Sampling Testing and Reporting Guide been conformed to t	he project sp	pecific pay
	items?	ΠY	□N
19	Are certifications for materials being received and reviewed?	ΠY	□N
20	Are the certifications received prior to installation of the material?	ΠY	ΠN



Pr	Project No Review Date:		
Re	wiewer: % Complete:(	T)	_(M)
	Section No. 3 – Schedule and Contract Time		
1.	Has the Contractor's work schedule been accepted?	ΠY	□N
2.	Are the monthly updates being received and reviewed?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
3.	Are there any time extensions for the project?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
4.	If there are time extensions are they being processed correctly?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
5.	Are the Contract Days correct including all time extensions?	ΠY	□N
6.	Enter the current contract day		
7.	Is the project on schedule? (Review ACTUAL Schedule)	ΠY	□N
8.	If there are concerns with the completion date are they being discussed?	γ□ γ	$\Box$ N
9.	Does the CEI meet with the contractor at least weekly to review the upco	oming tv	vo-week work
	plan?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
10	. Is there adequate documentation or minutes of these plan meetings?	ΠY	□N



CEI	Independent A	Assurance Review
-----	---------------	------------------

Project No Review Date:				
Re		Complete:		_(M)
	Section No. 4 – Joint Participation A	Agreement	: (JPA)	
1.	Does the CEI have a copy of all JPA's?			′ □N
2.	Is the CEI familiar with the details of how cost will be sh	ared for the	JPA? 🗆 ۱	′ □N
3.	If any utility owners decided to perform work outside a	JPA after the	e project wa	as let and the
	contract amount was known, is the CEI coordinating the	e utility work	to prevent	delays to the
	prime contractor's work?			′ □N
4.	After contract letting, Does the CEI hold a meeting with	each utility	owner to es	stablish a contae
	point and confirm the information provided in the JPA?			
5.	Were minutes from this meeting prepared?			′ □N
6.	When the final settlement shall be based on final measu	urement and	bid prices,	Has the CEI:
	a) Verified the need for final measurement to be ta	aken		′ □N
	b) Itemized the measurements for the final quant	ities accordir	ng to the ag	reement and
	the construction plans			′ □N
	c) Summarized the quantities for JPA items separa	tely from oth	ner items of	work for the
	pay estimate			′ □N
	d) Submitted revised plan sheets and explanations	for all field o	hanges 🗆 ነ	′ □N
7.	Has the CEI followed the same procedures to document	extra work	for a JPA as	if the cost was
	to be borne by the Authority?			′ □N
8.	Did the utility owner participate in the semi-final inspec	tion, identifi	cation of p	unch list items,
	and final inspection?			′ □N
9.	Did the CEI forward the final estimate to the utility own	er for concu	rrence on fi	nal costs?
				′ □N



Pr	oject No Review Date:		
Re	viewer: % Complete:(T)	l	<u>(</u> <b>M</b> )
4	Section No. 5 – Contract Modifications		
	Are all executed and pending SA's/Work Orders on file with backup?	∐Y —	
2.	Are design changes documented by Supplemental Agreements?	LΥ	□N
3.	Are the SAs being tracked to ensure approval within a reasonable time?	ΠY	□N
4.	How many Supplemental Agreements are on file at the time of review?	<u> </u>	
5.	How many Work Orders are on file at the time of review?		
6.	Randomly select 4 SAs and review. Record specifics and comment below.		
7.	Randomly select 4 Work Orders and Review. Record specifics and commen	t belov	w.
8.	Are the Finding of Facts for each SA reviewed completed correctly?	ΠY	□N
9.	If additional time is requested, is the reason clearly stated with backup?	ΠY	□N
10	Are the signed copies of the completed SA's and Work Orders on file?	ΠY	□N
11	Are Copies of the CEI's Estimate included with each SA/Work order?	ΠY	□N
12	Are work orders completely executed before the Contractor begins work?	ΠY	□N
13	Is the work order allowance correctly replenished by supplemental agreem	ient in	a timely
	manner?	ΠY	□N
14	Have all design changes received included all required back-up data such a	s Draw	vings,
	Specifications, Comp book sheets, and Scopes of Work?		
15	Have all changes been transmitted to the Contractor in a clear, complete p	ackage	e with a
	request for the Contractor's Price Proposal?	ΠY	ΠN
16	Did CEI prepare an Engineer's Estimate for each change prior to receipt of	the Co	ntractor's Pr
	Proposal?	ΠY	□N
17	Has the contractor submitted any claims for time that have remained unre	solved	for greater
	than 90-days?	ΠY	□N
18	Does the CEI provide the contractor concise response explaining the Autho	rity's f	indings and
	decision concerning requests for Time Extensions?	ΠY	ΠN



Project No. \_\_\_\_\_

Review Date:\_\_\_\_\_

% Complete:\_\_\_\_(T)\_\_\_\_(M)

Reviewer:\_\_\_\_\_ Reviewer Comments:



	Project No Review Date:			
	Reviewer:	% Complete:	_(T)	_( <b>M</b> )
	Section No. 6 – Stockpiled N	Materials		
1.	Are the materials being tracked to allow for review of t	he item history?	ΠY	□N
2.	Are these materials compensable per specifications?		ΠY	□N
3.	Are the Contractor's requests for payment on file?		ΠY	$\Box$ N
4.	Have the stockpiled materials been physically verified?		ΠY	$\Box$ N
5.	If not physically verified are photographs time stamped	?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
6.	Is the signature of the verifying inspector on the actual	request?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
7.	Has the stockpiled material been re-verified if not used	the next month?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
8.	Are photographs provided of the items not physically ve	erified if unused?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
9.	Is the pay for these items in accordance with the Contra	act Documents? (i.	.e. correct p	percentage o
	material cost vs. total cost)		ΠY	ΠN



CEI	Independent	Assurance	Review
-----	-------------	-----------	--------

Project No	Review Date:		
Reviewer:	• ` /		<u>(</u> <b>M</b> )
Sectio	on No. 7– Project and QC Plans		
1. Are adequate numbers of	"Approved For Construction" plans available?	Y	$\Box$ N
2. Are all design changes inco	orporated in this set?	<b>Y</b>	$\Box$ N
3. Are all field changes being	incorporated in this set?	Y	$\Box$ N
4. Have the Authority Plans b	been updated with all revisions?	<b>Y</b>	$\Box$ N
5. Are requests for additiona	I information from the contractor being handled pro	эре	erly?
		Y	$\Box$ N
6. What is the percentage of	RFI's that have been submitted to the EOR		%
7. Has the QC Plan been prep	pared within 21 calendar days of award? $\square$	Y	$\Box$ N
8. Has the CEI reviewed the G	QCP to ensure that all applicable items in the QCP re	evi€	ew checklist
have been addressed?		Y	ΠN
9. Has the CEI verified the Q	C Manager Qualifications?	Y	ΠN
10. Is the QC plan or any porti	ion thereof being disapproved when the Contractor	is r	not in
compliance?		Y	ΠN
11. Is the Contractor notified	within two days of such an occurrence? $\square$	Y	□N
12. Does the CEI provide time	ly reviews and responses to amendments of the pla	n?	
		Y	□N



Pr	Project No Review Date:		
Re	viewer: % Complete:(T)		<u>(</u> <b>M</b> )
	Section No. 8 – Staffing		
1.	Is the project staffing at current contract authorized level?	ΠY	□N
2.	Are personnel approvals submitted and approved prior to charging time?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
3.	Staffing sufficient to perform required work?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
4.	Does all staff present themselves in a professional manner?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
5.	Do all staff members have current certifications for required work?	ΠY	□N
6.	If there are major bridges, are CTQP Concrete level II inspectors on site?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
7.	Do all inspection personnel have proper equipment (i.e. specifications, plan	าร guic	le list, etc. with
	them)	ΠY	$\Box$ N
8.	Are invoices correct when submitted?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
9.	Are invoices submitted on time?	ΠY	□N



Project No Review Date:			
Re	viewer: % Complete:	(T)	_(M)
	Section No. 9 – Project Daily Work Reports		
1.	Does each CEI Inspector prepare a Daily report?	ΠY	□N
2.	Are the Daily Work Reports (DWR) current?	ΠY	□N
3.	Is there a DWR for each subcontractor?	ΠY	ΠN
4.	Is the idle equipment on the job being correctly reported?	ΠY	ΠN
5.	Are delays on the project being specifically accounted for?	ΠY	□N
6.	Are reasons listed for rejecting materials at the job site?	ΠY	□N
7.	Is the DWR approved by the Project Administrator?	ΠY	□N
8.	Do weekly and/or monthly summary reports adequately summarize the	significa	nt wo
	and project issues described by the daily inspection reports?	ΠY	ΠN
9.	Are lane closures shown on the DWR?	ΠY	ΠN
10	. Is there a DWR for each utility working on the project?	ΠY	ΠN
11	. Is the operation/location of work shown?	ΠY	□N
12	. Are all work quantities shown for the work performed each day?	ΠY	□N
13	. Are the dates specifically noted when individual work items or defined f	eatures	of the
	started/completed?	ΠY	ΠN
14	. Are the daily reports legibly written with understandable language?	ΠY	ΠN
15	. Are copies of he reports being provided to the prime contractor routine	Iy? □Y	□N
16	. Review Daily Report for three different dates and different inspectors ar	nd provic	le cor

below.



Project No Review Date:_			
Re	viewer: % Complete:(T) Section No. 10 – M/WBE Contractual Requirements	)	_( <b>M</b> )
1.	Is a copy of the fully executed Bid Blank in the CEI's file?	ΠY	□N
2.	Is the project on schedule to meet the established M/WBE goals?	ΠY	ΠN
3.	Have DBE contractors been checked to verify that they are approved by the	e Auth	ority?
		ΠY	ΠN
4.	Have M/WBE payments been posted monthly?	ΠY	ΠN
5.	Is the CEI monitoring the Contractor's progress towards the goals?	ΠY	ΠN
6.	What is the current percentage of M/WBE Utilization?		%
7.	If there is a change in the goals for the project are they documented?	ΠY	ΠN



-	CEI Independent Assur			
Pr	oject No	Review Date:		
Re	viewer:	% Complete:	_(T)	_(M)
	Section No. 11 – Es		_	_
1.	Is there back-up documentation for all pay iten	ns?	ΠA	$\Box$ N
2.	Are the items paid according to the Standard B	asis for Estimating Pay	items or tl	ne specifications
	if they differ?		ΠY	$\Box$ N
3.	Are quantities being recorded in the Engineer's	computation book as	the job pro	ogresses?
			ΠY	$\Box$ N
4.	Are the project field books being used in accord	dance to the ACPAM?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
5.	Perform a random check of field book calculati	ons and provide comm	ents belov	۷.
6.	Are the contractor's certifications received eac	h pay period?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
7.	Have Certified Quantities been periodically ver	ified?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
8.	Are the fuel and bituminous adjustments being	calculated and reporte	ed correctl	y each month?
			ΠY	$\Box$ N
9.	Are VMS boards being tracked to minimize over	rruns?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
10	Are Plan Quantity items being tracked as requi	red?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
11	Are Field Measured items being completed as i	required?	ΠY	
12	If subsoil excavation exists on the project, are r	ecords of CEI measure	ments ava	ilable in the
	project file?		ΠY	$\Box$ N
13	Do the CEI records verify the Contractors meas	urements?	ΠY	
14	Is the CEI providing the projected vs. the actua	earning curve monthly	/? □Y	
15	Has the Contractor been informed of monthly	quantities prior to proc	essing? Al	l discrepancies
	addressed?		ΠY	$\Box$ N



Pro	ject No Review Date:		
Rev	iewer:(T	)	_(M)
	Section No. 12 – Claims Procedure & DRB		
1. I	Did the Contractor provide written notification of intent to claim?	ΠY	ΠN
2. 1	Did the notification include the specifics of the intent to claim?	ΠY	ΠN
3. /	Are their photographs of claim areas where appropriate?	ΠY	ΠN
4. \	Was the intent to claim acknowledged in writing by the PA?	ΠY	ΠN
5. I	Did they notify the Sr. Project Engineer?	ΠY	ΠN
6. I	Is there a separate file maintained for each claim?	ΠY	ΠN
7. I	Has proper coordination of the claim been effective?	ΠY	ΠN
8. I	Did the Contractor submit a complete claim package?	ΠY	ΠN
9. \	Was the DRB three party agreement executed within four (4) weeks of the	e selec	tion of
I	member of the board and prior to the Notice to Proceed?	ΠY	ΠN
י 10.	Were the board members selected in accordance with the specifications?	ΠY	ΠN
11. /	Are weekly project progress reports provided to the board members?	ΠY	ΠN
12. /	Are regular job site meetings held? Does the CEI prepare minutes for thes	e mee	tings?
		ΠY	ΠN
13. /	Are these minutes circulated to all concerned?	ΠY	ΠN
14.	Do the Contractor and the CEI try to resolve potential disputes before reso	orting	to DRB
1	procedures?	ΠY	ΠN
15. /	Are the procedures for dispute resolution followed as described in specific	ations	and in
ľ	manner?	ΠY	ΠN
16. /	Are any and all unsettled claims, counterclaims, and disputes submitted to	) the D	RB pric
ī	initiating of a demand for arbitration?	ΠY	ΠN



Pr	Project No Review Date:				
Re	Reviewer:% Complete:_ Section No. 13 – Owner Direct Purchase of Mate			_(M)	
1.	Are the tracking logs up-to-date?		ΠY	□N	
2.	Is there adequate back-up for the provided P.O.?		ΠY	ΠN	
3.	Are the executed P.O.'s from the Procurement on file?		ΠY	ΠN	
4.	Are the Supply bonds on file, if required?		ΠY	ΠN	
5.	Was a separate P.O. written for the supply bond?		ΠY	ΠN	



Pr	oject No Review Date:	Review Date:			
Re	eviewer: % Complete:	_(T)	_(M)		
	Section No. 14 – Structures				
1.	Has the drilled shaft installation plan been approved?	ΠY	ΠN		
2.	If applicable, are drilled shaft logs and summary forms being completed	d? □Y	ΠN		
3.	Are the pile driving records available and up-to-date?	ΠY	ΠN		
4.	Is the pile driving information correct?	ΠY	ΠN		
5.	Are the pile tips and cut off elevations shown on the reports?	ΠY	ΠN		
6.	Is the Contractor performing dry runs as required?	ΠY	ΠN		
7.	Are the dry run measurements being recorded in a field book?	ΠY	ΠN		
8.	Is the Contractor performing inspections of the steel and forms prior to	concrete	e placer		
		ΠY	ΠN		
9.	Are the deck measurements recorded in a field book during placement	? □Y	ΠN		
10	. Are the beam deflections checked and recorded on the initial pour or				
	When the span length changes?	ΠY	ΠN		
11	. Has an inspection of the completed structure been performed?	ΠY	ΠN		
12	. Has the mass concrete temperature control plan been approved?	ΠY	ΠN		
13	. Are mass concrete temperature differentials being recorded?	ΠY	ΠN		
14	. Are the MSE Walls being inspected periodically to ensure plumbness?	ΠY	ΠN		



Pr	oject No Review Date:		
Re	viewer: % Complete:(T	)	_(M)
	Section No. 15 – Erosion Control Plan & Inspections		
1.	Does the plan address the sequence of operations and construction of per	maner	nt erosion
	controls, as well as temporary requirements?	ΠY	□N
2.	Did the contractor furnish the "contractor certification" with the ECP?	ΠY	□N
3.	Is there a rain Gauge on the project? Physically verify.	ΠY	□N
4.	Is the SWPPP construction inspection Report being filled out weekly or wit	hin 24	hours after
	0.25" inch of rainfall?	ΠY	□N
5.	Is the CEI providing comments on the inspection report regarding the accu	racy o	f the report,
	and providing additional areas that need correction?	ΠY	□N
6.	Is the contractor complying with the report, and correcting areas noted by	<sup>,</sup> the Cl	EI?
		ΠY	$\Box$ N
7.	Are there results available for turbidity testing?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
8.	Do those results indicate any results outside of water quality standards?	ΠY	□N
9.	If so was appropriate action taken? (Add comments below with details)	ΠY	



Pro	Project No Review Date:		
Re	viewer: % Complete:(T) Section No. 16 – Maintenance of Traffic		<u>(</u> <b>M</b> )
1.	If the original Traffic Control Plan has been modified, is the approved plan of	on file	and have the
	plans been changed accordingly?	ΠY	□N
2.	Have the changes been signed and sealed?	ΠY	□N
3.	Have there been daily inspections (including weekends and holidays) as we	ll as n	ight
	inspections as required?	ΠY	□N
4.	Are the contractor's emergency personnel and phone numbers up to date?	ΥΠΥ	$\Box$ N
5.	Does the CEI have a Worksite Traffic Supervisor, certified by FDOT?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
6.	Are the accident report forms filled out and on file as required?	ΠY	$\Box$ N
7.	Review the site both day and night with a copy of the latest MOT Review re	port a	and verify

accuracy of the report. Comment Below.



Project No Review Date:			
Re	eviewer: % Complete:(T) Section No. 17 – Testing Equipment	)	_(M)
1.	Is a copy of the nuclear license on site?	ΠY	ΠN
2.	Does the nuclear gauge user possess a valid certification of Qualification?	ΠY	ΠN
3.	Is the gauge Sign in/out sheet up to date?	ΠY	□N
4.	Is the Bill of Lading within arm's reach of the driver?	ΠY	□N
5.	Are the results of the nuclear Badge Test available?	ΠY	ΠN
6.	Is the gauge calibrated every 12 months? Leak tested every 6 months?	ΠY	ΠN
7.	Are the standard counts currents in the log book?	ΠY	ΠN
8.	One copy of the applicable Manual for Safety and Control of Equipment co	ntaini	ng radioa
	material for nuclear density gauges on project?(Topic No. 675-050-001)	ΠY	□N
9.	Has the concrete air meter been calibrated within 90 days?	ΠY	□N
10	. Has the concrete thermometer been calibrated with 1 year?	ΠY	□N
11	. Is there a high/low thermometer in cure boxes with cylinders?	ΠY	ΠN
12	. Has the asphalt thermometer been calibrated within 1 year?	ΠY	ΠN



<b>CEI Independent</b>	t Assurance	Review
------------------------	-------------	--------

Pr	roject No Review	w Date:		
Re		nplete:(]	ſ)	_(M)
	Section No. 18– Materials and Testing Doc	umentation		
	General			
1.	Is a project specific job Guide Schedule available?		ΠY	□N
2.	Have all samples and test been input into the appropriate I	ogs?	ΠY	ΠN
3.	Are all certified mill analyses on file?		ΠY	ΠN
4.	Have all signs been inspected by the CEI?		ΠY	□N
5.	Has there been a response to all deficiencies?		ΠY	ΠN
6.	Are all materials release forms for prestressed or precast u	nits on file?	ΠY	ΠN
7.	Are all of the sample results logged to date?		ΠY	ΠN
8.	If there are failing test results, is there documentation on t	he file of eithe	r the C	El reje
	acceptance of the failed material?		ΠY	ΠN
9.	Are all major material issues accompanied by a NFTR?		ΠY	ΠN
	Asphalt			
10	. Was a pre-paving conference held for this project?		ΠY	ΠN
11	. Are there approved mix designs and an approved QC plan f	for this project	? □Y	ΠN
12	. Are the appropriate plant inspector (675-030-06) and pavir	ng daily		
	(675-030-07) reports being filled out according to procedur	res?	ΠY	ΠN
13	. Are the temperature reports being filled out and proper pr	ocedures follov	wed?	
			ΠY	ΠN
14	. Are the straight-edging, cross-slope and core-out reports o	n file?	ΠY	ΠN
15	. Are the cross slopes measured and recorded as required by	y the contract?	ΠY	ΠN
	Concrete			
16	. Are all concrete design mixes available and approved?		ΠY	ΠN
17	. Has the level II Quality Control Plan (Contractor's) been app	proved by the s	senior p	orojeo
	engineer and implemented?		ΠY	ΠN
18	. Are the "Control of Concrete" reports (Form 696-09) filled	out correctly?	ΠY	ΠN
19	. Is the water-cement ratio being calculated correctly? Page 19 of 25		ΠY	□N



Project No	Review Date:			
Reviewer:	% Complete:	_ ` /	_(M)	
20. Are the plastic concrete tests being made in ac	cordance with the speci	fied frequ	encies?	
		ΠY	□N	
21. Has the contractor signed the delivery ticket if	water was added?	ΠY	□N	
22. If water was added, does the delivery ticket inc	licate the required addi	tional 30 n	nixing	
revolutions?		ΠY	$\Box$ N	
23. Are the mixing revolutions at the plant and at t	he job site, entered on t	the delive	ry ticket?	
		ΠY	$\Box$ N	
24. Are the slump and air content target values be	ing complied with?	ΠY	□N	
25. Are the concrete test specimens being cured a	s required?	ΠY	ΠN	
26. Is all concrete being tested at the point of discl	narge?	ΠY	ΠN	
27. Is a concrete log book maintained of the cubic	yards of concrete placed	Y□ ?k	□N	
28. If a concrete test specimen failure occurs, has the procedure in the specification (346)				
concerning failures and structural adequacy be	en followed?	ΠY	□N	
Density Log Bo	ok			
29. Has the PA reviewed and signed the monthly in	spection sheet?	ΠY	□N	
30. Are their comments meaningful?		ΠY	$\Box$ N	
31. Has the Quality Control Manager signed the M	onthly inspection sheet?	? □Y	□N	
32. Are their comments meaningful?		ΠY	$\Box$ N	
33. If resolution testing was required, was it comp	leted?	ΠY	□N	
34. Are general notes and explanations present to	explain unusual condition	ons? □Y	□N	
35. Have the cross-sections been plotted on the gr	aph sheet to show actua	al excavati	on limits fo	
much etc.?		ΠY	□N	
36. Are densities tested at the proper frequency?		ΠY	□N	
37. Is the percent maximum density rounded off to	o the correct nearest per	rcent and	reported	
correctly as pass/fail?		ΠY	$\Box$ N	



Project No. \_\_\_\_\_

Review Date:\_\_\_\_\_

% Complete:\_\_\_\_(T)\_\_\_(M)

Reviewer:\_\_\_\_\_ Reviewer Comments:

# Section No. 19 – Environmental and Permit Compliance



Pr	oject No Review Date:		
	viewer:% Complete:()		
1.	Have all contract documents and the site been reviewed for environment	ally sen	sitive areas?
		ΠY	□N
2.	Have the regulatory authorities been advised that permit activity has beg	un?	
		ΠA	ΠN
3.	Are the conditions of the permits being complied with?	ΠA	ΠN
4.	4. Have additional environmental problems that surfaced been acted upon immediately?		
		ΠA	ΠN
5.	Are permits posted on project?	ΠA	ΠN
6.	Has Orange County DEP reviewed the project?	ΠA	ΠN
7.	Have the comments by OCDEP been addressed in a timely manner?	ΠA	ΠN
8.	Conduct a site review with the latest copy of the SWPPP inspection repor	t and ve	rify the

accuracy of reporting and comment below.



Project No		Review Date:			
	iewer: Has the CEI checked for errors in the Comp Book	% Complete:		_(M) er back-up	
т.	materials the designer may provide?	, the Flan Matrix, ar			
2	Have all Plan Quantity Items been reviewed and	adjusted as peoded			
2. 3.	Is each item being tracked & recorded per the Co	-	: □Y	□N	
	Has the Authority been informed of any innovati				
4.					
-	Authority endorsed, in writing, any of these idea				
5.	Has the CEI compiled a Final Estimates Checklist	for use during the c			
c	Estimate?		Y∐ ייי פו יי	□N	
6.	Has the key sheet "Plans of Proposed" been char	iged to "Final As-Bu			
_			ΠY		
7.	Does the key sheet have an index of the Final Pla	ins in the lower left			
	check sections?		□Y		
8.	Does the comp. book have added pages by the C	EI?	ΠY	□N	
9.	Are they numbered appropriately?		ΠY	$\Box$ N	
10. Have the base and friction course options have been identified/confirmed on the				he typical	
	sections?		ΠY	□N	
11	. Have all major revisions to Final Plans been listed	d in the bottom left	corner of t	he key sheet	
			ΠY	$\Box$ N	
12	. Have the Overruns and underruns have been exp	plained in the compu	utation bo	ok?	
			ΠY	$\Box$ N	
13	. Has the final supplemental Agreement been prep	pared to adjust final	quantities	;?	
			ΠY	$\Box$ N	
14. Have the base core-out sheets and core-out computer printout been added to t					
	book, and been reviewed for penalties and thick	ness adjustments?	ΠY	$\Box$ N	
15	. Have all of the matrices been filled out in the Fin	al plans?	ΠY	ΠN	
16	. Have all Latitude and Departure sheets been che	cked for accuracy?	ΠY	□N	
17	. Are all Field books labeled correctly?		ΠY	□N	



Project No	<b>Review Date:</b>		
Reviewer:	% Complete:	(T)	(M)

18. Have as-built drawings been created for lighting/fiber optic and signal sheets?

 $\Box Y \Box N$ 



CEI Independent Assurance Review
Project No. \_\_\_\_\_ Review

Review Date:\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer:\_\_\_\_\_

% Complete:\_\_\_\_(T)\_\_\_(M)

**Summary of Review** 

\_\_\_\_\_