#### CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Authority Board Members

FROM: Claude Miller Claude Muller

Director of Procurement

DATE: February 24, 2015

RE: Supplemental Agreement No. 5 for HNTB Corporation

Design Consultant Services for S. R. 429 (Wekiva Parkway)

From US 441 Interchange to North of Ponkan Road

Project No. 429-202, Contract No. 000850

Board approval is requested for Supplemental Agreement No. 5 with HNTB Corporation in the not-to-exceed amount of \$725,000.00 for post design services for the referenced project. Services will include shop drawing reviews, attendance at construction meetings, site visits, and responding to the contractor's requests for information.

This Supplemental Agreement will be a continuation of an agreement previously approved by the Authority for this project.

Original Contract Amount	\$ 4	1,400,000.00
Supplemental Agreement No. 1	\$	110,000.00
Supplemental Agreement No. 2	\$	175,000.00
Supplemental Agreement No. 3	\$	0.00
Supplemental Agreement No. 4	\$	0.00
This Supplemental Agreement	\$	725,000.00
Total Revised Contract Amount	\$ 5	5,410,000.00

cc: Joe Berenis, Deputy Executive Director, Engineering, Operations, Maintenance & Construction Laura Kelley, Deputy Executive Director, Finance and Administration Glenn Pressimone, Director of Engineering Contract File

#### SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT NO. 5

TO

### AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES POST DESIGN SERVICES (FOR 429-202)

#### S.R. 429 (Wekiva Parkway) From US 441 Interchange to North of Ponkan Road

	THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT is made and entered into this	day
of	, 2015, by and between the CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWA	Y.
AUTHO	PRITY (F/K/A ORLANDO-ORANGE COUNTY EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY), at	n
agency c	of the State of Florida, hereinafter called the "AUTHORITY" and the consulting firm	of
HNTB C	CORPORATION, hereinafter called the "CONSULTANT."	

WHEREAS, Section 4.27 of Exhibit "A" of the Agreement for Professional Services between the AUTHORITY and the CONSULTANT, dated the 21<sup>st</sup> day of May 2012 provides that after completion of the services outlined in Exhibit "A" for Project Number 429-202 of the said Agreement for Professional Services, the AUTHORITY may negotiate with the CONSULTANT a Supplemental Agreement for Post Design Services and whereas, Articles 2.00 and 12.00 of the Agreement for Professional Services provide that in the event that the AUTHORITY shall change the amount of work of the said Agreement for Professional Services, the fees to be paid to the CONSULTANT shall be subject to adjustment as shall be mutually agreed upon:

#### NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- 1. The AUTHORITY hereby authorizes the CONSULTANT to proceed with Post Design Services required as outlined in the Wekiva Parkway Corridor Consultant's correspondence to the AUTHORITY dated February 27, 2015 which is attached hereto and made a part of this Supplemental Agreement.
- 2. All invoices from the CONSULTANT for Post Design Services shall be submitted to the AUTHORITY with complete documentation. Invoices for Post Design Services shall not be a continuation of the original CONSULTANT 'S contract amount for final design services and shall only be for those services as outlined in this Supplemental Agreement. Compensation for Post Design Services shall be invoiced to the AUTHORITY at an hourly rate, inclusive of overhead, profit and expenses (exclusive of travel). The hourly rate shall be calculated using the employee's actual direct salary and the negotiated Post Design Services multiplier, as outlined in the Wekiva Parkway Corridor Consultant's correspondence to the AUTHORITY dated February 27, 2015. Direct expenses will be reimbursed for local travel only (per mile). The maximum fee for Post Design Services shall be \$725,000.00. This maximum fee includes an Allowance of \$28,857.58 to be approved for use by the CONSULTANT at the sole discretion of the AUTHORITY.
- 3. Section 4.27 of the original Agreement for Professional Services is revised as outlined in Exhibit "A," which is attached hereto and made a part of this Supplemental Agreement.
  - 4. Any supplemental agreements for Post Design Services shall be in accordance with

the appropriate Articles within the original CONSULTANT Agreement for Professional Services.

All provisions of said Agreement for Professional Services, or any Supplements thereto, not modified by the above, shall remain in full force and effect, the same as if they had been set forth herein. In the event of a conflict between the provisions of this Supplemental Agreement and of the said Agreement for Professional Services, or any Supplements thereto, the provisions of this Supplemental Agreement, to the extent such provision is reasonable, shall take precedence.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused these presents to be executed, in triplicate, the day and year first above written.

CENTRAL FLORIDA

# Witness:\_\_\_\_\_\_ By:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Assistant Secretary Director of Procurement HNTB CORPORATION Witness:\_\_\_\_\_\_ By:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Print Name: Print Name: Title: Title:

P:\429-203\Supplemental Agreements\Post Design\Dewberry PDS-429-203 - SA5.doc

#### Exhibit "A"

#### **Project 429-202**

#### S.R. 429 (Wekiva Parkway) from US 441 Interchange to North of Ponkan Road Post-Design Scope of Services (Section 4.27)

#### 4.27 Post Design Services

#### A. Compensation

The Consultant's compensation for post-design services may be added by supplemental agreement and shall be at an hourly rate, inclusive of overhead, profit and expenses, and exclusive of travel. No compensation will be made for correction of errors and omissions or clarifications.

#### **B.** General Support

The Consultant shall support the post-design process as follows:

- a) Answer questions relative to the plans, typical sections, quantities and special provisions.
- b) Make any necessary corrections to the plans, typical sections, quantities, notes, etc. as may be required.
- c) Attend pre-award meeting with Contractor, the Authority, and the Authority's CEI.

#### C. Pre-bid Conference

The Consultant shall, prior to the pre-bid conference, be prepared to walk the project with the assigned Authority Resident Construction Engineer to discuss the plans and details. The Consultant shall be prepared to attend the pre-bid conference and respond to questions related to the plans, details, and special provisions.

#### D. Addenda

The Consultant shall prepare any addenda required to clarify the work included in the contract documents. Addenda may be required based on the project inspection with the assigned Authority Resident Construction Engineer, or questions developed in the pre-bid conference, or conditions discovered by bidders during the bid period.

#### E. Field Visits

The Consultant shall be available to respond to questions in the field that may arise relative to the plans, details or special provisions during construction. The Consultant will periodically visit the project site to observe the progress of construction. This visit will not make the place of formal construction inspection by the Authority's Construction Manager and their inspection team. Rather, it is intended to provide the opportunity for members of the design team to observe whether work by the Contractor is being performed in general conformance with the project plans. Written memos of all such field visits shall be submitted to the Authority and their Construction Manager within five business days of the trip.

#### F. Shop Drawing Reviews

The Consultant shall review and approve shop drawings from the Contractor for roadway, utilities, structural, lighting, FON, signing and drainage elements. This work will include the erection procedure plans, review proposals for substitutions, development of supplemental agreements, and assistance with other engineering services required to facilitate construction of the project. Reviews will be conducted and returned within two weeks from receipt of information.

#### G. Post-Design Contact

The Consultant shall appoint a responsible member of the firm to be the contact person for all post-design services. This person shall be continually available during the course of construction for review of design plans.

#### H. Timeliness

The Consultant shall make every reasonable effort to process any material presented for review in a prompt manner recognizing a construction contract is underway.

#### I. Meetings

The Consultant shall attend partnering meetings as requested by the Authority. The Consultant will also attend progress / coordination meetings as requested by the Authority including, but not limited to, the Notice to Proceed meeting.

#### J. Bridge Load Ratings

Approved design bridge load ratings were obtained by the Consultant under the final design phase of this contract. The Contractor shall be responsible for revising and resubmitting the load ratings if changes to the bridge design occur during construction. Otherwise, the Consultant shall provide written correspondence to FDOT when construction is complete that the bridges were constructed in accordance with the plans and the design load ratings still apply.

#### K. Geotechnical Engineering

The Consultant shall provide geotechnical engineering services as needed by the Authority, relative to pile driving, earthwork, embankment and MSE wall construction.

#### L. Utilities

The Consultant shall provide utility consulting services as needed by the Authority, relative to proposed utility adjustments within the project limits.

#### M. Record Drawings

Based on information provided by the Contractor, the Contractor's surveyor and the Authority's Construction Manager, the Consultant will prepare record drawings reflecting improvements built for this project. This scope assumes surveys will be undertaken by a registered surveyor by the Contractor.



CH2M HILL
225 East Robinson Street
Suite 505
Orlando FL 32801-4321
Tel 407.423.0030
Fax 407.839.5901

February 27, 2015

Mr. Glenn Pressimone Central Florida Expressway Authority 4974 ORL Tower Road Orlando, FL 32807

RE: Wekiva Parkway

Contract No. 000850 Project No. 429-202

Post-Design Services Supplemental Agreement

#### Dear Mr. Pressimone:

As the project transitions from Design to Construction, we have requested and received a Post-Design Services proposal for the above referenced contract. The consultant, HNTB Corporation (HNTB), has submitted a Post-Design Services amendment package to address the post-design services and/or plan modifications required since the Bid Package was submitted.

We have reviewed the attached proposal from HNTB, and have determined the proposal is consistent with the original contract terms and category rates. HNTB has also elected to request new billing rates consistent with current employee rates and their employee roster is included with the package. The amendment addresses all anticipated scope of work.

The package submitted and reviewed is requesting a fee of \$696,142.42. It is our recommendation an amount of \$725,000 be submitted for approval to the Board in order to account for a contingency amount.

If you should have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, CH2M HILL

Scott Bear

Project Manager

cc: File (w/attach)

## **HNTB Corporation**

ACTIVITY	CHIEF ENGINEER	_	SENIOR ENGINEER		SR PROJECT ENGINEER		PROJECT ENGINEER		ENGINEER		ENGINEER INTERN		CONTRACT COORDINATOR		MAN HR. BY	SALARY COSTS FOR	AVG.
	MAN HR.	RATE	MAN HR.	RATE	MAN HR.	RATE	MAN HR.	RATE	MAN HR.	RATE	MAN HR	RATE	MAN HR.	RATE	ACTIVITY	ACTIVITY	RATE/HOUR
Post Design Activities																	
A-Requests for Information/Non Compliance Reports	108	\$95.92	106	\$88.30	108	\$56.94	426	\$42.81	88	\$35.49	213	\$30.30	21	\$34.27	1,066	\$51,976.71	\$48.76
B-Shop Drawing Reviews	71	\$95.92	200	\$68.30	200	\$56.94	572	\$42.81	73	\$35.49	286	\$30.30	28	\$34.27	1,430	\$68,581,72	. \$47.93
C-Plan Revisions	48	\$95.92	48	\$68.30	67	\$56.94	169	\$42.81	47	\$35.49	97	\$30,30	9	\$34.27	485	\$23,847.99	\$49.17
D-Meetings & Coordination	93	\$95.92	62	\$68.30	62	\$56.94	77	\$42.81	17.	\$35.49	0	\$30.80	0	\$34.27	311	\$20,585.15	\$66.19
E-As-Built Load Ratings	0	\$95.92	58	\$68.30	0	\$58.94	. 67	\$42.81	10	\$35.49	33	\$30.30	0	\$34.27	168	\$8,184.48	\$48.72
F-As-Built Drawings	20	\$95.92	41	\$68.30	41	\$58.94	83	\$42.81	110	\$35.49	125	\$30.30	0	\$34.27	420	\$18,297.88	\$43.58
<b>,</b>																	
Additional Design for DMS												٠.,					,
ITS Analysis	6	\$95.92	18	\$68.30	12	\$58.94	21	\$42.81	4	\$35.49	0	\$30.30	. 0	\$34.27	61.	\$3,529.18	\$57.86
ITS Plans	4	\$95.92	12	\$68.30	8	\$56.94	14	\$42.81	4 -	\$35.49	0	\$30.30	0	\$34.27	42	\$2,400.11	\$57.15
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				:						, <u>i</u>		- :	
					-				,	/ ·							
TOTAL	348	\$33,380.16	545	\$37,223.50	496	\$28,242.24	1,429	\$81,175.49	353	\$12,527.97	754	\$22,846.20	58	\$1,987.66	3,983	197,383.22	\$49.55

Standard Post design	Subconsultants	: (see attachm	ents for deta	ii)	
GTC	\$	2,911.64			
IDA -	\$	23,453.28		,	
TEDS	\$	15,307.02			
GEC	\$	19,907.21			
Nadic	· <u>\$</u>	5,937.71			
Total:	\$	67,516.86			•

	Y HOW CUT IN UK			5070019000000000000000000000000000000000		LUCE OF THE COURSE OF THE COUR
IADDITIONAL CROTECH MONITORING	2 2 may 100 . 2.	64.3890 p.780 (June 1942)		CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	acquired of the state of the st	MARKET PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
	and the second second	and the same of th		0.000		
	Carrier Systems					EQUIPMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
		and the second s		200000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	COLUMN SAN	duce no continue				
GEC - Surcharge Evaluation		\$69,423.40	42701010101010101010101010101010101010101			
	SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE			Section 1		
GEC - Surcharge Evaluation	300,000	SRU AVY AN				
OLD Outding Lindagon			NOW THE PROPERTY.			
The same of the company of the same of the		\$1.00 p. 1.00 p. 10 february 1988.		8.000km - 100 km 400 km	2555555555555555555	
OCO COLLA DISABLE DELLE CONTROLLA CO					umunian ma	
GEC - Grout Stabilization		214-24-46	320000000000000000000000000000000000000	March College	COLUMN COMMENT	DELICATION OF THE PARTY OF THE
	N. S.	From A Land Consulted	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	CONTRACTOR OF THE	101169888888874880	THE REST OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
	275 SC 100 SC 100 C 100 SC 1	And the second second	20C131307C31343075035C43C5057	RESERVE ALTONOMY		Management of the control of the con
Total:		RR3 R47 R7	1010	ese as a marge	angangan an	MARIA DE CONTRACTOR DE CONTRAC

SALARY RELATED COSTS:	. •	\$197,383.22
EFFECTIVE MULTIPLIER	2.7600	\$544,777.69
POST DESIGN TOTAL WITH SUBS		\$612,294.55

#### ACTIVITY: A - Requests for Information (RFIs) and Non-Conformance Reports (NCRs)

TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
A-RFIs and NCRs							Based on 18 month construction schedule
Roadway/MOT	Each	72	5		360		4 per month
Utilities	Each	6	5		30		assumes 6 RFIs
Structures -Connector Road RFI	EA	24	4	0	96		2 RFIs/month x 12 months = 24 RFIs
Structures -Low Area Bridge	EA	12	4	0	48		1 RFIs/month x 12 months = 12 RFIs
Structures -Southfork Bridge	EA	12	4	0	48		1 RFIs/month x 12 months = 12 RFIs
Structures -Ramp C Bridge	EA	12	4	0	48		1 RFIs/month x 12 months = 12 RFIs
Structures -Ramp D Bridge	EA	12	4	0	48		1 RFIs/month x 12 months = 12 RFIs
Structures -Ponkan Bridge	EA	12	4	0	48		1 RFIs/month x 12 months = 12 RFIs
Structures -Walls	EA	18	4	0	72		Total of 18 RFIs.
NON-COMPLIANCE REPORTS	EA	12	4	0	48	1	Assume 12 NCR per job
Drainage/Permit	Each	36	5		180		2 per month
SPM	Each	6	5		30		Assume 6
Signals	Each	2	5		10		1 per location
A-RFI & NCR Subtotal		236			1066	*	

#### ACTIVITY: B - Shop Drawing Reviews

TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS		
Shop Drawing Reviews									
Roadway	LS	1	196		196		10 Shop drawings @ 4 hrs each and coordination- 2 hrs/week		
Utilities	Each	0	0		0		Sub - GTC		
Drainage/Permit	Each	8	5		40				
SPM	LS	1	50		50		sign panel review		
Signals	Each	2	20		40		assumes 2 shop drawing submittals		
Structures Shop Drawing Reviews									
General	100						No.		
Light pole/light pole pilaster connections	Each	1	12	0	12		Review anchor bolt/anchor plate details and Specialty Engineer's design as per Index No. 21200		
Conduit Expansion Jt. Details	Each	1	6	0	6		2' Dia. conduit expansion/deflection joint details.		
Connector Road Bridges (Bridge Nos. 750851 & 750852)					194	122			
Deck Joints	Each	1	8	0	8		2 Expansion joint locations. Same geometry (1 unit).		
Stay-in-Place Forms	Each	3	10	0	30		Review shop drawings for SIP layouts for each span (3 units @ 8 hrs) and review design calculations. One beam spacing (1 Unit @ 6 hrs).		
Bridge Overhang Bracket	Each	1	10		10		Bracket for supporting slab cantilever. Assume one design.		
Pot Borings	Each	4	8	0	32		Different bearings for each pier line		
PCI U-Beams	Each	3	. 8	0	24		Three different segments - end, pier and middle. Same for all beam lines		
Post-Tensioning System	Each	1	40	0	40		Includes review of all post-tensioning products being used, elongations,		
Erection & Camber Information	Each	1	48	0	48		Includes reviewed complete erection and camber shop drawings to be provided by the Contractor.		
Access Ramp	Each	1	10	0	10		Access ramp internal to box.		
Access Opening	Each	1	8	0	8		Details of access opening to be provided by Contractor		
Temporary Shoring Towers	Each	2	12	0	- 24		Temporary tower and bracing reviews.		
Internal Bracing	Each	1	16	0	16	ļ	Internal bracing and lifting loop analysis		
Swedge Anchors	Each	1	8		8 6	<u> </u>	Assume one anchor type Screening at diaphragms		
U-Beam Screening Details	Each	1	6 12		12	<del></del>	Inspection lighting thru bridge		
Maintenance Lighting	Each		12		12		Inspection lighting that bridge		
Low Area Bridge (Bridge Nos. 750857 & 750858)	Each	Not all the Action of the St.	6	0	6		4 Expansion joint locations. Very similar movements for both bridges (1 unit).		
Deck Joints	Each_	1			-		Review shop drawings for SIP layouts . Each bridge has one span length for all span lengths		
Stay-in-Place Forms	Each	2	14	0,	28		but different beam spacing (2 units @ 8 hrs) and review design calculations. Two beam spacing (2 Unit @ 6 hrs).		
Bridge Overhang Bracket	Each	1	10		10		Bracket for supporting slab cantilever. Assume one design.		
Florida I-Beams	Each	2	12	. 0	24		Two FIB types with varying lengths (11 total). Assume 2 @ 12 hrs and the rest will be similar.		
Temporary Bracing	Each	2	12	0	24		Review of temporary bracing details. 2 in table.		
Precast Panels	Each	. 3	24	0	72		Contractor to submit shop drawings for three preacst panels, which will include all handling, connection and erection details.		

#### ACTIVITY: B - Shop Drawing Reviews

TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
Southfork Bridge (Bridge Nos. 750853 & 750854)							to the second of the second
Deck Joints	Each	1	6	0	6		2 Expansion joint locations. (1 unit).
Stay-in-Place Forms	Each	1	14	0.	14		Review shop drawings for SIP layouts . Each bridge has same span length and beam spacing (1 units @ 8 hrs) and review design calculations (1 Unit @ 6 hrs).
Bridge Overhang Bracket	Each	1	10		10		Bracket for supporting slab cantilever. Assume one design.
Florida I-Beams	Each	1	12	. 0	12		One FIB type and span length. Plates vary.
Temporary Bracing	Each	1	12	0	12		Review of temporary bracing details. 2 in table.
Ramp C Bridge (Bridge No. 750856)						100	
Deck Joints	Each	1	6	0	6		2 Expansion joint locations. (1 unit).
Stay-in-Place Forms	Each	1	14	0	14		Review shop drawings for SIP layouts . Has one span length and beam spacing (1 units @ 8 hrs) and review design calculations (1 Unit @ 6 hrs).
Bridge Overhang Bracket	Each	- 1	10		10		Bracket for supporting slab cantilever. Assume one design.
Florida I-Beams	Each	1	12	0	12		One FIB type and span length. Plates vary.
Temporary Bracing	Each	1	12	0	12		Review of temporary bracing details. 2 in table.
Ramp D Bridge (Bridge No. 750855)		100	342		4.4	, , , ,	
Deck Joints	Each	1	6	0	6		2 Expansion joint locations. (1 unit).
Stay-in-Place Forms	Each	1	14	. 0	14		Review shop drawings for SIP layouts . Has one span length and beam spacing (1 units @ 8 hrs) and review design calculations (1 Unit @ 6 hrs).
Bridge Overhang Bracket	Each	1	10		10		Bracket for supporting slab cantilever. Assume one design.
Florida I-Beams	Each	1	12	0	12		One FIB type and span length. Plates vary.
Temporary Bracing	Each	1	12	0	12		Review of temporary bracing details. 1 in table.
Ponkan Bridges (Bridge Nos. 750861 & 750862)		****					
Deck Joints	Each	1	6	0	6		2 Expansion joint locations. (1 unit).
Stay-in-Place Forms	Each	1	14	0	14		Review shop drawings for SIP layouts . Has one span length and beam spacing (1 units @ 8 hrs) and review design calculations (1 Unit @ 6 hrs).
Bridge Overhang Bracket	Each	1	. 10		10		Bracket for supporting slab cantilever. Assume one design.
Florida U-Beams	Each	1	16	. 0	16		Non-standard beam. One beam length. Plates vary.
Temporary Bracing	Each	1	12	0	12		Review of temporary bracing details. 1 in table.
Walls							
MSE Walls	Each	14	8	0	112		Review of proprietary wall shop drawings to confirm that they are consistent with wall plans.
Planter Walls	Each	4	12	0	48		Review of planter wall shop drawings and calculations to be submitted by Contractor.
Closure Walls	Each	2	16	0	32		Review of closure wall shop drawings and calculations to be submitted by Contractor.
Temporary Critical Wall	Each	1	12	0	12		Review of TCW material and shop drawings.
Sign Structures							
Bridge Mounted Sign	Each	1	10	0	10		Review of shop drawing and material for bridge mounted sign.
Cantilever Sign Structure	Each	9	8	0	72		9 total @ 8 hrs each. Comparing shop drawings to standards.
Span Sign Structure	Each	3	8	0	24		3 total @ 8 hrs each. Comparing shop drawings to standards.
Butterfly Sign Structure	Each	. 1	16	0	16		1 total. Non-standard sign compared to modified index.
Shop Drawing Resubmittals	. LS:	10%		1004	100		Assume 10% Resubmittal rate;
TOTAL					1430		<u> </u>

**ACTIVITY: C - Plan Revisions** 

TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
Plan Revisions							
Roadway	Each	6	20		120		# of revisions assumed
Utilities	Each	0			0		GTC
Drainage/Permit	Each	6	20		120		# of revisions assumed
SPM	Each	1	20		20		# of revisions assumed
Signals	Each	. 1 .	20		20		# of revisions assumed
Structures							
Connector Road Bridge	EA	1	60		60		
Low Area Bridge	EA	1	40		40	s.	
Southfork Bridge	EA	1	30		30		
Ramp C Bridge	EA	1	20		20		
Ramp D Bridge	EA	1	20		20		
Ponkan Bridge	EA	1	35		35		
Plan Revisions Subtotal		20			485		
	,						

#### ACTIVITY: D - Meetings and Coordination

TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
D-Meetings and Coordination						1.2	
Pre-Construction Mtg.	LS	1	29		29		Attendance by 3 engineers and respond to questions: 3x3=9 + 20 = 13
Field Visits	Each	9	3		27		1 every 2 months x 1 eng x 3 hrs/ea
Coordination/Management	Each	76	2		152		2 hr/wk x 76 wks
Meetings	Each	9	3		27		1 every 2 months x 1 eng x 3 hrs/ea
Partnering	Each	2	18		36		2 mtgs x 3 eng x 6 hrs/mtg
Bidding Review and support	Each	1	40		40		Assume 40 hrs
D-Meetings & Coordination Subtotal		98			311		
	<i>V</i> .						

HNTB Corporation	Wekiva Parkway Section 1A	CFX Project No. 429-202	Post Design Services

ACTIVITY: E - As-Built Load Ratings

TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
As-Built Load Ratings							
Connector Road Bridge	Each	2	24		48		Assuming one beam for each bridge
Low Area Bridge	Each	4	12		48		Assuming one of each beam type is required for each bridge.
Southfork Bridge	Each	2	12		24		Assuming one beam for each bridge.
Ramp C Bridge	Each	1	12		12		Assume one beam.
Ramp D Bridge	Each	1.	12		12		Assume one beam.
Ponkan Bridge	Each	2	12		24		Assuming one beam for each bridge.
							N/A
		12			168		

ACTIVITY: F- As-Built Drawings	BASIS OF	NO. OF	·	NO. OF	·		<b>-</b>
TASK	ESTIMATE	UNITS*	HOURS/ UNIT	SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
				3 T			
					ļ		* All as-built drawing efforts assumes 1/3 of the total number of sheets require minor CAI
							revisions, except for structure plans.
				•			
All Discplines (minus Structures	3)			4. 1.			
Pian/Pro		22.0	0.5	- 66	11.0		
General sheets (typicals, pro		10.0	0.5	30	5.0		
Summary of Quan		1.0	0.5	3	0.5		
Roadway and Pond Cross-section	ons EA	64.3	0.5	193	32.2		
Drainage plan vis	ws EA	1.3	0.5	4	0.7		
Summary of Drains	age EA	2.0	0.5	. 6	. 1.0		
Drainage Structu		23.0	0.5	69	11.5		
Traffic Control Pla		4.7	0.5	14	2.3		
FON/Utility Adjustm	ent EA	9.3	1	28	9.3		
					0.0		
Sign		32.7 3.0	0.5	98	16.3 3.0	<b></b>	
Sign	als CA	3.0	<del>                                     </del>	-	3.0		
Structures							
Connector Road Bridge					l	<b> </b>	
Revise Bridge Pla	ans EA	. 32	3	96	96		Assume 32 sheets out of 96 sheets total.
Low Area Bridge							
Revise Bridge Pia	ans EA	22	3	- 66	66	1	Assume 22 sheets out of 66 sheets total.
Southfork Bridge					<u> </u>		
Revise Bridge Pla	ans EA	8	3	24	24		Assume 8 sheets out of 24 sheets total.
	alia CA			27		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Accounts o sheets out of 24 sheets total.
Ramp C Bridge			:		<u> </u>		
Revise Bridge Pla	ens EA	6	3	17	18		Assume 6 sheets out of 17 sheets total.
Ramp D Bridge							
Revise Bridge Pla	ans EA	6	3	17	18		Assume 6 sheets out of 17 sheets total.
Ponkan Bridge							
Revise Bridge Pla	ans EA	8	3	23	24		Assume 8 sheets out of 23 sheets total.
Walls					<del> </del>		
						<b></b>	A
Revise Wall Pla	ens EA	27	3	83	81	ļ	Assume 27 sheets out of 83 sheets total.
TOTA	ㄴ				420		

33.1   TS Analysis	Task No.	' Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
33.3   Lightning Protection Analysis   LS   1   4   4   4	33.1	ITS Analysis	LS	1	6	6	Review existing documents.
33.4   Power Subsystem	33.2	Communications Plan Analysis	LS	1	6	6	Review as-built ITS design.
33.5   Voltage Drop Calculations   LS	33.3	Lightning Protection Analysis	LS	1	4	4	
33.6   Design Documentation	33.4	Power Subsystem	LS	1	4	4	
33.7   Existing ITS System	33.5	Voltage Drop Calculations	LS	1	4	4	
33.8 Queue Analysis  33.9 Reference and Master ITS Design File  33.10 Reference and Master Communications Design File  33.11 Pole Elevation Analysis  LS  1  0  0  33.12 Sign Panel Design Analysis  LS  1  0  0  33.13 Quantities  LS  1  0  0  33.14 Cost Estimate  LS  1  0  0  33.15 Technical Special Provisions  LS  1  0  0  16 HNTB to review DRMP Structural Calcs. HNTB not to resign and seal.  Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Technical Subtotal  33.18 Technical Meetings  LS  1  0  0  33.19 Quality Assurance/Quality Control  LS  %  3%  2  Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal  7  33.21 Coordination  LS  %  3%  2	33.6	Design Documentation	LS	1	4	. 4	
33.9   Reference and Master ITS Design File   LS   1   4   4   4	33.7	Existing ITS System	LS	1	0	0	
Reference and Master Communications	33.8	Queue Analysis	LS	1	0	0	
33.10   Design File	33.9	Reference and Master ITS Design File	LS	1	- 4	4	
33.12   Sign Panel Design Analysis   LS	33.10		LS	1	0	0	
33.13   Quantities	33.11	Pole Elevation Analysis	LS	1	0	0	
33.14   Cost Estimate	33.12	Sign Panel Design Analysis	LS	. 1	0	0	
33.15 Technical Special Provisions  LS 1 0 0  HNTB to review DRMP Structural Calcs. HNTB not to resign and seal.  Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Technical Subtotal  33.17 Field Reviews  LS 1 0 0  33.18 Technical Meetings  LS 2 1 2  33.19 Quality Assurance/Quality Control  LS % 5% 3  33.20 Supervision  LS % 3% 2  Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal  7  33.21 Coordination  LS % 3% 2	33.13	Quantities	LS	1	4	4	
33.16 Other ITS Analyses  LS 1 0 16 HNTB to review DRMP Structural Calcs. HNTB not to resign and seal.  Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Technical Subtotal  33.17 Field Reviews  LS 1 0 0  33.18 Technical Meetings  LS 2 1 2  33.19 Quality Assurance/Quality Control  LS % 5% 3  33.20 Supervision  LS % 3% 2  Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal  7  33.21 Coordination  LS % 3% 2	33.14	Cost Estimate	LS	1	.0	0	
Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Technical Subtotal   52	33.15	Technical Special Provisions	LS	1	0	0	
33.17   Field Reviews	33.16	Other ITS Analyses	LS	1	0	16	HNTB to review DRMP Structural Calcs. HNTB not to resign and seal.
33.18 Technical Meetings       LS       2       1       2         33.19 Quality Assurance/Quality Control       LS       %       5%       3         33.20 Supervision       LS       %       3%       2         Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal       7         33.21 Coordination       LS       %       3%       2		Intelligent Transportation Systems A	nalysis	Technical	Subtotal	52	
33.19 Quality Assurance/Quality Control LS % 5% 3 33.20 Supervision LS % 3% 2 Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal 7 33.21 Coordination LS % 3% 2	33.17	Field Reviews	LS	1	0	0	
33.20 Supervision LS % 3% 2 intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal 7 33.21 Coordination LS % 3% 2	33.18	Technical Meetings	LS	2	1	2	
intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal 7  33.21 Coordination LS % 3% 2	33.19	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	LS	%	5%	3	
33.21 Coordination LS % 3% 2	33.20	Supervision	LS	%	3%	2	
		intelligent Transportation Systems Analy	sis Nor	itechnica	Subtotal	7	
33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Total 61	33.21	Coordination	LS	%	3%	2	
		33. Intelligent Transportation	n Syste	ems Analy	ysis Total	61	

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
33.1	ITS Analysis	LS	1	6	6	Review existing documents.
33.2	Communications Plan Analysis	LS	1	6	6	Review as-built ITS design.
33.3	Lightning Protection Analysis	LS	1	4	4	
33.4	Power Subsystem	LS	1	4	4	
33.5	Voltage Drop Calculations	LS	1	4	4	
33.6	Design Documentation	LS	1	4	4	
33.7	Existing ITS System	LS	1	0	0.	
33.8	Queue Analysis	LS	1	0	Ó	
33.9	Reference and Master ITS Design File	LS	1	. 4	4	
33.10	Reference and Master Communications Design File	LS	1	0	0	
33.11	Pole Elevation Analysis	LS	1	0	0	
33.12	Sign Panel Design Analysis	LS	1	0	0	
33.13	Quantities	LS	1	4	4	
33.14	Cost Estimate	LS	1	0	0	
33.15	Technical Special Provisions	LS	1	0	0	
33.16	Other ITS Analyses	LS	1	. 0	0	
	Intelligent Transportation Systems Ar	nalysis	Technical	Subtotal	36	
33.17	Field Reviews	LS	1	0	0	
33.18	Technical Meetings	LS	2	1	2	
33.19	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	LS	. %	5%	2	
33.20	Supervision	LS	%	3%	1	
	Intelligent Transportation Systems Analy	sis Non	technical	Subtotal	5	
33.21	Coordination	LS	%	3%	1	
	33% intelligent Transportatio	n Syste	ems Analy	/sis Total	42	

# GTC Engineering Corporation

1 of 1

Project:

Wekiva Parkway - Stanton Ridge Utility Design; Replatting

Date:

February 16, 2015

CIP#:

Purchasing Contract #:

Firm: GTC Engineering Corporation

#### **FINAL DESIGN TASK LIST**

Activity: Final Design

Sub-Activity: 5 Post Design Services (Limiting Amount)

			Hours per		Total	Prir	icipal	Project	Manager	Sr Projec	t Engineer	Project	Engineer	Cle	erical	Total Salary	Total	Remarks
Task	Estimate	of Units	Unit	of Sheets	Hours	\$60.00 Hrs	(Rate)	\$55.00 Hrs	(Rate)	\$45.53	(Rate)	\$34.00	(Rate)	\$15.00	(Rate)	Costs	Hours	Remarks
1401						HIS	COSt	ri13	Cost	Hrs	Cost	Hrs	Cost	Hrs	Cost			
5.1 Construction Administration						,		ĺ										
b. Attend Pre-Bid Meeting	EA	1	1		1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	55.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$55.00	1.00	
d. Respond to Comments	EA	1	3		3.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	55.00	2.00	91.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$146.08	3.00	
f. Attend Pre-Const Meeting	EA	1	1,25		1.25	0.00	0.00	1.25	68.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$68.75	1,25	
h. Respond to Request for Information		4	4		16.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	220.00	12.00	546.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$766.36	16.00	
Total					21.25	0.00	0.00	7.25	398.75	14.00	637.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$1,036.17	21.25	
SUBTOTAL 8					21.25	0.00	0.00	7.25	200 75	4400	207.40	2.00						
SUBTOTAL 0		L	L		21.20	0.00	0.00	1.25	398.75	14.00	637.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$1,036.17	21.25	
Quality Control	5%-10%	set at 5%			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					\$0.00	<b>Г</b> о Т	V
Supervision	5%-10%	set at 5%			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					\$0.00	0	
					21.25	0.00	0.00	7.25	398.75	14.00	637.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$1,036,17	21.25	

Task 5 Salary Costs

\$ 1,036.17

Total Overhead 2.8100 TOTAL CONTRACT FEE

\$ 2,911.64

# IDA Consulting Engineers, Inc.

#### ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT AND COST - SUBCONSULTANT

Name of Project County: FPN: FAP No.:

WEKIVA PARKWAY (SR 429) - 429-202 Orange County Sr 429-202 N/A

Consultant Name: IDA Consulting Engineers, Inc.
Consultant No.: N/A
Date: 9/22/2014
Estimator: K

FAP No.:	N/A												Estimator:	KS		
Staff Classification	Total Staff Hours	Project Manager	Senior Bridge	Bridge Engineer	CADD Technician	Staff Classi-	Staff Classi- fication 12	SH By	Salary Cost By	Average Rate Per						
	From "IDA Post Design"	\$52.00	\$52.00	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Activity	Activity	Task
13. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge	178	36	53	53	36	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	178	\$8,144	\$45.75
Total Staff Hours	178	36	53	53	36	0	0	0	0	0	,0	0	0	178		
Total Staff Cost		\$1,872.00	\$2,756.00	\$2,093.50	\$1,422.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$8,143.50	\$47.53

<del></del>		Check =	\$8,143,60	,
SALARY RELATED COSTS:				\$8,143,50
OVERHEAD:	:			
OPERATING MARGIN:				
EXPENSES.				
EFFECTIVE MULTIPLIER: 2.8800				\$23,453.28
SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:				\$23,453.28
Survey (Field) 0 4-man crew days @	\$	- 1	day	\$0.00
Geotechnical Field and Lab Testing			•	\$0.00
SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:				\$0.00
Optional Services				\$0.00
GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:	•			\$23,453.28

#REF!

#### IDA Consulting Engineers, Inc. Wekiva Parkway Section 1A CFX Project No. 429-202 Post Design Services

	BASIS OF	NO, OF	HOURS/	NO. OF	TOTAL	CADD*	
TASK	ESTIMATE	UNITS	UNIT	SHEETS	HOURS	HOURS	REMARKS
As-Built Load Ratings							Non-standard beam time. Assume beam
Yothers Bridge	Each	2	20	. •	40	· · · · · · · · ·	Non-standard beam type. Assume beam per span.
TOTAL		2			40		
TOTAL						<u> </u>	
ACTIVITY: RFIs		<del> </del>					
TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL	CADD*	REMARKS
others Bridge		<u> </u>		01.121.0	1.001.0	1100110	
RFIs	EA	6	4	0	24		1 RFIs/month x 12 months = 12 RFIs
TOTAL		6			24		
					•		
ACTIVITY: Structures - Shop Drawing Re	eviews						
TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
(others Bridge (Bridge No. 750860)							70 C.
Deck Joints	Each	1	4	0	-4	ļ	2 Expansion joint locations. (1 unit). Review shop drawings for SIP layouts. Ha
Stay-in-Place Forms	Each	1	10	0	10		one span length and beam spacing (1 unit @ 8 hrs) and review design calculations (1 Unit @ 6 hrs).
Bridge Overhang Bracket	Each	1	8	0	8		Bracket for supporting slab cantilever. Assume one design.
launched Beams	Each	1	20	0	20		Non-standard beam. One beam length. Plates vary.
Temporary Bracing	Each	1	12	0	12		Review of temporary bracing details. 1 in table.
Safety Line Anchorage Device	Each	1	6	0	6		One type
TOTAL		6			60		<del></del>
ACTIVITY: Structures - Plan revisions							
TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
others Bridge							
Revision	EA	1	24		24		
TOTAL		1			24		
				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
ACTIVITY: As-Built Drawings				- No 6=			
TASK	BASIS OF ESTIMATE	NO. OF UNITS *	HOURS/ UNIT	NO. OF SHEETS	TOTAL HOURS	CADD* HOURS	REMARKS
				<del></del>		-	* All as-built drawing efforts assumes
		•					of the total number of sheets require minor CAD revisions, except for structuplans.
Yothers Bridge							France
	<del></del>	10	3	30	30	T	Assume 10 sheets out of 30 sheets total.
Revise Bridge Plans	EA	10		- 30	- 30		Assume to sheets out of 30 sheets total.

# Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc.

#### **ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT AND COST - SUBCONSULTANT**

Name of Project:

Wekiva Parkway from US 441 to Ponkan Road (Section 1A) - POST DESIGN

Consultant Name: Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc.

County:

Orange

Consultant No.:

10412 Date: 2/25/2015

FPN:

429-202 N/A

FAP No.:		N/A			<u> </u>									Estimator:	Fred Ferreii		
Staff Clas	-18141	Total Staff	Chief	Engineer .	Doolman	Sr. Designer	Staff Classi-	SH	Salary	Average							
Starr Clas		Hours From "SH Summary	Engineer	Intern	Designer	ar. Designer	fication 5	fication 6	fication 7	fication 8	fication 9	fication 10	fication 11	fication 12	By	Cost By	Rate Per
		Firm*	\$51.50	\$28.85	\$20.00	\$38.08	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Activity	Activity	Task
23. Lighting Analysis	-	80	20	8	0	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	\$3,136	\$39.20
33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Ana	alysis	84	21	8	0	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	\$3,298	\$39.23
Total Staff Hours		164	41	16	0	107	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	164		
Total Staff Cost			\$2,111.50	\$481.60	\$0.00	\$3,858.42	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$6,431.52	\$39.22

Page 1 of 3

\$8,431.52

SALARY RELATED COSTS:

\$6,431.52

OVERHEAD:

OPERATING MARGIN: **EXPENSES** 

EFFECTIVE MULTIPLIER

2.380

\$15,307.02

SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:

\$15,307.02

#### **Project Activity 23: Lighting Analysis**

#REF!#REF!

Task No.	Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Units	Total Hours	Comments
	Lighting Post Design Support					
Α	Review and Respond to RFIs	EA	3	4	12	Assumes three (3) RFIs
В	Shop Drawing / Submittal Data Reviews	EA	2	4	8	Assumes two (2) submittals
С	Meetings and Coordination	EA	3	4	12	Assumes three meetings (KO and 2 progress)
D	Plan Revisions	EA	1	8	8	
· E	As-built drawings	LS	1	40	40	Provide as-built CAD drawings from CEI red-lines
					1	
	Lighting Analy	sis Tec	hnical	Subtotal	80	

#### **Project Activity 33: Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis**

#REF!#REF!

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Höurs/ Unit	Total Hours	Gomments
	ITS Post Design Support					
Α	Review and Respond to RFIs	EA	3	4	12	Assumes three (3) RFIs
В	Shop Drawing / Submittal Data Reviews	EA	3	4	12	Assumes two (3) submittals
С	Meetings and Coordination	EA	3	4	12	Assumes three meetings (KO and 2 progress)
D	Plan Revisions	EA	1	8	8	
Е	As-built drawings	LS	1	40	40	Provide as-built CAD drawings from CEI red-lines
			•			
	!					
	Intelligent Transportation Systems And	alysis T	echnical	Subtotal	84	

# Geotechnical & Environmental Consultants, Inc.



At the very foundation of our community

September 16, 2014 Revised January 6, 2015 Revised February 25, 2015

HNTB 610 Crescent Executive Court, Suite 400 Lake Mary, Florida 32746

Attention:

Mr. John Hornbeck, P.E.

**Project Manager** 

Subject:

**Proposal for Post-Design Geotechnical Services** 

Wekiva Parkway (SR 429-202)

Orange County, Florida GEC Proposal No. 8071G

Dear Mr. Hornbeck:

Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants, Inc. (GEC) is pleased to present this proposal to provide post-design geotechnical engineering services for the above-referenced project. This proposal was requested by you and our recommended scope of services is based on the results of our geotechnical investigation for the Wekiva Parkway 429-202 design project.

Our scope of services will consist of three tasks: 1) Post-Design Geotechnical Engineering 2) Surcharge Monitoring at Ponkan Road Bridge and 3) Inspection of Grout Stabilization Program for Ground Subsidence between Stations 211+00 and 213+00. This proposal presents our recommended scope of services and a cost and schedule for providing those services.

#### **Scope of Services**

#### Task 1 – Post Design Geotechnical Engineering

Our scope of services will include geotechnical review of contractor submittals, responses to requests for information from the contractor, field observation of geotechnical conditions during construction, geotechnical analysis, recommendations to address construction geotechnical issues, written documentation of our field observations and recommendations, and attend meetings as requested.

#### Task 2 - Surcharge Monitoring at Ponkan Road Bridge

Our services for the anticipated 7-month surcharge program will include a field review of settlement plate installation by the contractor, observation of settlement plate conditions during the surcharge period, performing surveys of the settlement plate elevations, recommendations for the rate of filling and final removal of surcharge, and written documentation of our observations, surveyed plate elevations, review and recommendations.

The embankment/wall height at the south abutment of the Ponkan Road bridge is about 34 ft and the surcharge height is 3 feet, for a total height of 37 feet. In our settlement analysis, we estimated 108 days for surcharge embankment construction (all 37 feet) and 210 days for the surcharge period. GEC will perform the field survey of the 6 settlement plates at the following frequencies:

- Embankment/Surcharge Construction (108 days)
  - o 15.5 weeks: 2 times per week 31 surveys
- Surcharge Period (210 days)
  - o First 8 weeks: 2 times per week 16 surveys
  - o Weeks 9 through 15: 1 time per week 7 surveys
  - o Weeks 16 through 30: 1 time per 2 weeks 8 surveys
- Total Surveys 62
- Survey Staffhours 62 Surveys x 2 Technicians x 4 Hours per Survey = 496 hours

#### Task 3 – Inspection of Grout Stabilization of Ground Subsidence

Our services will include full-time field inspection of the grout stabilization program, including estimation of injection pipe depths and grout quantities, monitoring grout pressures and grout consistency, and reporting the grouting program results. The final report will document completion of the grouting program in accordance with the project plans and Technical Special Provisions.

#### **Cost Breakdown**

A summary of our estimated fees is shown below.

	Total Limiting Amount	\$103,755.08
· III. ·	Inspection of Grout Stabilization Program	<u>\$14,424.47</u>
II.	Surcharge Evaluation	\$69,423.40
l.	Post-Design Geotechnical Engineering	\$19,907.21

Attachment 1 provides a detail of our estimated staffhours and fees for the services described above. Our estimated fee is based on the rates authorized under our original fee proposal. We will not exceed the Limiting Amount without prior authorization from you.

GEC appreciates the opportunity to work with you on this project. If you have any questions regarding this proposal, or if we can be of further assistance, please contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC.

Craig G. Ballock, P.E.

**Project Engineer** 

Gary L. Kuhns, P.E.

President

CGB/GLK/cew

### Attachment 1 Post-Design Geotechnical Services Wekiva Parkway 429-202

#### Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Task 1 - Post-Design Geotechnical Engineering

Item	Description	Unit	Unloaded Unit Price	No of Units	Cost
03.01.00	Chief Engineer	HR	\$51.93	20	\$ 1,038.60
03.02.00	Senior Engineer	HR	\$45.08	20	\$ 901.60
03.03.00	Project Engineer	HR	\$30.53	80	\$ 2,442.40
03.04.00	Engineer	HR		<del></del>	
03.05.00	Designer (CADD)	HR	\$29.79	10	\$ 297.90
03.06.00	Secretary	HR	\$25.96	20	\$ 519.20
03.07.00	Senior Engineering Technician	HR	\$24.47	24	\$ 587.28
03.08.00	Engineering Technician	HR			
				<b>Total Staff Cost</b>	\$ 5,786.98
	Effective Multiplier	3.44		TOTAL	\$ 19,907.21

Task 2 - Surcharge Evaluation at Ponkan Road

Item	Description	Unit	Unloaded Unit Price	No of Units	 Cost
03.01.00	Chief Engineer	- HR	\$51.93	15	\$ 778.95
03.02.00	Senior Engineer	HR	\$45.08	45	\$ 2,028.60
03.03.00	Project Engineer	HR	\$30.53	135	\$ 4,121.55
03.04.00	Engineer	HR			
03.05.00	Designer (CADD)	HR	\$29.79	20	\$ 595.80
03.06.00	Secretary	HR	\$25.96	20	\$ 519.20
03.07.00	Senior Engineering Technician	HR	\$24.47	496	\$ 12,137.12
03.08.00	Engineering Technician	HR			
				<b>Total Staff Cost</b>	\$ 20,181.22
	Effective Multiplier	3.44		TOTAL	\$ 69,423.40

**Task 3- Inspection of Grout Stabilization Program** 

ltem	Description	Unit	Unloaded Unit Price	No of Units	Cost
03.01.00	Chief Engineer	. HR	\$51.93	4	\$ 207.72
03.02.00	Senior Engineer	HR	\$45.08	8	\$ 360.64
03.03.00	Project Engineer	HR	\$30.53	40	\$ 1,221.20
03.04.00	Engineer	HR			
03.05.00	Designer (CADD)	HR	\$29.79	8	\$ 238.32
03.06.00	Secretary	HR	\$25.96	8	\$ 207.68
03.07.00	Senior Engineering Technician	HR	\$24.47	80	\$ 1,957.60
03.08.00	Engineering Technician	HR			
				<b>Total Staff Cost</b>	\$ 4,193.16
	Effective Multiplier	3.44		TOTAL	\$ 14,424.47

		Grand Total Staff Cost	\$ 30,161.36
Effective Multiplier	3.44	GRAND TOTAL	\$ 103,755.08

# Nadic Engineering Services, Inc.

### NADIC ENGINEERING SERVICES, INC. Civil, Environmental, and Geotechnical Consultants

February 25, 2015 (Original September 17, 2014)

#### **HNTB**

610 Crescent Executive Court Suite 400 Lake Mary, FL. 32746

Attention:

Mr. John Hornbeck, P.E.

Project Manager

Re:

Proposal for Post Design Geotechnical Engineering Services

SR 429 (Wekiva Parkway), Section 1A

Orange County, Florida OOCEA Project# 429-202 NES Project No.: R12007

Dear Mr. Hornbeck:

NES is pleased to submit the attached proposal for the post design services for the above referenced project. Our services will include the following:

- Review shop drawings for Miscellaneous Structures, including walls and sign structures.
- Provide soil parameters for Miscellaneous Structures.
- Review and evaluate shop drawing for Yothers Road Bridge.
- Attend project meetings.

The estimated total cost of these tasks is \$5,937.71. Please see Attachment A.

We sincerely appreciate the opportunity of submitting this fee proposal, and look forward to a continued association with you, HNTB and CFX. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if you have any questions or if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

NADIC ENGINEERING SERVICES, INC.

Godwin N. Nnadi, Ph.D., P.E.

Principal Engineer

GNN: R12007 (SR429\_Wekiva Parkway-Post Design).(Sept.152014)pro

Attachment:

Attachment A - Computation of Geotechnical Cost - Post Design SR 429 (Wekiva Parkway, Section 1A)

NES 601 N. Hart Boulevard Orlando, FL. 32818 Phone: 407 521 4771

Fax: 407 521 4772 E-Mail: nadic@nadicinc.com

ON 423 (WERWAY ARRAWAY, GEOTION IN)				
INIT	OTY	RATE	TOTAL COST	
		u j		
Hr	10	\$55.71	\$557.10	
Hr		\$52.08	\$0.00	
Hr	25		\$1,129.75	
Hr		\$28.94	\$0.00	
Hr		\$0.00	\$0.00	
Hr		\$24.42	\$0.00	
Hr		\$22.15	\$0.00	
			\$0.00	
			\$1,686.85	
		3.520	\$5,937.71	
		2.020		
	Hr Hr Hr Hr Hr Hr	Hr 10 Hr Hr 25 Hr Hr Hr Hr	Hr 10 \$55.71 Hr \$52.08 Hr 25 \$45.19 Hr \$0.00 Hr \$24.42 Hr \$22.15	

TOYAL ESTIMATED FEE

\$5,937.71

Nadic Engineering Services, Inc. 601 N. Hart Blvd., Orlando, FL. 32818 Phone: 407 521 4771

Fax: 407 521 4771