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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the findings of a Phase I Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) conducted in support of a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study for an extension of Poinciana Parkway (State Road [SR] 538). The Central Florida Expressway Authority (CFX) is proposing an approximately 3-mile (4.8-kilometer) extension of Poinciana Parkway from Ronald Reagan Parkway north to Osceola-Polk Line Road (County Road [CR] 532). The project also includes a utility relocation easement along the western perimeter of the right-of-way in the northern portion of the project.

To encompass all potential improvements, the Area of Potential Effects (APE) was defined to include the existing and proposed Poinciana Parkway right-of-way. For the new roadway, the APE was extended 328 feet (100 meters) from the construction footprint. For the portions of the project located along existing roadway, the APE was extended to the back or side property lines of parcels adjacent to proposed new right-of-way, or a distance of no more than 328 feet (100 meters) from the maximum right-of-way line. For the utility easement, the APE was defined as the construction footprint, as these improvements will be at-grade and cause no new viewshed concerns. The archaeological survey was conducted within the existing and proposed rights-of-way, as well as the utility easement. The historic structure survey was conducted within the entire Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

The archaeological field survey included visual reconnaissance and intensive systematic subsurface examination of the project right-of-way and utility easement. As a result of the archaeological survey, two newly documented prehistoric archaeological sites, PPLS#1 (8OS02940) and PPLS#2 (8OS02941), were identified. No features, midden, or other clearly discernable intact deposits were documented during the archaeological investigation. Both of the newly recorded archaeological sites (8OS02940 and 8OS02941) exhibited a low density of cultural materials and a lack of diagnostic artifacts. These sites do not appear to contain archaeological deposits that have the potential to yield further information important in the prehistory or history of the region. In the opinion of SEARCH, 8OS02940 and 8OS02941 are ineligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

In addition to the two newly recorded sites, six previously recorded archaeological sites are within or intersect the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE, including 8OS00150, 8OS00151, 8OS01722, 8OS02765, 8PO03968, and 8PO07756. Three of these sites (8OS00151, 8PO03968, and 8PO07756) are at least partially within the existing or proposed right-of-way and therefore within the current archaeological APE. As these sites within the right-of-way were entirely bounded by previous survey(s) or any additional delineation would require testing outside the current project limits, the sites were not retested as part of this study. Additionally, shovel tests excavated adjacent to the previous site boundaries identified no additional cultural material. The remaining three sites (8OS00150, 8OS01722, and 8OS02765) are not located within the existing or proposed right-of-way and therefore were not investigated during the current archaeological survey. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) has determined all of the previously recorded archaeological sites ineligible for listing in the NRHP.
The architectural survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of 10 historic resources in the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE, including four previously recorded resources and six newly recorded resources. The previously recorded resources include two linear resources (8PO07154/8OS02567 and 8PO07219/8OS02540) and two structures (8PO07156 and 8PO07157). The newly recorded historic resources include six structures (8OS02937, 8PO08109, and 8PO08197–8PO08200). In addition, during the field review, one previously recorded resource (8PO07155) was confirmed to have been previously demolished.

On January 30, 2009, SHPO stated that there was insufficient information to determine eligibility for either Old Kissimmee Road (8PO07154/8OS02567) or the South Florida Railroad (8PO07219/8OS02540) within Section 6 of Township 26 South, Range 28 East (Janus Research 2008). Both 8PO07156 and 8PO07157 were determined ineligible by SHPO on January 30, 2009 (Janus Research 2008).

Based on the results of the current survey, SEARCH recommends that the South Florida Railroad (8PO07219/8OS02540) is eligible for the NRHP under Criteria A and C. Furthermore, it is the opinion of SEARCH that there remains insufficient information to make an eligibility recommendation regarding Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway (8PO07154/8OS02567). The remaining eight historic resources are recommended ineligible for the NRHP due to a lack of historic associations, architectural significance, and/or historic integrity.

Archaeological testing for the current survey effort was restricted in three areas, totaling 16 parcels in addition to the Reedy Creek Mitigation Bank. In the northern portion of the project limits, 12 parcels south of Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532) were inaccessible due to landowner objections. In the middle portion of the project limits, four small rectangular parcels were inaccessible due to high chain-link fencing and “no trespassing” signs. In the southern portion, access to the Reedy Creek Mitigation Bank was denied by the property manager. Untested portions of the proposed right-of-way will be subjected to archaeological and architectural history survey during future phases of the project once access is secured. The results of the additional survey will be prepared as a CRAS addendum and submitted under separate cover, at which time project-related effects may be addressed.
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INTRODUCTION

This report presents the findings of a Phase I Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) conducted in support of a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study for an extension of Poinciana Parkway (State Road [SR] 538) in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida (Figure 1). The Central Florida Expressway Authority (CFX) is proposing an approximately 3-mile (4.8-kilometer) extension of Poinciana Parkway from Ronald Reagan Parkway north to Osceola-Polk Line Road (County Road [CR] 532).

To encompass all potential improvements, the Area of Potential Effects (APE) was defined to include the existing and proposed Poinciana Parkway right-of-way. For the new roadway, the APE was extended 328 feet (100 meters) from the construction footprint. For the portions of the project located along existing roadway, the APE was extended to the back or side property lines of parcels adjacent to proposed new right-of-way, or a distance of no more than 328 feet (100 meters) from the maximum right-of-way line (Figure 2). For the utility easement, the APE was defined as the construction footprint as these improvements will be at-grade and cause no new viewshed concerns. The archaeological survey was conducted within the existing and proposed rights-of-way, as well as the utility easement. The historic structure survey was conducted within the entire Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

The purpose of the survey was to locate, identify, and bound any archaeological resources, historic structures, and potential districts within the project APE and to assess their potential for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). This study was conducted to comply with Chapter 267 of the Florida Statutes and Rule Chapter 1A-46, Florida Administrative Code. All work was performed in accordance with Part 2, Chapter 8 of the Florida Department of Transportation’s (FDOT) PD&E Manual (revised January 2019), as well as the Florida Division of Historical Resources’ (FDHR) recommendations for such projects, as stipulated in the FDHR’s Cultural Resource Management Standards & Operations Manual, Module Three: Guidelines for Use by Historic Preservation Professionals. The Principal Investigator for this project meets the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation (48 FR 44716-42). This study also complies with Public Law 113-287 (Title 54 U.S.C.), which incorporates the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended, and the Archeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1979, as amended. The study also complies with the regulations for implementing NHPA Section 106 found in 36 CFR Part 800 (Protection of Historic Properties).

Christopher Sypniewski, MA, served as the Principal Investigator for Archaeology. Kirsten Armstrong, MPhil, served as the Principal Investigator for Architectural History. Benjamin Thompson, MA, served as the Historian. Mike Foster, MA, RPA; Sarah Bennett, MA, RPA; Dave Boschi, MA, RPA; Angelica Costa, MA; Sean Buchanan, BA; and Garrett Cooper, BS, conducted the fieldwork. Mr. Sypniewski, Ms. Armstrong, and Mr. Thompson wrote the report. Mason Guinto, MA, and Ryan Shears, MA, produced the Geographic Information System (GIS) field maps and figures. Angela Matusik, MA, and Melissa Dye, MA, RPA, conducted the quality-control review. Rasha Slepow, BS, and Ali Sundook, BA, edited and produced the document.
Figure 1. Location of the Poinciana Parkway Extension project in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida.
Figure 2. Poinciana Parkway Extension APE in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida.
PROJECT LOCATION AND ENVIRONMENT

LOCATION AND MODERN CONDITIONS

The proposed Poinciana Parkway Extension project consists of a primary four-lane corridor that will connect the current Poinciana Parkway in Osceola County to Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532) in Polk County. The APE spans approximately 3 miles (4.8 kilometers) of mixed-use land and is within the following coordinates of the Public Land Survey System (PLSS):

- Township 25 South, Range 27 East, Section 36
- Township 25 South, Range 28 East, Section 31
- Township 26 South, Range 27 East, Section 1
- Township 26 South, Range 28 East, Sections 6, 7, 8, and 17

The southern portion of the corridor traverses the Reedy Creek Swamp and is completely undeveloped covering both South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) Upper Lakes basin property as well as the Reedy Creek Mitigation Bank (RCMB), north to approximately 820 feet (250 meters) south of US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) southward into the RCMB lands. The vegetation consists primarily of those plants associated with wetlands, such as water oak, cypress, swampfern, and saw palmetto (Figure 3). RCMB is a 3,520-acre (1,424.5-hectare) mitigation bank located in both Osceola and Polk Counties and is permitted by the South Water Management District and the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). The RCMB provides unavoidable wetland impact with State and Federal credits. At the time of fieldwork, no access agreement between the client and RCMB lands was in place.

From US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) north to Old Kissimmee Road, the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE becomes increasingly urbanized with sporadic housing, ranches, and a church across the landscape. From Old Kissimmee Road northward, several gas and high-voltage electric lines are located within the roadway corridor. Additionally, the APE has been

Figure 3. Typical setting in the southern portion of the project right-of-way near Reedy Creek Swamp.
cleared in places with many of the parcels listed as vacant residential or mobile homes (Figure 4). Closer to Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532), the area has sporadic housing and terminates with a large energy plant just north of the APE. Numerous warning signs throughout the APE are in place to avoid utilities (Figure 5).

The relatively flat terrain crossed by the corridor consists of an average elevation at 94 feet (28.6 meters) above mean sea level (amsl), with a higher elevation occurring in the middle of Reedy Creek Swamp of 140 feet (42.7 meters) amsl. The general vegetation ranges from dense underbrush and wetland swamps to grass pastures and cleared fields. Geologically, the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE falls within the Central Lake and Eastern Flatwoods physiographic districts. North of Orange Blossom Trail, the APE overlaps the Lake Wakes province and the Eastern Complex of the Central Ridge subprovince within the Central Lake district. The Central Lake district consists of uplifted limestones of the Floridan Aquifer that lie beneath surficial sands and contains the most active collapsed sinkhole development (Brooks 1981). The Eastern Complex of the Central Ridge subprovince contains some high hills, as well as Pliocene and Early Pleistocene beach ridges and paleodunes (Brooks 1981).
South of Orange Blossom Trail, the APE is part of the Kissimmee Valley province, which is also known as the Coastal Lowlands, within the larger Eastern Flatwoods district. The Coastal Lowlands began as barrier islands that date from the Plio-Pleistocene to recent time (Brooks 1981). The Kissimmee Valley province consists of a lagoonal deposit of river swamp and grassland prairies underlain by silty sand that are seasonally flooded and are typically higher than 50 feet (15 meters) amsl (Brooks 1981).

Soils within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE range from somewhat poorly drained to very poorly drained, with poorly drained soils comprising more than half of the area (Table 1; Figure 6). Poor soil drainage typically coincides with the presence of lakes, swamps, and wetlands. Numerous wetland boundary signs were encountered during the survey (Figure 7).

Table 1. Soil Drainage within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Drainage Characteristics/Name</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Somewhat Poorly Drained Soils</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamsville sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>72.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Somewhat Poorly Drained Total</strong></td>
<td>79.22</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poorly Drained Soil</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basinger fine Sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holopaw fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immokalee fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>131.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immokalee sand</td>
<td>40.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myakka fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>19.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ona fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkwood loamy fine sand, occasionally flooded</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pompano fine sand</td>
<td>19.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pompano fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riviera fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smyrna and Myakka fine sands</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poorly Drained Total</strong></td>
<td>272.50</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Poorly Drained Soils</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felda fine Sand, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floridana fine sand, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floridana mucky fine sand, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holopaw fine sand, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holopaw muck, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>43.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaliga muck, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nittaw muck</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placid and Myakka fines sands, depressional</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placid fine sand, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>18.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pompano fine sand, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riviera fine sand, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samsula muck, frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Poorly Drained Total</strong></td>
<td>168.01</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Borrow Pits Total</strong></td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Total</strong></td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>APE Total</strong></td>
<td>526.43</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. Soils within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.
PALEOENVIRONMENT

Between 18,000 and 12,000 years before present (BP), Florida was much cooler and drier. Melting continental ice sheets led to a major global rise in sea level (Rohling et al. 1998). Sea level started from a low stand of -120 meters in 18,000 BP. Slow rises continued while glacial conditions prevailed at high latitudes. During the latest Pleistocene and earliest Holocene, the rise accelerated. Florida’s climate rapidly became warmer and wetter during the next three millennia. By approximately 9000 BP, a warmer and drier climate prevailed. These changes were more drastic in northern Florida and southern Georgia. Southern Florida experienced the “peninsular effect” and a more tropically influenced climate tempered the effects of the continental glaciers that were melting far to the north (Watts 1969, 1971, 1975, 1980). Sea levels, though higher, were still much lower than at the present. Surface water was limited. Extensive grasslands probably existed, which may have attracted mammoth, bison, and other large grazing mammals. By 6000–5000 BP, the climate had changed to one of increased precipitation and surface water flow. By the late Holocene (ca. 4000 BP), the climate, water levels, and plant communities of Florida attained essentially modern climatic conditions. Conditions have remained relatively stable with minor fluctuations during the past 4,000 years.

HISTORIC OVERVIEW

NATIVE AMERICAN CULTURE HISTORY

The following prehistoric overview of central Florida consists of a four-part chronology, with each period based on distinct cultural and technological characteristics recognized by archaeologists. From oldest to most recent, the four temporal periods are Paleoindian, Archaic, Post-Archaic, and Contact.

Paleoindian Period (10,000–8000 BP)

The most widely accepted model for the peopling of the Americas argues that populations originating in Asia crossed the Beringia land bridge that formerly linked Siberia to Alaska and entered the North American continent some 12,000 years ago (Smith 1986). However, data
have mounted in support of entry prior to 12,000 years ago (Adovasio et al. 1990; Dillehay et al. 2008). Alternative pre-12,000 BP migration routes that have been hypothesized include populations traveling along the Pacific and Atlantic coasts using boats or following an exposed shoreline (Anderson and Gillam 2000; Bradley and Stanford 2004; Dixon 1993; Faught 2008; Fladmark 1979). Many of their early occupation sites would now be inundated as a result of higher sea levels. Recent evidence from the Page-Ladson site (8JE00591) provides the earliest evidence of human occupation of Florida at about 14,500 years ago (Halligan et al. 2016). Butchered mammoth remains and lithicdebitage, as well as a single biface, were recovered from intact deposits in an underwater sinkhole at the Aucilla River. This find fits with an increasingly broad acceptance of a fairly rapid pre-Clovis peopling of the Americas. The conventional view of Paleoindian existence in Florida is that they were nomadic hunters and gatherers who entered an environment quite different than that of the present.

The Paleoindian Database of the Americas (PIDBA) is the leading repository for information regarding the distribution of Paleoindian sites in North America (Anderson et al. 2010). The current PIDBA locational database lists zero Paleoindian points for Orange or Osceola Counties. However, Paleoindian points have been reported in four of the six counties that border them, including six Suwannee points in Lake County, one Suwannee point in Seminole County, four Suwannee points from Brevard County, and six points (four Clovis and two Suwannee) from Polk County (PIDBA 2018).

**Archaic Period (8000–500 BC)**

Around 8000 BC, the environment and physiology of Florida underwent pronounced changes due to climatic amelioration. These changes were interconnected and include a gradual warming trend, a rise in sea levels, a reduction in the width of peninsular Florida, and the spread of oak-dominated forests and hammocks throughout much of Florida (Milanich 1994; Smith 1986). Concomitant with these environmental changes were alterations in native subsistence strategies, which became more diverse due to the emergence of new plant, animal, and aquatic species. Also occurring at this time was a significant increase in population numbers and density, with native groups developing regional habitat-specific adaptations and material assemblages (Milanich 1994; Smith 1986:10). As conditions became wetter, coastal, riparian, and lacustrine adaptations became increasingly more common. The Archaic period is typically divided into the Early, Middle, and Late subperiods by archaeologists.

In central Florida, evidence of the earliest occupations usually consists of lithic scatters containing chert debitage and occasionally projectile points. While Early Archaic Bolen projectile points have been recovered at sites in central Florida, Middle Archaic points, such as Hardee, Sumter, Alachua, Putnam, and Newnan, are typically much more common (Smith and Bond 1984:53-55). As life became more settled during the Archaic period, an array of site types evolved that included residential bases, short-term settlements, specialized procurement camps, and cemeteries (Milanich 1994:75-85). Collectively, these comprised the regional settlement-subsistence system.
The trend toward increased sedentism and more circumscribed territories continued into the Late Archaic period, as environmental and climatic conditions approached those of today. This period is characterized by the emergence of ceramic traditions and the inception of limited horticulture (Sassaman 1993). The development of pottery occurred around 2000 BC. Referred to as Orange pottery by archaeologists, this early ceramic ware was tempered with vegetal fibers such as thin strands of palmetto or Spanish moss (Bullen 1972; Griffin 1945). During a span of approximately 1,500 years, plain, incised, and punctated types were produced; however, decorated variants underwent periods of stylistic popularity. With regard to vessel form, early pots were hand molded and tended to be thick walled, whereas some of the later vessels were thinner and formed by coiling. While Orange pottery is found sparingly throughout Florida, it is primarily recovered in eastern and central portions of the state.

Another early fiber-tempered ceramic type, Norwood, extended from the Gulf coast to the Orange series on the East coast. Norwood pottery is usually undecorated or stick-pressed. A variety of the later Deptford simple-stamped ceramic ware found on the Gulf coast also is stick impressed and seems to be derived from the earlier Norwood ceramic assemblage (Milanich and Fairbanks 1980).

A third fiber-tempered ceramic variant, known as Tick Island Incised, was produced at the same time as Orange series ware and occurs in the Upper St. Johns River drainage area. The designs incised onto the exterior of Tick Island ware are curvilinear and incorporate small dashes or punctations. A typical design uses concentric circles and small dashes between the lines of the circle. This type is somewhat localized and is not typical at sites outside of the Upper St. Johns area.

**Woodland and Mississippian Periods (500 BC–AD 1565)**

By about 500 BC, the cultural landscape of Florida had diversified with regionally distinct cultural traditions including pottery types, subsistence practices, and settlement patterns. Milanich (1994) places the current survey area within the east and central Florida region. Within this region, the St. Johns culture grew directly out of the Orange culture. This is evidenced by the carryover of late Orange period designs to early St. Johns period pottery. St. Johns is characterized by chalky pottery tempered with sponge spicules and was produced between 500 BC and AD 1565. Other hallmarks of the post-Archaic periods include increased population and settlement numbers, construction of sand burial mounds, continued economic dependence on aquatic resources, and greater emphasis on plant cultivation (Goggin 1952:40; Milanich 1994:243-274).

In addition to St. Johns wares, sites in the region typically contain Glades and Belle Glade ceramics, which originate in the Lake Okeechobee region. These are more common in the south-central portion of this district, whereas purer St. Johns assemblages are found in the northern portion of the region (Sears 1959). Sites in this area are often characterized by freshwater shell and black earth middens located along the banks of inland rivers and lakes (Austin and Hansen 1988; Hardin et al. 1984).
Two major subdivisions are recognized within the St. Johns culture area including the east and central Florida. These subdivisions are referred to as St. Johns I and St. Johns II. People of the St. Johns I culture (500 BC–AD 100) relied primarily upon hunting, fishing, and foraging. During this time, the resources found near freshwater wetlands, swamps, and the coastal zones were typically the most heavily exploited. St. Johns I sites are typically shell middens in coastal zones that contain St. Johns Plain and St. Johns Incised pottery.

The emergence of check stamping marks the beginning of the St. Johns II period around AD 750 and, along with plain pottery, dominates the assemblages throughout the period. During St. Johns II period, incised and punctated wares, possibly a reflection of Gulf coast influences, occur with some frequency in mounds and middens. The St. Johns II culture reached its apex in terms of social, political, and ceremonial complexity from AD 1050–1513. Classic Mississippian traits, such as the construction of large truncated mounds and the presence of Southern Cult burial paraphernalia in association with perceived elite burials, are evident (Milanich 1994; Smith 1986), indicating influence from northwest Florida. Some sand burial mounds were quite large and ceremonially complex, including truncated pyramidal mounds with ramps or causeways leading up to their summits (Milanich 1994:269-270). The rise in the number of St. Johns village and mound sites implies greater cultural complexity compared to that of the earlier St. Johns I period (Milanich 1994:267-274; Miller 1991). Shell and bone ornaments, worked copper, and other exotic materials and artifacts occur with some frequency in burial mounds (Goggin 1952; Milanich 1994).

In addition to the exploitation of aquatic resources for subsistence, it has been suggested that there was an increased dependence on horticulture during St. Johns II times (Goggin 1952; Milanich 1994:263-264). In fact, sixteenth-century French and Spanish documents allege that beans, squash, and maize were heavily cultivated by the Timucua of northern Florida (Bennett 1964, 1968, 1975; Lawson 1992), although direct evidence of prehistoric horticulture is lacking for the St. Johns region.

**POST-CONTACT HISTORY**

**Early Exploration, 1513–1565**

This historic context presents an overview of Osceola and Polk Counties from the early period of European contact to recent times. Florida served as an important stage for early European explorations of North America. Juan Ponce de León left Puerto Rico on March 3, 1513, and landed either north of Cape Canaveral (Brevard County) (Milanich 1995) or south of the Cape near modern-day Melbourne Beach (Brevard County) on April 2, 1513 (Gannon 1996). Either landing spot puts Ponce de León just east of the region occupied by present-day Osceola and Polk Counties. Despite the fact that the area had already been occupied and inhabited for thousands of years by indigenous groups, Ponce de León claimed the newly discovered land for Spain. Ponce called this territory La Florida, since it was sighted during the Feast of Flowers.
(Pascua Florida) (Milanich 1995). Ponce was followed by Pánfilo de Narváez in 1528. Narváez landed near Tampa Bay and trekked into the interior of Florida, reaching the Apalachee region of west Florida in several months. He died later in the year when his fleet of ships sank en route to Mexico. Two survivors, Cabeza de Vaca and his companion, Estevan, began their 10-year trek from northwestern Florida across southern North America, representing the first contact of Europeans with many indigenous groups of the Southeast and Southwest (Clayton et al. 1995).

Cabeza de Vaca’s account of his journey influenced subsequent explorers, particularly Hernando de Soto. In 1539, the de Soto expedition entered the peninsula near Bradenton (Manatee County), Florida, and traveled northward through the Peace River region and central Florida (Brown 2001:5-6). Despite the expedition’s probable trek through neighboring Polk County, it is unlikely they traveled as far east as Osceola County. After some time traveling north, de Soto turned westward, going as far as Tallahassee, then turned north into what is now Georgia (Carswell 1991). First Spanish contact with natives of central Florida, including the Ais and Mayaca of Osceola County, may have happened in the 1560s with the arrival of Pedro Menéndez de Avilés and the first permanent Spanish settlements at St. Augustine. Menéndez’s many travels served to secure the territory for Spain and to ward off French interests in the peninsula. His attempts to rid the area of French influence and establish coastal settlements also took him inland to the region of central Florida (Lyon 1996).

**First Spanish Period, 1565–1763**

Early Spanish settlements in Florida were concentrated on the coasts and in the northern half of the peninsula. Menéndez had been ordered by the crown to implement a massive missionizing effort among the Indians. He petitioned the Jesuit Order for missionaries, and they arrived in St. Augustine in June 1566 (Thomas 1990). The Jesuits focused their missionizing efforts on the native villages around St. Augustine, along the lower St. Johns River, and among the Guales and Oristas who lived farther north. A few missions were established in central Florida during the early seventeenth century, but were soon abandoned (Deagan 1978; Milanich 1995). A line of missions was established linking St. Augustine on the east coast to Apalachee province in the panhandle. However, this focus on the northern and coastal regions meant little Spanish activity in central Florida during this time period. The Spanish established approximately 128 *doctrinas* and *visitas* in *La Florida* between 1566 and 1704 (Wickman 1999).

By the 1690s, the Spanish actively sought to set up missions among the Jororo Indians, who the Spanish combined in their writings with the Mayaca as both spoke a similar language. The Spanish traveled down the St. Johns River into Mayaca territory (Seminole and Lake Counties, and possibly Osceola County) and then further south to the Jororo (Orange and Osceola Counties). This area was so far from established Spanish settlements that the Spaniards called the Mayaca and Jororo region *la rinconada*, meaning “a corner or nook, a place away from major activities” (Milanich 1995:63-64). Spanish interest in the area remained in a lackluster state until the late seventeenth century, especially after the decline of native populations in other parts of the territory (Covington 1993:5).
British Colonial Period, 1763–1784

The English, who had settled in Charleston, South Carolina, began pushing for more territory and influenced the natives to overthrow the Spanish in Florida (Tebeau 1971). In response, the Spanish began building a stone fort in St. Augustine, forcing Apalachee Indians to provide labor for its construction (Paisley 1989). During the ever-shifting alliances between Native American groups and various colonial groups, the Spanish began courting Creeks to settle in the once-thriving Apalachee region. Many accepted the invitation after the British defeated the Creeks in the Yamassee War of 1715 (Paisley 1989). Like the Spanish, the British focused on the coastal settlements and northern peninsular region of the territory, while Spanish missions still worked to convert natives in central Florida.

The Spanish mission system caused a drastic decline in the Native American populations in Florida. Their numbers dropped significantly due to war and disease, and this allowed the Creeks from Georgia and the Carolinas to migrate into the area. In 1765, these migrating Indians were referred to with the Spanish term *cimarrón*, meaning “wild” or “runaway,” in the field notes accompanying de Brahm’s 1765 map of Florida. The *cimarrón* Indians moved into wild, unsettled territories (Fairbanks 1975). The name “Seminole” is thought to have derived from this reference (Fernald and Purdum 1992).

The British continued to vie for Florida, but not until the Seven Years’ War with Spain and England on opposing sides did the British realize their dream. At the end of the war in 1763, the British traded their recent conquest of Havana to Spain for the Florida peninsula. The new acquisition was divided along the Apalachicola River into East and West Florida. Present-day Polk and Osceola Counties were located in British East Florida, whose capital was at St. Augustine. Britain took possession of Florida in July 1763 and held control until 1783 (Wright 1975).

Instead of the mission system of the Spanish, the British set up several trading posts in Florida. During this time, runaway black slaves from the Carolina colonies fled to Florida and sought refuge near St. Augustine, where they were to become farmers and occasionally soldiers, or in the Indian settlements in the interior of the colony. Native Americans, especially Seminoles, helped the runaways form their own settlements and often prevented slave-catchers from recapturing them (Fairbanks 1975).

Second Spanish Period, 1784–1821

The American colonies declared their independence from British rule in 1776. Georgia and South Carolina required their citizens to take a strict oath of loyalty to the cause of the American colonies, thus forcing many British loyalists to seek shelter in British Florida (Wright 1975). In 1783, the Treaty of Paris ended the American Revolution and returned Florida to Spain. In the early decades of the nineteenth century, the United States was increasing pressure on Spain to surrender its claim to Florida. Rising conflict often involved the British, Native Americans of the region, as well as runaway slaves who had found refuge in Florida.
A series of armed conflicts in northern Florida, the Patriot War (1812–1813) and the First Seminole War (1817–1818), highlighted Spain’s lack of control over the region (Cusick 2003:296-301). During the First Seminole War, General Andrew Jackson marched into present-day Pensacola and across the Florida panhandle. Though the move was criticized by many in the United States, the invasion led to Spain’s relinquishment of Florida to the United States in 1821. Jackson’s move also drove the Seminole deeper into the interior of Florida, including present-day Osceola and Polk Counties (Coker and Parker 1996).

American Territorial Period, 1821–1845

A little more than two years into American occupation, much of what is now Osceola and Polk Counties lay within the boundaries of the Seminole Reservation established by the Treaty of Moultrie Creek in 1823. The treaty restricted the Seminoles to 4 million acres of land in the center of the state. The treaty was unpopular with the Seminoles because they believed the land was not suited for cultivation. Subsequent treaties were equally unpopular. Dissatisfaction with the treaty coupled with tension between whites and Indians over the issue of runaway slaves and the ever-present national cry for Indian removal led to the Second Seminole War (1835–1842). Osceola, who hailed from the Seminole village of Talakchopco near present-day Fort Meade, and Harry, the Seminole leader at village known as Minatti located on the southwestern shore of Lake Hancock (near Lakeland), stirred the Seminole nation to fight for their freedom. The prominence of the two aforementioned villages led to their destruction at the hands of the US Army in April 1836. Apart from this action, there were no other military engagements in Polk County for the remainder of the war, although the blazing of trails, construction of forts, and the laying of bridges and causeways laid a foundation for future civilian settlement (Brown 2001; Mahon 1985). Fort Davenport, one of the many forts constructed during the war, was located in Osceola County (near present-day Intercession City) (Holdup 1881). A road, blazed in 1839 and known later as the “Road from Lake Monroe to Tampa,” connected it to Fort Cummings (near present-day Auburndale) (Brown 2001:68). By the war’s end, hundreds of Seminoles and their black allies were removed from Florida. Those that remained in the territory were confined to a new reservation that included the southern reaches of Polk County (Brown 2001:15-21).

Following the Second Seminole War, the US government attempted to encourage settlement by passing the Armed Occupation Act in 1842. The act made available for homesteading 200,000 acres of land that was once the Seminole Reservation. Homesteads of 160 acres were awarded to any head of a family or single man, 18 years of age or older, who would agree to cultivate at least 5 acres, build a dwelling, and defend the land for five years. The Homestead Acts of 1866 and 1876 provided further incentives to settlers (Tebeau 1971). Early pioneers to the central Florida region, then known as Mosquito County (established in 1824 and containing present-day Osceola County) and Hillsborough County (established in 1834 and containing present-day Polk County) made a living herding as cattle and farming. As the 1840s wore on, these settlers began to intrude upon lands reserved for the Seminole, and a new period of tension between whites and Indians picked up where the previous period left off (Brown 2001; Porter 2009).
Early Statehood and Civil War, 1845–1865

March 1845 saw Florida gain admission to the Union as the twenty-seventh state of the United States (Schafer 1996). This event was quickly overshadowed in local politics as the influx of settlers into the region continued to add to the chorus of cries for further Indian removal. War nearly broke out in 1849, before finally coming to fruition in 1855 with the commencement of the third war against the Seminole nation, known locally as Billy Bowlegs War (1855–1858) (Brown 2001:30-32, 42, 64).

Florida seceded from the United States and joined the Confederacy in January 1861. Approximately a month later and despite much objection from Hillsborough County, Polk County was officially established on February 8, 1861. Its namesake derived from President James K. Polk, the first president to hold office after Florida became a state in 1845 (Brown 2001:73-74). Most of Florida’s military involvement in the Civil War (1861–1865) was relegated to the state’s coastal regions. Defiant Union garrisons at Fort Pickens in Pensacola and Forts Jackson and Taylor in Key West preoccupied most of Florida’s approximat 13,000 troops, as did attempting to defend the remaining state-controlled coastline from Union raiding parties. However, by 1862, most Floridians were sent to hold the Tennessee line, and the central Florida region rode out the rest of the war having witnessed no major battles (Page 1994).

Although the physical destruction wrought by war was minimal compared to other parts of the South, the political and sociological effects of the war were still weighted heavy on the Florida peninsula. Many soldiers returned home with broken or missing limbs. Some were never heard from again. There were more widows than ever before. Former slaves had been extended their long-awaited freedom, but most left for opportunities elsewhere. Lawlessness, fostered by the cattle barons who still held power in the region, thrived in the decade following the war. The economy in Orange County (established in 1845 following the breakup of Mosquito County and now containing present-day Osceola County) was in shambles. Neighboring Polk County’s economy was comparably the same. Poverty was widespread among blacks and whites due in large part to the county’s isolation and lack of transportation (Brown 2001:100-117).

Late Nineteenth, 1865–1900

A breakthrough for the region came in 1881, with the rise of the former trading post of Kissimmee in Orange County. In that year, Hamilton Disston, a wealthy Philadelphia industrialist, purchased 4 million acres of Florida land for $1 million. He planned extensive drainage projects that reached southward into the Everglades. Disston established his headquarters, dubbed Kissimmee City, on the northern shore of Lake Tohopekaliga, one of the region’s largest lakes that connected with the Kissimmee River (Grunwald 2006:81-88). Disston’s goal was to dredge the Kissimmee River southward to the Lake Okeechobee region. A simultaneous dredging project would push up the Caloosahatchee River out of Fort Myers in southwest Florida and unite with Lake Okeechobee. In doing so, lands adjacent to the rivers
would be drained for agricultural development and a continuous waterway from Kissimmee to Fort Myers and, ultimately, the Gulf of Mexico would be achieved. Suddenly, the once-quiet cattle country was busy with new activity. By 1883, four steamships operated out of Kissimmee City, which was linked with Lake Okeechobee, Fort Myers, and the Gulf of Mexico via Disston’s canals. With the arrival of the railroad during this same period, Kissimmee began to blossom as a regional center for commerce and transportation (Dovell 1952:598, 610, 613; Gannon 1993:65; Reeves 1989:92).

Further development arrived with the addition of the South Florida Railroad to Orange and Polk Counties in the 1880s. Henry B. Plant, a wealthy entrepreneur who, like Disston, had grand plans for Florida, spearheaded the development of the railroad. Plant sought to unite Sanford (Seminole County) with Tampa and numerous points in between, including the rising towns of Kissimmee and Lakeland (Polk County). Working from both ends of the line with two crews of more than a thousand men each, Plant completed the railroad in a little more than seven months. The line was completed in 1884. All along the line new towns were born (Brown 1991:16-17; Dovell 1952:615; Johnson 1966:123-131). The railroad focused most of the area’s growth to the Lake Tohopekaliga area, leaving thinly settled the areas not touched by the railroad (Norton 1892:73).

Concurrently to the southwest of Kissimmee, Polk County’s seat, Bartow, also began to experience the effects of the newly arrived railroad in 1885. Originally known as Fort Blount, Bartow was renamed in 1862 in response to the death of Confederate Colonel Francis Stebbins Bartow at the first Battle of Bull Run. The city received the first county courthouse in 1867, thus establishing it as Polk County’s seat. Bartow would be incorporated into a city by 1882, and with the addition of the railroad three years later, the city would see its population jump from approximately 77 residents in 1880 to approximately 1,386 in 1890 (Harris 2013; US Bureau of the Census 1890). A decade later, the city of Bartow became the most populous city south of Tampa at the turn of the century (US Bureau of the Census 1900).

The success of railroad and drainage projects raised the status and prosperity of the region. This newly found affluence contributed greatly to a rising call among inhabitants of the Kissimmee region of Orange County to breakaway and form a new district. In 1887, this petition was realized, and with lands contributed by Brevard County, the Florida State Legislature passed the act creating Osceola County (Morris 1995:185-186; Reeves 1989:92). Osceola County was made up of approximately 850,942 acres, and Kissimmee was selected as the county seat (The Record Company 1935).

The Appearance of Loughman

The community of Loughman, which is located southwest of the project area, appeared in the context of the arrival of timber and naval stores interests. An 1880 map shows a proposed railroad station called Lake Locke in the vicinity of what became Loughman (Colton and Company 1880). By 1895, Lake Locke had postal service. This fact suggests that there was a community here, but local histories do not provide any additional detail about Lake Locke.
Historic Overview

(Bradbury and Hallock 1962:45, 49). Loughman appears on a map from 1898 in roughly the same location as the former Lake Locke (Rand McNally and Company 1898). The naval stores industry was most likely the foundation of the community for as late as 1904, a distillery was operating here (Brown 2001:197).

After the region’s pine resources were exploited for naval stores, area businessmen turned to harvesting timber. In 1902, James Jackson of Parkersburg, West Virginia, established the Everglade Cypress Company (Miller and Maxwell 1913), and by 1905, they were in full operation at Loughman. The facility was one of Florida’s largest sawmills. More than 300 workers were employed at the mill, which produced 100,000 board feet of lumber each day (Brown 2001:197; Frisbie 1976:42).

The employment opportunities that the naval stores and timber industries created signaled a population increase in Polk County. Immigrants poured into the area to fill positions. Many of these individuals were African Americans (Brown 2001:197-198). Historians tend to think of early twentieth-century Loughman as an African-American community, but census data from 1910 paint a more complex picture. Of the nearly 750 residents, a large portion were in fact white. In any case, the sawmill was a major employer, but there were also farmers at Loughman as well as naval stores and railroad workers (both black and white). The majority of the inhabitants of the town were born in Florida or other southern states (Florida Department of Agriculture 1915; US Bureau of the Census 1910).

After 1910, Loughman appeared in business gazetteers. Among the businesses in operation at Loughman in 1911 were Bessenger and Company, Deen Turpentine Company (both naval stores outfits), Crosby &Perkins lumber, and of course the Everglade Cypress Lumber Company. The Florida Fruit and Truck Land Company was the local land agency. Loughman’s population was estimated at 500 in 1911 (R. L. Polk & Company 1911:278), but there were 650 individuals (390 white, 260 black) in residence three years later (Florida Department of Agriculture 1915). This was the setting in Loughman through the World War I period (R. L. Polk & Company 1918:352).

World War I and the Early Twentieth Century

Despite the appearance and continued persistence of the cattle fever tick during the first two decades of the 1900s and its negative impact on the quality of Florida beef cattle during this time period, the central Florida region continued its upward trajectory into the early part of the twentieth century (Akerman 1976:237-242). Rising industries such as timber, naval stores, mining, as well as lesser trades including truck farming, citrus growing, and poultry, buoyed the region’s economy. These new ventures allowed for the area’s continued growth by way of immigration, investment, and improvement projects. Polk County in particular, received its first paved roads in the 1910s (Brown 2001; The Record Company 1935).

Following the conclusion of the Great War in Europe, which saw more than 42,000 Floridians serve on foreign battlefields, the early to mid-1920s was a period of further development in
central Florida (Florida Department of State n.d.a). Building off of the momentum established in the first decade of the twentieth century, Polk County saw the purchase of large amounts of real estate by outside investors and injected much-needed capital into the region (Wallace 1961). A microcosm demonstrating the impact of this investment boom can be viewed in Haines City, one of Polk County’s smaller towns at the start of the 1920s. In a brief five-year period, Haines City’s dirt footpaths became sidewalks. An industrial section was established. Two citrus packing houses were in operation. Two movie houses opened, as did numerous new businesses. A modern sewerage system, water works, a multi-storied hotel, and a fire department gave Haines City the appearance of a modern city (Brown 2001:272-273; Hetherington 1928:147-149). Most striking was the growth of Haines City’s population, which jumped from approximately 651 inhabitants in 1920 to 2,500 people by 1925. Similar groundswells occurred in towns across Polk County and, to a lesser extent, Osceola County (Brown 2001:272-273; Hetherington 1928:147-149).

While the land boom was running its course across the region, Loughman continued as it had in the years before the real estate frenzy. The Everglade Cypress Company and the Deen Turpentine Company continued operations in 1925. The Tucker Brick Company was also operating out of Loughman (R. L. Polk & Company 1925:518). Hundreds remained employed at Loughman. State census data from 1925 reported 791 individuals in residence at Loughman, almost equally black and white (Florida Department of Agriculture 1925). The town was located around the intersection of present-day US 92 and Loughman Road/Ronald Reagan Parkway.

Famed author Zora Neal Hurston traveled through Polk County in late 1927 and early 1928 as a Columbia University student, recording her observations of local African-American life. According to historian Canter Brown, Jr., Hurston began her journey at the Everglade Cypress Company in Loughman (Brown 2001:291). Her experiences there provided material for Mules and Men, one of her most well-known books in the present day despite the fact that she obtained minimal acclaim in her lifetime. Hurston’s biographer provided a picturesque description of Loughman based on Hurston’s writings:

The Loughman camp included family men, fugitive murderers, honest workers, knife-wielding good-time girls, Christian mothers, hard-living gamblers, and jackleg preachers. It was all presided over by a two-gun white foreman who kept a tight rein, totaled amounts at the company store, tried to keep alcohol off the job, and six days a week ordered work parties into the woods at sunup (Hemenway 1980:111).

Meanwhile across the county border, cattlemen, who still dominated much of Osceola County, began to purchase additional tracts of land in this time period. The Kissimmee Cattle Company alone reportedly held 520,000 acres in Osceola County and the neighboring counties of De Soto and Okeechobee. Approximately 25,000 head of cattle populated this range.

In the years leading up to the United States’ entry into World War II in December 1941, cattle, timber, naval stores, mining, and agriculture, particularly citrus growing, were the primary
industries in the central Florida region (The Record Company 1935). However, despite their dominance, all of these aforementioned industries would suffer at the hands of the economic downturn, known as the Great Depression, beginning in the late 1920s through to the end of the 1930s. The land boom, which had bypassed places like Loughman, began to fizzle around the mid-to-late 1920s as a result of over-speculation and worsening economic conditions. Some communities in Florida fell into obscurity following the crash in 1929. Most of these were born out of the boom (Hetherington 1928:147-149). Nature was particularly unkind to the region during this time period. Three hurricanes—in 1926, 1928, and 1933—curtailed the citrus crop in Polk County. In between the latter two storms, Mediterranean fruit flies besieged area groves, inflicting considerable damage on the region’s agricultural crops (Brown 2001:295).

At the height of the Great Depression in the mid-1930s, Loughman’s population remained steady and busy. In 1930, 806 people called Loughman home. The number had dropped to 750 by 1935 (Florida Department of Agriculture 1935). The main employer remained the Everglade Cypress Company, which produced 60,000 board feet of lumber per day. Along with the railroad, Loughman was connected to the outside world by US Highway 17 and SR 2 (Florida State Chamber of Commerce 1935:245). Meanwhile, across the county border, many cattlemen in Osceola County were forced to foreclose on their land. This growing trend led the Florida State Legislature to pass a law in 1937, stating potential buyers of foreclosed land simply had to pay the taxes due in order to acquire it (Robison 2009).

Depressed economic conditions across the region had grown worse by the end of the 1930s. The citrus market became overburdened with fruit while the phosphate industry suffered as a result of rising disruptions in Germany, the industry’s main customer. Furthermore, drought conditions prevailed throughout the county (Brown 2005:18). Not all was bad, however, as the Loughman mill illustrates; some businessmen in the region managed to prosper in the midst of the poor economy. George Jenkins, a former grocery store manager, opened his own store in Winter Haven (Polk County) that was complete with air conditioning, sliding glass doors, a bakery, and other services. He called the store Publix and aspired to expand across the state (Brown 2005:23). A further source of positivity came from the conclusion of the region’s two-decade war with the cattle fever tick, which seemingly came to an end in the 1930s. The cattle industry’s tactics involving fenced in tracts of grazing land and dipping vats appeared ultimately successful in the short term; however, outbreaks were not unknown in later decades (Akerman 1976:237-242). This victory ensured that the industry would continue to support Osceola County through the 1930s and 1940s. A large stockyard in Kissimmee shipped out some 6,000 cattle each year signifying the importance of the industry during this period (Florida Department of Agriculture 1925:49-50).

**World War II**

On the eve of World War II, Polk County boasted approximately 87,000 inhabitants. Lakeland was the largest town with 21,650 residents. The county seat of Bartow was home to 6,158 persons. Near to that number was Lake Wales. Other sizable towns included Haines City, Auburndale, Mulberry, and Frostproof. Loughman, Waverly, Highland City, and Kathleen were
among the smaller towns (Brown 2005:6-7, 58). By comparison, Osceola County’s population was slightly more than 10,000 inhabitants. Kissimmee City was its largest town, boasting approximately 3,225 residents. The next closest towns in relation to the population of Kissimmee was St. Cloud with 2,042 inhabitants and Holopaw with 1,369 persons. Smaller towns within Osceola County included Campbell Station Kenansville, Shingle Creek, Narcoossee, and Lake Wilson (US Bureau of the Census 1940). The main highways of the county were paved, but the vast majority of roads were unpaved (The Record Company 1935).

Though the mainland United States remained untouched by the devastating destruction experienced on the battlefields of the European and Pacific theatres, the war nevertheless had its lasting effects on the American nation. Like the rest of the state, central Florida sent scores of men and women to further the cause of freedom on foreign battlefields from 1941 to 1945. Meanwhile, the American home front in central Florida was dragged from the throes of economic depression. The opening of numerous military facilities created new jobs and a sense of purpose (Florida Department of State n.d.b).

In Osceola County, Kissimmee Army Air Field opened in Kissimmee in 1943 to serve as a training base for pilots. Located to the west of town, the airfield was the site of much activity during the war years. An officer’s club, a chapel-theater, a pool, a golf course, barracks, a USO club, and other facilities were established here. Nearly 2,000 men trained at the air field. Some married local women and remained in the community for years to come. German and Italian prisoners, held at Orlando, were brought to the base to perform landscaping. In 1945, the Kissimmee Army Air Field, which had been elevated to base status, was deactivated (Osceola County Centennial Book Committee 1987:71-73).

Simultaneously in Polk County, the Army began training pilots, both domestic and foreign, at the Lodowick School of Aeronautics in Lakeland late in 1940. Bartow Army Airfield took on similar duties. Lakeland’s Drane Field was activated as the Lakeland Army Air Field. As the war progressed, a German prisoner of war camp opened in Winter Haven.

With hungry troops and allies stateside and overseas, the citrus and cattle industries roared into new life. The need for phosphate also increased exponentially. New jobs meant new residents, and the region’s populace increased exponentially (Brown 2005:30-41, 58-59). By 1950, Osceola County boasted approximately 11,406, while Polk County claimed 123,997 inhabitants. Loughman’s population, on the other hand, dwindled perhaps as a result of Army enlistments and urban migration. In 1945, there were 371 residents as compared to a decade earlier when there were at least twice as many more inhabitants (Florida Department of Agriculture 1945).

Present

The most significant change in the history of Osceola County since World War II has been population growth and development. In the 20 years after the war, the county seat of Kissimmee was still described as the cow capital of the State of Florida. In 1960, there were
only 19,000 residents in the county. The development of Walt Disney World, the entrance for which was 10 miles away from Kissimmee, was completed in 1971. A service economy quickly arose in Kissimmee and the surrounding area to serve the crowds of tourists who visited the theme park. Motels, hotels, fast food establishments, and new roads appeared, bringing new jobs and businesses to the county. Occupations changed to the point that only a few hundred residents were involved in agriculture in recent years (Mormino 2005). Coupled with the construction of Interstate 4 (I-4), Interstate 75 (I-75), and Florida’s Turnpike, Osceola County has experienced extensive growth and development in recent decades (Reeves 1989:93).

Polk County on the other hand, has witnessed unforeseen progress in many realms since the World War II era. In the decade after the war, employment levels remained high. “Virtually every segment of the economy whistled along,” wrote historian Canter Brown, Jr (Brown 2005). The Florida Citrus Commission made its headquarters in Lakeland in 1956, a development that illustrated the continued importance of the industry in Polk County. Cattlemen experienced prosperity as did the phosphate industry, which was centered around Mulberry. The population growth that began during the war continued in new waves, more than doubling during the 1950s as Florida became the premiere state of the Union (Brown 2005:106, 115). Much of recent growth in the county has taken place in the northern reaches in places such as Loughman (Brown 2005:70). Few vestiges of the old timber and naval stores community remain. The mill appears to have been in operation as late as 1955 when it appeared on a state road map (FDOT 1955).

**BACKGROUND RESEARCH**

**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE REVIEW**

**Previous Surveys**

A review of the Florida Master Site File (FMSF) GIS database (updated April 2019) indicates that 18 previous cultural resource surveys intersect the project APE (Table 2; Figure 8). All of these investigations were designed to be cultural resource studies involving both archaeological and architectural components. All of the surveys overlap only portions of the project APE. Many of the surveys are in response to energy sector projects within or adjacent to the current APE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FMSF No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2672</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Proposed Parker Highway right-of-way Alignment, Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Piper Archaeology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3349</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Proposed Kissimmee Utility Authority’s Cane Island Project, Osceola County, Florida</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3812</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the GATX Central Florida Pipeline Corridor, Polk, Osceola, and Orange Counties, Florida</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Previous Cultural Resource Investigations Intersecting the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FMSF No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4236</td>
<td>A Cultural Resources Assessment Survey of US Highway 17/92 from CR 532 to Poinciana Boulevard, Osceola County, Florida</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Florida Archaeological Services, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6297</td>
<td>Gulfstream Cultural Resources Supplemental Report 3</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6332</td>
<td>Gulfstream Natural Gas System Cultural Resources Supplemental Report 1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6800</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Follow-up Surveys for Lines 500 and 600 (Supplemental Report 5)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10783</td>
<td>A Phase 1 Cultural Resource Survey of the Nature’s Preserve Project Area, Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>SEARCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11815</td>
<td>An Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Fox Run Project Area in Polk County, Florida</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Panamerican Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16015</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Progress Energy Florida Dundee to Intercession City Transmission Line Corridor, Polk and Osceola Counties</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17026</td>
<td>A Phase I Cultural Resource Survey of the Catfish Point, Johnson Island, Lake Marion Creek, and Upper Reedy Creek Management Areas, Osceola and Polk Counties, Florida</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Archaeological and Historical Conservancy, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19086</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Reconnaissance Assessment of the Intercession City - Gifford 230Kv Transmission Line (South Section), Osceola County, Florida</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>SEARCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20783</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Florida Southeast Connection Natural Gas Pipeline, Osceola, Polk, Okeechobee, St. Lucie and Martin Counties</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21069</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Duke Energy Citrus Center Property, Polk And Osceola Counties, Florida</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>SEARCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21108</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Florida Southeast Connection Natural Gas Pipeline Supplemental Report 1 Follow-Up and Re-Route Surveys Polk, Okeechobee, St. Lucie and Martin Counties</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21431</td>
<td>Sabal Trail Transmission Phase I Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (Alachua, Citrus, Gilchrist, Hamilton, Lake, Levy, Madison, Marion, Orange, Osceola, Polk, Suwannee, Sumter Counties, Florida)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Cardno ENTRIX, SEARCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22218</td>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Florida Southeast Connection Natural Gas Pipeline, Supplemental Report 2, Osceola, St. Lucie, and Polk Counties</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Janus Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FMSF Survey No. 2672 was completed by Piper Archaeological Research, Inc. in 1991 as a Phase I CRAS of the proposed Parker Highway in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida. The survey area was approximately 8.1 miles (13 kilometers) long. Shovel testing was conducted at 25- and 50-meter intervals in areas of high probability with judgmental testing in other areas. The survey identified two new prehistoric archaeological sites (8OS00150 and 8OS00151) and two new historic archaeological sites (8PO002234 and 8OS00152) (Piper Archaeological Research, Inc. 1991:25). The Poinciana Parkway Extension APE intersects 8OS00151 within the current right-of-way and 8OS00150 within the APE. All four of the sites in the survey were recommended ineligible for listing in the NRHP.
Figure 8. Previous cultural surveys that intersect the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.
FMSF Survey No. 3349 was conducted by Janus Research in 1992 as a CRAS of the proposed Kissimmee Utility Authority’s Cane Island Project in Osceola County, Florida. Methodology included judgmental shovel testing within the uplands and pipeline transmission corridor with more intensive testing in the Cane Island and Clay Street substation areas of the survey. The project identified five unrecorded prehistoric lithic scatters (8OS00605, 8OS00606, 8OS00609, 8OS00610, and 8OS00611); two new prehistoric artifact scatters (8OS00607 and 8OS00608); one historic site (8OS00044); and six historic buildings (8OS00559-8OS00564) (Janus Research 1992:28). One archaeological site (8OS00044) was determined eligible for the NRHP by SHPO; the remaining archaeological sites were determined ineligible by SHPO for NRHP inclusion. Of the six structures, only one (8OS00562) was recommended by the surveyor as eligible for listing in the NRHP. None of these resources are within the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

FMSF Survey No. 3812 was conducted by Janus Research in 1994 as a CRAS of the GATX Central Florida Pipeline Corridor in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida. The survey resulted in the encounter of one previously recorded prehistoric archaeological site, as well as the recording of 29 new prehistoric archaeological sites and 11 previously unrecorded historic buildings (Janus Research 1994:51, 71). Two sites identified during this fieldwork are intersected by the current project APE. The first site, 8OS01722 (Redtop), represents a low-density lithic scatter, while the second site, 8PO03968 (Lost Penny), consisted of a single flake. Both sites were recommended ineligible for listing in the NRHP.

FMSF Survey No. 4236 was conducted by Florida Archaeological Services, Inc. in 1994 as a CRAS of Highway US 17/92 from County Road (CR) 532 to Poinciana Boulevard in Osceola County, Florida. Methodology included judgmental shovel testing at 25- and 50-meter intervals across 4.5 miles (7.2 kilometers) of corridor and an architectural survey. A total of four new archaeological sites (8OS01726-8OS01729) and 19 historic structures (8OS01731-8OS01749) were identified during the fieldwork (Florida Archaeological Services, Inc. 1994:29). None of the cultural resources identified are considered eligible for the NRHP, and none are located within the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

FMSF Survey No. 5840 is a CRAS for a proposed 121-mile (194.7-kilometer) gas pipeline corridor through seven counties in central Florida. The survey was conducted in 1999 and included 141 miles (226.9 kilometers) of lateral pipelines, temporary workspace areas, and contractor yards, as well as river, stream, and wetland crossings. This survey overlaps a small portion of the APE along Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532) and the utility relocation easement in that area. Panamerican Consultants, Inc. determined the probability of encountering archaeological deposits within the portion of US 27 that overlaps the current right-of-way to be moderate. The methodology for “medium probability zones” was a 50-meter interval for shovel testing. Overall, the Phase I survey identified 91 cultural resources, including 61 prehistoric sites, 12 historic, and 18 prehistoric and historic sites, recorded three historic structures, and one historic or modern gravesite. Thirteen of the archaeological sites (8PA00212, 8PA01136, 8PA01139, 8PA01142, 8PA01144, 8PA01146, 8PA1147, 8PO01528, 8PO04130, 8PO06177, 8PO06187, 8PO06188, and 8OS01828) are recommended as potentially eligible by Panamerican Consultants, Inc. for listing in the NRHP. None of the resources recorded during FMSF Survey
No. 5840 overlap the current APE. Additional Phase II excavations were recommended at the 13 sites in order to determine significance and eligibility of these resources for listing in the NRHP. All of the other sites were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by SHPO on February 21, 2000.

FMSF Survey No. 6297 was conducted by Janus Research in 2001 and included a Phase I survey and Phase II testing of 14.43 miles (23 kilometers) in support of the Gulfstream Natural Gas System Pipeline project in Manatee, Hardee, Polk, and Osceola Counties, Florida. A total of 1,312 shovel tests, six 1-x-1-meter units, and three 1-x-2-meter units were excavated during the fieldwork. The survey identified four previously recorded sites and 11 newly recorded sites. This survey only overlaps a small portion (75 meters) of the current Utility Relocation Easement at its northern end. None of the resources from this survey are within the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

FMSF Survey No. 6332 was conducted by Janus Research in 2000 and included a Phase I survey and Phase II testing of 194 miles (312 kilometers) for the Gulfstream Natural Gas System project in Manatee, Hardee, Polk, and Osceola Counties, Florida. The survey resulted in the identification of 30 resources, including 16 archaeological sites, of which 13 were newly recorded historic resources. One site (8HG00003) was recommended eligible for the NRHP, while five sites and two historic resources required additional work. As with FMSF Survey No. 6297, this survey only overlaps a small portion (75 meters) of the Utility Relocation Easement. None of the resources identified during the 2000 survey are within the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

FMSF Survey No. 6800 is another CRAS associated with the Gulfstream Natural Gas System Pipeline and was conducted by Janus Research in 2002. The survey conducted the excavation of 196 shovel tests across 19 miles (30.5 kilometers) of corridor, resulting in the discovery of two new archaeological sites (8HG01073 and 8HG01074). Both sites were considered ineligible for listing in the NRHP by SHPO on February 25, 2002. The location of FMSF Survey No. 6800 is a little south of the previous two surveys through Polk and Osceola Counties and overlaps a small portion of the project APE near Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532).

FMSF Survey No. 10783 was conducted by SEARCH in 2004 as a Phase I CRAS of the Nature’s Preserve Project Area in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida. The project included the survey of 110 acres; methodology included 25- and 50-meter interval shovel testing along multiple transects. One previously recorded site 8OS00150 (Gilmar site) was expanded when testing yielded three additional flakes adjacent to where the original site boundary terminated due to the original project APE. In addition, two newly recorded archaeological sites (8OS02366 and 8PO06698) were identified. The Nature’s Preserve Site #1 (8OS02366) is a multi-component site consisting of a historic farmstead on a lithic debitage scatter (SEARCH 2004). The Native American component consisted of non-diagnostic flake debitage, while the historic component yielded bottle glass fragments, wire nails, and sawed mammal bone, likely pig. The Nature’s Preserve Site #2 (8PO06698) is a low-density lithic scatter consisting of two flakes. All three sites have been determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP by SHPO.
FMSF Survey No. 11815 was conducted in 2005 by Panamerican Consultants, Inc. as a Phase I CRAS of the Fox Run Project in Polk County, Florida. A total of 89 shovel tests and a pedestrian survey were conducted across approximately 150 acres. One previously recorded site (8PO02234), a low-density, twentieth-century artifact scatter, was encountered and the site boundaries expanded. In addition, three archaeological occurrences, one hunting cabin (8PO06839), and one new archaeological site (8PO06840) were identified. Resource 8PO02234 has been determined ineligible for inclusion in the NRHP by SHPO, while the remaining two resources have not been evaluated. Archaeological occurrences by definition do not meet the standards of qualification for inclusion in the NRHP. FMSF Survey No. 11815 only minimally crosses into the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

FMSF Survey No. 16015 was conducted by Janus Research in 2008 as a CRAS for the Progress Energy Florida Dundee to Intercession City Transmission Line Corridor in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida. The project covered 20.6 miles (33 kilometers) of new powerline transmission and included the excavation of 232 shovel tests. The survey revisited 17 previously recorded sites (8OS01722, 8OS01861, 8PO01515, 8PO03968-8PO03975, 8PO04015, 8PO04016, 8PO0419-8PO04022) and identified two new archaeological sites (8PO07170 and 8PO07199), five new unrecorded buildings, a historic railroad corridor, and a historic road corridor, as well as a single archaeological occurrence and a redeposited artifact scatter. All but one of the resources encountered were considered not eligible for listing in the NRHP by SHPO on January 30, 2009. The exception, 8PO04020, which was recorded as a historical homestead, was recommended for avoidance by the transmission line; if avoidance is not possible by future activities, additional work was recommended. Two sites are within the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE: 8PO03968 and 8OS01722. Lost Penny (8PO03968) was recorded by FMSF Survey No. 3812 as a low-density prehistoric archaeological site consisting of a single shovel test containing one flake. No reduced-interval testing was conducted around this shovel test during FMSF Survey No. 16015 due to extensive buried underground utilities. The second site, 8OS01722 (Redtop), is a low-density lithic scatter identified during the 1994 survey (FMSF Survey No. 3812) by Janus Research. Four additional shovel tests were conducted adjacent to the site during FMSF Survey No. 16015, but no additional artifacts were encountered. FMSF Survey No. 16015 crossed over the central portions of the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE and most of the current project right-of-way northward of US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail).

FMSF Survey No. 17026 was conducted by Archaeological and Historical Conservancy, Inc. in 2009 as a Phase I CRAS of the Catfish Point, Johnson Island, Lake Marion Creek, and Upper Reddy Creek Management Areas, Osceola and Polk Counties, Florida. Methodology consisted of the excavation of 178 judgmental shovel tests in landscape features and tree islands with a total survey area of more than 16,000 acres (Archaeological and Historical Conservancy, Inc. 2009:1). The survey resulted in the encounter of seven previously recorded sites (8PO03972-8PO03974, 8PO04014, 8PO04022, 8PO04627, and 8PO06755) and the discovery of 19 newly recorded sites (8PO07034-8PO07048, 8OS02465-8OS02468). Five sites were recommended for additional work, with the remainder recommended as not eligible for listing in the NRHP. However, no SHPO concurrence letter was available within the FMSF site survey file. FMSF
Survey No. 17026 overlaps a significant portion of the current project right-of-way from just south of US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) southward for 3,609 feet (1,100 meters). However, based on the 2009 survey report, no shovel tests were conducted within the Poinciana Parkway Extension right-of-way.

FMSF Survey No. 19086 was conducted by SEARCH in 2012 as a cultural resource reconnaissance assessment of the Intercession City-Gifford 230Kv Transmission Line (South Section) in Osceola County, Florida. The project was a 4-mile (6.4-kilometer) long power line project with a corridor measuring 9 feet (30 meters) wide. Methodology included pedestrian survey and the excavation of 28 judgmental shovel tests. As a result of the survey, four previously recorded sites (8OS00587, 8OS00592, 8OS01721, and 8OS01861) were identified within the Transmission Line project corridor. All of these sites have been determined as not eligible for listing in the NRHP by SHPO. FMSF Survey No. 19086 overlaps only a small portion of the Utility Relocation Easement in the north, and none of the resources are within the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

FMSF Survey No. 20783 was conducted by Janus Research in 2014 for the CRAS of the Florida Southeast Connection Natural Gas Pipeline in Osceola, Polk, Okeechobee, St. Lucie, and Martin Counties, Florida. A total of 2,724 shovel tests were conducted across 104.5 miles (168 kilometers) of a 300-foot (91.4-meter) wide corridor. The survey identified 12 previously recorded sites (8PO03968, 8PO03970-8PO03975, 8PO04016, 8PO04019, 8PO04022, 8PO07041, and 8PO07160), 25 archaeological sites (8OB00342, 8OB00343, 8OB00345, 8PO07704, 8PO07707-8PO07724, 8PO07748, 8PO07749, 8SL03193), 11 historic structures, and 14 linear resources. Only the Lost Penny (8PO03968) site is within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE. Resource 8PO03968 was revisited by Janus Research archaeologists, and nine additional shovel tests were excavated along the site’s eastern edge. One additional flake was recovered. The site remains not eligible for listing in the NRHP. A significant portion of this survey runs down the middle of the current project APE and right-of-way from US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) northward to the Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532).

FMSF Survey No. 21069 was conducted by SEARCH in 2014 as a Phase I CRAS of the Duke Energy Citrus Center Property in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida. Methodology consisted of pedestrian survey of the APE and 38 shovel tests excavated at 25-meter intervals. FMSF Survey No. 21069 yielded two archaeological occurrences, and no additional work was recommended. The 2014 survey overlaps part of the Utility Relocation Easement and a small part of the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE in the north.

FMSF Survey No. 21108 is a supplemental follow-up CRAS report to the Florida Southeast Connection Natural Gas Pipeline in Osceola, Polk, Okeechobee, St. Lucie, and Martin Counties, Florida (FMSF Survey No. 20783). The survey was conducted by Janus Research in 2014 and extended for 10.17 miles (16.4 kilometers) along a 300-foot (91.4-meter) wide corridor. The survey included a pedestrian survey and the excavation of 455 shovel tests in high, moderate, and low probability areas, resulting in the identification of two previously recorded sites (8PO06826 and 8PO07711) and seven new archaeological sites (8PO07754-8PO07756,
8PO07763-8PO07765, and 8SL03263). One site (8PO07756) lies within the current Poinciana Parkway Extension right-of-way. Site 8PO07756 (FSC#18) is a low-density Native American artifact scatter composed of three surface artifacts: one St. Johns Plain sherd, one sand-tempered plain sherd, and one chert fragment. Additional shovel testing around this site yielded no additional artifacts. The site has been determined as ineligible for listing in the NRHP by SHPO. A significant portion of this survey runs down the middle of the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE and right-of-way from US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) northward to the Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532).

FMSF Survey No. 21431 was conducted by Cardno Entrix and SEARCH as a Phase I CRAS for the Sabal Trail Transmission Line in Alachua, Citrus, Gilchrist, Hamilton, Lake, Levey, Marion, Orange, Osceola, Polk, Suwanee, and Sumter Counties, Florida. This multi-county project covers 1,979 miles (3,185 kilometers) of 300-foot (91.4-meter) wide gas pipeline corridor. The survey identified 41 previously recorded sites and 124 newly recorded sites. Two sites (8OS01722 and 8OS02765) encountered during this survey fall within the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE. Resource 8OS01722 (Redtop) has been previously identified as a low-density lithic scatter. Sixteen additional shovel tests conducted during the Sabal Trail survey were excavated to delineate the boundaries, resulting in two positive shovel tests, each yielding a single flake. The site remains ineligible for listing in the NRHP by SHPO, January 29, 2015. The second site, 8OS02765 (FL-179), is a multicomponent site consisting of a pole barn over a low-density lithic scatter. Forty shovel tests were excavated to delineate the site; 14 contained prehistoric artifacts, while two produced historic artifacts and one shovel test produced a possible Native American feature consisting of mottled dark sand and marine bivalve fragments. Based on these finding, the site was recommended for Phase II testing. The site lies within the northern portion of the current Poinciana Parkway Extension APE just north of Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532).

FMSF Survey No. 22218 is a supplemental follow up CRAS report to FSMF Survey No. 20783 covering the Florida Southeast Connection Natural Gas Pipeline in Osceola, Polk, Okeechobee, St. Lucie, and Martin Counties, Florida. The survey was conducted by Janus Research in 2015 and covered 7.4 miles (11.9 kilometers) of the proposed pipeline corridor, 12.77 acres for a proposed pipe yard, and 1.53 miles (2.5 kilometers) of access roads. A total of 98 shovel tests were excavated in these areas, resulting in the identification of one previously recorded site (8SL01114) located near an access road for the project. The site contained 11 sherds on the surface, but was determined just outside APE and was not delineated further. SHPO concurred with the report findings on September 17, 2015. A significant portion of this survey runs down the middle of the Poinciana Parkway Extension right-of-way and APE from US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) northward to the Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532); however, no shovel tests were conducted in the current project area because of inundated conditions.

Previously Recorded Cultural Resources

The FMSF review also indicated that two historic structures (8PO07156 and 8PO07157), six archaeological sites (8OS00150, 8OS00151, 8OS01722, 8OS02765, 8PO03968, and 8PO07756),
and two historic resource groups (8PO07154/8OS02567 and 8PO07219/8OS02540) are within or intersect the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE (Table 3; Figure 9). Archaeological sites 8OS00150, 8OS01722, and 8OS02765 fall within the overall APE, while the remaining sites overlap either the existing or proposed right-of-way. The two Frame Vernacular structures were plotted within the APE, but field examination has confirmed that they were previously misplotted. The correct location of the two structures still places them within the current APE. SHPO determined that all of the previously recorded archaeological sites and the two historic structures are ineligible for listing in the NRHP. Resource Group 8OS02540, as it is represented within Osceola County, is considered eligible for NRHP listing.

Table 3. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources that intersect the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Structures</th>
<th>FMSF No.</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Surveyor Evaluation</th>
<th>SHPO Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8PO07156</td>
<td>6703 US Highway 17/92, Building</td>
<td>ca. 1950</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8PO07157</td>
<td>6606 US Highway 17/92</td>
<td>ca. 1955</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archaeological Sites</th>
<th>FMSF No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Surveyor Evaluation</th>
<th>SHPO Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8OS01722</td>
<td>Redtop</td>
<td>Prehistoric artifact scatter</td>
<td>Unknown prehistoric</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8OS02765</td>
<td>FL-179</td>
<td>Prehistoric lithic scatter</td>
<td>Unknown prehistoric, 20th century historic</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8PO07756</td>
<td>FSC#18</td>
<td>Prehistoric artifact scatter</td>
<td>Unknown prehistoric</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8PO03968</td>
<td>Lost Penny</td>
<td>Prehistoric lithic scatter</td>
<td>Unknown prehistoric</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8OS00150</td>
<td>Gilmar</td>
<td>Prehistoric lithic scatter</td>
<td>Unknown prehistoric</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8OS00151</td>
<td>Parkway Highway</td>
<td>Prehistoric lithic scatter</td>
<td>Unknown prehistoric</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Groups</th>
<th>FMSF No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Period of Significance</th>
<th>SHPO Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8OS02540</td>
<td>South Florida Railroad</td>
<td>1884-1960</td>
<td>Eligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8PO07219</td>
<td>South Florida Railroad</td>
<td>1884-1960</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8OS02567</td>
<td>Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tamp Highway</td>
<td>1920-1960</td>
<td>Insufficient Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8PO07154</td>
<td>Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tamp Highway</td>
<td>1920-1960</td>
<td>Ineligible for the NRHP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites

**8OS00151, Parker Highway**

Parker Highway (8OS00151) is a small, low-density lithic scatter located in a grass pasture. The site was first identified by Piper Archaeological Research, Inc. during the Parker Highway project (FMSF Survey No. 2672) in January 18, 1991. Based on the lack of diagnostics, the site was recommended not eligible for listing in the NRHP on March 11, 1991. As part of the Poinciana Parkway project, SouthArc Inc. revisited the site (FMSF Survey No. 13429) on July 19, 2004, and recovered three flakes and one clear bottle glass fragment from two shovel tests. The northern boundary remains unknown as the previous survey limits prevented it from being completely bounded. Based on the absence of features and diagnostic artifacts, Site 8OS00151 remains not eligible for NRHP listing by SHPO on August 26, 2006.
Figure 9. Previously identified cultural resources within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.
8PO03968, Lost Penny

Lost Penny (8PO03968) is a small, low-density lithic scatter located in an improved grass pasture. The site was originally identified in April 26, 1994, by Janus Research (FMSF Survey No. 3812) and consisted of a single positive shovel test that contained a flake at 90 centimeters below surface (cmbs) (35.4 inches). The site was revisited on August 30, 2013 (FMSF Survey No. 20783), and additional testing yielded one positive shovel test that produced a single flake from 25 to 30 cmbs (9.8 to 11.8 inches). The site was evaluated by SHPO as not eligible for NRHP listing on April 10, 2014. The site lies within the current right-of-way and therefore falls within the current archaeological APE.

8PO07756, FSC#18

FSC#18 (8PO07756) is a small, low-density lithic scatter located in an improved grass pasture. The site was originally identified in April 24, 2014, by Janus Research (FMSF Survey No. 21108) and consisted of three surface finds: one St. Johns Plain sherd, one sand-tempered plain sherd, and one chert fragment. Additional shovel testing around this site yielded no additional artifacts. The site was evaluated by SHPO as not eligible for NRHP listing on August 15, 2014. The site lies within the current right-of-way and therefore falls within the current archaeological APE.

8OS00150, Gilmar

Gilmar (8OS00150) is a small, low-density lithic scatter located in a grass pasture. The site was first identified during the Parker Highway project (FMSF Survey No. 2672) in January 14, 1991, by Piper Archaeological Research, Inc. Shovel testing yielded 14 non-diagnostic chert flakes. Based on the lack of diagnostics, the site was recommended not eligible for NRHP listing in March 11, 1991. As part of the Phase I CRAS for the Nature’s Preserve project in 2004 (FMSF Survey No. 10783), archaeological testing along the southern site boundary by SEARCH yielded three additional flakes from a single shovel test. The northern boundary remains unknown as the previous survey limits prevented it from being completely bounded. In each survey, Janus Research and SEARCH recommended the portion of the site within the APE as ineligible for listing in the NRHP. SHPO concurred with the recommendation of ineligibility in 1994 and in December, 17, 2004. Resource 8OS00150 is outside the existing and proposed right-of-way and is therefore not located within the current archaeological APE.

8OS01722, Redtop

Redtop (8OS01722) is a small, low-density lithic scatter located in a grass pasture. The site was originally identified in November 1993 by Janus Research (FMSF Survey No. 3812) and consisted of two shovel tests that yielded two flakes. The site location was revisited in 2008 by Janus Research (FMSF Survey No. 16015), but no cultural material was encountered. The site was revisited again in January 2014 by Cardno Entrix and SEARCH (FMSF Survey No. 21431) and expanded slightly with two additional positive shovel tests that yielded two flakes. SHPO
concerned the site is not eligible for NRHP listing on January 29, 2014. Resource 8OS01722 is outside the existing and proposed right-of-way and therefore does not fall within the current archaeological APE.

8OS02765, FL-179

FL-179 (8OS02765) is a small, low-density lithic scatter located in a grass pasture. The site was first identified in December 2013 by Cardno Entrix and SEARCH (FMSF Survey No. 21431) with 14 positive shovel tests that yielded 27 flakes, one piece of amber bottle glass, nail fragments, 40 shell fragments, and a possible feature. The site was recommended for additional work to assess its NRHP eligibility.

The Phase II evaluation was immediately conducted (FMSF Survey No. 21860) with six 1-x-2-meter excavation units that yielded 122 artifacts, including 89 coastal plain chert flakes, six silicified coral flakes, five nail fragments, six glass fragments (three colorless, three amber), and one brick fragment. The feature was interpreted as a modern tap root and not cultural. The site was evaluated by SHPO as not eligible for NRHP listing on June 11, 2015. This site is outside the Poinciana Parkway Extension project right-of-way and was not tested as part of this study, but is likely to have been destroyed by the construction of the Sabal Trail Energy facility.

HISTORIC MAP AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH REVIEW

Historic maps and aerial photographs were examined in order to identify past land use in the vicinity of the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE. The earliest detailed maps consulted were General Land Office (GLO) survey maps. The GLO maps were created by government land surveyors during the nineteenth century as part of the surveying, platting, and sale of public lands. These maps characteristically show landscape features such as vegetation, bodies of water, roads, and other features. The level of detail in GLO maps varies, with some also depicting structures, Native American villages, railroads, and agricultural fields. GLO maps of Florida Township 26 South, Ranges 27 and 28 East created in 1844 and 1848, as well as Township 25 South, Ranges 27 and 28 East created in 1848 and 1849, demonstrates no signs of potential development in the area (Figure 10) (GLO 1844, 1848, 1849). According to the maps, the northern half of the project area (starting from the bottom of the APE wings to the northernmost area of the project area) appears to consist largely of woodland and vegetation on hard soil with marsh/swampland appearing in the APE northern wing. A possible gully appears in the topmost portion of the APE with what appears to be a small pond to the south of the possible gully, followed by a second small pond just south of the first pond. The southern half of the APE (starting from the bottom of the APE wings to the southernmost portion of the project area) appears to strike an even balance consisting of marsh/swampland in its top portion and woodland and vegetation on hard soil in its bottom portion. The southern half of the project area appears devoid of other notable features apart from a stream running north to south.
Figure 10. GLO maps of Township 26 South, Ranges 27 and 28 East, and Township 25 South, Ranges 27 and 28 East. Sources: GLO 1844, 1848, and 1849.
An 1890 map of Polk County shows an increase in development in the project area (Norton 1890). The primary addition to the region is the South Florida Railroad traveling from the southwest to the northeast across the middle of the northern half of the project area. Incorporated into the Plant System of Railroads in 1883, the South Florida Railroad would run from Sanford to Tampa, Florida, by the end of 1884. The railroad would be acquired and incorporated into the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad in 1902.

A 1917 road map (Florida State Road Department 1917) shows the inclusion of the Dixie Highway (known today as Old Kissimmee Road [Old Tampa Highway in Osceola County]) traveling southwest to northeast into the northern half of the project area. The brainchild of Carl Graham Fisher in 1915, the Dixie Highway was intended to be a highway that would funnel wealthy northerners to south Florida with the goal of buying plots of Florida land. By 1919, the highway would stretch from Miami, Florida, to Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan. The highway would eventually lose its original name and become a part of the US highway system in the years following President Woodrow Wilson’s signing of the Bankhead Act in 1916. The act sought to expand and simplify the nation’s highway infrastructure. The notable features described confirm the project area appears unchanged as it approached the mid-twentieth century.

The project area continued to experience development into the mid-twentieth century, as demonstrated by a 1944 aerial photograph (Figure 11) (US Department of Agriculture [USDA] 1944). The northern half of the APE appears to have undergone considerable land clearing of forest and vegetation. A stream is apparent in this area, flowing into the APE from the east before following a twisting route north out of the area. Additionally, a dirt road appears in the top portion of this half of the APE, traveling south to north. A second dirt road also is visible in the area running southeast to northwest. Another stream seems to cross the project area in this half, traveling west to east under the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad. That same stream continues outside the APE and turns southeast before crossing the project area a second time in the northern wing. The stream runs underneath US Highway 17 continuing southeast. The stream also branches southwest and travels parallel a short distance to US Highway 17 before disappearing from view. US Highway 17 makes an appearance cutting through the APE wings, below Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway running southwest to northeast. Additional land clearing appears to have occurred on either side of US Highway 17. In the southern half of the APE, land clearing appears to have occurred, carving out a large semi-oval in what was once marsh/swampland below US Highway 17. Ronald Reagan Parkway is visible running northwest to southeast and crosses the bottommost portion of the southern half of the APE. Just north of Ronald Reagan Parkway, a stream can be seen twisting east to west. A dirt road branches off of the end of Ronald Reagan Parkway following a route north to south. Evidence of minimal land clearing is visible in the bottom portion of the southern half of the APE, specifically around the incursion of Ronald Reagan Parkway.

A 1953 topographic map shows a slight increase in development within the project area (Figure 12) (US Geological Survey [USGS] 1953). In the northern half of the project area, the southernmost pond seen in the GLO and 1944 USDA aerial photograph is no longer visible. However, a new pond has appeared northeast of the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad. A building is
Figure 11. 1944 USDA aerial photograph of Osceola and Polk Counties, Florida.
Figure 12. 1953 USGS topographic map of Osceola and Polk Counties, Florida.
present between the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad and Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway. Three additional buildings are apparent in the southern wing of the APE. Two of the buildings stand next to each other on the south side of US Highway 17, while the third building stands slightly north of the two aforementioned buildings and is located on the north side of US Highway 17. Two additional buildings are located at the mouth of the project area’s northern wing, standing across from each other on the northern and southern sides of US Highway 17. Two new ponds are present in the southern half of the project area, north of an east-to-west traveling stream. The north-to-south running stream referenced in the 1844, 1848, and 1849 GLO maps appears to have disappeared. Furthermore, a new dirt road is located in the bottom portion of the southern half of the project area branching off of Ronald Reagan Parkway and traveling west to east. Minimal topographical changes have taken place in the project area since the 1944 aerial photography.

Further development of the APE is demonstrated in a 1974 aerial photograph (Figure 13). A new pond has formed in the top portion of the northern half of the APE, while the pond located just below the gully referenced in the 1844, 1848, and 1849 GLO maps is no longer visible. Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532) is apparent as it cuts across the project area traveling west to east. The southeast-to-northwest running dirt road (see Figure 11) seems to have disappeared. However, a new dirt road has been created in the same area and can be seen traveling north before turning east in the APE and turning north again to exit the APE. Labor Camp Road also can be seen in the northern half of the APE traveling northwest to the southeast and connecting Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway with US Highway 17. Additionally, Ivy Mist Lane appears to the north of Labor Camp Road traveling northwest to the southeast. A small pond has been created in the bottom portion of the southern half of the APE, along Ronald Reagan Parkway. The project area’s topography has changed little since the 1953 topographic map.
Figure 13. 1974 USDA aerial photograph of Osceola and Polk Counties, Florida.
RESEARCH DESIGN

PROJECT GOALS

A research design is a plan to coordinate the cultural resource investigation from inception to the completion of the project. This plan should minimally account for three things: (1) it should make explicit the goals and intentions of the research; (2) it should define the sequence of events to be undertaken in pursuit of the research goals; and (3) it should provide a basis for evaluating the findings and conclusions drawn from the investigation.

The goal of this cultural resource survey was to locate and document evidence of historic or prehistoric occupation or use within the APE (archaeological or historic sites, historic structures, or archaeological occurrences [isolated artifact finds]), and to evaluate these for their potential eligibility for listing in the NRHP. The research strategy was composed of background investigation, a historical document search, and field survey. The background investigation involved a perusal of relevant archaeological literature, producing a summary of previous archaeological work undertaken near the project area. The FMSF was checked for previously recorded sites within the project corridor, which provided an indication of prehistoric settlement and land-use patterns for the region. Current soil surveys, vegetation maps, and relevant literature were consulted to provide a description of the physiographic and geological region of which the project area is a part. These data were used in combination to develop expectations regarding the types of archaeological sites that may be present and their likely locations (site probability areas).

The historical document search involved a review of primary and secondary historic sources as well as a review of the FMSF for any previously recorded historic structures. The original township plat maps, early aerial photographs, and other relevant sources were checked for information pertaining to the existence of historic structures, sites of historic events, and historically occupied or noted aboriginal settlements within the project limits.

NRHP CRITERIA

Cultural resources identified within the project APE were evaluated according to the criteria for listing in the NRHP. As defined by the National Park Service (NPS), the quality of significance in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and:

A. that are associated with events or activities that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or
B. that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or
C. that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or

D. that have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

NRHP-eligible districts must possess a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of sites, buildings, structures, or objects united historically or aesthetically by plan or physical development. NRHP-eligible districts and buildings must also possess historic significance, historic integrity, and historical context.

**CULTURAL RESOURCE POTENTIAL**

Common environmental variables for prehistoric habitation include elevated, relatively level landforms and access to fresh water and/or nearby protected marine habitats. These prehistoric sites also tend to be situated in areas of well drained to somewhat poorly drained soils in close proximity to wetlands, ponds, and creeks. These variables indicate a higher cultural resource potential, as does the location of previously recorded archaeological sites. All of these environmental variables are present to some extent within portions of the project corridor.

Numerous surveys have already occurred through much of the north and middle portions of the APE. All of the sites encountered within the right-of-way have been low-density lithic scatters that were deemed not eligible for NRHP listing. Based on just the presence of previously recorded sites, the potential for prehistoric archaeological sites is greatest at the northern and southern ends of the project area where previously recorded resources have been identified. Along the Reedy Creek Swamp, although the land is undisturbed, wetlands are not particularly attractive to Native American occupation except for tree islands and high and dry micro-landforms within the swamp, as evidenced by FMSF Survey No. 17026 by Archaeological and Historical Conservancy, Inc. However, the swamp upland ecotone provides a great source for resource extraction. Sites in this area would be primarily small overnight camps taking advantage of both habitats. Based on these environmental and archaeological factors, the overall archaeological potential is moderate.

In addition to the three previously recorded historic structures and the two previously recorded linear resource groups, review of the Polk and Osceola Counties Property Appraiser databases revealed four historic-age parcels. Database information, coupled with the location of the APE outside of city limits, indicates the probability for unrecorded historic resources to be moderate. The probability was considered moderate due to the large survey area in comparison to the estimated number of potential unrecorded resources.
SURVEY METHODS

ARCHAEOLOGICAL FIELD METHODS

The Poinciana Parkway Extension right-of-way varies in overall vegetation. The area south of US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) is dominated by wetland or swamp associated with Reedy Creek Swamp. From US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) north to Old Kissimmee Road, the corridor becomes increasingly urbanized with sporadic housing, ranches, and a church scattered across the landscape. Closer to Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532), the area has sporadic housing and terminates with a large energy facility just north of the APE.

The Poinciana Parkway Extension right-of-way was surveyed employing a combination of pedestrian reconnaissance and subsurface (shovel) testing. Pedestrian reconnaissance was utilized across the northern portions of the project corridor and was the sole means of archaeological investigation where shovel testing was not possible due to wet conditions, disturbance, or impenetrable surfaces. Intensity of subsurface testing was based on the presence or absence of conditions conducive to human habitation (i.e., proximity to fresh water, topography, soil drainage). Based on these factors, subsurface tests were excavated at intervals of 25, 50, and 100 meters (82, 164, and 328 feet) according to a high, medium, and low probability for archaeological resources. Positive tests were delineated with shovel tests at reduced intervals (12.5 meters [41 feet]). Shovel testing continued until two negative tests were excavated in the cardinal directions within the right-of-way limits. Testing within the Utility Easement was conducted in the same manner.

Previously recorded sites within the project right-of-way were either entirely bounded by previous survey(s) or any additional delineation would require testing outside the current project limits; therefore, the sites were not retested as part of this study. Additionally, no current shovel tests excavated adjacent to the previous sites encountered cultural materials or further evidence of those previously recorded resource. No archaeological resource forms have been updated as part of this submittal. No pedestrian survey or archaeological testing was conducted within the Reedy Creek Mitigation Bank area due to no access agreement in place between the client and RCMB at the time of fieldwork.

Shovel tests measured approximately 50 centimeters (19.7 inches) in diameter and were excavated to a minimum depth of 100 cmbs (39.4 inches), subsurface conditions permitting. All excavated sediments were screened through 1/4-inch mesh hardware cloth. The location of each shovel test was marked on aerial photographs and recorded on Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) -enabled handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) units. The cultural content, soil strata, and environmental setting of each shovel test were recorded in field notebooks.

ARCHITECTURAL FIELD METHODS

The architectural survey for the project utilized standard procedures for the location, investigation, and recording of historic properties. In addition to a search of the FMSF for
previously recorded historic properties within the project area, USGS quadrangle maps were reviewed for structures that were constructed prior to 1975. The field survey inventoried existing buildings, structures, and other aspects of the built environment within the project APE. Each historic resource was plotted with a GPS unit on USGS quadrangle maps and on project aerials. All identified historic resources were photographed with a digital camera, and all pertinent information regarding the architectural style, distinguishing characteristics, and condition was recorded on FMSF structure forms. Upon completion of fieldwork, forms and photographs were returned to the SEARCH offices for analysis. Date of construction, design, architectural features, condition, and integrity of the structure, as well as how the resources relate to the surrounding landscape, were carefully considered. The resources were categorized according to their significance for listing in the NRHP and then recommended eligible, potentially eligible, or not eligible.

**Laboratory Methods**

All artifacts recovered during the archaeological survey were returned to the laboratory facilities at the SEARCH office in Newberry, Florida, for cleaning and processing. Artifacts were washed clean of sand and dirt and allowed to air dry. Materials were then rebagged and organized by provenience and artifact class. Field Specimen (FS) numbers were assigned in the lab. The complete artifact data inventory is provided in Appendix A. Stone tools and manufacturing debris were the most common artifacts recovered. These were examined both macroscopically and microscopically for possible use wear. Microscopic analysis was conducted at low magnification (10x-40x) under white light. Raw material provenience was conducted under magnification and used published descriptions of chert samples from known quarry clusters in Florida (Austin 1996; Endonino 2007; Upchurch et al. 1982). Waste flakes were assigned to flake form categories using the methods of Sullivan and Rozen (1985) and to 0.5-centimeter increment size grades. All artifacts were weighed. Data concerning stone tool types and associateddebitage were totaled for the sample, recorded in tabular format, and the results used to interpret possible site use. A small amount of prehistoric pottery and miscellaneous historic materials (i.e., glass, ceramics, and metal) also was recovered. These were washed and allowed to air dry. They were then identified to type, counted, and weighed.

**Curation**

SEARCH processed, catalogued, analyzed, and prepared all artifacts for permanent curation in accordance with 36 CFR Part 79. Artifacts are stored in acid-free primary containers that are labeled according to site number and provenience, if applicable. Artifacts within the primary containers are stored in zipper-type polyethylene bags. Each bag is labeled with a permanent black marker with the site number, provenience, material or artifact class, and other pertinent information. In addition, site number and provenience data are written with a permanent, waterproof marker on a small strip of acid-free paper or polyethylene film and included in each container. The original maps and field notes are presently housed at the Newberry, Florida, office of SEARCH. The original maps and field notes will be turned over to CFX upon project completion; copies will be retained by SEARCH.
Certified Local Government Consultation

There is no Certified Local Government (CLG) listed for Polk or Osceola Counties on the current list (updated January 2019) from the FDHR; therefore, no CLG consultation was required.

Procedures to Deal with Unexpected Discoveries

Every reasonable effort has been made during this investigation to identify and evaluate possible locations of prehistoric and historic archaeological sites; however, the possibility exists that evidence of cultural resources may yet be encountered within the project limits. Should evidence of unrecorded cultural resources be discovered during construction activities, all work in that portion of the project area must stop. Evidence of cultural resources includes aboriginal or historic pottery, prehistoric stone tools, bone or shell tools, historic trash pits, and historic building foundations. Should questionable materials be uncovered during the excavation of the project area, representatives of CFX will assist in the identification and preliminary assessment of the materials. If such evidence is found, the FDHR will be notified within two working days. In the unlikely event that human skeletal remains or associated burial artifacts are uncovered within the project area, all work in that area must stop. The discovery must be reported to local law enforcement, who will in turn contact the medical examiner. The medical examiner will determine whether or not the State Archaeologist should be contacted per the requirements of Chapter 872.05, Florida Statutes.

RESULTS

ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

The Poinciana Parkway Extension right-of-way is generally a rural landscape with sporadic developed areas in the middle and the northern ends. Soils with the right-of-way range from somewhat poorly drained to very poorly drained with areas of water. Not surprisingly, the areas of somewhat poorly drained soils associated with slightly higher elevations have been used for urban development and single-family housing. Much of the right-of-way has been used for the construction of several electric and natural gas pipelines, which disturbed the area within the right-of-way between US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) and Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532).

As a result of the archaeological survey, SEARCH archaeologists excavated 94 subsurface tests within the project right-of-way (Figure 14). Five positive shovel tests resulted in the recording of two new archaeological sites, 8OS02940 and 8OS02941. An additional 46 locations were visited, but no shovel test was excavated due to buried utilities, standing water, existing roadbed, or unsafe conditions such as aggressive dogs or wildlife. The newly recorded sites represent prehistoric lithic artifact scatters. Appendix A provides the complete artifact data inventory. Descriptions of the resources are available in Appendix B, while site forms are included in Appendix C. A FDHR survey log sheet is provided in Appendix D.
Figure 14. Results of archaeological survey conducted with the Poinciana Parkway Extension right-of-way.
Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites

Six previously recorded archaeological sites (8OS00150, 8OS00151, 8OS01722, 8OS02765, 8PO03968, and 8PO07756) are within or intersect the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE. Three of these sites (8OS00151, 8PO03968, and 8PO07756) are at least partially within the existing or proposed right-of-way and within the current archaeological APE. As these sites within the right-of-way were either entirely bounded by previous survey(s) or any additional delineation would require testing outside the current project limits, the sites were not retested as part of this study. No excavated positive shovel tests were located adjacent to the previous sites; as such, no archaeological resource forms were updated. The remaining three sites (8OS00150, 8OS01722, and 8OS02765) are not located within the existing or proposed right-of-way and therefore were not investigated during the current archaeological survey. No additional information pertaining to these sites has been obtained; therefore, no updated resource forms have been prepared as part of this submittal. The SHPO has previously determined that all of the previously recorded archaeological sites within the APE are ineligible for listing in the NRHP.

Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites

**8OS02940, PPLS#1**

**Location:** Township 25S, Range 28E, Section 31

**Setting:** Southern road shoulder of Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532).

Vegetation consists of grass (Figure 15).

**Soils:** Immokalee fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes. A representative shovel profile consists of three strata, including very dark grayish-brown coarse silty sand from 0 to 10 cmbs (0 to 3.9 inches) above light gray coarse sand from 10 to 25 cmbs (3.9 to 9.8 inches), underlain by pale brown coarse sand from 25 to 100 cmbs (9.8 to 39 inches) (Figure 16).

**Survey Methods:** Pedestrian survey; Shovel testing at 12.5-meter (41-foot) and 25-meter (82-foot) intervals; two positive shovel tests.

**Site Type:** Lithic scatter

**Site Size:** Site measures 3,365 square meters (0.8 acres) with the orientation going east to west with both positive shovel tests. The southern boundary is undetermined due to numerous utilities present. The northern boundary is represented by Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532).

**Depth of Deposits:** 15-50 cmbs (5.9-19.6 inches)

**Chronology:** Non-diagnostic lithics
**Discussion**: Site 8OS02940 is a small, low-density lithic scatter located on the south side of Orange Blossom Trail. The site rests partially within the right-of-way and is bound to the east and west by two consecutive, negative shovel tests. The north and south boundaries are unknown due to US 17/92 (South Orange Blossom Trail) directly north of the site and several utilities, including a high-pressure gas line south of the site (Figure 17). The site is covered in grass associated with the maintained right-of-way. The site is comprised of two adjacent positive shovel tests and an additional seven negative shovel tests excavated to document and delineate the site.

Artifacts include one complete flake, one flake fragment, one piece of angular shatter, and one cortex fragment (Table 4). The cortex fragment is composed of limestone, while the other three artifacts are of Coastal Plain chert. Artifacts in Shovel Test (ST) 3 were encountered between 50 and 60 cmbs (19 and 23.6 inches) in Stratum III, while those in ST 3.6 were much shallower and from 15 to 40 cmbs (5.9 and 15.7 inches). Due to the lack of diagnostic artifacts, the site is identified as having an indeterminate prehistoric component. No subsurface features were encountered during the excavation of shovel tests.

**Table 4. Cultural Materials Recovered from 8OS02940.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>ST#</th>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>Depth (cmbs)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Secondary Attribute</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8OS02940</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>Flake</td>
<td>Coastal Plain chert</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&gt;1/4”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02940</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>Flake fragment</td>
<td>Coastal Plain chert</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02940</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>Angular shatter</td>
<td>Coastal Plain chert</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02940</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>Cortex fragment</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interpretation and Evaluation**: Resource 8OS02940 is a small, low-density lithic scatter. None of the prehistoric lithics recovered are diagnostic of a specific aboriginal culture or period of occupation; however, the size and characteristics of the lithic debitage is consistent with tool maintenance related to hunting activities. Sites of this type are generally affiliated with temporary encampments occupied during brief resource extraction forays. Based on the paucity of cultural material and the lack of diagnostic artifacts or intact deposits, 8OS02940 does not appear to have the potential to yield additional information important to the prehistory of the region. It is the opinion of SEARCH that the portion of 8OS02490 within the current project right-of-way is ineligible for inclusion in the NRHP. No further archaeological work is recommended.
Figure 17. Map of newly recorded site 8OS02940.
**8OS02941, PPLS#2**

**Location:** Township 26S, Range 28E, Section 6  
**Setting:** Intermittent wetland (Figure 18). Vegetation consists of low scrub, palmetto, and wetland ferns and grasses.  
**Soils:** Immokalee fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes. Soil consist of dark grayish-brown sandy silt from 0 to 5 cmbs (1.9 inches) over light gray sandy silt from 5 to 15 cmbs (1.9 to 5.9 inches) underlain by very pale brown coarse sand from 15 to 100 cmbs (5.9 to 39 inches) (Figure 19).  
**Survey Methods:** Pedestrian survey; shovel testing at 12.5-meter (41-foot) and 25-meter (82-foot) intervals; three positive shovel tests.  
**Site Type:** Lithic scatter  
**Site Size:** Site measures 5,343 square meters (1.3 acres) with the east side of the site unbound against the right-of-way  
**Depth of Deposits:** 50-90 cmbs (19.7-35.4 inches)  
**Chronology:** Indeterminate prehistoric

**Discussion:** The PPLS#2 site (8OS02941) is a small, low-density prehistoric lithic scatter located within the proposed right-of-way for the Poinciana Parkway Extension (Figure 20). The site is located within SFWMD lands within a dry/seasonal wetland associated with Reedy Creek Swamp and is heavily vegetated with palmetto and wetland ferns and grasses. The site is comprised of three adjacent positive shovel tests and an additional nine negative shovel tests excavated to document and delineate the site (Table 5).

**Table 5. Cultural Materials Recovered from 8OS02941.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>ST#</th>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>Depth (cmbs)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Secondary Attribute</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>Flake, tertiary</td>
<td>Coastal Plain chert</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>&gt;1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>Flake, secondary</td>
<td>Silicified coral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>&gt;1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>39-1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Flake fragment</td>
<td>Coastal Plain chert</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>39-5</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Flake, secondary</td>
<td>Coastal Plain chert</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>&gt;1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>39-5</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Flake fragment</td>
<td>Coastal Plain chert</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>&gt;1/2”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 18. Vegetation around positive ST 39, facing north.  
Figure 19. Soils profile represented in positive ST 39.
Figure 20. Map of newly recorded site 8OS02941.
Artifacts include seven lithic flakes, four of which exhibit thermal alteration. No primary flakes are within the assemblage, suggesting tool maintenance rather than tool manufacturing was occurring at 8OS02941. Artifacts were encountered subsurface between 50 and 90 cmbs (19.6 and 35.4 inches) in Strata II and III. The site boundary likely extends to the east outside the project right-of-way. Due to the lack of diagnostic artifacts, the site is identified as having an indeterminate prehistoric component. No subsurface features were encountered during the excavation of shovel tests.

Interpretation and Evaluation: Resource 8OS02941 is a small, low-density lithic scatter. None of the prehistoric lithics recovered are diagnostic of a specific aboriginal culture or period of occupation; however, the size and characteristics of the lithic debitage is consistent with tool maintenance related to hunting activities. Sites of this type are generally affiliated with temporary encampments occupied during brief resource extraction forays. Based on the paucity of cultural material and the lack of diagnostic artifacts or intact deposits, 8OS02941 does not appear to have the potential to yield additional information important to the prehistory of the region. It is the opinion of SEARCH that the portion of 8OS02491 within the current project right-of-way is ineligible for inclusion in the NRHP. No further archaeological work is recommended.

ARCHITECTURAL RESOURCES

The architectural survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of 10 historic resources in the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE, including four previously recorded resources and six newly recorded resources (Figures 21 and 22; Table 6). The previously recorded resources include two linear resources (8PO07154/8OS02567 and 8PO07219/8OS02540) and two structures (8PO07156 and 8PO07157). The newly recorded historic resources include six structures (8OS02937, 8PO08109, and 8PO08197-8PO08200).

Table 6. Historic Resources Recorded within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FMSF Number</th>
<th>Name/Address</th>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Recommended NRHP Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8OS02937</td>
<td>6805 South Orange Blossom Trail</td>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1965</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO07154/</td>
<td>Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa</td>
<td>No Style</td>
<td>ca. 1920</td>
<td>Insufficient Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02567</td>
<td>Highway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO07156</td>
<td>6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 1</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1950</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO07157</td>
<td>6606 US Highway 17/92</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1955</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO07219/</td>
<td>South Florida Railroad</td>
<td>No Style</td>
<td>ca. 1884</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8OS02540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO08109</td>
<td>7225 Old Kissimmee Road, Building 1</td>
<td>Mobile Home</td>
<td>ca. 1965</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO08197</td>
<td>7225 Old Kissimmee Road, Building 2</td>
<td>Mobile Home</td>
<td>ca. 1970</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO08198</td>
<td>6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 2</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1972</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO08199</td>
<td>6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 3</td>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1972</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PO08200</td>
<td>6702 US Highway 17/92</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1962</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 21. Historic resources recorded within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE, map 1 of 2.
Figure 22. Historic resources recorded within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE, map 2 of 2.
Descriptions and evaluations are provided below for Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway (8PO07154/8OS02567) and the South Florida Railroad (8PO07219/8OS02540) as the presentation of their attributes in a table was deemed insufficient. Additional detail on the remaining resources is provided in the architectural resource table in Appendix B. FMSF forms and their associated maps and photographs are provided in Appendix C. The survey log sheet is provided in Appendix D.

During the field review, one previously recorded resource (8PO07155) was confirmed to have been previously demolished. Additionally, two previously recorded resources (8PO07156 and 8PO07157) were found to have been misplotted within the FMSF GIS database and have been updated as part of this project. A misplotted/demolition letter has been sent to SHPO and a copy is provided in Appendix E.

Architectural Styles Represented in the APE

The Poinciana Parkway Extension APE contains architectural styles that represent the development of architecture in America during the twentieth century. Table 7 provides the major architectural styles in the APE along with the number and percentages of resources of each style.

Frame Vernacular

Four buildings within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE are considered to be Frame Vernacular in style (Figure 23). The Frame Vernacular style represents those “ordinary” wood frame buildings designed on a basis of local need, material availability, and tradition. The local environment and experience of the builder, often not architecturally trained, provide more influence over the end product than that of most other styles (City of Miami 2017; Glassie 1990). Decoration is often sparse; however, examples of Frame Vernacular may be influenced by a variety of high styles. Characteristics of the Frame Vernacular style often include, but are not limited to:

- Balloon frame
- Rectangular plan
- One to two stories
- Wood siding: weatherboard, drop siding, etc.

**Table 7. Major Architectural Styles within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architectural Style</th>
<th>Number of Examples</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Style</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 23. Resource 8PO07156 provides an example of the Frame Vernacular style within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE. Photograph facing southeast.
• Siding may have been replaced with vinyl, aluminum, asbestos shingle, etc. (City of Miami 2017).

**Masonry Vernacular**

There are two Masonry Vernacular buildings within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE (Figure 24). Masonry Vernacular buildings were designed on a basis of local need, material availability, and tradition. Materials of this style include brick, cement block, oolitic limestone, Ocala block, hollow clay tile, stucco, and stone, amongst others. Decoration is often sparse. However, examples of Masonry Vernacular may be influenced by a variety of high styles. Characteristics of the Masonry Vernacular style vary widely based on location, need, and experience of the builder. The style is further characterized by:

- Masonry construction
- Simple, geometric forms
- Relatively unadorned exterior
- Some variation of stone, concrete, brick, or stucco as the exterior material
- Design meant to take advantage of the environment and site (McAlester 2013)

**Mobile Home**

Two buildings within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE are classified as a Mobile Home (Figure 25). In the 1930s, travel trailers and campers emerged as some of the earliest examples of mobile homes. Their small size, generally no wider than 8 feet in the 1930s, meant that their use was most often restricted to that of a more mobile and transient nature (McAlester 2013:149). Symbols of motion such as lightning or waves were popular in early trailer design. Streamlined, vehicle-like bodies
dominated the market. Doors usually featured a porthole or a rounded square window. Often silver with a rounded front and back, the trailers were short in length, generally around 25 feet long (Wallis 1991).

The mindset towards mobile homes began to change during World War II, when they were used in a semi-permanent manner to accommodate workers who had relocated in order to join the war effort in the various wartime industries (McAlester 2013:150). The use of mobile homes as permanent housing sparked interest. After the war, an effort to create designs which would allow for more permanent residence in mobile homes was seen (McAlester 2013; Wallis 1991). Manufacturers began offering several upgrades, including picture windows and bay windows. Trailer manufacturers experimented with foldout porches, awnings, and other details for convenience on site. Trailer length and width often increased. In 1954, at the Florida Mobile Home Exposition in Sarasota, Elmer Frey introduced a trailer 10 feet wide and up to 50 feet long. It was built on a wood frame rather than a chassis (Wallis 1991).

As trailers increased in length, a distinction grew between the mobile home and the house trailer. Over time, interiors of house trailers were made more house-like while the exteriors continued to appear vehicular. Nonetheless, Wallis (1989:40) notes that “the more sculptural shaping of the sides of the trailer for streamlining had given way to a boxier appearance better suited to the utilization of interior space.” Common types of trailer homes include the single-shed development, featuring an enclosed or open self-supported structure attached along the entry side of the mobile home, and the double-shed development, consisting of the original trailer flanked on both sides by sheds (Wallis 1989:41).

No Style

Two resources within the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE have no style. This term is generally applied to structures, objects, districts, cemeteries, or previously recorded resources that do not display one singular style or that style does not pertain.

NRHP EVALUATIONS

Linear Resources

8PO07154/8OS02567, Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway

Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway (8PO07154/8OS02567) is a previously recorded linear resource that crosses the southern portion of the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE at the Polk-Osceola County line (see Figure 20). A segment of Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway through the APE was previously surveyed; however, on January 30, 2009, SHPO stated that there was insufficient information to make an NRHP eligibility determination (Janus Research 2008). Resource 8PO07154/8OS02567 is situated in Section 6 of Township 26 South, Range 28
East, as shown on the 2018 *Intercession City, Fla.* USGS quadrangle map. Within the APE, 8PO07154/8OS02567 runs southwest to northeast for approximately 0.3 miles (0.5 kilometers), starting approximately 30.3 feet (9.3 meters) south of the intersection of Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway and Church Road and running northeast. It is located between the South Florida Railroad (8PO07219/8OS02540) and South Orange Blossom Trail/US 17/92. Within the APE, 8PO07154/8OS02567 consists of a two-lane asphalt road with overhead utility poles and a sidewalk with curbing along the north side of the road (Figure 26). The segment of 8PO07154/8OS02567 within the APE is small and the setting in the vicinity has been slightly altered by a transmission line and the occasional residence.

Linear resource 8PO07154/8OS02567 through the APE is primarily rural with small residential areas located to the north and south of the APE. This roadway was part of the Dixie Highway, which was established in the early twentieth century to bring growing automobile tourism to the south. The Dixie Highway was constructed from 1915 to 1926, extended from Sault St. Marie, Michigan, to Miami Beach, Florida, and was originally composed of brick (Janus Research 2008). During the American Roads Congress in 1914, the best possible route for the highway was debated and eventually established as a dual route with eastern and western alignments (Flagler County n.d.). In Florida, the eastern route began in Jacksonville, traveling south to Miami Beach, while the western route crossed Tallahassee, Perry, High Springs, Micanopy, Lady Lake, Orlando, Winter Haven, Arcadia, and Fort Myers. There also were east-west connectors, such as the one connecting Kissimmee to Melbourne. This particular segment was part of the western route and provided a rural transportation corridor through central Florida, splitting at or near Bartow to provide access to the coast at Tampa and Miami. It is unknown whether this segment was purpose built or a pre-existing roadway utilized for this larger highway system. The Dixie Highway in the vicinity of the APE became US 17/92, and Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway was bypassed when US 17/92 was re-aligned in the mid-twentieth century (Janus Research 2008). The 1944 historic aerial shows this section of 8PO07154/8OS02567 with the newly established US 17/92 to the south (see Figure 11).
Assessment

While the Old Dixie Highway system promoted tourism along Florida’s coastal cities, development due to tourism did not occur in this particular inland segment of the roadway. The segment within the APE represents a part of the highway system that does not carry that same strong association with the development of tourism in Florida. The segment of 8PO07154/8OS02567 within the APE is a very small segment (0.3 miles or 0.5 kilometers in length) of a multi-mile resource and it is beyond the scope of the current project to develop an eligibility recommendation for the resource overall. SEARCH recommends that there is insufficient information at this time to make an eligibility recommendation for Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway (8PO07154/8OS02567).

8PO07219/8OS02540, South Florida Railroad

The South Florida Railroad (8PO07219/8OS02540) is a previously recorded linear resource within Polk and Osceola Counties (see Figure 20). A segment of the South Florida Railroad within the APE was previously surveyed; however, on January 30, 2009, SHPO stated that there was insufficient information to make an NRHP eligibility determination (Janus Research 2008). The segment of 8PO07219/8OS02540 within the APE is located in Section 6 of Township 26 South, Range 28 East as shown on the 2018 Intercession City, Fla. USGS quadrangle map. The linear resource runs southwest to northeast for approximately 0.2 miles (0.3 kilometers) through the APE, northwest of Old Kissimmee Road (8PO07154/8OS02567). Within the APE, the South Florida Railroad consists of an approximately 100-foot (30.4-meter) wide right-of-way with standard gauge tracks, timber ties, and gravel ballast flanked by trees and shrubbery (Figure 27).

The development of the South Florida Railroad was originally established with the charter of the Lake Monroe and Orlando Railroad in 1875 (SEARCH 2017). In 1879, a new charter for the railroad was acquired from the St. Johns River to Charlotte Harbor along the Gulf of Mexico, and the name was changed to the South Florida Railroad. In 1880, the railroad extended 23 miles (37 kilometers) from Sanford to Orlando, and by 1882, it was further extended to Kissimmee. In 1883, a three-fifth interest of the railroad was sold to Henry Plant, who intended to extend the line to Tampa Bay (Pettengill 1998:41). The extension to Tampa occurred at a fast rate upon Plant taking a three-fifth interest, as the land grant allowing the rail line to be built from Kissimmee to Tampa was close to expiration (Turner 2008). The line to Tampa opened in 1884. In the late nineteenth century, the Port of Tampa was the largest phosphate port...
shipping terminal in the world and connected Tampa to regional and national markets (Turner 2008:126). Presently, the railroad is still in active use by CSX.

Assessment

Florida’s Historic Railroad Resources, the NRHP Multiple Property Nomination Form, was used as a guide to evaluate this segment of 8PO07219/8OS02540 (Johnston and Mattick 2000). The nomination establishes the historic contexts of Florida’s railroad resources to aid in the evaluation of their eligibility for the NRHP. According to the nomination, a rail roadbed is an F.3 property type (Rail Structure: Roadbed) and consists of ballast, cross ties, rails, and tie plates, all of which are present in this section of 8VO08606 (Johnston and Mattick 2000:F-63). To be eligible for listing in the NRHP, rail roadbeds must have served a historic railroad transportation function and have been constructed during one of Florida’s historic railroad periods (Johnston and Mattick 2000:67). Resource 8PO07219/8OS02540 satisfies these stipulations: it was built as a transportation and shipping route and eventually connected Orlando to the phosphate shipping terminal of Tampa during the Disston Era Expansion and Consolidation, 1881–1903.

Railroads are dynamic and changing, being parts of an engineering system that must be improved over time, including the replacement of rails and cross ties. Such upgrades and maintenance typically do not adversely affect the integrity of a railroad. Types of changes that could substantially affect the integrity of a linear resource, such as a railroad, include:

- Rerouting of the railroad corridor;
- Disruption of the railroad, such as dead-ending or removal of roadbed;
- Severing of the railway from other transportation resources such as other railroads, stations, depots, rail yards, or shipyards that results in change of historic function;
- Substantial widening or substantial loss of width;
- Concentrated number of roadways or other crossovers that prohibit travel;
- Removal of historic ancillary structures original to the railroad’s design and purpose such as roundhouses, water tanks, turntables, or siding (Johnston and Mattick 2001).

Within the APE, none of the above-mentioned changes have occurred. Therefore, 8PO07219/8OS02540 within the project APE maintains enough historic integrity to convey its significance.

The Florida SHPO has previously determined other sections of the South Florida Railroad Linear Resource (8PO07219/8OS02540) ineligible for listing in the NRHP in Polk County (Archaeological Consultants, Inc. 2016) and eligible for NRHP listing in Osceola County (SEARCH 2008, 2013, 2017). The segment through the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE remains intact and maintains its historic integrity. Based upon the results of the current survey, this segment of 8PO07219/8OS02540 is significant under Criterion A for its role in transportation and commerce, the development of the phosphate mining industry, and within the State of Florida as part of the greater rail system. Resource 8PO07219/8OS02540 also is significant under Criterion B for its association with Henry Plant, an important figure in the development of
railroads in Florida. This segment of 8PO07219/8OS02540 is not significant under Criterion C as it is not of unique architecture or engineering, nor is it significant under Criterion D because it is not likely to yield important information about Florida’s history or prehistory. Therefore, SEARCH recommends that 8PO07219/8OS02540 eligible for listing on the NRHP under Criteria A and B.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This report presents the findings of a Phase I CRAS conducted in support of the Poinciana Parkway Extension PD&E study in Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida. CFX is proposing approximately 3 miles (4.8 kilometers) of new roadway from Ronald Reagan Parkway north to Osceola-Polk Line Road (CR 532). The project also includes a utility relocation easement along the western perimeter of the right-of-way in the northern portion of the project.

The archaeological field survey included visual reconnaissance and intensive systematic subsurface examination of the project right-of-way and utility easement. As a result of the archaeological survey, two newly documented prehistoric archaeological sites, PPLS#1 (8OS02940) and PPLS#2 (8OS02941), were identified. Both of the newly recorded archaeological sites exhibited a low density of cultural materials and a lack of diagnostic artifacts. These sites do not appear to contain archaeological deposits that have the potential to yield further information important in the prehistory or history of the region. In the opinion of SEARCH, 8OS02940 and 8OS02941 are ineligible for the NRHP.

In addition to the two newly recorded sites, six previously recorded archaeological sites are within or intersect the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE, including 8OS00150, 8OS00151, 8OS01722, 8OS02765, 8PO03968, and 8PO07756. Three of these sites (8OS00151, 8PO03968, and 8PO07756) are at least partially within the existing or proposed right-of-way and within the current archaeological APE. As these sites within the right-of-way were either entirely bounded by previous survey(s) or any additional delineation would require testing outside the current project limits, the sites were not retested as part of this study. Additionally, shovel tests excavated adjacent to the previous site boundaries encountered no cultural materials. The remaining three sites (8OS00150, 8OS01722, and 8OS02765) are not located within the existing or proposed right-of-way and were not investigated during the current archaeological survey. The SHPO has determined all of the previously recorded archaeological sites ineligible for listing in the NRHP. No additional information pertaining to the six sites has been obtained; therefore, no updated resource forms have been prepared as part of this submittal.

The architectural survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of 10 historic resources within or intersecting the Poinciana Parkway Extension APE, including four previously recorded resources and six newly recorded resources. The previously recorded resources include two linear resources (8PO07154/8OS02567 and 8PO07219/8OS02540) and two structures
(8PO07156 and 8PO07157). The newly recorded historic resources include six structures (8OS02937, 8PO08109, and 8PO08197-8PO08200).

On January 30, 2009, SHPO stated that there was insufficient information to determine eligibility for either Old Kissimmee Road (8PO07154/8OS02567) or the South Florida Railroad (8PO07219/8OS02540) within Section 6 of Township 26 South, Range 28 East (Janus Research 2008). Both 8PO07156 and 8PO07157 were determined ineligible by SHPO on January 30, 2009 (Janus Research 2008).

Based on the results of the current survey, SEARCH recommends that 8PO07219/8OS02540 is eligible for the NRHP under Criteria A and C. Furthermore, it is the opinion of SEARCH that there remains insufficient information to make an eligibility recommendation regarding Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway (8PO07154/8OS02567). The remaining eight historic resources are recommended ineligible for the NRHP due to a lack of historic associations, architectural significance, and/or historic integrity.

Archaeological testing for the current survey effort was restricted in several areas where property access was denied. Untested portions of the proposed right-of-way will be subjected to archaeological and architectural history survey during future phases of the project once access is secured. The results of the additional survey will be prepared as a CRAS addendum and submitted under separate cover, at which time project-related effects may be addressed.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FS#</th>
<th>Catalog#</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>ST#</th>
<th>Strat</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>8OS02940</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>Flake; coastal plain chert, heat treated; complete; &gt;1/4”; complete; 0% cortex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>8OS02940</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>Flake fragment; coastal plain chert, heat treated; medial distal, cortex present</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>8OS02940</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>Angular shatter; coastal plain chert, heat treated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>8OS02940</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>Cortex fragment; limestone; worn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>Flake; coastal plain chert; complete; &gt;1/2”; 0-25% cortex</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>Flake; silicified coral, heat treated; complete; &gt;1/2”; 0% cortex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>85-90</td>
<td>Flake; coastal plain chert, heat treated; complete; &gt;1”; complete; 25-50% cortex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>039-</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Flake fragment; coastal plain chert, heat treated; proximal, cortex absent; large grainy inclusions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>039-</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Flake; coastal plain chert; complete; &gt;1/2”; 0-25% cortex; very grainy, cortex-like</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>8OS02941</td>
<td>039-</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Flake fragment; coastal plain chert, heat treated; &gt;1/2”; cortex absent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

ARCHITECTURAL RESOURCES TABLE
## Historic Resources within the Project APE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Built</th>
<th>TRS</th>
<th>Original or Update</th>
<th>US Quad Map</th>
<th>Individually Eligible</th>
<th>Contributing Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Florida Railroad</td>
<td>ca. 1884</td>
<td>26S28E6</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td>DAVENPORT (2018)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Kissimmee Road</td>
<td>ca. 1920</td>
<td>26S28E6</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td>DAVENPORT (2018)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6805 South Orange Blossom Trail</td>
<td>ca. 1965</td>
<td>26S28E6</td>
<td>Original</td>
<td>INTERCESSION CITY (2018)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8OS02540 - South Florida Railroad
- **Evaluation:** 8OS02540 is eligible for the NRHP under Criterion A, for association w/transportation, commerce, and dev of phosphate mining industry, and under Criterion B, for association with Henry Plant.

### 8OS02567 - Old Kissimmee Road
- **Evaluation:** Due to lack of sufficient historic integrity, 8OS02567 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

### 8OS02937 - 6805 South Orange Blossom Trail
- **Evaluation:** Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8OS02937 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.
### Historic Resources within the Project APE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Built</th>
<th>US Quad Map</th>
<th>TRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>8PO07154</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Old Kissimmee Road</strong></td>
<td>ca. 1920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original or Update</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td>US Quad Map: DAVENPORT (2018)</td>
<td>26528E6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Due to lack of sufficient historic integrity, 8PO07154 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individually Eligible</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributing Resource</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8PO07156</strong></td>
<td>ca. 1950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Use</td>
<td>Private Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Style</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions and Alterations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Use</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Structural System: Wood frame</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>Exterior Fabric: Wood siding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated roof, windows; porch enclosed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Roof Material: Sheet metal:corrugated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Foundation Material: Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>Gable</td>
<td>Roof Material: Sheet metal:corrugated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Entry</td>
<td>The main entrance on the W façade has been boarded over.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porch(es)</td>
<td>The porch on the W façade has been enclosed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>Windows boarded over on the west façade. Other windows not visible from the right of way.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinguishing Features</td>
<td>Minimal decoration; resource deteriorated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancillary Features</td>
<td>8PO07156 shares a parcel with 8PO08198 and 8PO08199.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individually Eligible</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributing Resource</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8PO07157</strong></td>
<td>ca. 1955</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6606 US Highway 17/92</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Use</td>
<td>Private Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Style</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions and Alterations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Use</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Structural System: Wood frame</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>Exterior Fabric: Wood siding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal roofing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Roof Material: Sheet metal:corrugated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Foundation Material: Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>Gable</td>
<td>Roof Material: Sheet metal:corrugated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Entry</td>
<td>The main entry is not visible from the right of way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porch(es)</td>
<td>There is no porch visible from the right of way.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>1/1 DHS wood windows; most windows are obscured by vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinguishing Features</td>
<td>There are no visible distinguishing characteristics to 8PO07157 as the resource is covered w/ivy and surrounded by plant growth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancillary Features</td>
<td>8PO07157 shares a parcel with the non-historic burned ruins of a building.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individually Eligible</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributing Resource</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Report for Description and Assessment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>8PO07219</th>
<th>8PO08109</th>
<th>8PO08197</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individually Eligible:</strong></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contributing Resource:</strong></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Built:</strong></td>
<td>ca. 1884</td>
<td>ca. 1965</td>
<td>ca. 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluation:</strong></td>
<td>8PO07219 is eligible for the NRHP under Criterion A, for association w/transportation, commerce, and dev of phosphate mining industry, and under Criterion B, for association with Henry Plant.</td>
<td>Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08109 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.</td>
<td>Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08197 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name:</strong></td>
<td>South Florida Railroad</td>
<td>7225 Old Kissimmee Road, Building 1</td>
<td>7225 Old Kissimmee Road, Building 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Original or Update:</strong></td>
<td>Update</td>
<td>Original</td>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRS:</strong></td>
<td>26S28E6</td>
<td>26S28E6</td>
<td>26S28E6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**8PO07219:**
- **Present Use:** Mobile Home
- **Plan:** Rectangular
- **Exterior Fabric:** Metal
- **Roof:** Gable
- **Foundation:** Piers
- **Main Entry:** Two entrances on the east façade. One, a flush door with rectangular pane. The other, a flush door.
- **Ancillary Features:** 8PO07219 is located on the same parcel as 8PO08109.

**8PO08109:**
- **Present Use:** Mobile Home
- **Plan:** Rectangular
- **Exterior Fabric:** Metal
- **Roof:** Gable
- **Foundation:** Piers
- **Main Entry:** There are two entrances on the east façade. One, a flush door with rectangular pane. The other, a flush door.
- **Distinguishing Features:** Mobile Home; roof vent; lantern style lights on south façade; slightly projecting gable end; doublewide.
- **Ancillary Features:** 8PO08109 is located on the same parcel as 8PO08197.

**8PO08197:**
- **Present Use:** Mobile Home
- **Plan:** Rectangular
- **Exterior Fabric:** Metal
- **Roof:** Flat
- **Foundation:** Piers
- **Main Entry:** Main entrance not visible from the right of way.
- **Distinguishing Features:** Mobile Home
- **Ancillary Features:** 8PO08197 shares a parcel with 8PO08109.
## 8PO08198

**Name:** 6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 2  
**Built:** ca. 1972  
**Original or Update:** Original  
**US Quad Map:** DAVENPORT (2018)  
**TRS:** 26528E6  
**Individually Eligible:** NO  
**Contributing Resource:** NO

### Present Use:
Private Residence  
### Plan:
Rectangular  
### Structural System:
Wood frame  
### Exterior Fabric:
Aluminum  
### Roof:
Gable  
### Roof Material:
Asphalt shingles  
### Main Entry:
Located on the N façade, the main entrance consists of a paneled door.  
### Porch(es):
There is no porch associated with 8PO08198 visible from the right of way.  
### Windows:
Jalousie windows; fixed frame windows; most windows not visible from right of way.  
### Distinguishing Features:
Wide sloping roof; vent in gable ends  
### Ancillary Features:
8PO08198 shares a parcel with 8PO07156 and 8PO08199.

### Evaluation:
Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08198 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

---

## 8PO08199

**Name:** 6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 3  
**Built:** ca. 1972  
**Original or Update:** Original  
**US Quad Map:** DAVENPORT (2018)  
**TRS:** 26528E6  
**Individually Eligible:** NO  
**Contributing Resource:** NO

### Present Use:
Auto dealership  
### Plan:
Irregular  
### Structural System:
Masonry - General  
### Exterior Fabric:
Stucco  
### Roof:
Gable/Pyramid  
### Roof Material:
Asphalt shingles  
### Main Entry:
Located on W façade, double paneled doors w/rectangular glass panes, covered by metal security bars.  
### Porch(es):
There is no porch associated with 8PO08199 visible from the right of way.  
### Windows:
2/2 SHS aluminum windows  
### Distinguishing Features:
Addition to W w/half pyramidal roof; boarded windows  
### Ancillary Features:
8PO08199 shares a parcel with 8PO07156 and 8PO08198.

### Evaluation:
Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08199 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

---

## 8PO08200

**Name:** 6702 US Highway 17/92  
**Built:** ca. 1962  
**Original or Update:** Original  
**US Quad Map:** DAVENPORT (2018)  
**TRS:** 26528E6  
**Individually Eligible:** NO  
**Contributing Resource:** NO

### Present Use:
Private Residence  
### Plan:
L-shaped  
### Structural System:
Wood frame  
### Exterior Fabric:
Drop siding, Wood siding  
### Roof:
Gable  
### Roof Material:
Composition roll  
### Main Entry:
Main entrance not visible from right of way.  
### Porch(es):
Open porch on w façade, covered by extension of roof heald up with large square columns.  
### Windows:
1/1 and 2/2 SHS aluminum windows; 1/1 vinyl windows  
### Distinguishing Features:
Two attached buildings;  
### Ancillary Features:
N/A  

### Evaluation:
Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08200 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.
APPENDIX C

FMSF RESOURCE FORMS
NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs). National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated to the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:

- Historic district (NR category “district”): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites
- Archaeological district (NR category “district”): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures
- Mixed district (NR category “district”): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings)
- Building complex (NR category usually “building(s)”: multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association
- Designed historic landscape (NR category usually “district” or “site”): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.)
- Rural historic landscape (NR category usually “district” or “site”): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.)
- Linear resource (NR category usually “structure”): Linear resources are a special type of rural historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.

Resource Group Name: South Florida Railroad

Multiple Listing [DHR only] __________

Project Name: Poinciana Parkway Extension

FMSF Survey # __________

National Register Category (please check one): building(s) ☑ structure ☑ district ☑ site ☑ object

Linear Resource Type (if applicable): canal ☑ railway ☑ road ☑ other (describe):

Ownership: ☑ private-profit ☑ private-nonprofit ☑ private-individual ☑ private-nonspecific ☑ city ☑ county ☑ state ☑ federal ☑ Native American ☑ foreign ☑ unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address:

City/Town (within 3 miles) __________________________ In Current City Limits? ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ unknown

County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Osceola

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) ________________

1) Township ______ Range ______ Section ______ ¼ section: ☑ NW ☑ SW ☑ SE ☑ NE Irregular-name: ________________
2) Township ______ Range ______ Section ______ ¼ section: ☑ NW ☑ SW ☑ SE ☑ NE Irregular-name: ________________
3) Township ______ Range ______ Section ______ ¼ section: ☑ NW ☑ SW ☑ SE ☑ NE Irregular-name: ________________
4) Township ______ Range ______ Section ______ ¼ section: ☑ NW ☑ SW ☑ SE ☑ NE Irregular-name: ________________

USGS 7.5' Map(s) 1) Name DAVENPORT USGS Date 2018
2) Name INTERCESSION_CITY USGS Date 2018

Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location)

Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map) Runs SW/NE for approx 0.24 miles through the APE, NW of Old Kissimmee Road (8PO07154/8OS02567).

DHR USE ONLY

OFFICIAL EVALUATION

DHR USE ONLY

NR List Date __________

SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ insufficient info Date __________

KEEPER – Determined eligible: ☑ yes ☑ no Date __________

NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☑ a ☑ b ☑ c ☑ d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

Owner Objection __________

Date __________

Init. ________
HISTORY & DESCRIPTION

Construction Year: 1884  ☑ approximately ☐ year listed or earlier ☐ year listed or later

Architect/Designer (last name first):  
Builder (last name first):  

Total number of individual resources included in this Resource Group: 
# of contributing: 1  
# of non-contributing: 0

Time period(s) of significance (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1929)
1. Nineteenth C. American 1821-1899  3.  
2. Twentieth C American  4.  

Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; fit a summary into 3 lines or attach supplementary sheets if needed)  
Consists of a 100 ft.-wide row w/standard gauge tracks, wood ties, & gravel ballast. 1880, RR from Sanford to Orlando. 1882, ext to Kissimmee. 1883, interest sold to Henry Plant for ext to Tampa Bay, opened 1884. Transported people and shipped phosphate.

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

☐ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  ☑ library research  ☐ building permits  ☐ Sanborn maps
☐ FL State Archives/photo collection  ☐ city directory  ☐ occupant/owner interview  ☐ plat maps
☐ property appraiser / tax records  ☑ newspaper files  ☐ neighbor interview  ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
☐ cultural resource survey  ☐ historic photos  ☐ interior inspection  ☐ HABS/HAER record search
☐ other methods (specify)  

Bibliographic References (give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant)

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places?  ☑ yes  ☐ no  ☐ insufficient information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district?  ☑ yes  ☐ no  ☐ insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.)  
BOG02540 is eligible for the NRHP under Criterion A, for association w/transportation, commerce, and dev of phosphate mining industry, and under Criterion B, for association with Henry Plant.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

2. Commerce  4.  6.  

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type  All materials at one location  
Document description  Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials  
Maintaining organization  Southeastern Archaeological Research  
File or accession #’s  1802125

2) Document type  
Document description  
Maintaining organization  
File or accession #’s  

RECORDEr INFORMATION

Recorder Name  Armstrong, Kirsten  
Affiliation  Southeastern Archaeological Research  
Recorder Contact Information  3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com  
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

1. PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED
2. LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED
3. TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES (name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or township-range-section if no address)
4. PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETSCAPE OR VIEWS (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources)

Photos may be archival B&W prints OR digital image files. If submitting digital image files, they must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs). National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated to the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:
- Historic district (NR category “district”): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites
- Archaeological district (NR category “district”): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures
- Mixed district (NR category “district”): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings)
- Building complex (NR category usually “building(s)”): multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association
- Designed historic landscape (NR category usually “district” or “site”): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.)
- Rural historic landscape (NR category usually “district” or “site”): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.)
- Linear resource (NR category usually “structure”): Linear resources are a special type of rural historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.

Resource Group Name: Old Kissimmee Road/Old Tampa Highway
Project Name: Poinciana Parkway Extension
National Register Category (please check one): building(s) structure district site object
Linear Resource Type (if applicable): canal railway road other (describe):
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address:
City/Town (within 3 miles) Davenport In Current City Limits? yes no unknown
County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Osceola
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) Poinciana

1) Township Range Section ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
2) Township Range Section ¼ section: NW SW SE NE
3) Township Range Section ¼ section: NW SW SE NE
4) Township Range Section ¼ section: NW SW SE NE
USGS 7.5’ Map(s) 1) Name DAVENPORT USGS Date 2018
2) Name INTERCESSION CITY USGS Date 2018
Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location)
Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map) Within the APE, runs SW/NE for approx 0.28 miles, starting approx 30.34 ft south of the intersection w/Church Rd and running northeast.

DHR USE ONLY

OWNER OBJECTION

SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes no insufficient info Date Init.
KEEPER – Determined eligible: yes no Date
NR Criteria for Evaluation: a b c d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
**RESOURCE GROUP FORM**

### HISTORICAL & DESCRIPTION

**Construction Year:** 1920  ☑ approximately  ☐ year listed or earlier  ☐ year listed or later

**Architect/Designer (last name first):**

**Builder (last name first):**

**Total number of individual resources included in this Resource Group: # of contributing:** 1  # of non-contributing: 0

**Time period(s) of significance:** (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1929)

1. Twentieth C American
2. Is __________
3. Is __________
4. Is __________

**Narrative Description:** (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; fit a summary into 3 lines or attach supplementary sheets if needed) 8OS02567 consists of a two-lane asphalt road w/overhead utilities & sidewalk w/curbing along N side. Originally part of Dixie Hwy, est. to bring growing auto tourism to the S. Material from brick to asphalt, setting changed by dev, sdwl & utilities

### RESEARCH METHODS (Check all that apply)

- ☑ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- ☑ Library research
- ☑ Building permits
- ☑ Sanborn maps
- ☑ FL State Archives/photo collection
- ☑ City directory
- ☑ Occupant/owner interview
- ☑ Plat maps
- ☑ Property appraiser / tax records
- ☑ Newspaper files
- ☑ Neighbor interview
- ☑ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- ☑ Cultural resource survey
- ☑ Historic photos
- ☑ Interior inspection
- ☑ HABS/HAER record search
- ☑ Other methods (specify) ___________________________________________________________________________

### BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES (Give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant)

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

- Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places?  ☑ yes  ☐ no  ☑ insufficient information
- Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district?  ☑ yes  ☐ no  ☑ insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation:** (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.) There is insufficient information to make an eligibility recommendation for 8OS02567 at this time.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance:** (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1. __________  3. __________  5. __________
2. __________  4. __________  6. __________

### DOCUMENTATION

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - Including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents**

1) **Document type:** All materials at one location  
   **Document description:** Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerial
   **Maintaining organization:** Southeastern Archaeological Research
   **File or accession #’s:** 180225

2) **Document type:**
   **Document description:**
   **Maintaining organization:**
   **File or accession #’s:**

### RECORDER INFORMATION

**Recorder Name:** Armstrong, Kirsten  
**Affiliation:** Southeastern Archaeological Research

**Recorder Contact Information:** 3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com

---

### Required Attachments

1. **PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED**
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED**
3. **TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES**
   - Name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or township-range-section if no address
4. **PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETSCAPE OR VIEWS**
   - (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources)
   - Photos may be archival B&W prints OR digital image files. If submitting digital image files, they must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**

**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**

**Version 4.0  1/07**

---

**Site Name(s) (address if none):** 6805 South Orange Blossom Trail  
Multiple Listing (DHR only)  

**Survey Project Name:** Poinciana Parkway Extension  
Survey # (DHR only)  

**National Register Category (please check one):**  
- building  
- structure  
- district  
- site  
- object  

**Ownership:**  
- private-profit  
- private-nonprofit  
- private-individual  
- private-nonspecific  
- city  
- county  
- state  
- federal  
- Native American  
- foreign  
- unknown  

---

**LOCATION & MAPPING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>6805 S Orange Blossom Trail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross Streets (nearest / between):</td>
<td>Church Road and Ivy Mist Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS 7.5 Map Name:</td>
<td>INTERCESSION CITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS Date:</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS (Plat or Other Map):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- County: Osceola |
| Township: | 26S |
| Range: | 28E |
| Section: | 6 |
| ¼ section: | NW, SW, SE, NE |
| Irregular-name: |  
- Landgrant |
| Subdivision Name: | Walkers, Loughman Add |
| Block: | 7 |
| Lot: | 11 |
| UTM Coordinates: | Zone: 16 |
| Easting: |  
- Northing:  
- Coordinate System & Datum: |

---

**HISTORY**

| Construction Year: | 1965  
- approximately |
| Original Use: | Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)  
- From (year): 1965  
- To (year): 2019 |
| Current Use: | Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)  
- From (year): 1965  
- To (year): 2019 |
| Other Use: |  
- From (year):  
- To (year): |
| Moves: |  
- yes  
- no  
- unknown  
- Date: Original address  
- Nature: Some windows replaced |
| Alterations: |  
- yes  
- no  
- unknown  
- Date: Nature  
- Nature: Potential addition NW |
| Additions: |  
- yes  
- no  
- unknown  
- Date: Architecture (last name first): Builder (last name first): |
| Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.): |  
- Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? | yes  
- no  
- unknown  
- Describe: |

---

**DESCRIPTION**

| Style: | Masonry Vernacular  
- Exterior Fabric(s):  
- 1. Concrete block  
- 2. Stucco  
- 3. Brick/Faux Stone |
| Exterior Plan: | Rectangular  
- Number of Stories: 1 |
| Roof Type(s): | 1. Gable  
- 2.  
- 3. |
| Roof Material(s): | 1. Composition shingles  
- 2.  
- 3. |
| Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.): | 1. |
| Windows (types, materials, etc.): | 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum windows; 1/1 SHS vinyl windows; horizontal sliding window |
| Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments): | Brick flanking windows; faux stone @ NW corner of the SW façade; extended eave overhang covering entrance; rough stucco; possibly vacant |
| Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed): | There are no outbuildings associated with 8OS02937 visible from the right of way. |

---

**DHR USE ONLY**

| NR List Date: |  
| SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: | yes  
- no  
- insufficient info  
- Date: Init.  
- KEEPER – Determined eligible: |
| NR Criteria for Evaluation: | a  
- b  
- c  
- d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2) |
| Owner Objection: |  
- Insufficient documentation |

---

**OFFICIAL EVALUATION**

| Site #: | OS02937 |
| Field Date: | 4-24-2019 |
| Form Date: | 4-30-2019 |
| Recorder #: |  
- X: _______________  
- Y: _______________  
- Coordinate System & Datum: |

---

**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**

**R. A. Gray Building**  
**500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250**  
**Telephone (850) 245-6440 / Fax (850)245-6439 / E-mail SiteFile@dos.state.fl.us**
DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0  Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3.
Structural System(s): 1. Masonry - General 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. 3.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. 3.
Main Entrance (stylistic details) Located on the southwest façade, door is either open or missing.

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) An extension of the roof eave covers the main entrance.

Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☐ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource 8OS02937 is a one story, rectangular plan Masonry Vernacular residence set on a continuous concrete foundation. The center of the roof is compromised and is curving inward.

Archaeological Remains

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

☒ FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps
☒ FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps
☒ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
☒ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search
☒ other methods (describe) Pedestrian/Windshield Survey

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
1. ___________________________________    3. ___________________________________    5. ___________________________________
2. ___________________________________    4. ___________________________________    6. ___________________________________

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8OS02937 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)
1. ___________________________________    3. ___________________________________    5. ___________________________________
2. ___________________________________    4. ___________________________________    6. ___________________________________

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type: All materials at one location
   Document description: Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials
   Maintaining organization: Southeastern Archaeological Research
   File or accession #’s: 180215

2) Document type: 
   Document description: 
   Maintaining organization: 
   File or accession #’s: 

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name: Armstrong, Kirsten
Recorder Contact Information: 3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com

Required Attachments
1. USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2. LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. **Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs).** National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated to the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESOURCE GROUP FORM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Version 4.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site # PO07154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Date 4-24-2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form Date 4-30-2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:

- Historic district (NR category “district”): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites
- Archaeological district (NR category “district”): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures
- Mixed district (NR category “district”): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings)
- Building complex (NR category usually “building(s)”: multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association
- Designed historic landscape (NR category usually “district” or “site”): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.)
- Rural historic landscape (NR category usually “district” or “site”): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.)
- Linear resource (NR category usually “structure”): Linear resources are a special type of rural historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.

**LOCATION & MAPPING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Number</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Street Type</th>
<th>Suffix Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City/Town (within 3 miles) Davenport In Current City Limits? yes no unknown

County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Polk

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USGS 7.5' Map(s)</th>
<th>1) Name</th>
<th>2) Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Davenport</td>
<td>INTERCESSION CITY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map’s name, originating office with location)

Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map)

Within the APE, runs SW/NE for approx 0.28 miles, starting approx 30.34 ft south of the intersection w/Church Rd and running northeast.

**DHR USE ONLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner Objection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes no insufficient info |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| KEEPER – Determined eligible: yes no |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NR Criteria for Evaluation: a b c d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OFFICIAL EVALUATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Init.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**DHR USE ONLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Init.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone (850) 245-6440</th>
<th>Fax (850) 245-6439</th>
<th>E-mail <a href="mailto:SiteFile@dos.state.fl.us">SiteFile@dos.state.fl.us</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

HR6E057R0107 Florida Master Site File, Division of Historical Resources. R. A. Gray Building, 500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250
**RESOURCE GROUP FORM**  
Site #8  PO07154

### HISTORY & DESCRIPTION

- **Construction Year:** 1920  
  - approximately  
  - year listed or earlier  
  - year listed or later
- **Architect/Designer (last name first):**
- **Builder (last name first):**
- **Total number of individual resources included in this Resource Group:**  
  - # of contributing: 1  
  - # of non-contributing: 0
- **Time period(s) of significance:** (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1929)
  1. Twentieth C American  
  2.  
  3.  
  4.  
- **Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; fit a summary into 3 lines or attach supplementary sheets if needed):**

  8PO07154 consists of a two-lane asphalt road w/overhead utilities & sdwlk w/curbing along N side. Originally part of Dixie Hwy, est. to bring growing auto tourism to the S. Material from brick to asphalt, setting changed by dev, sdwlk, & utilities.

### RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- **FMSF record search (sites/surveys)**
- **FL State Archives/photo collection**
- **Property appraiser / tax records**
- **Cultural resource survey**
- **Other methods (specify):**
- **Library research**
- **City directory**
- **Newspaper files**
- **Historic photos**
- **Building permits**
- **Occipant/owner interview**
- **Neighbor interview**
- **Public Lands Survey (DEP)**
- **Sanborn maps**
- **Plat maps**
- **Interior inspection**
- **HABS/HAER record search**

### OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

- Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places?  
  - **yes**  
  - **no**  
  - insufficient information
- Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district?  
  - **yes**  
  - **no**  
  - insufficient information
- **Explanation of Evaluation** (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.)
  There is insufficient information to make an eligibility recommendation for 8PO07154 at this time.

### DOCUMENTATION

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File** - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document type</th>
<th>Document description</th>
<th>Maintaining organization</th>
<th>File or accession #’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>All materials at one location</td>
<td>Southeastern Archaeological Research</td>
<td>180215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RECODER INFORMATION

- **Recorder Name:** Armstrong, Kirsten
- **Affiliation:** Southeastern Archaeological Research
- **Recorder Contact Information:** 3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com
- **(address / phone / fax / e-mail)**

### Required Attachments

1. **PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED**
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED**
3. **TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES** (name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or township-range-section if no address)
4. **PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETSCAPE OR VIEWS** (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources)
   - Photos may be archival B&W prints OR digital image files. If submitting digital image files, they must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
Site Name(s) (address if none) 6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 1

Multiple Listing (DHR only)

National Register Category (please check one)  X building  structure  district  site  object

Ownership: ☐private-profit  ☐private-nonprofit  ☐private-individual  ☐private-nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 6703  US 17/92

USGS 7.5 Map Name  Davieport

Survey Project Name  Poinciana Parkeway Extension

USGS Date  2018

Plat or Other Map

City / Town (within 3 miles) Haines City

In City Limits? yes  ☐no  ☐unknown

County Polk

Twp 26S  Range  28E  Section 06  ¼ section:  ☐NW  ☐SW  ☐SE  ☐NE

UTM Coordinates: Zone 16  17  Easting  Northing

Other Coordinates: X:  Y:

Coordinate System & Datum

HISTORY

Construction Year  1950  X approximately  ☐year listed or earlier  ☐year listed or later

Original Use  Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)

Current Use  Abandoned/Vacant

Other Use

From (year): 1950  To (year):  unknown

From (year):  unknown  To (year):  2019

Original address

Architect (last name first):

Builder (last name first):

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? ☐yes  ☐no  ☐unknown  Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style  Frame Vernacular

Exterior Plan  Rectangular

Number of Stories  1

Exterior Fabric(s)  1. Wood siding

Roof Type(s)  1. Gable

Roof Material(s)  1. Sheet metal; corrugated

Roof secondary structs. (dormers etc.) 1.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed)

DHR USE ONLY

SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: ☐yes  ☐no  ☐insufficient info

KEEPER - Determined eligible: ☐yes  ☐no

Date  Init.

NR Criteria for Evaluation:  a  b  c  d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

Date  Init.

-sponsored – Determined ineligible: ☐yes  ☐no

Date  Init.

-sponsors – Determined ineligible: ☐yes  ☐no

Date  Init.

-owners – Determined ineligible: ☐yes  ☐no

Date  Init.
**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney Number</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s): 1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s):</td>
<td>1. Wood frame</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Type(s):</td>
<td>1. Unknown</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Material(s):</td>
<td>1. Other</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Main Entrance (stylistic details) The main entrance on the W façade has been boarded over.

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) The porch on the W façade has been enclosed.

Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☐ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

*Sanborn is a one story, rectangular plan Vernacular residence set on a foundation obscured by overgrown grass. Windows and doors have been boarded and condition is poor.*

Archaeological Remains

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)</td>
<td>☐ library research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ FL State Archives/photo collection</td>
<td>☐ building permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ property appraiser/tax records</td>
<td>☐ Sanborn maps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ cultural resource survey (CRAS)</td>
<td>☐ city directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ other methods (describe)</td>
<td>☐ occupant/owner interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian/Windshield Survey</td>
<td>☐ neighbor interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ other methods (describe)</td>
<td>☐ public Lands Survey (DEP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?</td>
<td>☐ yes</td>
<td>☐ no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?</td>
<td>☐ yes</td>
<td>☐ no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

Due to lack of sufficient historic significance, integrity, and architectural distinction, *Sanborn is ineligble for listing in the NRHP. Either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g., “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>6.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Document Description</th>
<th>Maintaining Organization</th>
<th>File or Accession #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>All materials at one location</td>
<td>Southeastern Archaeological Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECORD INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recorder Name</th>
<th>Armstrong, Kirsten</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recorder Contact Information</td>
<td>1317 Edgewater Dr Orlando Fl/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td>Southeastern Archaeological Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Required Attachments**

1. **USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED**
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**  
**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**  
Version 4.0  /  1/07

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name(s) (address if none)</th>
<th>6606 US Highway 17/92</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Listing (DHR only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Project Name</td>
<td>Poinciana Parkway Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Register Category (please check one)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ building</td>
<td>☐ structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership: ☐ private-profit</td>
<td>☐ private-nonprofit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION & MAPPING**

- **Address:** 6606 US Highway 17/92
- **Cross Streets (nearest/between):** US Highway 17/92 & Parker Rd
- **USGS 7.5 Map Name:** Davenport
- **City / Town:** (within 3 miles) Davenport
- **Township:** 26S
- **Range:** 28E
- **Section:** 07
- **¼ section:** 1W
- **Land Grant:** Landgrant
- **Subdivision Name:** Miller Roy A
- **UTM Coordinates:** Zone 16
- **Eastings:** 17
- **Northings:**
- **Other Coordinates:** X: __________ Y: __________
- **Name of Public Tract (e.g., park):**
- **Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.):**

**HISTORY**

- **Construction Year:** 1955
- **Original Use:** Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)
- **Current Use:** Abandoned/Vacant
- **Other Use:**
- **Moves:** ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown
- **Alterations:** ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown
- **Additions:** ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown
- **Architect:** (last name first):
- **Builder:** (last name first):
- **Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.):**

**Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?** ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown

**DESCRIPTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Exterior Fabric(s)</th>
<th>Exterior Plan</th>
<th>Number of Stories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>1. Wood siding</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Type(s)</td>
<td>1. Gable</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Material(s)</td>
<td>1. Sheet metal: corrugated</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof secondary structs. (dormers etc.)</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Windows** (types, materials, etc.): 1/1 DHS wood windows; most windows are obscured by vegetation

**Distinguishing Architectural Features** (exterior or interior ornaments): There are no visible distinguishing characteristics of 8PO07157 as the resource is covered w/ivy and surrounded by plant growth.

**Ancillary Features / Outbuildings** (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed): 8PO07157 shares a parcel with the non-historic burned ruins of a building.

---

**DHR USE ONLY**

- **NR List Date:**  
- **Owner Objection:**
- **SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:** ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient info
- **KEEPER – Determined eligible:**
- **NR Criteria for Evaluation:** ☐ a ☐ b ☐ c ☐ d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney Material(s):</th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s):</td>
<td>1. Wood frame</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Type(s):</td>
<td>1. Unknown</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Material(s):</td>
<td>1. Other</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Main Entrance (stylistic details)**
The main entry is not visible from the right of way.

**Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)**
There is no porch visible from the right of way.

**Condition (overall resource condition):**
- [ ] excellent
- [ ] good
- [x] fair
- [ ] deteriorated
- [ ] ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource:**

8PO07157 is a one story, rectangular plan Frame Vernacular building set on a foundation obscured from view by vegetation. The resource is covered with ivy and surrounded by various trees and shrubs.

**Archaeological Remains**

---

**RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)**

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [ ] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [ ] property appraiser / tax records
- [ ] cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- [ ] other methods (describe) Pedestrian/Windshield Survey

**Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)**

1. ___________________________________    3. ___________________________________    5. ___________________________________

---

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

**Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?**
- [ ] yes
- [x] no
- [ ] insufficient information

**Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?**
- [x] yes
- [ ] no
- [ ] insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)**

Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO07157 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance**

(see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1. ___________________________________    3. ___________________________________    5. ___________________________________
2. ___________________________________    4. ___________________________________    6. ___________________________________

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File** - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type: All materials at one location
   Document description: Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials
   Maintaining organization: Southeastern Archaeological Research
   File or accession #’s: 180215

2) Document type: ****
   Document description: ****
   Maintaining organization: ****
   File or accession #’s: ****

---

**RECODER INFORMATION**

**Recorder Name:** Armstrong, Kirsten
**Affiliation:** Southeastern Archaeological Research
**Recorder Contact Information:** 3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com

---

**Required Attachments**

1. **USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED**
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs). National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated to the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:

- Historic district (NR category “district”): buildings and NR structures only; NO archaeological sites
- Archaeological district (NR category “district”): archaeological sites only; NO buildings or NR structures
- Mixed district (NR category “district”): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings)
- Building complex (NR category usually “building(s)”: multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association
- Designed historic landscape (NR category usually “district” or “site”): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g., parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.)
- Rural historic landscape (NR category usually “district” or “site”): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g., farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.)
- Linear resource (NR category usually “structure”): Linear resources are a special type of rural historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Group Name</th>
<th>South Florida Railroad</th>
<th>Multiple Listing (DHR only)</th>
<th>FMSF Survey #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Poinciana Parkway Extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Register Category</td>
<td>building(s)</td>
<td>structure</td>
<td>district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Resource Type (if applicable)</td>
<td>canal</td>
<td>railway</td>
<td>road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>private-profit</td>
<td>private-nonprofit</td>
<td>private-individual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOCATION & MAPPING

| Address: | | | |
| City/Town (within 3 miles) | Davenport | In Current City Limits? | yes | no | unknown |
| County or Counties (do not abbreviate) | Polk |
| Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) | |
| 1) Township | 26S | Range | 28E | Section | 6 | \(\frac{1}{4}\) section: | NW | SW | SE | NE | Irregular-name: |
| 2) Township | | | | | | |
| 3) Township | | | | | | |
| 4) Township | | | | | | |
| USGS 7.5' Map(s) | Name | DAVENPORT | USGS Date | 2018 |
| 2) Name | INTERCESSION CITY | USGS Date | 2018 |

Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location) |

Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map) 

HR6E057R0107 Florida Master Site File, Division of Historical Resources. R. A. Gray Building, 500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250 Phone (850) 245-6440 Fax (850) 245-6439 E-mail SiteFile@dos.state.fl.us
HISTORY & DESCRIPTION

Construction Year: 1884
Architect/Designer(last name first): 
Builder(last name first): 

Time period(s) of significance (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1929)
1. Nineteenth C. American 1821-1899
2. Twentieth C American

Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; fit a summary into 3 lines or attach supplementary sheets if needed) Consists of a 100ft-wide row w/standard gauge tracks, wood ties, & gravel ballast. 1880, RR from Sanford to Orlando. 1882, ext to Kissimmee. 1883, interest sold to Henry Plant for ext to Tampa Bay, opened 1884. Transported people and shipped phosphate.

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- Florida State Archives/photo collection
- Florida property appraiser / tax records
- Florida cultural resource survey
- Other methods (specify)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant)

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? Yes No Insufficient Information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? Yes No Insufficient Information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.) 8PO07219 is eligible for the NRHP under Criterion A, for association with transportation, commerce, and dev of phosphate mining industry, and under Criterion B, for association with Henry Plant.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. Transportation
2. Commerce
3. Community planning & development
4. Industry
5. 
6. 

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location
Document description Photos, Maps, Field Notes,erials
Maintaining organization Southeastern Archaeological Research
File or accession #s

2) Document type
Document description
Maintaining organization
File or accession #s

RECORER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Armstrong, Kirsten
Recorder Contact Information 1317 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com

Required Attachments

1. Photocopy of USGS 7.5' map with district boundary clearly marked
2. Large scale street, plat or parcel map with resources mapped & labeled
3. Tabulation of all included resources (name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or township-range-section if no address)
4. Photos of general streetscape or views (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources) Photos may be archival B&W prints OR digital image files. If submitting digital image files, they must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0  1/07

Site Name(s) (address if none)  7225 Old Kissimmee Road, Building 1
Survey Project Name  Poinciana Parkway Extension
National Register Category (please check one)  X building  X structure  X district  X site  X object
Ownership:  X private-profit  X private-nonprofit  X private-individual  X private-nonspecific  X city  X county  X state  X federal  X Native American  X foreign  X unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING
Street Number  Direction  Street Name  Street Type  Suffix Direction
Address:  7225  Old Kissimmee  Road
Cross Streets (nearest/between)  Church Road and Sandy Oak Drive
USGS 7.5 Map Name  INTERCESSION CITY
City/Town (within 3 miles)  Davenport
 Township  26S  Range  28E  Section  6  ¼ Section:  X NW  X SW  X SE  X NE  Landgrant
 Township  26S  Range  28E  Section  6  ¼ Section:  X NW  X SW  X SE  X NE  Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel #:  28-26-06-000000-034080
Subdivision Name:  N/A
UTM Coordinates:  Zone  16  17  Easting  Northing
Other Coordinates:  X:  Y:  Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY
Construction Year:  1965  X approximately  X year listed or earlier  X year listed or later
Original Use:  Mobile Home/Trailer Home
Current Use:  Mobile Home/Trailer Home
Other Use
Moves:  X yes  X no  X unknown  Date:  Original address
Alterations:  X yes  X no  X unknown  Date:  Nature
Additions:  X yes  X no  X unknown  Date:  Nature
Architect (last name first):  Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  X yes  X no  X unknown  Describe

DESCRIPTION
Style:  X Other
Exterior Fabric(s):  1. Metal
Roof Type(s):  1. Gable
Roof Material(s):  1. Sheet metal: corrugated
Rectangular  Number of Stories:  1
Exterior Plan
Windows:  2/2, SHS metal windows; 2 pane metal casement windows; 4 light metal awning windows; some windows covered
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments):  Mobile Home; roof vent; lantern style lights on south façade; slightly projecting gable end; double wide.
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed) 8PO08109 is located on the same parcel as 8PO08197.

DHR USE ONLY
SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:  X yes  X no  X insufficient info  Date  Init.
KEEPER – Determined eligible:  X yes  X no  Date  Init.
NR Criteria for Evaluation:  X a  X b  X c  X d  (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

HR6E046R0107 Florida Master Site File / Division of Historical Resources / R. A. Gray Building / 500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250
Phone (850) 245-6440 / Fax (850)245-6439 / E-mail Sitefile@dos.state.fl.us
### DESCRIPTION (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No._</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s): 1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural System(s):</th>
<th>1. Wood frame</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundation Type(s):</th>
<th>1. Piers</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundation Material(s):</th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Main Entrance (stylistic details)
- There are 2 entrances on the east façade. One, a flush door w/rectangular pane. The other, a flush door w/sidelights.

#### Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
- There is no porch associated with 8PO08109.

#### Condition (overall resource condition):
- [x] excellent
- [ ] good
- [ ] fair
- [ ] deteriorated
- [ ] ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource**
8PO08109 is a one story, rectangular plan Mobile Home set on a pier foundation obscured from view from the right of way.

Archaeological Remains

---

### RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [x] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [x] property appraiser / tax records
- [x] cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- [x] other methods (describe) Pedestrian/Windshield Survey
- [x] building permits
- [x] Sanborn maps
- [x] plat maps
- [ ] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- [ ] HABS/HAER record search
- [ ] newspaper files
- [ ] neighbor interview
- [ ] interior inspection
- [ ] FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed

### OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

**Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?**
- [x] yes
- [ ] no
- [ ] insufficient information

**Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?**
- [ ] yes
- [x] no
- [ ] insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)**

Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08109 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance** (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1.  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  

### DOCUMENTATION

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents**

1) **Document type**: All materials at one location  
   **Document description**: Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials  
   **Maintaining organization**: Southeastern Archaeological Research  
   **File or accession #s**: 180215

2) **Document type**:  
   **Document description**:  
   **Maintaining organization**:  
   **File or accession #s**:  

### RECORDER INFORMATION

**Recorder Name**: Armstrong, Kirsten  
**Affiliation**: Southeastern Archaeological Research  
**Recorder Contact Information**: 3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com

**Required Attachments**

1. **USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED**
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0 1/07

Site Name(s) (address if none): 7225 Old Kissimmee Road, Building 2

Survey Project Name: Poinciana Parkway Extension

National Register Category (please check one): X building  Structure  district  site  object

Ownership: X private-profit  private-nonprofit  X private-individual  private-nonspecific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 7225 Old Kissimmee Road

Cross Streets: (nearest/between) Church Road and Shady Oaks Drive

USGS 7.5 Map Name: Intercession City

City/Town (within 3 miles) Davenport

Tax Parcel #: 28-26-06-000000-034880

Subdivision Name: N/A

UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting Northing

Other Coordinates: X:  Y: Coordinate System & Datum

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park): N/A

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1970  X approximately  □ year listed or earlier  □ year listed or later

Original Use: Mobile Home/Trailer Home

Current Use: Mobile Home/Trailer Home

Other Use: X

Moves:  □ yes  X no  □ unknown Date: —— Original address

Alterations:  □ yes  X no  □ unknown Date: —— Nature

Additions:  □ yes  □ no  □ unknown Date: —— Nature

Architect (last name first): —— Builder (last name first): ——

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.):

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  □ yes  X no  □ unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style: X Other

Exterior Fabric(s): 1. Metal

Roof Type(s): 1. Flat

Roof Material(s): 1. Sheet metal: corrugated

Roof secondary structs. (dormers etc.): 1.

Windows (types, materials, etc.): 1/1 SHS aluminum windows

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments): Mobile Home; minimal ornamentation

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed): 8PO08197 shares a parcel with 8PO08109.

DHR USE ONLY

NR List Date: □ yes  □ no  □ insufficient info Date: —— Init.

KEEPER - Determined eligible:  □ yes  □ no

NR Criteria for Evaluation: □ a  □ b  □ c  □ d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

Site #: PO08197

Field Date: 4-24-2019

Form Date: 4-29-2019

Recorder #: ——

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.
**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

Chimney: No.  0  Chimney Material(s): 1.  ______________  2.  ______________  3.  ______________

Foundation Type(s): 1.  ____________________________  2.  ____________________________  3.  ____________________________

Foundation Material(s): 1.  ____________________________  2.  ____________________________

Main Entrance (stylistic details)  Main entrance not visible from the right of way.

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)  There are no porches visible from the right of way.

Condition (overall resource condition): ☑️ excellent  ☐️ good  ☐️ fair  ☐️ deteriorated  ☐️ ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource  8PO08197 is a one story, rectangular plan Mobile Home, set on a pier foundation, obscured from view from the right of way.

Archaeological Remains  ____________________________  ☐️ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

**RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)**

- ☑️ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- ☑️ FL State Archives/photo collection
- ☑️ property appraiser / tax records
- ☑️ cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- ☑️ other methods (describe) Pedestrian/Windshield Survey

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

- ☑️ Library research
- ☑️ building permits
- ☑️ Sanborn maps
- ☑️ city directory
- ☑️ occupant/owner interview
- ☑️ plat maps
- ☑️ newspaper files
- ☑️ neighbor interview
- ☑️ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- ☑️ historic photos
- ☑️ interior inspection
- ☑️ HABS/HAER record search

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  ☑️ yes  ☐️ no  ☐️ insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  ☑️ yes  ☐️ no  ☐️ insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08197 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP; either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1.  ____________________________  2.  ____________________________  3.  ____________________________  4.  ____________________________  5.  ____________________________  6.  ____________________________

**DOCUMENTATION**

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type  All materials at one location  Document description  Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials  Maintaining organization  Southeastern Archaeological Research  File or accession #s  180215

2) Document type  ____________________________  Document description  ____________________________  Maintaining organization  ____________________________  File or accession #s  ____________________________

**RECORER INFORMATION**

Recorder Name  Armstrong, Kirsten  Affiliation  Southeastern Archaeological Research

Recorder Contact Information  3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/Kirsten.armstrong@earchinccom

**Required Attachments**

1) USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2) LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0  1/07

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 2

Survey Project Name Poinciana Parkway Extension

National Register Category (please check one) ☐building ☐structure ☐district ☐site ☐object

Ownership: ☐private-profit ☐private-nonprofit ☐private-individual ☐private-nonspecific ☐city ☐county ☐state ☐federal ☐Native American ☐foreign ☐unknown

Location & Mapping

Address: 6703 US 17/92 Highway

Cross Streets (nearest/between) Parker Road and Ronald Regan Parkway

USGS 7.5 Map Name Davenport

City / Town (within 3 miles) Davenport

Township 26S Range 28E Section 6

Tax Parcel #: 28-26-06-000000-042050

Subdivision Name N/A

UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 176686 Northing 1931254 Coordinate System & Datum

History

Construction Year: 1972 ☐approximately ☐year listed or earlier ☐year listed or later

Original Use: Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)

Current Use: Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)

Other Use:

Moves: ☐yes ☐no ☐unknown Date:

Alterations: ☐yes ☐no ☐unknown Date:

Additions: ☐yes ☐no ☐unknown Date:

Architect (last name first):

Builder (last name first):

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? ☐yes ☐no ☐unknown

Description

Style: Frame Vernacular

Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Aluminum

Roof Type(s) 1. Gable

Roof Material(s) 1. Asphalt shingles

Roof secondary struc. (domers etc.) 1.

Windows (types, materials, etc.) Jalousie windows; fixed frame windows; most windows not visible from right of way.

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Wide sloping gable roof; vent in gable ends; prominent barge board

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) 8PO08198 shares a parcel with 8PO07156 and 8PO08199.

DHR Use Only

NR List Date

☐Owner Objection

SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: ☐yes ☐no ☐insufficient info Date _______________ Init. __________

KEEPER – Determined eligible: ☐yes ☐no Date _______________

NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b ☐c ☐d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**

### DESCRIPTION (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No.</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s):</th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s):</td>
<td>1. Wood frame</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Type(s):</td>
<td>1. Unknown</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Material(s):</td>
<td>1. Other</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Main Entrance (stylistic details)**

Located on the N façade, the main entrance consists of a paneled door.

**Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)**

There is no porch associated with 8PO08198 visible from the right of way.

**Condition (overall resource condition):**

- [ ] excellent
- [ ] good
- [x] fair
- [ ] deteriorated
- [ ] ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource**

8PO08198 is a one story, rectangular plan Frame Vernacular residence set on a foundation obscured from view from the right of way.

### RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [ ] library research
- [ ] building permits
- [ ] Sanborn maps
- [ ] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [ ] city directory
- [ ] occupant/owner interview
- [ ] plat maps
- [ ] property appraiser / tax records
- [ ] newspaper files
- [ ] neighbor interview
- [ ] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- [ ] cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- [ ] historic photos
- [ ] interior inspection
- [ ] HABS/HAER record search
- [ ] other methods (describe) Pedestrian/Windshield Survey

### OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

- [ ] Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?
- [ ] Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?
- [ ] Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed): Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08198 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

### AREA(S) OF HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE

(see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 

### DOCUMENTATION

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document type</th>
<th>Document description</th>
<th>Appliance or file #s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) All materials at one location</td>
<td>Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials</td>
<td>Southeastern Archaeological Research File or accession #s 180215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECORDER INFORMATION**

- **Recorder Name**: Armstrong, Kirsten
- **Affiliation**: Southeastern Archaeological Research
- **Recorder Contact Information**: 3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com

### REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

1. **USGS 7.5’ Map with Structure Location Pinpointed in Red**
2. **Large Scale Street, Plat or Parcel Map** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. **Photo of Main Facade, Archival B&W Print or Digital Image File**

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**

**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**

**Version 4.0 1/07**

Shaded fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

**Site Name(s) (address if none):** 6703 US Highway 17/92, Building 3

**Survey Project Name:** Poinciana Parkway Extension

**Multiple Listing (DHR only):**

**Survey # (DHR only):**

**National Register Category (please check one):**
- □ building
- □ structure
- □ district
- □ site
- □ object

**Ownership:**
- □ private-profit
- □ private-nonprofit
- □ private-individual
- □ private-nonspecific
- □ city
- □ county
- □ state
- □ federal
- □ Native American
- □ foreign
- □ unknown

### LOCATION & MAPPING

**Address:** 6703 US 17/92

**Street Number:**
- □ 6703

**Direction:**
- □ US 17/92

**Street Name:** Highway

**Suffix Direction:**
- □

**Cross Streets (nearest/between):** Ronald Reagan Parkway and Parker Drive

**USGS 7.5 Map Name:** Davenport

**City / Town (within 3 miles):** Davenport

**Township:** 26S

**Range:** 28E

**Section:** 6

**¼ Section:**
- □ NW
- □ SW
- □ SE
- □ NE

**Area:**
- □ Irregular

**Name:** Ronald Reagan Parkway and Parker Drive

**UTM Coordinates:**
- □ Zone 16
- □ Easting 655712
- □ Northing 3701814

**Other Coordinates:**
- □ X: _________________
- □ Y: _________________

**Name of Public Tract (e.g., park):**

**TM Coordinates:**
- □ N/A

**Owner Objection NR Criteria for Evaluation:**
- □ yes
- □ no

**HISTORY**

**Construction Year:** 1972

**Original Use:** Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)

**Current Use:** Auto dealership

**Other Use:**
- □

**Moves:**
- □ yes
- □ no
- □ unknown

**Alterations:**
- □ yes
- □ no
- □ unknown

**Additions:**
- □ yes
- □ no
- □ unknown

**Architect (last name first):**

**Builder (last name first):**

**Original address:**

**Date:**

**From (year):** 1972

**To (year):** unk

**Current Use:** Auto dealership

**From (year):** unk

**To (year):** 2019

**Moves:**
- □ yes
- □ no
- □ unknown

**Date:**

**Alterations:**
- □ yes
- □ no
- □ unknown

**Nature:** Door boarded W

**Additions:**
- □ yes
- □ no
- □ unknown

**Nature:** Addition to W

**Architect (last name first):**

**Builder (last name first):**

**Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?**
- □ yes
- □ no
- □ unknown

**Description:**

### DESCRIPTION

**Style:** Masonry Vernacular

**Exterior Plan:** Irregular

**Number of Stories:** 1

**Exterior Fabric(s):**
- □ Stucco

**Roof Type(s):**
- □ Gable

**Roof Material(s):**
- □ Asphalt shingles

**Roof secondary structs. (e.g., dormers etc.):**

**Windows (types, materials, etc.):** 2/2 SBS aluminum windows

**Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments):**

**Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.):** 8PO08199 shares a parcel with 8PO07156 and 8PO08198.

### DHR USE ONLY

**NR List Date:**

**SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:**
- □ yes
- □ no
- □ insufficient info

**KEEPER – Determined eligible:**
- □ yes
- □ no

**Date:**

**Init.:**

**NR Criteria for Evaluation:**
- □ a
- □ b
- □ c
- □ d

(see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

---

**Form Date:** 4-29-2019

**Recorder #:**

**Site #:** PO08199

**Field Date:** 4-24-2019

**Site File@dos.state.fl.us**

**Version 4.0 1/07**

**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**

**Version 4.0 1/07**

Shaded fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**

**Site # PO08199**

### DESCRIPTION (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No.</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s): 1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s): 1. Masonry - General</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Entrance (stylistic details) Located on W façade, double paneled doors w/rectangular glass panes, covered by metal security bars.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) There is no porch associated with 8PO08199 visible from the right of way.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Condition (overall resource condition):**

- [ ] excellent
- [ ] good
- [ ] fair
- [ ] deteriorated
- [ ] ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource**

8PO08199 is a one story, irregular plan Masonry Vernacular building set on a continuous foundation covered with stucco. The roof over the addition is compromised and is warping.

**Archaeological Remains**

- [ ] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

### RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [ ] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [ ] Library research
- [ ] Building permits
- [ ] Sanborn maps
- [ ] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [ ] City directory
- [ ] Occupant/owner interview
- [ ] Plat maps
- [ ] Property appraiser / tax records
- [ ] Newspaper files
- [ ] Neighbor interview
- [ ] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- [ ] Cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- [ ] Historic photos
- [ ] Interior inspection
- [ ] HABS/HAER record search
- [ ] Other methods (describe) Pedestrian/Windshield Survey

### OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

- [ ] Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?
- [ ] Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?

**Explanation of Evaluation**

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No
- [ ] Insufficient information

Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08199 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance** (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1.  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  

### DOCUMENTATION

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document type</th>
<th>Document description</th>
<th>Maintaining organization</th>
<th>File or accession #s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All materials at one location</td>
<td>Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials</td>
<td>Southeastern Archaeological Research</td>
<td>180215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECORDER INFORMATION**

- **Recorder Name:** Armstrong, Kirsten
- **Affiliation:** Southeastern Archaeological Research
- **Recorder Contact Information:** 3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com

### Required Attachments

1. **USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED**
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser websites)
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**
   
   If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
**LOCATION & MAPPING**

- **Address:** 6702 US Highway 17/92
- **USGS 7.5 Map Name:** Davenport
- **USGS Date:** 2018
- **Township:** 26S
- **Range:** 28E
- **Section:** 6
- **Land Grant:** ¼ section:
- **Other Coordinates:** X: _______________ Y: _______________
- **Coordinate System & Datum:**

**HISTORY**

- **Construction Year:** 1962
- **Original Use:** Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)
- **Current Use:** Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)
- **Other Use:**
- **Moves:**
- **Alterations:**
- **Additions:**
- **Architect (last name first):**
- **Builder (last name first):**
- **Ownership History:**

**DESCRIPTION**

- **Style:** Frame Vernacular
- **Exterior Fabric(s):**
  - 1. Drop siding
  - 2. Wood/Plywood
- **Roof Type(s):**
  - 1. Gable
- **Roof Material(s):**
  - 1. Composition roll
- **Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)**
- **Windows:**
- **Distinguishing Architectural Features:**
- **Ancillary Features / Outbuildings:**

**DHR USE ONLY**

- **Owner Objection:**
- **NR List Date:**
- **SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:**
- **KEEPER – Determined eligible:**
- **NR Criteria for Evaluation:** (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
### DESCRIPTION (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No.</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s):</th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s):</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Wood frame</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Type(s):</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Material(s):</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Entrance (stylistic details)</td>
<td>Main entrance not visible from right of way.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Open porch on w façade, covered by extension of roof supported by large square columns.

### Condition (overall resource condition):

- [ ] excellent
- [ ] good
- [ ] fair
- [ ] deteriorated
- [ ] ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource**

8PO08200 is a one story, irregular plan Frame Vernacular residence set on a foundation obscured from view from the right of way. The roof is warping and siding is coming off of the W façade.

### Archaeological Remains

- [ ] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

### RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [ ] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [ ] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [ ] property appraiser / tax records
- [ ] cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- [ ] other methods (describe)

**Pedestrian/Windshield Survey**

**Public Lands Survey (DEP)**

**Building permits**

**Occupant/owner interview**

**Neighbor interview**

**Interior inspection**

**Sanborn maps**

**Plat maps**

**HABS/HAER record search**

**Library research**

**City directory**

**Newspaper files**

**Historic photos**

**Library research**

**City directory**

**Newspaper files**

**Historic photos**

**Other**

**FL State Archives/photo collection**

**Library research**

**City directory**

**Newspaper files**

**Historic photos**

**Library research**

**City directory**

**Newspaper files**

**Historic photos**

### Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________________</td>
<td>__________________________</td>
<td>__________________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

- [ ] Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes
- [ ] not sufficient information
- [ ] Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes
- [ ] not sufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not, use separate sheet if needed)**

Due to lack of sufficient historic significance and architectural distinction, 8PO08200 is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource within a potential or existing historic district.

### Area(s) of Historical Significance

(see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1. __________________________
2. __________________________
3. __________________________
4. __________________________
5. __________________________
6. __________________________

### DOCUMENTATION

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File** - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document type</th>
<th>Document description</th>
<th>Maintaining organization</th>
<th>File or accession #’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>All materials at one location</td>
<td>Southeastern Archaeological Research</td>
<td>180215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Photos, Maps, Field Notes, Aerials</td>
<td>Southeastern Archaeological Research</td>
<td>180215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RECORDER INFORMATION

**Recorder Name** Armstrong, Kirsten

**Affiliation** Southeastern Archaeological Research

**Recorder Contact Information**

3117 Edgewater Dr Orlando/4072367711/4072367799/kirsten.armstrong@searchinc.com

### Required Attachments

1. **USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED**
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
Survey Log Sheet
Florida Master Site File
Version 4.1 1/07

Consult Guide to the Survey Log Sheet for detailed instructions.

Identification and Bibliographic Information

Survey Project (name and project phase)  Phase I CRAS CFX Poinciana Parkway Extension PD&E Study, Osceola and Polk Counties

Report Title (exactly as on title page)  Cultural Resource Assessment Survey for the Poinciana Parkway Extension (State Road 538) Project Development and Environment Study, Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida.

Report Authors (as on title page, last names first)  1. Sypniewski, Christopher  3. Armstrong, Kirsten

Publication Date (year)  2019  Total Number of Pages in Report (count text, figures, tables, not site forms)  71

Publication Information (Give series, number in series, publisher and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of American Antiquity.)  On file at SEARCH, Newberry, Florida. SEARCH Project No. 180215.

Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as author)  Names  Sarah Bennett

Affiliation of Fieldworkers:  Organization  Southeastern Archaeological Research  City  Pensacola

Key Words/Phrases (Don't use county name, or common words like archaeology, structure, survey, architecture, etc.)

1. Phase I
2. Poinciana Parkway
3. Reedy Creek Swamp
4. Orange Blossom Trail
5. SFWMD
6. Reedy Creek Mitigation
7. 
8. 

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, organization or person directly funding fieldwork)

Name  Central Florida Expressway Authority  Organization  Southeastern Archaeological Research

Address/Phone/E-mail  700 N 9th Ave, Pensacola, Florida, 32501

Recorder of Log Sheet  Christopher Sypniewski  Date Log Sheet Completed  5-22-2019

Is this survey or project a continuation of a previous project?  X No  Yes: Previous survey #s (FMSF only)  

Mapping

Counties (List each one in which field survey was done; attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Osceola
2. Polk
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 

USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Latest Revision (attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Name  DAVENPORT  Year  2018  
2. Name  INTERCESSION CITY  Year  2018  
3. Name  

Description of Survey Area

Dates for Fieldwork:  Start  4-16-2019  End  5-5-2019  Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) hectares  163.56 acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed  1

If Corridor (fill in one for each)  Width:  100 meters  330 feet  Length:  3 kilometers  3 miles
### Survey Log Sheet

#### Research and Field Methods

**Types of Survey (check all that apply):**
- [x] archaeological
- [ ] architectural
- [x] historical/archival
- [ ] underwater
- [ ] damage assessment
- [ ] monitoring report
- [ ] other (describe):

**Scope/Intensity/Procedures**
Phase I CRAS of archaeological and historic buildings. Systematic shovel testing at 25-, 50-, and 100-m intervals based on high, medium, and low probability.

---

**Preliminary Methods**
(check as many as apply to the project as a whole)
- [ ] Florida Archives (Gray Building)
- [x] Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building)
- [x] Site File property search
- [x] Site File survey search
- [ ] Library research - local public
- [ ] Library-special collection - nonlocal
- [ ] Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP)
- [ ] local informant(s)
- [ ] local property or tax records
- [ ] newspaper files
- [ ] literature search
- [ ] Sanborn Insurance maps
- [ ] other historic maps
- [ ] soils maps or data
- [ ] windshield survey
- [ ] aerial photography

**Archaeological Methods**
(check as many as apply to the project as a whole)
- [x] Check here if NO archaeological methods were used.
- [ ] surface collection, controlled
- [ ] shovel test 1/4” screen
- [ ] shovel test 1/8” screen
- [ ] shovel test 1/16” screen
- [ ] shovel test unscreened
- [ ] shovel test other screen size
- [ ] water screen
- [ ] posthole tests
- [ ] auger tests
- [ ] coring
- [ ] test excavation (at least 1 x 2 m)
- [ ] block excavation (at least 2 x 2 m)
- [ ] soil resistivity
- [ ] magnetometer
- [ ] side scan sonar
- [ ] pedestrian survey
- [ ] unknown

**Historical/Architectural Methods**
(check as many as apply to the project as a whole)
- [x] Check here if NO historical/architectural methods were used.
- [ ] demolition permits
- [ ] exposed ground inspected
- [ ] local property records
- [ ] local property or tax records
- [ ] local informant(s)
- [ ] neighbor interview
- [ ] subdivision maps
- [ ] tax records
- [ ] unknown

**Survey Results (cultural resources recorded)**

**Site Significance Evaluated?**
- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

Count of Previously Recorded Sites: 11  
Count of Newly Recorded Sites: 3

Previously Recorded Site #’s with Site File Update Forms (List site #’s without “0”. Attach additional pages if necessary.)
- OS02540, OS02567,
- PO07154, PO07156, PO07157, PO07219, PO08109, PO08197-PO08200

Newly Recorded Site #’s (Are all originals and not updates? List site #’s without “0”. Attach additional pages if necessary.)
- OS02940, OS02941,
- 80S02937

Site Forms Used:
- [ ] Site File Paper Form
- [x] Site File Electronic Recording Form

---

***REQUIRED: ATTACH PLOT OF SURVEY AREA ON PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 1:24,000 MAP(S)***

---

HR6066R0107 Florida Master Site File, Division of Historical Resources, Gray Building, 500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0250  
Phone 850-245-6440, FAX 850-245-6439, Email: SiteFile@dos.state.fl.us
APPENDIX E

MISPLOTTED/DEMOLITION LETTER
April 29, 2019

Dr. Eman M. Vovsi
Historical Data Analyst
Florida Master Site File
500 S. Bronough Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Subject: Demolished/Misplotted Buildings for the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Poinciana Parkway Extension (State Road 538), Polk and Osceola Counties, Florida

Dear Dr. Vovsi,

One previously recorded resource (8PO07155) was found to have been demolished during field review. Furthermore, two previously recorded resources (8PO07156 and 8PO07157) were found to have been misplotted within the Florida Master Site File (FMSF) GIS database and have been updated as part of this project.

If there are any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Armstrong, MPhil
Principal Investigator, Architectural History