Powerful Insights. Proven Delivery.™

### Central Florida Expressway Authority

### Back Office Customer Call Center Review May 2015



### **Table of Contents**

Section	Page(s)
Executive Summary	2
Summary of Review Results	3 - 4
Detailed Observations	5- 12
Appendix	13 – 43

© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

# **Executive Summary**

### <u>Overview</u>

In accordance with the 2015 Internal Audit Plan, Protiviti conducted a performance review of the existing customer call center operations, with a focus on evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of the call center.

The Authority utilizes a third-party vendor to provide call center customer services. The call center currently leverages a total of 46 full and part-time agents to support its call center operations (excluding image processing personnel). The average daily call volume is approximately 2,200 - 2,500 calls per day. The call volume follows a consistent pattern, with volume peaks in the morning and late afternoons and the highest volumes occurring on Mondays and Fridays.

The call center services consists of UTN payments (33%), customer service (32%), VES Response Line (19%), account replenishments (11%), and new E-PASS accounts (1%).

The technology used in the call center is based on an Avaya 8700 platform that is implemented in a configuration using multiple physical data centers. The Authority is in the process of selecting and acquiring a new Intelligent Voice Response solution to support its call center operations as it moves to a centralized back office center.

### **Objectives**

The objectives of the review were to (1) develop an understanding of the center's current state operations, (2) provide the Authority with recommendations and leading practices to consider implementing as the Authority moves to a centralized back office, and (3) provide a benchmark for evaluating the future performance of the centralized back office contact center.

### **Project Scope and Approach**

The call center performance review was accomplished through the execution of four inter-related work streams designed to analyze, document, and quantify the call center's application of people, process, and technology to provide customer service:

- People: Executive, Operations, IT, and Call Center Management Interviews
- Process: Detailed Call Analysis
- Statistical Analysis: Review and analysis of Call Center historical reporting
- Technology: Infrastructure and Application Review

The review was conducted over the period of March 23 – April 16, 2015 with the assistance of the Authority management and the third party call center operations vendor. A total of 400 call observations were performed during the evaluation of the call center operations.



### Summary of Audit Results

Internal Audit identified the following 6 observations and enhancement opportunity while performing the performance review procedures. Internal Audit classified the findings using a relative priority of high, medium, or low to provide management with a basis for evaluating them in the overall context of this report.

### **Observation #1 – Call Handling Performance**

The call center is experiencing prolonged periods of high Average Speed of Answer (ASA) times. There are opportunities to improve agent utilization and manage scheduling to decrease the average speed of answer and positively impact the customer experience.

Relative Priority: High

### **Observation #2 – Customer Call Experience**

There is inconsistent and duplicative information presented to customers on the call center phone menus and prompts. There are opportunities to improve caller experience for those dialing into the center by making prompts, menus and messaging more consistent.

### Relative Priority: High

### **Observation #3 – Call Center Reporting and Analytics**

The Authority and the third party call center vendor have opportunity to more fully utilize available performance reports to monitor call center key performance indicators. The call center currently tracks Average Speed of Answer, After-Call-Work, and handle times but does not track or monitor the factors that drive these statistics. There are opportunities to improve the call center efficiency and effectiveness by reviewing and utilizing additional statistical reports which Internal Audit has partnered with the Authority to help develop as a part of this review.

### Relative Priority: Medium

### **Observation #4 – Standardized Call Flow and Quality Assurance Processes**

There is not a standardized call flow established to ensure consistent customer call handling and service. In addition, the quality assurance process does not evaluate call center agents on a standard call flow. Aligning Quality Assurance forms and monitoring to a standardized call flow can serve to improve overall quality measurement while enabling analysts to focus on those behaviors that have the greatest impact on call quality.

### Relative Priority: High



### Summary of Audit Results (Cont.)

### **Observation #5 – Inbound Call Deflection and Avoidance**

There is an opportunity to reduce inbound call volume by improving the call center agent messaging and improving the Authorities web presence to make it more user friendly. Reduced call volume can lead to cost reductions.

Relative Priority: Medium

### **Observation #6 – Call Automation**

Up to 30% of a call center agents call handling time is spent identifying the customer and retrieving their account information. There is an opportunity to reduce the call handling time by introducing call automation, which would identify and validate customers prior to reaching the call cent agent.

Relative Priority: High



#### Call Handling Performance

Observation 1 - Call Handling Performance.

**Relative Priority: High** 

**Call Experience** 

The call center has a target Average speed of Answer (ASA) of 60 seconds. Currently the call center's ASA is approximately 128 seconds, which is consistent across all call types and skills. There are several factor that play a role in the prolonged ASA times. Call center agents spend approximately 50% of their time handling inbound calls while 30% of agent time is spent in various auxiliary states, including After-Call-Work (ACW), which represents about 50% of agent auxiliary time on average.

**Reporting and Analytics** 

> Quality Assurance

> Processes

The Authority's Avaya Automatic Call Distribution ("ACD") system is programmed to provide an automatic 20 seconds of ACW at the end of each call for the call center agent to complete servicing the call, make notation on the customer's account, etc. The industry average ACW is between 12-15 seconds. Based on the 400 calls observed, there is not a great deal of after-call work activity performed.

### **Recommendation:**

The Authority should consider making changes to the call center agent scheduling to leverage part-time staff and improve agent utilization to increase agent availability and lower average speed of answer to the call center's target of 60 seconds. There are several inexpensive staffing tools that can be utilized to effectively manage the call center schedule, such as ccModeler.

**Call Deflection** 

**Call Automation** 

The Authority and third party vendor should also consider performing additional analysis into the factors that drive the ACW time to determine if the ACW time can be reduced in an effort to improve agent availability and improve the ASA. The analysis should include an evaluation of the actual time utilized to capture call servicing notations and other information after the call has ended, and evaluate the extent to which these activities are utilized to service customers or improve the efficiency of future calls.

### Management Response:

The Authority concurs.

### Management Action Plan:

The Authority will utilize the recommended ccModeler program to analyze the leverage call center resources more effectively and increase agent availability. The Authority will also adjust the After-Call-Work (ACW) time to 15 seconds.

### Action Plan Owner / Due Date :

David Wynne, Director of Toll Operations, and Joann Chizlett, Director of Information Technology/ June 30, 2015

#### Call Handling Performance

<u>Observation 2 – Customer Call Experience</u> Relative Priority: High

Performance

**Call Experience** 

Reporting and Analytics

> Quality Assurance Processes

Inbound Call Volume

**Call Automation** 

Each inbound call to the call center routes the customer to the Intelligent Voice Response ("IVR") system from which the customer selects service options from a prompt. The customer is then routed to the Vector Steps, which provides additional messaging and routes the call to an available call center agent.

Within the IVR and Vector steps the following inconsistencies and duplicative information are delivered to the customer, which if corrected, could improve the overall customer call experience:

1. The call prompts utilize a mix of different male and female voices leading to an abrupt and inconsistent customer experience.

- 2. The inter-prompt and queue treatment consists of a mixed use of silence, ringing, messaging, and music while the customer is onhold and as the customer transitions from one vector step to another.
- 3. E-PASS main menu has redundant options to "return to main menu" and "repeat options". The menu also allows callers to opt out to a customer service representative using option "0" which may lead to prolonged call handling times.
- 4. Hours of operation and locations are given to callers even though the center is open. This information would normally only be presented after-hours.
- 5. The center does not leverage Estimated Wait Time ("EWT") prompting to inform callers of wait times and potentially redirect callers to online servicing.

#### **Recommendation:**

There are opportunities to improve the prompts and messaging delivered to customers contacting the Authority by improving prompt and call treatment consistency and attempting to direct more callers to online servicing. The Authority should consider the points above and conduct a thorough evaluation of vector programming and IVR prompting during the implementation of the centralized back office contact center IVR platform to ensure consistent treatment and the use of EWT to inform callers of high wait times and reinforce the use of online or other servicing options.



#### Call Handling Performance

Call Experience

#### Observation 2 - Customer Call Experience (cont.)

#### Management Response:

The Authority concurs.

#### Management Action Plan:

Reporting and Analytics The Authority is in the process of procuring and implementing a new IVR system for E-PASS which will include back-up hardware, and the improvement recommendations will be taken to into account at that time. In addition, the Authority will provide the recommendations to the centralized back office contact center vendor for consideration during the development of any IVR technology, if the Authority were to agree to move forward with the centralized back office contact center.

Quality Assurance Processes

### Action Plan Owner / Due Date:

Joann Chizlett, Director of Information Technology/ June 30, 2016

Inbound Call Volume

**Call Automation** 



### **Observation 3 – Call Center Reporting and Analytics**

**Call Handling** Performance

**Call Experience** 

**Reporting and** Analytics

> Quality Assurance **Processes**

### **Relative Priority: Medium**

The Avaya Phone system can provide reporting that would allow the Authority and the third party vendor to monitor the overall customer service experience and the effectiveness and efficiency of the call center operations. Currently, the Authority utilizes reports to assess the key metrics listed below. However, the Authority does not routinely monitor reporting that would give insight into the underlying factors that drive key metrics in order to achieve performance targets.

Performance Activity	Target Performance	Actual Performance
Average Speed of Answer	60 Seconds	128 Seconds
Utilization	85%	70%
Abandon Rate	5%	8%
Average Talk Time	200 Seconds	228 Seconds
After-call Work (ACW)	100 Seconds	30 Seconds

#### **Recommendation:**

**Call Automation** 

**Call Deflection** 

The Authority should use detailed reporting to more accurately measure and assess performance factors that drive key statistics. Internal Audit has partnered with the Authority to develop many of these reports as a part of this review. The Authority should use the reports to develop process improvements to improve the call center's operations and achieve the performance targets. Specific reports that should be leveraged include agent attendance and agent aux reports.

#### Management Response:

The Authority concurs

#### Management Action Plan:

The Authority will track daily, weekly and monthly service level data to assess and improve the performance targets. Service Level is currently set at 80% and will be modified accordingly.

### Action Plan Owner / Due Date :

David Wynne, Director of Toll Operations/June 1, 2015



Call Handling Performance

### **Observation 4 - Standardized Call Flow and Quality Assurance Processes**

Relative Priority: High

Call Experience

Reporting and Analytics

Quality Assurance Processes

**Call Deflection** 

Aligning call quality attributes to a standardized call flow process enables an organization to assess, measure and address specific behaviors that are aligned to call handling performance objectives, such as call handle time and use of ACW. The standard segments of a call flow include Greeting, Caller Identification, Caller Validation, Service identification, Service Delivery and Wrap up.

The Authority's call center quality assurance program is aligned to measure quality in a generalized manner and is not aligned to a standardized call flow. The current call center quality assurance forms are made up of 29 Yes or No questions and 5 additional questions that are measured on a gradient of 1-3. The form does not include "automatic fail" questions/responses that would indicate the need for immediate re-training.

As a leading quality assurance practice, all questions should be measured on a gradient whenever feasible. Aligning the quality form to a standardized call flow enables the use of gradients more easily because it allows the behaviors measured to be compared against a specific business process and related goals.

#### **Recommendation:**

To improve the quality assurance process, the Authority should identify a standardized call flow for the types of calls handled by the call center and identify the specific behaviors and skills that should be measured within each segment of the call. This process would enhance the quality assurance process to allow for specific guidance to CSR's and align quality criteria to measurable business goals and objectives (e.g. reduction of call handle time).

**Call Automation** 

Management Response:

The Authority concurs

### Management Action Plan:

The Authority will work with the third party call center vendor to revise the current quality assurance process to align with the call quality attributes to measure business objectives.

### Action Plan Owner / Due Date:

David Wynne, Director of Toll Operations/ July 1, 2015



Call Handling Performance Observation 5 - Inbound Call Deflection and Avoidance

mance Relative Priority: Medium

Call Experience

While the messaging delivered to callers in both the IVR and Vector messaging reminds callers that unpaid toll notices can be paid online, the Authorities web presence could be improved to make the process of making payments online more intuitive. Links to unpaid toll payment options are not plainly visible and require additional navigation that we believe is deterring customers from utilizing the online service and instead placing calls into the center.

In addition, throughout the course of the 400 call observations, there were only a handful of calls (fewer than 5) where the agent

reminded the caller that purchasing a transponder or replenishing their account would allow them to avoid toll violations in the

Reporting and Analytics

> Quality Assurance Processes

**Call Deflection** 

Also, the Authority's corporate phone number is often called when a customer is trying to contact the call center. These calls are received by the Authority's receptionist and transferred to the call center.

#### **Recommendation:**

future.

The Authority should consider launching an E-PASS product and services website, independent of the corporate website, that would focus on the customer experience and E-PASS activity. An E-PASS focused website would allow customers to navigate the site with ease and would help to deflect customer service activities, such as making unpaid toll notice payment and account replenishments, to the website.

**Call Automation** 

Also, call center agent training should also be considered to reinforce the importance of reminding customers to replenish their accounts and/or purchase a transponder in cases where an in-state customer is contacting the authority about a toll violation or citation. These improvements can deflect calls and reduce volume of calls received by the call center.

The Authority should also consider adding prompts to the treatment provided on the main number to route callers to the call center in order to limit the number of call center calls received by the receptionist.



Call Handling Performance

### **Observation 5** - Inbound Call Deflection and Avoidance (cont.)

#### Management Response:

Call Experience

The Authority concurs. The corporate website is being redeveloped and the content is managed by the CFX Communication Department. Toll operations and the IT Directors will discuss the website recommendations with the Communication Department.

2. The call center scripts will be updated to include a reminder to customers to replenish and/or purchase a transponder at the end

3. The Authority will consider adding prompts to the treatment provided on the main number to route callers to the call center in

1. The Director of Toll Operation and IT will schedule a meeting with the CFX Communication Department to discuss the

#### Management Action Plan:

of each call.

Reporting and Analytics

> Quality Assurance Processes

Call Deflection

Call Automation

### Action Plan Owner / Due Date:

- 1. Consider Website Enhancements Opportunities David Wynne, Director of Toll Operations, and Joann Chizlett, Director of Information Technology/ August 1, 2015
- 2. Call Center Script Enhancements David Wynne, Director of Toll Operations/June 1, 2015

order to limit the number of call center calls received by the receptionist.

recommendations to the website and evaluate which recommendation can be implemented.

3. Consider Routing of Call Center Calls From Corporate Main Phone Line - Joann Chizlett, Director of Information Technology/ August 1, 2015

© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

#### Call Handling Performance

### Observation 6 - Call Automation

Relative Priority: High

Call Experience

Reporting and Analytics

> Quality Assurance

> Processes

**Call Deflection** 

The majority of calls handled by the Authority are payment related, including payment of Unpaid Pay Notices and Citations and account replenishment. During these calls, agents spend an average of 68 seconds identifying the caller and accessing their account. This represents approximately one-third of the total talk time of the call. A combination of call automation (attempting to identify the caller via the phone number that they are calling from) and agent training to obtain the information required to identify the customer and access their account as efficiently as possible could significantly reduce the handle time of calls.

### Recommendation:

The Authority should conduct further analysis to determine the percentage of inbound calling line ID's that can be linked to one or more existing accounts in the Authority's servicing applications. If this percentage is greater than 40-50%, this would indicate a substantial opportunity to automate inbound calls and thereby reduce overall handle times.

In addition, the Authority should consider developing and conducting agent training to enable agents to take control of inbound calls and identify the information needed to access the caller's notifications, citations, and/or the caller's account as efficiently as possible.

**Management Response:** The Authority concurs with the need for additional training of call center agents to better take control of a call to facilitate more efficient call handling.

### Management Action Plan:

**Call Automation** 

- 1. Further analysis will be conducted to determine the percentage of inbound calls associated with active customer accounts. Based on results of the analysis, The Authority will determine if it is feasible to incorporate call automation based on strategic direction as it relates to the deployment and CFX involvement in centralized back office contact center.
- 2. The third party call center vendor will provide refresher training on "Call Control" and incorporate "Call Control" techniques into new agent training.

### Action Plan Owner / Due Date :

- Call Automation Analysis David Wynne, Director of Toll Operations, and Joann Chizlett, Director of Information Technology/ July 1, 2015
- 2. Call Center Agent Call Handing Training Material David Wynne, Director of Toll Operations/ August 1, 2015





Appendix A

# **SUPPORTING DATA**



Agent Utilization



- CSR's spend about one-third of their day in various auxiliary states
- 50% of aux time is spent in ACW ("After-call Work")



# **Call Volume and Distribution**

- Call Volume and Distribution
  - Call volumes appear to peak mid-month, perhaps due to billing or notice distribution cycles
  - Average daily volume is approximately 2200-2500 calls per day across all Skills
  - Uptick in volumes in mid-April may be attributable to seasonal travelers in the Orlando area
  - Authority sends toll notices on 1<sup>st</sup> week of month, notices go out following week



### Return to Summary



# **Call Volume and Distribution**

- Call Volume and Distribution
  - Call volumes seem to follow consistent and predictable pattern on all time scales (daily, weekly, monthly) with the highest volumes occurring on Mondays and Fridays
  - Call distribution based on statistical data gathered from the Expressway Authorities ACD system as well as direct observations show that the majority of calls are related to payments of Unpaid Toll Notices, Uniform Traffic Citations, and VES
    - The majority of calls offered to the Customer Service Skill were actually requests to pay toll violations or questions related to toll violations





### Return to Summary

© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

# protiviti®

# **Call Distribution**

- Call Distribution to Skills
  - Based on call distribution to skills, the Expressway Authorities calls are distributed as follows:
    - UTN Payments 33%
    - Customer Service 32%
    - VES Response Line 19%
    - Payments 11%
    - Spanish 4%
    - Sign ups 1%
  - The distribution of calls is determined by the number the caller dials and choices made in the vector handling the incoming call.



### Return to Summary



# **Call Distribution**

- Call Volume and Distribution
  - Call distributions as determined through direct observation of calls during the audit confirm the data seen in the statistical analysis of call volumes by skill, the majority of calls processed by the center are related to payment of toll violations
    - This includes calls related to UTN/UTC, VES, and consumers who had either a SunPass or E-PASS transponder but insufficient funds available to cover the toll
    - Over 50% of callers contacting the call center to inquire to make payments towards UTN/UTC were not E-PASS customers - The vast majority of these were SunPass customers who received notices of toll violations.



### Call Distribution based on Call Monitoring



# Trunk Groups

- Trunk Group Performance
  - Trunk Group 4 is the main E-PASS (823-7277) number.
  - TG 4's abandon rate is nominal but the TG is either not measured or is dropping calls into a VDN that is not measured as no ACD calls were shown in the data provided
  - TG 7 handles VES inbound calls
    - Abandon rate is very high on average
    - Abandon peaks seem to correspond to "Other" call traffic offered to group
    - This other call traffic is most likely the result of inbound transfers from the front desk (customers calling CFX admin number in lieu of call center) to the "IVR"







- Call Handling Performance Abandoned Calls
  - Calls offered versus abandoned is high averaging about 8% per day
  - The daily rate is driven higher by midmonth spikes in volume
  - Exceptionally high abandon rates in mid-to-late February were due to issues related to the Expressway Authority's inbound service trunks. This issue was resolved just prior the the start of the audit.
  - Abandon rates tend to peak at the beginning and end of the day driving overall daily rates higher
  - Similarly, a peak is also evident midday as inbound volumes decrease and abandon rates remain constant



© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

Interval Start 💌

- Call Handling Performance Average Speed of Answer
  - The center's average speed of answer is just over 2 minutes (128 seconds) on a daily basis
  - Peaks in the morning and late afternoon drive this average and coincide with volume peaks discussed previously.
  - Center target ASA is 60 seconds
  - Service level is not measured or tracked
  - ASA is consistent across all call types/Skills but is marginally higher for Spanish



**Return to Summary** 



- Call Handling Performance Staff vs. Volume
  - Average positions staffed tracks volume at both daily and interval level scales
  - This is a generally good indication that staff are responding correctly to inbound volumes and that schedules align at a course level to overall volumes



- Call Handling Performance Use of ACW (After-call Work)
  - Agent use of ACW is averaging about 17% overall and is generally consistent throughout the day and between different Skills/Splits.
    - Use of ACW is highest in the Payment Skill followed by Customer Service
    - Use of ACW is lowest for UTN calls
  - The consistent use of ACW at this level may be indicative of opportunities to improve either agent servicing applications
  - ACD programmed to provide 30second automatic ACW at end of call
    - 12-15 secs would be more typical
  - 100 second average target across all call types





Agent Utilization





# **Quality Assurance**

- Quality Assurance Tools and Processes
  - QA System and Process
    - The Expressway Authority uses an QA system called "Virtual Observer" that supplies up to 4 ports of monitoring. The system's hardware (Windows Server 2003 R2) will soon be out of service (no longer supported by Microsoft or other 3<sup>rd</sup> party vendors July 14, 2015).
    - The Virtual Observer system records the audio portion and screen capture
    - The form used to score QA consists of 29 questions that are scored Yes, No or NA and are related to specific behaviors the agent exhibited on the call in addition to 5 Customer service behaviors (e.g. was the CSR polite) that are scored on a 3-point gradient.
    - The center has a target of monitoring 2% of agent calls per month and provides feedback to the agents in the form of a simple form ("Areas of Opportunity") that indicates to the agent where they can improve on call handling and customer service
    - The QA team consists of 2 full-time QA analysts

### - Opportunities to improve QA Processes

- Although we did not observe this behavior, we have been told that the QA system has a tendency to "wake-up" in the beginning of a call and is not specifically programmed to or capable of recording the entire call from start to finish
- Although the QA form does a good job of tracking specific desirable behaviors, as a best practice, the form should be structured around an ideal call model that breaks the call into pre-defined segments that each have specific behaviors that should be monitored based on the call type – this process makes it easier for QA analysts to follow the call while simultaneously identifying the behaviors that should be observed to improve call quality, call efficiency, and overall customer satisfaction.

© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

# **E-PASS Main Menu Flow**





# E-PASS Main Menu Flow





# E-PASS Main Menu Flow









# **VES Main Menu Flow**





# CFXWAY.com Customer Experience



Because roads don't stop at county lines, the regional Central Florida Expressway Authority's jurisdiction includes Lake, Orange, Osceola and Seminole Counties. CFX is





# **CFXWAY.com Payment Navigation**





# **Call Automation**

- Call Automation / IVR Treatment
  - Segment Times
    - Based on call observations, the average talk time for all call types was about 226 seconds
    - Of this time, an average of 67 seconds or 30% of the handle time was spent identifying the caller, accessing the callers account and verifying it.
    - We believe that this time could be reduced through a combination of improved call control and call automation – e.g. use of an IVR and back-office data dip to attempt to identify the caller based on calling line ID/ANI.





### WFM Volume (Monday)





### WFM Volume (Tuesday)





### WFM Volume (Wednesday)



© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

### WFM Volume (Thursday)



© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

### WFM Volume (Friday)



© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

# Workforce Scheduling (Monday)





# Workforce Scheduling (Tuesday)





# Workforce Scheduling (Wednesday)



© 2015 Protiviti Inc. All Rights Reserved. This document has been prepared for use by CFX's management, audit committee and board of directors. This report provides information about the condition of risks and internal controls at one point in time. Future events and changes may significantly and adversely impact these risks and controls in ways that this report did not and cannot anticipate.

# Workforce Scheduling (Thursday)





# Workforce Scheduling (Friday)



