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## Acronyms and Abbreviations

| CFX | Central Florida Expressway Authority |
| :--- | :--- |
| EB | Eastbound |
| FDOT | Florida Department of Transportation |
| I-4 | Interstate 4 |
| LOS | Level of Service |
| LRTP | Long Range Transportation Plan |
| LYNX | Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority (dba LYNX) |
| mph | mile(s) per hour |
| OBT | Orange Blossom Trail |
| pcpmpl | Passenger cars per mile per lane |
| PD\&E | Project Development and Environment |
| ROW | Right-of-way |
| SR 414 | State Road 414 |
| SR 429 | State Road 429 |
| SR 434 | State Road 434 |
| SR 436 | State Road 436 |
| SR 50 | State Road 50 |
| TIP | Transportation Improvement Plan |
| vph | vehicles per hour |
| US 441 | US Highway 441 |
| WB | Westbound |

## 1. Project Overview

### 1.1 Project Background and Description

The Central Florida Expressway Authority (CFX) is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) study to evaluate the potential extension of the SR 414 Expressway from its current eastern terminus near US 441, Orange Blossom Trail (OBT), to a new location west of the interchange with SR 434. The project location is shown in Figure 1-1. The idea is to provide an expressway connection between the SR 414 Expressway and the recently improved portions of SR 414 that tie into the I-4 Ultimate. This proposed 2.1-mile, limited-access facility will be known as the SR 414 Expressway Extension and will include two toll lanes in each direction and be elevated over the existing SR 414 Maitland Boulevard, a four-lane divided arterial with three signalized intersections.

This Project Traffic Analysis Report (PTAR) supports the PD\&E study and contains a summary of assumptions and analysis methodology, a summary of existing conditions, specifically a report on the operational analyses of existing conditions (2019), a description of the travel demand model used in the forecast, a summary of alternatives analysis, and future traffic forecasts and operational analyses.

Traffic counts taken since 2015 must be considered in light of construction activities associated with the I-4 Ultimate Project. The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) selected I-4 Mobility Partners to design, construct, finance, maintain and operate the l-4 Ultimate Project. This public-private partnership provided a $\$ 2.3$ billion reconstruction of I-4 through Orlando, the largest construction project in FDOT history. Construction activities began with the groundbreaking ceremony, held in Maitland on February 18, 2015. Construction includes improvements to the I-4 and SR 414/Maitland Boulevard interchange and improvements along SR 414 Maitland Boulevard, ending east of the SR 434 Interchange. As the normal and necessary part of highway construction, the Contractor made use of detours, maintaining traffic operations through the work site, and allowing construction of the roadway improvements in stages. In this instance, except for special time periods, the Contractor maintained two travel lanes in each direction for SR 414 traffic. The type of operation on those lanes varied from a roadway with signalized intersections (at Maitland Summit Boulevard and Keller Road) to a roadway with uninterrupted flow like an expressway. Also, operations changed when the collector-distributor roadways between Maitland Summit Boulevard and Keller Road opened to traffic. Construction along SR 414 and at the l-4 interchange ramps was generally completed in late 2019 to early 2020.

The PD\&E project limits and the traffic study area are shown in Figure 1-2. Along SR 414, the PD\&E project limits begin at the US 441 interchange and end at the SR 434 interchange. The project limits extend north and south along the cross streets, i.e., US 441, Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue, Eden Park Road, Magnolia Homes Road/Lake Lotus Park Road and Gateway Drive. To perform a thorough analysis of traffic on this corridor, the existing traffic study area extends further along SR 414 to include the Hiawassee Road interchange in the west and the I-4 interchange/Hope Road intersection in the east.

At present, SR 414 operates in three different forms within the study area, highlighted in Figure 1-2. In the western portion of the study area, SR 414 operates as the John Land Apopka Expressway, a four-lane, limited-access expressway. The SR 414 Expressway is a tolled facility, part of the CFX System.

Figure 1-1. Project Location


East of the Coral Hills Main Plaza, there are two grade-separated interchanges, one with Hiawassee Road and the other with US 441. The ramps to/from the east in the Hiawassee Road interchange are tolled. The SR 414 Expressway terminates east of the interchange with US 441.

Figure 1-2. Traffic Study Limits/Area of Influence


In the central portion of the study area, SR 414 operates as Maitland Boulevard, a four-lane divided arterial with at-grade intersections. The three signalized intersections on SR 414 Maitland Boulevard include Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue, Eden Park Road and Magnolia Homes Road/Lake Lotus Park Road. There is also a partial at-grade T-intersection with Gateway Drive, which includes all movements except the left-turn movement from Gateway Drive to eastbound Maitland Boulevard. Traffic movements at this location are controlled with stop signs. At present, there is significant recurring delay around the arterial portion of Maitland Boulevard. The traffic signals impede traffic flow through and into/from the corridor and cause congestion in the eastbound and westbound directions during the morning and evening peak periods, respectively. The congestion is severe and long lasting.

In the eastern portion of the study area, SR 414 operates as a four-lane divided controlled access highway, with closely spaced grade-separated interchanges and short weaving distances between ramp gores. There are full interchanges at SR 434, Maitland Summit Boulevard and Keller Road. In addition, there are parallel frontage roads on both sides of SR 414 between Maitland Summit Boulevard and Keller Road. While the interchange with SR 434 has been in operation since 2002, the other segments were recently
improved as part of the I-4 Ultimate Project. The I-4 interchange with Maitland Boulevard, was also improved to include directionally separated ramps and new ramps to/from the east at Lake Destiny Drive. Even though I-4 was still under construction during the preparation of this report, the ramps are in the final configuration.

The SR 414 Expressway Extension is proposed as an expressway connection "elevated over" the arterial portion of Maitland Boulevard. Subject to the alternative development and testing, the western terminus would be in the midst of the US 441 interchange, and the eastern terminus would be in the midst of the SR 434 interchange. Given the relatively close spacing of interchanges, the location of the eastern end will have a direct effect on the operational characteristics of those segments. Changing the location of the eastern end will also determine the movements served by the new roadway.

CFX is the operator and developer of toll roads in Central Florida. The SR 414 Expressway Extension will be a toll facility with tolls collected electronically as customers pass through a single mainline gantry. Customers will pay with a transponder (E-PASS or one of several interoperable transponders) or through the video billing process, known as Pay By Plate. Since there is only one way to enter and one way to exit the SR414 Expressway Extension, there will be only one new toll location. Recent CFX expansion projects, including the Wekiva Parkway, were planned to open with the standard toll rate of $\$ 0.18$ per mile in 2016 dollars, with rates escalated at the rate of inflation with a minimum 1.5 percent per year, in accordance with established CFX toll policies. For design traffic purposes, the assumed toll rate will be static and start at $\$ 0.18$ per mile with 1.5 percent escalation per year. Depending on conclusions from the analysis of typical section alternatives, CFX may decide to operate the SR 414 Expressway Extension as a pricemanaged facility. Under this type of toll regime, toll rates will be adjusted by time of day to manage traffic volumes so that the facility operates free of congestion. Higher tolls mean lower traffic volumes. Variable toll rates will also influence financial feasibility. It is not anticipated that the existing SR 414 Expressway would be converted to dynamic tolling, but this analysis will be undertaken during the Traffic and Revenue (T\&R) study portion of the project.

Once open, the SR 414 Expressway Extension will take traffic away from the arterial segments of Maitland Boulevard, thereby improving traffic operations on the arterial over the No-Build option. In general, the arterial portions of Maitland Boulevard will remain as they exist today. Improvements to the arterial will be considered as part of this study, funded by the state and local government participants in the study. The details will depend on the alternative and be worked out during the study.

### 1.2 Purpose and Need

The purpose of the SR 414 Expressway Extension is to improve traffic flow through the study corridor eastward connecting to I-4. At present, the existing arterial portion of Maitland Boulevard is severely congested during peak travel times. Located every half-mile, traffic signals impede traffic flow and cause delay, as much as 15 minutes during typical peak time and in the peak directions. Traffic counts from October 2019 indicate that the Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) on Maitland Boulevard is approximately 59,000 vehicles per day, west of the intersection with SR 434, exceeding an adopted Level of Service D to E threshold. Future traffic forecasts indicate that the AADT at this location is expected to increase significantly by 2045. The proposed improvements are needed to accommodate existing and future travel demand. The idea behind the proposed project is to "open" this important bottleneck,
providing a much-needed expressway connection between northwestern portions of the Orlando metropolitan area and I-4. The project would improve system connectivity between SR 429 and I-4, meeting existing and future travel needs.

There are good reasons to expect continued growth in traffic volumes passing through this corridor. Using recent forecasts from the Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR), population in Orange County is expected to grow at a annual growth rate of 1.5 percent per year; Seminole County population is expected to grow at 1.4 percent per year and Lake County population at 1.7 percent per year. The growth rates for employment are similar, with Orange County at 1.8 percent, Seminole County at 1.6 percent and Lake County at 1.7 percent. While no large land development projects are anticipated within the study area, there are several, mixed-use land development projects along SR 429, Wekiva Parkway. Traffic from eastern Lake County heading to the employment centers in the Orlando Metropolitan Area is steadily increasing. The Maitland Center, located along SR 414 just west of I-4, is a large office complex of regional significance whose employment base contributes to the existing traffic congestion on SR 414 in the morning peak period (eastbound direction) and afternoon peak period (westbound direction).

Future year traffic with origins/destinations along the corridor will continue to use Maitland Boulevard between US 441 and SR 434. By paying the toll, traffic passing through the corridor will have the option of using either the proposed SR 414 Expressway Extension or the existing Maitland Boulevard. CFX customers who pay the toll receive the benefit of travel time savings. The volume of future year traffic on Maitland Boulevard will be reduced because of the traffic diverted to the SR 414 Expressway Extension. By separating traffic passing through the corridor from traffic with local destinations, the proposed SR 414 Expressway Extension will reduce congestion on both facilities and provide greater mobility. The proposed improvements are to accommodate anticipated transportation demand, improve safety, improve system connectivity/linkage, and support multimodal opportunities.

### 1.3 Alternatives Considered

Viable alternatives were developed and presented for public input at the Public Information Meeting held on February 10, 2021. These viable alternatives included roadway concepts for the SR 414 Expressway Extension project, including the SR 414 toll lanes and the Maitland Boulevard local access lanes. The viable alternatives were updated after the Public Information Meeting to reflect ongoing alternatives refinements that avoid and minimize environmental impacts.

### 1.3.1 Viable Alternatives

The evaluation of typical section alternatives is documented in the SR 414 Expressway Extension Final Typical Section Technical Memorandum (CFX 2021). Referred to as an assessment of the typical section, the following typical sections for the SR 414 Expressway Extension were considered:

- Typical Section 1-2019 Existing - 2 lanes/direction on arterial;
- Typical Section 2 - No-Build - 3 lanes/direction on arterial;
- Typical Section 3-1 lane/direction on the elevated Expressway Extension;
- Typical Section 4-2 lanes/direction on the elevated Expressway Extension;
- Typical Section 5-2-lane reversible on the elevated Expressway Extension, i.e., two lanes in the peak direction and no lanes in the off-peak direction reversed by time of day; and
- Typical Section 6 - Convertible 3-lane section on elevated Expressway Extension, one lane in each direction with the center lane being physically reversed twice a day; and,
- Typical Section 7-1 lane/direction on Expressway Extension \& 3 lanes/direction on arterial.

The number of lanes provided in the SR 414 Expressway Extension were also the subject of alternative testing. All typical section options require widening within the Right-of-Way (ROW) and, therefore, a variety of elevated expressway alternatives were developed. Initially, two typical section options for the at-grade Maitland Boulevard and four typical section options for the elevated SR 414 Expressway Extension were qualitatively evaluated. The alignment analysis was evaluated based on the maximum viable typical section footprint of 118 feet wide. The alignment is constrained by the ROW and median width needed for pier placement of the proposed elevated structure. To maximize the use of the existing typical section of 118 feet, the proposed alignment for both the at-grade and elevated facilities is along the centerline of the existing ROW. The piers for the elevated SR 414 bridge are proposed within the median of the at-grade Maitland Boulevard facility. Based on the design criteria, the design and posted speed was reduced from 55 miles per hour to 45 mph along the at-grade Maitland Boulevard facility.

Based on the initial analyses, the viable typical section for the at-grade Maitland Boulevard maintains the pavement footprint of the four-lane facility but shifts and restripes the lanes to provide a 7-foot-wide buffered bike lane and proposed Type F curb and gutter in the median. The viable typical section options for the elevated SR 414 Expressway Extension include Typical Section 4 and 6 as detailed in the following text:

- Typical Section 4: Provides four 12-foot-wide express lanes (two per direction) separated by a median barrier wall.
- Typical Section 6: Provides three 12-foot-wide express lanes separated by a movable barrier wall. In morning peak traffic, there are two lanes eastbound and one lane westbound. In afternoon peak traffic, there is one lane eastbound and two lanes westbound. The movable barrier would be shifted approximately 12 feet via specialty vehicle twice daily. This option is both reversible and convertible and requires advance signing, access equipment, specialty barrier and specialty vehicle with onsite or nearby storage.

Typical Section 4 construction costs are higher but are offset by the significant capital and operating costs for Typical Section 6. Additionally, higher capacity is provided by Typical Section 4 and provides safer incident management. Therefore, the recommended option for the elevated SR 414 Expressway Extension is Typical Section 4. The proposed posted and design speed is 50 mph .

## 2. Assumptions and Methodology

The purpose of this chapter is to provide a summary of the data and methods used to analyze existing and future traffic conditions associated with the project. The modeling tools include a project-specific travel demand model, created to produce reliable forecasts of future traffic volumes under No-Build, Build NoToll and Build Toll conditions. With forecasts of future traffic volumes in the Corridor, the analysis makes use of Level of Service (LOS) and Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) Ratio Analysis to evaluate existing and future peak-hour conditions on roadway segments, including No-Build and Build conditions. Synchro v10 Analysis is used to assess existing and future peak-hour conditions at intersections. After this brief introduction, more details on the different modeling tools and results are provided in subsequent chapters.

### 2.1 Area of Influence

The traffic analysis Area of Influence (AOI) included the traffic study limits shown on Figure 1-2, as well as the I-4 interchange ramps and Hope Road intersection to the east. The AOI included the following:

- SR 414 from east of Hiawassee Road to east of Hope Road
- Orange Blossom Trail (OBT), U.S. 441 interchange
- Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue intersection
- Eden Park Road intersection
- Magnolia Homes Road/Lake Lotus Park Road intersection
- Gateway Drive access
- Forest City Road (SR 434) interchange
- Maitland Summit Boulevard interchange
- Keller Road interchange
- Lake Destiny Road intersection
- I-4 interchange ramps
- Hope Road intersection

The traffic analysis will be based on the year 2019 for existing conditions, the year 2025 as the opening year and the year 2045 as the design year. The analysis presented beyond the limits of the CFX's PD\&E study east of SR 434 is for informational purposes only and any operational issues identified may not be addressed as a part of this project.

### 2.2 Data Sources

This analysis of existing and future conditions made use of several types of data, including traffic counts, travel time and delay, travel patterns and roadway characteristics described in the following sections.

### 2.2.1 Traffic Counts

Traffic volume data for the SR 414 Expressway Extension was obtained in several ways. A project-specific traffic count program was conducted in October 2019. The counts included 72-hour directional counts at 21 locations (expressway and ramp segments), one 72 -hour classification count (central location on SR 414), 72 -hour bi-directional counts at 20 locations (arterials both SR 414 and cross streets) and 4 -hour turning movement counts at nine locations (at-grade intersections). The count locations are shown in Figure 2-1 and listed in Table 2-1, including counts along SR 414 from just west of the Hiawassee Road interchange to the I-4 interchange. The directional counts were taken on all the expressway and ramp segments in the study area. The bi-directional traffic counts were typically taken at the undivided roadway segments, i.e., the arterial portion of SR 414 and roadways connecting to SR 414. The turning movement counts were taken at the intersections and interchanges along SR 414. CFX collects and maintains detailed information on transactions at all toll locations. Transaction data from the Coral Hills Mainline and Hiawassee Road ramp toll plazas was used to generate traffic counts at those locations. These were supplemented with data from the FDOT Florida Traffic Online (FTO) website application and from Orange and Seminole County traffic count programs. The volumes for the l-4 interchange ramps were provided by FDOT District 5 for April 2019. The turning movement volumes for the Hope Road intersection were obtained from the I-4 Ultimate Hope Road Signal Retiming Report, provided by FDOT District 5. The traffic count data is provided in Appendix A.

Figure 2-1. Traffic Count Locations


Table 2-1. Count Locations

| SR 414 Ramp | Location | Count Type | Date Taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB On-Ramp | From US 441 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| WB Off-Ramp | To US 441 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| EB Off-Ramp | To SR 434 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| WB On-Ramp | From SR 434 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| EB On-Ramp | From SR 434 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| WB Off-Ramp | To SR 434 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| WB Off-Ramp | To Gateway Drive | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| EB On-Ramp | From Maitland Summit Blvd | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| WB Off-Ramp | To Maitland Summit Blvd | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| EB Off-Ramp | To Maitland Summit Blvd | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| WB On-Ramp | From Maitland Summit Blvd | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| EB On-Ramp | From Keller Road | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| WB Off-Ramp | To Keller Road | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| EB Off-Ramp | To Keller Road | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| WB On-Ramp | From Keller Road | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| I-4 WB On-Ramp | From Lake Destiny Drive | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| I-4 WB On-Ramp | From EB SR 414 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| I-4 WB Off Ramp | To WB SR 414 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| I-4 WB Off-Ramp | To Lake Destiny Drive | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| I-4 EB Off-Ramp | To WB SR 414 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| I-4 EB On-Ramp | From EB SR 414 | 72 Hour Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |

Table 2-1. Count Locations (continued)

| Roadway | Location | Count Type | Date Taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hiawassee Road | South of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Hiawassee Road | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| US 441/Orange Blossom Trail | South of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| US 441/Orange Blossom Trail | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Rose Avenue | South of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Bear Lake Road | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Eden Park Road | South of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Eden Park Road | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Magnolia Homes Rd | South of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Lake Lotus Park Rd | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Gateway Drive | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| SR 434 | South of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| SR 434 | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Maitland Summit Blvd | South of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Maitland Summit Blvd | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Keller Road | South of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| Keller Road | North of SR 414 | 72 Hour Bi-Directional | 10/22-10/24/2019 |
| SR 414 | East of SR 434 | 7-day Classification Count | 10/21-10/25/2019 |

Table 2-1. Count Locations (continued)

| Intersection | Location | Count Type | Date Taken |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hiawassee Road | SR 414 | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |
| US 441/Orange Blossom Trail | SR 414 EB Ramps | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |
| US 441/Orange Blossom Trail | SR 414 WB Ramps | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |
| Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | SR 414 | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |
| Eden Park | SR 414 | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |
| Magnolia Homes Rd/Lk Lotus Park | SR 414 | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |
| SR 434/Forest City Road | SR 414 Ramps | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd | SR 414 Ramps | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |
| Keller Road | SR 414 Ramps | 4 Hour Turning Movement | $10 / 22-10 / 24 / 2019$ |

### 2.2.2 Travel Patterns

Data on travel patterns was derived from INRIX data. This data provided an assessment of the distribution of trip lengths in the corridor, e.g., the proportion of trips traveling between the SR 414 Expressway (western end) and the Maitland Office Park, or the I-4. This information was used to validate the travel demand model.

### 2.2.3 Roadway Characteristics

Information about the existing roadway geometry was obtained from recent aerial photography. This included the number of lanes on roadway segments and intersection approaches. Traffic signal timing data was obtained from the maintaining agencies. Posted speed limits were obtained from state and local sources. This information was used in the analysis contained in this report.

### 2.3 Traffic Analysis

The analysis of existing (2019) and future (2025 and 2045) conditions followed the latest edition of the FDOT Traffic Analysis Handbook (May 2021). The following is a summary of the analysis methods, factors and analytic tools that were used.

### 2.3.1 Traffic Data Development

The data collected in Section 2.2.1 was used to define existing traffic conditions for the travel model validation and traffic operational analysis. Seasonal adjustment factors were applied accordingly. Traffic volumes for the ramps between Keller Road and I-4 were adjusted using Origin-Destination data from StreetLight. The data was finally balanced/adjusted to ensure continuity of flow.

Development of future design traffic started with an examination of existing data in the project study area to establish historical growth rates. Traffic forecasts for the year 2025 and 2045 under No-build conditions were then developed from the CFX project-specific travel demand model. The future No-build projections were then compared with the 2017 base year validated travel demand model output to establish growth rates for 2025 and 2045. The historical and model growth rates were compared and applied appropriately to the existing conditions traffic profile to develop 2025 and 2045 No-Build AADT and Directional Design Hour Volumes (DDHV).

The CFX project-specific travel demand model was also used as the basis for developing 2025 and 2045 traffic for the Build alternatives. Final AADT and DDHVs for the Build scenarios were estimated by comparing the travel demand model output for the Build to the No-Build. Additional adjustments were made to the No-Build and Build alternatives projections based on traffic factors (K and D) to ensure reasonableness and accuracy. The profiles were finally balanced/adjusted to ensure continuity of flow.

### 2.3.2 Traffic Factors

This study was based on a standard set of traffic factors developed for the project. The K Factor is defined as the proportion of the AADT that occurs during the design hour. The D Factor is the percentage of traffic moving in the peak travel direction during the peak-hour. It is calculated by dividing the higher directional volume by the total roadway volume for that hour. The T Factor is the percentage of the AADT volume generated by trucks or commercial vehicles. The K, D and T Factors are needed to advance design of highway projects and in the calculation of congestion or performance measurements. The analysis of future conditions made use of K, D and T Factors. The peak-hour factor (PHF) is the hourly volume during the peak-hour of the day divided by four times the peak 15 -minute flow rate within that hour. This is a measure of fluctuation in demand within the peak-hour. PHF is used in capacity and level of service analysis to account for the variation in traffic volumes during the peak-hour. A PHF of 0.95 was assumed for future conditions. Existing conditions traffic factor analysis and future year recommendations for the study are presented in Section 3.3.3.

### 2.3.3 Analysis Tools

The study area is comprised of facilities with varying levels of roadway functional classification, including major collectors, major arterials, and principal arterials. LOS is considered as the primary Measure of Effectiveness (MOE) for this study to determine the traffic operational conditions of the roadways analyzed. The portion of SR 414 west of Bear Lake Road is analyzed as an expressway. The arterial portion of SR 414 is classified as a Class I Arterial. The portion of SR 414 east of SR 434 is classified as Uninterrupted Highway. In this study, roadway segments Volume to Capacity (V/C) analysis was based on the FDOT 2020 Quality and LOS Generalized Service Volume targets.

The Highway Capacity Software (HCS) Version 7.6 identifies Level of Service (LOS) along freeway segments, based on methods from the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). LOS is based on density, a function of flow rate (volumes) and travel speed. These relations apply to uninterrupted flow facilities, such as basic freeway segments, merge and diverge segments, and freeway weaving segments. Density is the number of passenger cars per mile per lane (pcpmpl). The LOS for freeway segments, with their density thresholds are presented in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2. Level of Service Criteria, Freeway Segments

| LOS | Basic <br> (HCM Exhibit 12-15) | Freeway Weaving <br> (HCM Exhibit 13-6) | Freeway Merge and Diverge <br> (HCM Exhibit 14-3) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | $\leq 11$ | $0-10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>11-18$ | $>10-20$ | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>18-26$ | $>20-28$ | $>20-28$ |
| D | $>26-35$ | $>28-35$ | $>28-35$ |
| E | $>35-45$ | $>35-43$ | $>35$ |
| F | Density <br> exceeds capacity | Density $>43$ or demand <br> exceeds capacity | Demand exceeds capacity |
| Source: Highand |  |  |  |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), V 6.0
Note: Density measured in passenger cars/mile/lane (pcpmpl)
The HCS analysis assumed the following:

- $\quad$ SR 414 Free-Flow Speed (FFS) $=65 \mathrm{mph}$
- $\quad$ SR 414 peak-hour truck percentage $=3 \%$
- Lane width $=12$ feet
- Right shoulder clearance $=6$ feet
- Driver Population = All Familiar
- Weather Type = Non-Severe Weather
- Incident Type = No Incident
- Demand Adjustment Factor $=1.000$

For freeway merge and diverge areas, the HCM defines capacity for the influence area and the upstream or downstream ramp roadways. Capacity depends on the FFS and the number of lanes.

Signalized intersections were evaluated using Synchro Version 10. The results are based on the HCM LOS and delay targets shown in Table 2-3. Unlike the HCM, Synchro has additional procedures for estimating control delay, including estimation of right turn on red and queue delay associated with starvation and spillback. Thus, Synchro yields more reliable results than HCM because of these refinements.

Table 2-3. Level of Service Criteria, Signalized Intersections

| Control Delay <br> (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 10$ | $\mathbf{x 1 . 0}$ | $>\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| $>10-20$ | B | F |
| $>20-35$ | C | F |
| $>35-55$ | D | F |
| $>55-80$ | E | F |
| $>80$ | F | F |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), V 6.0, Exhibit 19-8.
Notes: For approach-based and intersection wide assessments, LOS is defined solely by control delay. Control delay and volume-to-capacity ratio are used to characterize LOS for a lane group.

### 2.4 Level of Service Targets and Performance Measures

Per Policy 000-525-006 Level of Service (LOS) target for the State Highway System, the adopted FDOT level of service for state roads, is LOS "D." The LOS "D" volume (or capacity) depends on the type of facility and the number of lanes. SR 414 was evaluated at LOS D for directional travel in peak-hour. Intersection LOS was based on the amount of delay in the peak-hour.

Orange County has adopted LOS standards in their land development code, Section 30-520(6). State and county facilities shall operate at LOS E in the peak-hours in urban areas, and LOS D in rural areas. The SR 414 study area is identified as an urban area. The Transportation Element of Seminole County's Comprehensive Plan includes LOS standards for facilities in Development Corridors and Neighborhoods. Per policies TRA 4.2 and 7.2, LOS is set at LOS E in peak-hours for arterial and collector facilities. Policy TRA 4.4 identifies Bear Lake Road north of the Orange County line as a Constrained Facility and shall be limited to a 2-lane facility.

## 3. Existing Conditions

This chapter contains a summary of existing conditions. This begins with land use conditions within the study area, followed by an account of transportation features and services, current traffic volumes and vehicle speeds, and analysis of existing traffic operations. The chapter concludes with a summary of crash data analysis.

### 3.1 Socioeconomic and Land Use Data

The study area is located in both Orange and Seminole Counties. SR 414 Maitland Boulevard actually runs along the county line between Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue and SR 434. The proposed improvement project will also influence traffic to/from Lake County.

Orange County is the fifth-most populous county in Florida. According to the US Census, between 2010 and 2019, the county population grew by 18.1 percent, outpacing the state's growth of 14.2 percent for the same period. Lake County experienced significant growth, almost 24 percent, increasing by over 70,000 residents or 2.38 percent per year. Seminole County also experienced population increases; however, it features lower population growth rates than the state. These growth rates are expected to continue in the future and provide growth on the project.

Employment trends in the region from 2000 to 2018 were developed from the United States Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) data. Orange County is the primary employment center in Central Florida, with over 1.13 million employees in 2018. Seminole and Lake Counties have significantly lower employment with 282,370 and 147,900 respectively; however, Lake County's employment has grown by 71.4 percent over last 20 years and Seminole County's employment has grown by almost 52 percent.

In the study area, the SR 414 corridor is mostly developed. The Maitland Center Office Park is located on the eastern end of the corridor, east of SR 434 and west of I-4. This is a mix of mid-rise office buildings and mid-rise apartment complexes. The land uses surrounding the SR 434 interchange with SR 414 include commercial, mid-level apartments and mid-level office uses including the Seminole State College Altamonte Springs Campus. West of SR 434, the land uses transition to low density residential subdivisions of approximately three dwelling units per acre. In addition to the low-density residential neighborhoods, there is one regional park, Lake Lotus Park, a 150-acre passive park surrounding Lake Lotus owned by Seminole County. The stretch of SR 414 between SR 434 and US 441 is controlled access, wherein the residential neighborhoods backup to SR 414 and are typically separated from the facility by a wall. Closer to the US 441 interchange the land uses are industrial in nature, mostly heavy industrial with outside storage and manufacturing uses.

The SR 414 corridor from US 441 to SR 434 is mostly built out with only limited opportunity of infill development or redevelopment. With the location of the proposed facility, surrounding land uses will not contribute to the growth in the corridor, this growth will occur along connecting facilities to the west and north. SR 414 west of US 441 is still mostly industrial development, large-scale greenhouse operations, public uses, and low density residential. Further west, near the Marden Road Interchange on SR 414 Expressway, there are newer developments. Several single family and multi-family residential developments have started, as well as new light industrial/distribution centers and the new Advent Health Hospital. The City of Apopka has annexed much of the vacant lands in the areas around the SR 429 and SR 414 interchange and has adopted an Ocoee Apopka Road Small Area Study which has zoning districts that encourages mixed-use development at higher densities and intensities. The area dubbed the "Eastshore,"
envisions three types of mixed-use development. Developments that will also contribute long-term growth to the corridor is the Kelly Park Crossing DRI in northwest Orange County and the Wolf Branch Innovation District in Lake County. Additional information regarding these developments can be found in Appendix B.

### 3.2 Transportation Network

The transportation network includes the system or roadways, transit routes, pedestrian paths, and bicycle routes.

### 3.2.1 Roadway

The roadways and their functional classification and jurisdiction are listed in Table 3-1.
Table 3-1. Roadway Segments

| Roadway | Location | Facility Type | Jurisdiction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 414 | West of US 441 | Expressway | CFX |
| SR 414 | East of US 441 to SR 434 | Class I Arterial | FDOT |
| SR 414 | East of SR 434 to I-4 | Uninterrupted Highway | FDOT |
| Hiawassee Road | South of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | Orange County |
| Hiawassee Road | North of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | Orange County |
| US 441/Orange Blsm Trl | South of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | FDOT |
| US 441/Orange Blsm Trl | North of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | FDOT |
| Rose Avenue | South of SR 414 | Collector | Orange County |
| Bear Lake Road | North of SR 414 | Collector | Seminole |
| Eden Park Road | South of SR 414 | Collector | Orange County |
| Eden Park Road | North of SR 414 | Collector | Seminole |
| Magnolia Homes Rd | South of SR 414 | Collector | Orange County |
| Lake Lotus Park Rd | North of SR 414 | Driveway | Seminole |
| Gateway Drive | North of SR 414 | Collector | Seminole |
| SR 434 | South of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | FDOT |
| SR 434 | North of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | FDOT |
| Maitland Summit Blvd | South of SR 414 | Collector | Orange County |
| Maitland Summit Blvd | North of SR 414 | Collector | Orange County |
| Keller Road | South of SR 414 | Collector | Orange County |
| Keller Road | North of SR 414 | Collector | Orange County |

For the LOS analysis, SR 414 has four lanes, two lanes in each direction, over the entire length. The number of lanes on roadways intersecting with SR 414, or cross streets, are shown in Table 3-2.

Table 3-2. Number of Lanes on Cross Streets - 2020

| Roadway | Location | Existing Lanes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2020 |
| US 441/OBT | North of SR 414 | 4L |
| US 441/OBT | South of SR 414 | 4L |
| Bear Lake Rd | North of SR 414 | 2L |
| Rose Avenue | South of SR 414 | 2L |
| Eden Park Road | North of SR 414 | 2L |
| Eden Park Road | South of SR 414 | 2L |
| Magnolia Homes Road | North of SR 414 | 2L |
| SR 434/Forest City Road | North of SR 414 | 6L |
| SR 434/Forest City Road | South of SR 414 | 4L |
| Maitland Summit Blvd | North of SR 414 | 4L |
| Maitland Summit Blvd | South of SR 414 | 4L |
| Keller Road | North of SR 414 | 4L |
| Keller Road | South of SR 414 | 2L |

Figure 3-1 contains a map of the at-grade intersections in the study area with graphics indicating the number of lanes turning left, passing straight through, and turning right. It's important to note that the SR 414 section between Maitland Summit Boulevard and I-4 was under construction in 2019 and the lane configuration changed frequently due to maintenance of traffic. To ensure consistency and reasonableness, the existing conditions analysis was based on the lane geometry after the construction of this section in 2020. This roadway geometry is used in the analysis of traffic operations under existing conditions.

Figure 3-1. Existing Roadway Geometry


Figure 3-1. Existing Roadway Geometry (Cont.)


### 3.2.2 Transit

The Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority (dba LYNX) operates fixed route and flexible transit services within the study area. While there is not a fixed route service that runs on SR 414/Maitland Blvd, there are several routes that cross the study corridor, including Link 106 on US 441, Links 23 and 434 which run on SR 434, Link 1 on Keller Road, and NeighborLink 652/Maitland, which services and connects the Maitland Center Office Park to the Maitland Sunrail Station.

### 3.2.3 Bicycle and Pedestrian

Bicycles and pedestrians are prohibited on the SR 414 Expressway or the expressway-like portion of SR 414 on the eastern end of the corridor, starting at the interchange with SR 434 leading to the I-4 Ultimate. There is a bike trail of the north side of SR 414 starting at US 441 that extends to Bear Lake Road and connects to the Seminole Wekiva Trail via sidewalks on Bear Lake Road. There is a sidewalk on the south side of the road that begins at US 441 and runs within the Expressway right-of -way. From Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue to SR 434 there are sidewalks on both sides of SR 414. There is also a 4-foot bicycle lane provided on both sides of street from Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue to just west of the SR 434 ramps to/from the west.

### 3.3 Traffic Volumes

After a summary of historic traffic volumes, this part of the chapter contains a description of current traffic volumes, over the course of an average day and during the AM and PM peak-hours.

### 3.3.1 Historical Data

Table 3.3 contains a recent history of AADT along the corridor and annual growth rates (linear). The count locations, labeled A through E, begin in the west at the Coral Hills Mainline Toll Plaza and end at a location just West of Lake Destiny Drive.

Table 3-3. Historic Two-Way AADT on SR 414

| Label | Location | ID | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Annual Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Coral Hills Main Plaza |  |  |  |  | 20,900 | 24,100 | 29,100 | 33,900 | 36,600 | 43,200 | 47,500 | 21.2\% |
| B | 1.5 Mi W of SR 434 | 750290 | 35,500 | 33,000 | 36,500 | 37,500 | 38,000 | 49,500 | 40,500 | 46,000 | 48,500 | 50,000 | 4.5\% |
| C | 0.4 Mi W of SR 434 | 750291 | 42,000 | 45,500 | 46,500 | 44,000 | 46,000 | 50,000 | 52,500 | 48,500 | 51,500 | 49,500 | 2.0\% |
| D | 0.2 Mi W of Maitland Summit Blvd | 750643 | 48,000 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 48,500 | 50,500 | 50,000 | 51,000 | 52,000 | 53,000 | 53,500 | 1.3\% |
| E | 0.2 Mi W of Lake Destiny Dr | 750592 | 70,000 | 68,000 | 70,500 | 62,000 | 71,000 | 72,500 | 73,500 | 75,500 | 77,000 | 74,000 | 0.6\% |

The historical traffic volumes are plotted in Figure 3-2. As expected, traffic volumes generally increase from year to year and moving from west toward the east. During this period, the highest growth rate is at the Coral Hills Mainline Toll Plaza ( 21.2 percent per year over six years). The annual growth rates decline moving east and are lowest just west of Maitland Summit Boulevard (1.3 percent per year over nine years).

Traffic volumes on the arterial portion have had lower increases in traffic because the volumes on the arterial are constrained. A large portion of the growth that did occur appeared through peak period spreading.

Figure 3-2. Historic Two-Way AADT on SR 414


Table 3-4 contains ten years of traffic count data along most of the cross streets within the study area. The growth rates are the average growth over the longest available period. Many of these roadways have had substantial growth over this period. Generally, growth has slowed down during the last two or three years. This is especially true of the roadways intersecting with SR 414 in the arterial portion of Maitland Boulevard.

Table 3-4. Historical Two-Way AADT on Cross Streets

| Roadway | Location | Facility <br> Type | Juris | Cosite | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

### 3.3.2 2019 AADT Profile

The daily traffic volumes from the various count locations were used to develop the existing (2019) average AADT for roadways in the traffic study area shown in Figure 3-3. The double-line coding in graphic identifies the expressway portions of SR 414; the signalized intersections are identified with the graphic showing a traffic signal; and ramps and frontage roads appear in lighter line weight. Generally, traffic volumes on SR 414 increase from west to east. The traffic volume on SR 414 (John Land Expressway) at the Coral Hill Toll Plaza was 50,360 vehicles per day and the traffic volume on SR 414 to the west of the I4 Interchange was 84,180 vehicles per day. In between these traffic count locations, the largest daily traffic volume was 59,910 vehicles per day, just east of the SR 434 interchange.

Figure 3-3. 2019 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT)


Figure 3-3. 2019 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) (cont.)


### 3.3.3 Traffic Peaking and Directionality Characteristics

Table 3-5 contains peaking (K) and directional (D) factors for the AM and PM peak-hours, developed for roadway segments from the traffic data collected for the study.

Table 3-5. 2019 K and D Factors

| Location | Direction | Peak Hour |  | Daily | AADT | K Factor |  | D Factor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM |  |  | AM <br> Peak | PM <br> Peak | AM <br> Peak | PM <br> Peak |
| Hiawassee Rd, south of SR 414 | NB | 996 | 985 | 12,021 | 23,400 | 8.6\% | 8.0\% | 48\% | 51\% |
|  | SB | 1,067 | 930 | 12,031 |  |  |  | 52\% | 49\% |
| Hiawassee Rd, north of SR 414 | NB | 740 | 845 | 10,034 | 20,500 | 8.1\% | 8.3\% | 44\% | 48\% |
|  | SB | 961 | 908 | 11,058 |  |  |  | 56\% | 52\% |
| US 441, north of SR 414 | NB | 991 | 1,377 | 16,545 | 29,900 | 7.3\% | 7.5\% | 44\% | 59\% |
|  | SB | 1,249 | 940 | 14,271 |  |  |  | 56\% | 41\% |
| Rose Ave, south of SR 414 | NB | 563 | 579 | 7,213 | 13,500 | 7.1\% | 7.6\% | 57\% | 55\% |
|  | SB | 424 | 470 | 6,632 |  |  |  | 43\% | 45\% |
| Bear Lake Rd, north of SR 414 | NB | 239 | 472 | 4,407 | 9,100 | 7.2\% | 9.6\% | 35\% | 52\% |
|  | SB | 440 | 435 | 5,045 |  |  |  | 65\% | 48\% |
| SR 414, between Bear Lake Rd and Eden Park Rd | EB | 2,372 | 1,588 | 25,481 | 50,100 | 7.5\% | 7.2\% | 60\% | 42\% |
|  | WB | 1,561 | 2,177 | 27,176 |  |  |  | 40\% | 58\% |
| Eden Park Rd, south of SR 414 | NB | 188 | 232 | 1,861 | 3,400 | 9.0\% | 10.4\% | 59\% | 63\% |
|  | SB | 131 | 137 | 1,673 |  |  |  | 41\% | 37\% |
| Eden Park Rd, north of SR 414 | NB | 180 | 389 | 3,943 | 7,700 | 7.4\% | 9.5\% | 31\% | 52\% |
|  | SB | 406 | 362 | 3,980 |  |  |  | 69\% | 48\% |
| SR 414, between Eden Park Rd and Magnolia Homes Rd | EB | 2,606 | 1,580 | 26,532 | 52,300 | 7.7\% | 6.9\% | 61\% | 41\% |
|  | WB | 1,643 | 2,230 | 28,469 |  |  |  | 39\% | 59\% |
| Magnolia Homes Rd, south of SR 414 | NB | 235 | 241 | 3,591 | 6,500 | 6.2\% | 6.7\% | 57\% | 54\% |
|  | SB | 174 | 204 | 3,032 |  |  |  | 43\% | 46\% |
| Lake Lotus Park Rd, north of SR 414 | NB | 3 | 6 | 23 | 100 | 12.8\% | 17.0\% | 50\% | 75\% |
|  | SB | 3 | 2 | 24 |  |  |  | 50\% | 25\% |
| SR 414, between Magnolia Homes Rd and Gateway Dr | EB | 2,707 | 1,688 | 28,393 | 54,900 | 7.4\% | 6.9\% | 63\% | 42\% |
|  | WB | 1,570 | 2,298 | 29,342 |  |  |  | 37\% | 58\% |
| Gateway Dr, north of SR 414 | NB | 274 | 182 | 2,424 | 3,800 | 9.1\% | 9.4\% | 77\% | 50\% |
|  | SB | 80 | 185 | 1,487 |  |  |  | 23\% | 50\% |
| SR 434, south of SR 414 | NB | 815 | 1,309 | 15,269 | 32,400 | 7.3\% | 8.7\% | 33\% | 45\% |
|  | SB | 1,623 | 1,612 | 18,123 |  |  |  | 67\% | 55\% |
| SR 434, north of SR 414 | NB | 1,120 | 1,544 | 20,000 | 39,500 | 7.5\% | 6.9\% | 36\% | 54\% |
|  | SB | 2,016 | 1,331 | 21,909 |  |  |  | 64\% | 46\% |
| SR 414, between SR 434 and Maitland Summit Blvd | EB | 1,729 | 1,133 | 19,484 | 39,600 | 6.8\% | 7.4\% | 63\% | 37\% |
|  | WB | 1,037 | 1,890 | 21,327 |  |  |  | 37\% | 63\% |
| Maitland Summit Dr, south of SR 414 | NB | 873 | 794 | 9,501 | 14,800 | 8.3\% | 9.0\% | 69\% | 58\% |
|  | SB | 394 | 569 | 5,713 |  |  |  | 31\% | 42\% |
| Maitland Summit Dr, north of SR$414$ | NB | 900 | 323 | 5,426 | 10,600 | 10.0\% | 9.5\% | 83\% | 31\% |
|  | SB | 184 | 709 | 5,434 |  |  |  | 17\% | 69\% |
| Keller Rd, south of SR 414 | NB | 457 | 1,247 | 9,424 | 17,000 | 9.4\% | 9.4\% | 28\% | 76\% |
|  | SB | 1,186 | 400 | 8,073 |  |  |  | 72\% | 24\% |
| Keller Rd, north of SR 414 | NB | 1,049 | 572 | 7,834 | 14,800 | 9.9\% | 8.7\% | 69\% | 43\% |
|  | SB | 463 | 751 | 7,411 |  |  |  | 31\% | 57\% |

The roadway segments on SR 414 Maitland Boulevard are highlighted in yellow. The peak directions, identified by the greater D-Factor, are highlighted in red. The K-Factors on SR 414 range from 6.9 percent to 7.4 percent. These lower values reflect the severe congestion in the corridor and peak spreading. The D-factors along SR 414 range from a high of 63 percent in the eastbound direction during the AM Peak to a low of 58 percent in the westbound direction during the PM Peak. These factors are also influenced by the severe, recurring congestion. Along SR 414, the peak direction is eastbound in the AM Peak and westbound in the PM Peak. The peak direction on SR 414 switches east of Keller Road, reflecting the importance of the Maitland Office Park.

A classification count taken at the SR 434 overpass in October 2019, provides data for truck factors. Table 3-6 shows vehicle classification data on SR 414 in the study area. The total daily truck percentage is 5.96.

Table 3-6. Vehicle Classification

| Location | Passenger <br> Vehicles | Total Daily <br> Trucks | Single <br> Trucks | Combination <br> Trailer Trucks | Multi-trailer <br> Trucks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SR 414 at SR 434 | $94.04 \%$ | $5.96 \%$ | $3.47 \%$ | $2.46 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ |

The following traffic factors were applied in future year analysis:

- SR 414 Expressway and Expressway Extension - K factor of 9.0\% (FDOT Standard K-Factor)
- SR 414 arterial and cross Streets - K factor of 9.0\%
- D-factors reflected 2019 observed conditions supplemented with FDOT Standards
- T-Factor SR 414 - (6\% daily and 3\% peak hour)
- T-Factor Cross Streets - 4\% daily and 2\% peak hour

The SR 414 Expressway near the Coral Hills mainline toll plaza remains uncongested, even with the extraordinary growth in recent years. Traffic volumes are peaked and directional. Using the average of traffic counts collected on three weekdays during the second week of March 2019, traffic at Coral Hills peaks in the morning between 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM and the evening between 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM. The overall peak-hour occurs in the afternoon. The hourly distribution of traffic at the Coral Hills Toll Plaza is shown in Figure 3-4. The proportion of traffic in the peak-hour (K) was 9.7 percent, and the directional split (D) was 69.8 percent in the AM peak-hour, and 65.4 percent in the PM peak-hour. Based on this data, there is twice as much traffic in the peak direction as in the off-peak direction during both peak hours.

Figure 3-4. Hourly Distribution of Traffic at Coral Hills Toll Plaza


Source: Average of hourly traffic from March 12 to 14, 2019 (Tuesday to Thursday), CFX Counts.

Further east along the corridor, the peaking and directional characteristics of traffic are impacted by the severe and recurring congestion. Figure 3-5 contains the hourly distribution of traffic on SR 414 west of Gateway Drive. Using the average of traffic counts collected on three weekdays in October 2019, the peak direction near the intersection is eastbound in the morning and westbound in the evening, but the peakhours are disturbed by congestion. The AM peak-hour is spread over two hours, beginning at 7:00 AM, while the PM peak occurs at 3:00 PM, but spreads over an approximately five-hour period. There appears to be significant operational problems in the westbound direction as the volume of traffic and 3:00 and 4:00 PM declines at 5:00 PM (which occurred in all three days) but then increases again at 6:00 pm. Traffic volumes during the middle of the day are just over 1,500 vehicles per hour in both directions. The proportion of traffic in the peak-hour (K) was 7.4 percent. The directional split (D) was 63.3 percent in the morning peak-hour and 57.7 percent in the evening peak-hour. The lower values of $K$ and $D$ reflect the level of congestion during peak periods.

Figure 3-5. Hourly Distribution of Traffic on SR 414, West of Gateway Drive


Source: Average of hourly traffic from October 22 to 24, 2019 (Tuesday to Thursday), Traffic Counts

### 3.3.4 2019 Peak-Hour Traffic Profile

The final 2019 AM and PM peak hour volumes for the entire project are presented in Figure 3-6. The peakhour direction of flow is eastbound in the morning and westbound in the evening west of Keller Road. East of Keller Road, the peak-hour directions switch so that westbound is the peak direction in the morning and eastbound in the evening, though the evening directionality is close to a $50 / 50$ split. This switch in peak direction indicates the importance of the Maitland Center office parks (between Maitland Summit Boulevard and Lake Destiny Road) as a major destination.

Figure 3-6. 2019 AM (PM) Peak Hour Volumes


Figure 3-6. 2019 AM (PM) Peak Hour Volumes (Cont'd)


### 3.4 Travel Patterns

To establish travel patterns, origin/destination (OD) analysis was completed using INRIX and Streetlight data for the travel demand model validation. The OD patterns were developed using a select-link analysis along SR 414 east of the Coral Hills mainline toll plaza, shown in Figure 3-7. This is a band-width plot, with the widest line representing 100 percent of the traffic through the selected link location. As traffic enters/leaves SR 414, the line gets thinner moving away from the select link location. From the select link point to the east, a small portion of traffic exits/enters at Hiawassee Road interchange, a larger portion exits/enters at US 441 mostly from the south, and a small portion exits/enters in the arterial portion of SR 434. The select link traffic remaining passes by SR 434 and heads to the Maitland Center office park, I-4, and east of I-4.

Figure 3-7. SR 414 INRIX Data Travel Patterns


Using a filter point west of US 441, Streetlight Data indicated the following distribution to destinations:

- $20 \%$ to US 441
- $20 \%$ to the arterial cross streets and SR 434
- $30 \%$ to Maitland Center Office Park
- $30 \%$ to I-4 and Maitland east of I-4


### 3.5 Traffic Operational Analysis

Starting with the description of existing facilities and traffic volumes, the purpose of this part of the chapter is to establish current operational conditions within the traffic analysis AOI. This analysis employs LOS and V/C ratio evaluation of existing daily and peak-hour conditions on roadway segments and Synchro analysis to assess existing peak-hour conditions at intersections.

### 3.6.1 Roadway Segments

The 2019 daily and peak hour V/C ratios for roadway segments in the study area are shown in Table 3-7.
Table 3-7. 2019 Performance of Roadway Segments

| Location | Facility Type | Lanes | LOS D Service Volume* |  | Volume |  |  | V/C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Daily <br> 2-Way | Peak <br> Hour <br> Peak <br> Dir | Daily | AM <br> Peak | PM Peak | Daily | AM <br> Peak | PM Peak |
| SR 414, west of Hiawassee Rd | Expressway | 6L | 123,600 | 5,620 | 50,360 | 3,077 | 3,045 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.54 |
| SR 414, between Hiawassee Rd and US 441 | Expressway | 6L+ 2Aux | 143,600 | 6,620 | 48,860 | 2,717 | 2,897 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.44 |
| SR 414, between US 441 and Bear Lake Rd | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 52,310 | 1,894 | 2,496 | 1.31 | 0.95 | 1.25 |
| SR 414, between Bear Lake Rd and Eden Park Rd | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 52,650 | 2,277 | 2,296 | 1.32 | 1.14 | 1.15 |
| SR 414, between Eden Park Rd and Magnolia Homes Rd | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 55,000 | 2,589 | 2,248 | 1.38 | 1.29 | 1.12 |
| SR 414, between Magnolia Homes Rd and Gateway Dr | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 59,910 | 2,705 | 2,283 | 1.51 | 1.35 | 1.14 |
| SR 414, between Gateway Dr and SR 434 Ramps | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 56,430 | 2,495 | 2,103 | 1.42 | 1.25 | 1.05 |
| SR 414, between the SR 434 Ramps | Uninterrupted Highway | 4L | 66,200 | 3,280 | 44,090 | 1,830 | 1,170 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.36 |
| SR 414, between SR 434 Ramps and Maitland Summit Blvd Ramps | Uninterrupted Highway | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{~L} \quad+ \\ & 2 A u x \end{aligned}$ | 82,750 | 4,100 | 61,810 | 2,629 | 3,333 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.81 |
| SR 414, between Maitland Summit Blvd Ramps | Uninterrupted Highway | 4L | 66,200 | 3,280 | 51,720 | 1,775 | 2,609 | 0.78 | 0.54 | 0.80 |
| SR 414, between Maitland Summit Blvd Ramps and Keller Rd Ramps | Uninterrupted Highway | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{~L}+2 \\ & \text { Aux } \end{aligned}$ | 82,750 | 4,100 | 63,350 | 2,602 | 3,103 | 0.77 | 0.63 | 0.76 |
| SR 414, between Keller Rd Ramps and I4 Ramps | Uninterrupted Highway | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4L } \quad+ \\ & \text { 4Aux } \end{aligned}$ | 115,950 | 5,740 | 84,180 | 4,168 | 3,592 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.63 |
| Hiawassee Rd, south of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 24,050 | 1,209 | 1,072 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.54 |
| Hiawassee Rd, north of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 21,090 | 989 | 941 | 0.53 | 0.49 | 0.47 |
| US 441, south of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 26,340 | 2,205 | 1,950 | 0.66 | 1.10 | 0.98 |
| US 441, north of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 30,820 | 1,227 | 1,443 | 0.77 | 0.61 | 0.72 |
| Rose Ave, south of SR 414 | Collector | 2L | 15,930 | 790 | 13,850 | 667 | 636 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.81 |
| Bear Lake Rd, north of SR 414 | Collector | 2L | 13,320 | 680 | 9,470 | 483 | 528 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.78 |
| Eden Park Rd, south of SR 414 | Collector | 2L | 13,320 | 680 | 7,920 | 438 | 401 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.59 |
| Eden Park Rd, north of SR 414 | Collector | 2L | 13,320 | 680 | 3,530 | 218 | 237 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.35 |
| Magnolia Homes Rd, south of SR 414 | Collector | 2L | 13,320 | 680 | 6,620 | 230 | 240 | 0.50 | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| Lake Lotus Park Rd, north of SR 414 | Driveway | 2L |  |  | 40 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Gateway Dr, north of SR 414 | Collector | 2L | 13,320 | 680 | 3,920 | 215 | 185 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.27 |
| SR 434, south of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | 4L | 39,800 | 2,000 | 33,400 | 1,777 | 1,794 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 0.90 |
| SR 434, north of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | 6L | 59,900 | 3,020 | 41,910 | 2,281 | 2,717 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.90 |
| Maitland Summit Dr, south of SR 414 | Collector | 4L | 29,160 | 1,470 | 15,210 | 1,032 | 835 | 0.52 | 0.70 | 0.57 |
| Maitland Summit Dr, north of SR 414 | Collector | 4L | 29,160 | 1,470 | 10,860 | 808 | 871 | 0.37 | 0.55 | 0.59 |
| Keller Rd, south of SR 414 | Collector | 4L | 29,160 | 1,470 | 17,490 | 1,665 | 2,099 | 0.60 | 1.13 | 1.43 |
| Keller Rd, north of SR 414 | Collector | 4L | 29,160 | 1,470 | 15,240 | 1,089 | 983 | 0.52 | 0.74 | 0.67 |

*Source: FDOT 2020 Quality and LOS Generalized Service Volume Tables

The LOS "D" service volumes come from the FDOT 2020 Quality and LOS Generalized Service Volume tables. The bottom portion of the table, highlighted in gray, contains the LOS "D" volume results from roadways classified as arterials and collectors that intersect with SR 414 within the traffic study area. The segments where the volume exceeds the capacity (highlighted in red) and the V/C ratio is greater than one are the arterial portions of SR 414, US 441, and Keller Road south of SR 414.

The only segment in the study area that qualified for freeway analysis was the SR 414 Expressway between Hiawassee Road and US 441. This section was analyzed using the HCS software. Table 3-8 contains results from weaving, diverge and merge analysis . The HCS7 reports are provided in Appendix C. In 2019, the freeway segments operated at acceptable levels of service.

Table 3-8. 2019 Weave, Diverge and Merge Freeway Segments Analysis Results

| Segment | Segment Type | Lanes | Volume (vph) |  | Density/LOS (pcpmpl) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| SR 414 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Off Ramp to Hiawassee Rd | Diverge | 3 | 541 | 235 | 23.8/C | 14.4/B |
| EB On Ramp from Hiawassee Rd | Weave | 4 | 181 | 180 | 14.9/B | 7.1/A |
| EB Off Ramp to US 441 |  |  | 1,114 | 227 |  |  |
| SR 414 Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB On Ramp from US 441 | Weave | 4 | 182 | 716 | 5.2/A | 12.6/B |
| WB Off Ramp to Hiawassee Rd |  |  | 192 | 188 |  |  |
| WB On Ramp from Hiawassee Rd | Merge | 3 | 279 | 336 | 5.1/A | 10.9/A |

### 3.5.1 Intersections

A traffic analysis using Synchro v. 10 software was completed to evaluate the LOS operations at the signalized intersections in the traffic study area. Using the existing signal timings, roadway configuration and turning movement counts, the traffic delay and LOS was determined for each movement and the overall intersection in both the 2019 AM and PM peak hours, as shown in Table 3-9 and Table 3-9 respectively. Unacceptable LOS is highlighted in red font. The Synchro reports and signal timing sheets are provided in Appendix D.

In both 2019 AM and PM peak hours, the intersections of Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue, Eden Park Road, and Magnolia Homes Road operate at unacceptable LOS F for most northbound and southbound movements, and eastbound and westbound the left turn movements. However, the total intersection delay for these intersections is acceptable, except for Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue operating at LOS E and SR 434 operating at LOS E (in the PM only). All other intersections operate at acceptable LOS D or better. Several left-turn movements operate at LOS F at the US 441 and SR 434 intersections.

Table 3-9. 2019 AM Peak Hour Intersection Operations Results

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 60.3 |  | 43.1 | 54.0 |  | 0.9 | 44.6 | 15.1 | 2.4 | 54.2 | 24.5 | 4.0 | 26.6 |
|  | LOS | E |  | D | D |  | A | D | B | A | D | C | A | C |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | Delay | 33.0 |  | 49.9 |  |  |  |  | 36.4 | 7.0 | 89.7 | 15.1 |  | 34.4 |
|  | LOS | C |  | D |  |  |  |  | D | A | F | B |  | C |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | Delay | 42.0 | 57.8 | 32.0 | 51.1 | 57.1 | 18.9 | 82.1 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 75.4 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 26.2 |
|  | LOS | D | E | C | D | E | B | F | B |  | E | C |  | C |
| $\text { SR } 414 \text { @ }$ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | Delay | 184.1 | 64.8 | 2.5 | 218.3 | 19.1 | 0.1 | 108.2 | 124.9 | 112.1 | 115.0 | 137.0 | 19.8 | 64.5 |
|  | LOS | F | E | A | F | B | A | F | F | F | F | F | B | E |
| SR 414 @ <br> Eden Park Rd | Delay | 173.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 213.6 | 25.9 | 1.7 | 145.5 | 175.6 | 124.5 | 158.7 | 110.6 | 42.1 | 39.8 |
|  | LOS | F | C |  | F | C | A | F | F | F | F | F | D | D |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | Delay | 188.0 |  | 0.0 | 202.5 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 168.9 | 133.7 |  |  | 118.0 |  | 24.9 |
|  | LOS | F | B |  | F | A |  | F | F |  |  | F |  | C |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 434 \text { @ } \\ \text { SR } 414 \text { Ramps } \end{gathered}$ | Delay | 93.1 |  | 7.8 | 75.2 |  | 33.2 | 97.0 | 39.9 | 5.5 | 86.9 | 30.5 | 3.0 | 41.3 |
|  | LOS | F |  | A | E |  | C | F | D | A | F | C | A | D |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | Delay | 57.9 | 36.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | 8.4 | 22.9 | 5.5 |  | 23.0 |
|  | LOS | E | D |  |  |  |  |  | C | A | C | A |  | C |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay |  |  |  | 52.7 | 42.5 | 26.9 | 27.8 | 3.9 |  |  | 13.2 | 1.0 | 24.4 |
|  | LOS |  |  |  | D | D | C | C | A |  |  | B | A | C |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 54.7 |  | 0.5 | 38.8 |  | 3.9 | 57.0 | 40.9 | 0.2 | 51.1 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 22.7 |
|  | LOS | D |  | A | D |  | A | E | D | A | D | C |  | C |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | Delay |  |  |  | 44.7 |  | 0.1 |  | 17.2 | 7.7 | 44.8 | 6.5 |  | 23.8 |
|  | LOS |  |  |  | D |  | A |  |  | A |  | A |  | C |
| SR 414 @ <br> Hope Rd | Delay | 55.3 | 0.3 |  |  | 17.2 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 10.8 |
|  | LOS | E | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | A | B |

Table 3-10. 2019 PM Peak Hour Intersection Operation Results

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 74.8 |  | 7.4 | 76.2 |  | 0.8 | 76.0 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 69.3 | 13.7 | 1.9 | 22.8 |
|  | LOS | E |  | A | E |  | A | E | B | A | E | B | A | C |
| US 441@ SR 4141 Eastbound Ramps | Delay | 83.3 |  | 15.3 |  |  |  |  | 16.3 | 2.8 | 87.1 | 1.8 |  | 20.1 |
|  | LOS | F |  | B |  |  |  |  | B | A | F | A |  | C |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | Delay | 54.6 | 71.2 | 28.1 | 58.5 | 65.2 | 19.5 | 111.1 | 18.7 |  | 93.3 | 16.6 |  | 23.5 |
|  | LOS | D | E | C | E | E | B | F | B |  | F | B |  | C |
| SR 414 @ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | Delay | 197.6 | 37.0 | 2.8 | 210.5 | 52.2 | 0.3 | 196.9 | 188.7 | 86.2 | 203.2 | 194.8 | 61.7 | 75.4 |
|  | LOS | F | D | A | F | D | A | F | F | F | F | F | E | E |
| SR 414 @ <br> Eden Park Rd | Delay | 180.5 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 175.8 | 44.2 | 3.3 | 138.2 | 183.3 | 1.6 | 179.4 | 124.0 | 41.6 | 46.4 |
|  | LOS | F | B |  | F | D | A | F | F | A | F | F | D | D |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia <br> Homes Rd | Delay | 181.0 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 177.7 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 182.1 | 56.1 |  |  | 115.7 |  | 16.6 |
|  | LOS | F | A | A | F | B |  | F | E |  |  | F |  | B |
| SR 434 @1 <br> SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 77.0 |  | 5.5 | 100.6 |  | 76.9 | 102.6 | 78.3 | 0.8 | 53.4 | 29.6 | 3.1 | 62.0 |
|  | LOS | E |  | A | F |  | E | F | E | A | D | C | A | E |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | Delay | 63.2 | 24.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 25.8 | 3.1 | 7.5 | 6.0 |  | 13.7 |
|  | LOS | E | C |  |  |  |  |  | C | A | A | A |  | B |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay |  |  |  | 33.5 | 49.0 | 5.3 | 30.4 | 16.5 |  |  | 24.6 | 13.9 | 28.1 |
|  | LOS |  |  |  | C | D | A | C | B |  |  | C | B | C |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 54.5 |  | 0.1 | 54.0 |  | 2.5 | 57.7 | 31.1 | 2.3 | 48.9 | 17.2 | 0.1 | 26.2 |
|  |  | D |  | A | D |  | A | E | C | A |  | B | A | C |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | Delay |  |  |  | 40.5 |  |  |  | 15.4 | 3.9 | 44.8 | 3.5 |  | 20.3 |
|  | LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |
| SR 414 @1 <br> Hope Rd | Delay | 52.5 | 0.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 4.7 |
|  |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | A | A |

The analysis of the roadway segments and intersections as stand-alone items can be misleading since it may not reflect the traffic operational issues routinely experienced. The reason is that the turning and through movement counts only reflect the traffic volumes that cleared the intersection, not the unmet demand. There is significant queuing of traffic at the intersections on the arterial portion of SR 414 in the AM and PM peaks. Cross street delays and left turning movements on the arterial section of SR 414 are generally over the LOS standards, due to long cycle lengths to accommodate the SR 414 through movements.

The SR 414 and Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue intersection acts as a bottleneck both in the AM and PM peaks. In the AM peak, the SR 414 east direction experiences a shock wave, with traffic moving at 65 mph or more coming to a stop condition at Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave intersection. The shockwave extends upstream to the US 441 eastbound off-ramp. The commuters have a choice at this location to either continue SR 414 or take the US 441 eastbound off-ramp exit and use local streets to get to their destinations. This pattern is evident with $1,100+$ vph exiting at US 441 while the AADT of the ramp is 5,270 , computes to a 20 percent share which is typically very high.

In the PM peak-hours, westbound SR 414 is congested from Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue intersection to SR 434 westbound off-ramp exit. The commuters have a choice at this location to either continue on SR 414 or take the SR 434 westbound off-ramp exit and use local streets to get to their destinations. This pattern is evident with $1,650 \mathrm{vph}$ exiting at SR 434 while the AADT of the ramp is 10,780 , which translates to a 15 percent PM peak share, which is on the higher side. One of the reasons for this congestion is that traffic gets funneled into this intersection, i.e., the eastbound/westbound green times at two intersections, Eden Park Road and Magnolia Homes Road, are higher than the green time at Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue intersection. This creates a funneling effect and results in a stop and go conditions. There is minimal progression even with over 150 seconds of green time in the westbound through direction. The long cycle lengths also occasionally result in westbound left-turn lane at Bear Lake intersection to spill back into the through lanes, even with a left turn volume of only 107 vph.

The existing turn bay storage and Synchro $50^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths for each turn movement at the study intersections are presented in Table 3-11. It's important to note that Synhro has limitations in estimating queue lengths for saturated conditions, as indicated in the tables' footnotes. The queue lengths in the table are provided for information only. Queue lengths and storage length recommendations for saturated conditions should be based on a properly calibrated microsimulation model.

Table 3-11. 2019 Synchro Peak Hour 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | Storage <br> Length (ft) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Hiawassee Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 500 | 108 | 84 | 148 | 122 |
|  | EBR | 500 | 139 | 0 | 227 | 22 |
|  | WBL | 600 | 62 | 93 | 93 | 132 |
|  | WBR | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBL | 350 | 36 | 80 | 56 | 118 |
|  | NBT |  | 170 | 183 | 230 | 237 |
|  | NBR | 400 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 29 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 5 | 6 | 16 | 19 |
|  | SBT |  | 227 | 181 | 354 | 250 |
|  | SBR | 300 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 33 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | EBL | 390 | 133 | 41 | 187 | 82 |
|  | EBR |  | 462 | 0 | 536 | 45 |
|  | NBT |  | 208 | 387 | 266 | 504 |
|  | NBR | 250 | 0 | 3 | 41 | 27 |
|  | SBL | 490 | 92 | 201 | 155 | 257 |
|  | SBT |  | 560 | 72 | 173 | 34 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 Westbound Ramps | EBL | 450 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 17 |
|  | EBT |  | 15 | 27 | 35 | 60 |
|  | EBR | 450 | 56 | 28 | 134 | 128 |
|  | WBL | 425 | 67 | 30 | 104 | 62 |
|  | WBT |  | 121 | 52 | 190 | 104 |
|  | WBR | 425 | 60 | 26 | 184 | 126 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 84 | 79 | 145 | m135 |
|  | NBT |  | 130 | 846 | 148 | 436 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 450 | 21 | 68 | 53 | \#147 |
|  | SBT |  | 423 | 257 | 637 | 355 |
|  | SBR | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SR 414 @ Bear Lake Rd/ Rose Ave | EBL | 630 | 133 | $\sim 477$ | 205 | \#705 |
|  | EBT |  | 1,811 | 1,031 | 1,897 | 1,141 |
|  | EBR | 630 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 33 |
|  | WBL | 550 | 296 | 251 | \#397 | m269 |
|  | WBT |  | 329 | $\sim 2690$ | 351 | \#2700 |
|  | WBR | 375 | 0 | 2 | m0 | m3 |
|  | NBL | 330 | 159 | ~342 | 232 | \#553 |
|  | NBT |  | 356 | ~603 | 466 | \#840 |
|  | NBR | 420 | 797 | 348 | 964 | 455 |
|  | SBL | 575 | 214 | 142 | 298 | \#274 |
|  | SBT |  | 456 | 384 | 581 | \#585 |
|  | SBR | 350 | 25 | 157 | 119 | 288 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite,
\# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Table 3-11. 2019 Synchro Peak Hour 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths (Cont.)

| Intersection | Movement | Storage <br> Length (ft) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Road | EBL | 425 | 2 | 5 | m2 | m6 |
|  | EBT |  | 426 | 110 | 791 | 487 |
|  | EBR | 425 | 0 | 1 | m0 | m1 |
|  | WBL | 580 | 277 | 222 | \#449 | 307 |
|  | WBT |  | 374 | 765 | 554 | 1,346 |
|  | WBR | 725 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBL | 325 | 129 | 143 | 204 | 215 |
|  | NBT |  | 229 | 90 | \#432 | 204 |
|  | SBT |  | 4 | 4 | 23 | 22 |
| SR 434 @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 600 | 216 | 269 | 268 | 335 |
|  | EBR | 750 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 21 |
|  | WBL | 900 | 65 | 406 | 97 | \#529 |
|  | WBR | 900 | 144 | 739 | 194 | \#917 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 52 | 65 | 84 | 103 |
|  | NBT |  | 210 | 589 | 269 | \#653 |
|  | NBR | 825 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 1000 | 320 | 130 | 371 | 174 |
|  | SBT |  | 469 | 327 | 543 | 367 |
|  | SBR | 800 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 54 |
| Maitland Summit <br> Blvd @ SR 414 EB <br> Ramps | EBL | 450 | 243 | 108 | 321 | 169 |
|  | EBT |  | 127 | 32 | 150 | 57 |
|  | NBT |  | 49 | 55 | 71 | 77 |
|  | NBR | 300 | 54 | 0 | 121 | 40 |
|  | SBL |  | 11 | 9 | 26 | 13 |
|  | SBT |  | 26 | 52 | 53 | 110 |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | WBL | 510 | 151 | 154 | 188 | 176 |
|  | WBT |  | 43 | 334 | 78 | 405 |
|  | WBR |  | 85 | 0 | 179 | 30 |
|  | NBL |  | 7 | 16 | 14 | 24 |
|  | NBT |  | 19 | 76 | 30 | 106 |
|  | SBT |  | 15 | 86 | 30 | 131 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 91 | 7 | 224 |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 400 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 5 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBL | 525 | 375 | 61 | 410 | 87 |
|  | WBR | 285 | 45 | 0 | 86 | 27 |
|  | NBL | 250 | 31 | 162 | 57 | 215 |
|  | NBT |  | 68 | 226 | 119 | 340 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 275 | 104 | 281 | 141 | 344 |
|  | SBT |  | 71 | 25 | 117 | 52 |
|  | SBR | 475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | WBL | 365 | 115 | 30 | 173 | 66 |
|  | WBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBT |  | 10 | 25 | 27 | 50 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 18 | 37 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 51 | 111 | 95 | 170 |
|  | SBT |  | 13 | 9 | 29 | 17 |
| SR 414 @ <br> Hope Rd | EBL | 485 | 49 | 48 | 81 | 79 |
|  | EBT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | 620 | 267 | 734 | 330 |
|  | WBR | 200 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 10 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite, \# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

### 3.6 Crash Analysis

Crash analysis was performed for the SR 414 study corridor. The crash data was collected from west of US 441 to east of SR 434 (PD\&E study limits) using the state's Crash Analysis Reporting (CAR) system and Signal Four Analytics. The crash analysis methodology at the intersections included a 500 -foot buffer influence area to accurately capture all crashes and used the most recent and complete five-year data set available, 2014 through 2018 data. The study area is characterized by residential neighborhoods and consists of three signalized intersections: Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue, Eden Park Road and Magnolia Home Road; and two interchanges, US 441, and SR 434. The details from the crash reports were reviewed based on the long forms provided to accurately understand the crash results.

Table 3-12 contains the results for total yearly crashes in the five-year study period. A total of 694 crashes were reported during the five-year analysis period. There was a reduction in the yearly crashes from 2016 to 2018.

Table 3-12. Number of Crashes Per Year

| Year | Crashes |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 149 |
| 2015 | 141 |
| 2016 | 155 |
| 2017 | 140 |
| 2018 | 109 |
| Total | 694 |

The crash locations by severity for the five-year period are shown in Figure 3-8. Approximately 60 percent of the crashes occurred between west of US 441 to just east of Eden Park Road. There was no linear trend between the year and the number of crashes at each intersection.

Out of the 694 crashes, 507 (approximately 73 percent) occurred at the intersections and 187 (approximately 27 percent) occurred at mid-block locations. The results included two fatalities reported within the five-year analysis period and 164 crashes resulting in injury, whereas 528 (approximately 76 percent) resulted in no injury or property damage only. One of the fatalities occurred at the Bear Lake Road /Rose Avenue intersection on a Saturday at 1:27 AM when the roadway conditions were dry and the lighting was dark. The driver entered the insection going the wrong way causing a head-on collision. The other fatality occurred at a mid-block location at 11:51 PM on a Saturday when the roadway conditions were dry and the lighting was dark. The driver was under the influence of alcohol and driving distracted.

Figure 3-8. Crash Injury Severity


Intersection crash rates were calculated for all five intersections located within the study area. Crash rates were estimated as crashes per Million Entering Vehicles (MEV) for the intersections using a methodology provided by FHWA. Average crash rates were estimated using the total crashes that occurred in the fiveyear data period at the intersections and dividing it by the number of years collected (five). Since daily traffic counts were not collected for some of the intersection approaches, data from the FDOT's Florida Traffic Online website was used to supplement. The intersection crash rates are shown in Table 3-13. To further expand on the analysis, these results should be compared to a facility and intersections similar to the ones in the study area. The crash rates at each of the study area intersections is above the 5 -year statewide average crash rate for similar intersection type.

Table 3-13. Intersection Crash Rates

| SR 414 Intersection | Total <br> Crashes | Average <br> Crashes $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | AADT <br> (Approach <br> Volumes) $^{2}$ | Intersection <br> Crash Rate $^{\mathbf{3}}$ | S-year <br> Statewide <br> Avg. Crash <br> Rate $^{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 441 | 133 | 26.6 | 39,725 | 1.83 | 0.667 |
| Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | 118 | 23.6 | 57,600 | 1.12 | 0.667 |
| Eden Park Rd | 86 | 17.2 | 53,850 | 0.88 | 0.667 |
| Magnolia Homes Rd | 79 | 15.8 | 29,150 | 1.49 | 0.667 |
| SR 434 | 91 | 18.2 | 54,200 | 0.92 | 0.667 |

${ }^{1}$ Crashes/Years of Data Collected
${ }^{2}$ https://tdaappsprod.dot.state.fl.us/fto/
${ }^{3}$ https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/local rural/training/fhwasa1210/s3.cfm
${ }^{4}$ Source: Florida Average Crash Rates for Suburban Spots 2013-2017, 2-3 lanes ww Div’d Raised Median 4 legs.

The mid-block crash locations are the crashes that occurred outside of the intersection influence area of 500 feet. The mid-block locations accounted for 187 crashes (approximately 27 percent) of the total crashes between 2014 and 2018 for the study corridor. The crashes were evenly distributed along the study area and not concentrated in one area. In addition, there was no apparent pattern to the day of the week or time of day when these crashes occurred. Most of the crashes were rear-end, resulting in property damage only, occurring during the day and in dry roadway conditions. Detailed crash data summaries for intersections and mid-block locations are provided in Appendix E.

## 4. Development of Future Year Traffic Forecast

This section contains brief descriptions of the travel demand model and the 2045 design traffic forecasts for the corridors evaluated.

### 4.1 Model Development

The latest version of the CFX travel demand model was used with a validation year of 2017 and forecast years of 2025 and 2045. This is a regional daily model with a disaggregated zone structure and supporting network in the study area.

### 4.1.1 Base Year Model (2017)

Design traffic for the SR 414 Expressway Extension PD\&E study was forecasted using version CFX Model 414 developed specifically for this study. This model was based on the CFX Model Lake Orange Connector (LOC) created specifically for the purpose of evaluating the Lake/Orange County Connector, which incorporated updates/revisions to the CFX Model from previous studies and based on the Central Florida Regional Planning Model (CFRPM) v6.1 model. CFX Model 414 was validated for a 2017 base year with a concentration on the sub-area of Orange, Seminole and Lake Counties. The full model covers all of Orange, Seminole, Osceola, Lake, Sumter, Marion, Volusia, Flagler, Brevard Counties, as well as connected portions of Polk and Indian River County. The 2017 base year was utilized for this analysis due to the on-going construction activities of the I-4 Ultimate project. The I-4 Ultimate project improvements at the I-4 and Maitland Boulevard interchange and supporting roadway network were completely redesigned which altered travel patterns in the study area. The I-4 Ultimate construction activities are expected to be completed in 2022.

The CFX Model 414 comprises a total of 5406 traffic analysis zones (TAZs) including the 56 external zones. The 2017 base year model includes updated 2017 local networks. For the SR 414 Expressway Extension, the zonal structure was updated in the study area to better reflect existing development patterns. For the purpose of evaluating this expressway extension traffic analysis zone disaggregation was needed, as some of the zones were large and cut across links in the transportation network. The zone disaggregation occurred along both sides of SR 414 between US 441 and SR 434. A total of eleven (11) new zones were created. The old zonal structure is depicted with red lines and the new disaggregated zones depicted with blue lines in Figure 4-1.

### 4.1.2 Base Year Model Network

The base year network was developed from the CFX Model LOC network and updated to 2017 conditions. As part of the disaggregation of TAZs, additional local roads were added in the Maitland Center office park and Rose Avenue neighborhoods to allow for better trip distribution in these developed areas and ensure better loading of traffic to the network and SR 414. Using GIS and 2017 aerial imagery, the network facility types, speeds and capacities were checked, concentrating on expressway and arterial facilities, to ensure that the network was properly coded to match existing conditions. Adjustments were made to the link attributes in the study area, including operating speed and capacity.

Figure 4-1. Zone Disaggregation for SR 414 Expressway Extension


### 4.1.3 Socioeconomic Data

The CFX Model 414 used the base-year Socio-Economic (SE) data set for 2017 from the CFX Model LOC. Fishkind and Associates (FKA) was employed to develop socioeconomic data for the entirety of Lake and Orange Counties, specifically population, dwelling units/households, school enrollment and employment control totals for the 2017 base year SE data sets, as well as provide 2025, 2035 and 2045 forecasts, for the LOC Model. The base year reevaluation for these two counties was completed using historical population and employment growth rates, property appraiser parcel data, Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation licensure data, Department of Education data, Woods \& Poole data, and DataStory, a third party GIS data service that provides historical socioeconomic data estimates to develop estimates of population, housing units, employment, school enrollment and hotel/units at a county control total level.

### 4.1.4 Base Year Model Validation

The validation of the CFX Model 414 concentrated on a study area including the Orange and Seminole Counties. The facilities highlighted in red in Figure 4-2 were the focus locations for the validation effort.

Figure 4-2. CFX Model 414 Project Specific Validation Limits


Two ways to evaluate the goodness of fit for a regional model are the ratio of model predicted volumes to counts and root-mean squared error (RMSE). The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) validation standards suggest that the acceptable range of areawide v/c ratio for a daily model is +/-5 percent.

Table 4-1 contains a summary of the daily volume/count ratios and RMSE for the study area link volume groups in the base year model. A total of 1,393 links with counts were included in the analysis. The study area results show that the study area volume/count ratio is 1.04 and RMSE is 33 percent, both are within the overall acceptable range. The volumes on the individual link volume groups are 3-14 percent higher than the counts. When the historical high growth is considered in Orange and Seminole Counties, the validation results are deemed reasonable.

Table 4-1. CFX Model 414 Validation by Volume Groups - 2017 Base Year

| Group | Low Range | High Range | RMSE Daily | Volume/Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<=5,000$ vpd | $45 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $78.1 \%$ | 0.70 |
| $5,001-10,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $35 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $49.8 \%$ | 1.13 |
| $10,001-15,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $27 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | 1.12 |
| $15,001-20,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | 1.14 |
| $20,001-30,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $15 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | 1.07 |
| $30,001-50,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $15 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | 1.12 |
| $50,001-60,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | 1.11 |
| $60,000+\mathrm{vpd}$ | $10 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | 1.11 |
| Study Area | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$ |

Figure 4-3 contains a graph showing the model predicted traffic volumes against traffic counts in the study area. The correlation between the two is very close ( $R^{2}=0.89$ ).

Figure 4-3. CFX Model 414 Validation Results - 2017 Base Year


### 4.2 Future Year Models

By starting with the CFX Model 414, the future year models retained all the updates and enhancements created for previous models and with additional base year model improvements in the study area. The design traffic forecast year was set to 2045, consistent with the requirements for CFX projects. Loaded network plots of the 2045 No-Build and Build conditions are provided in Appendix H.

### 4.2.1 Socioeconomic Forecasts

New independent socioeconomic forecasts of population, school enrollment and employment were developed by FKA for the entirety of Orange and Lake Counties for the Lake/Orange County Connector project which were also incorporated into this project model. FKA considered the historical growth rates, as well as published forecasts from the Bureau of Economic and Business Research and Woods \& Poole to develop forecasts of population at a county control total level. Employment control total forecasts were estimated in a similar fashion, using Woods \& Poole, ESRI and Data Story sources. School enrollment forecasts were completed by geocoding the existing 2017 enrollments for K-12 students for public and private schools in the study area, analyzing the county-specific detailed age profile forecasts, estimating future control totals for each county, and allocating forecasted student enrollment based on each TAZs' share of the current student allocations. FKA used a land use allocation model to allocate the population and employment control total forecasts in the study area. FKA considered market characteristics including acres of developable vacant land, holding capacity of vacant land, developments of regional impact and other approved developments, utility, and transportation access proximity, surrounding land use compatibility and other variables to determine the attractiveness of development. There were no changes in the SE data forecasts in this study.

### 4.2.2 Future Year Networks

The future year networks from the CFX Model LOC were updated to incorporate network changes to the link attribute revisions completed to the base year network and checked for additional updates needed in the study area to reflect planned improvements in the study area. One network improvement to note is the recoding of the new I-4 Ultimate Improvements at the Maitland Boulevard/SR 414 interchange. The existing interchange and supporting roadway network changed significantly as part of the I-4 Ultimate project and were not reflected in the previous model network.

The future year networks in the model contained the transportation improvements identified in the CFX, FDOT and county work programs, as well as the improvements included in the cost feasible plan from Metroplan Orlando's Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) for year 2040. The 2045 network improvements of note included:

- 6-lane SR 429 from Seidel Road to SR 414
- 10-lane I-4 Ultimate Improvement from SR 408 to SR 434
- 4-lane Wekiva Parkway/SR 429 from Mt. Plymouth Road to I-4

The Metroplan Orlando 2040 LRTP includes a 6-lane arterial improvement to SR 414, so a 6-lane arterial improvement was assumed as the No-Build alternative in the future year networks, west of SR 434.

### 4.2.3 Model Growth Rates

To develop estimates of design traffic, model volumes for the year 2045 under No-build conditions were pulled from the project-specific travel demand model. The No-build scenarios was then compared against the year 2017 validated project-specific model run to establish 2017-2045 growth rates. These growth rates were evaluated against historical growth rates and adjusted for reasonableness, as presented in Table 4-2. The adjusted growth rates were used in developing the initial future year traffic profiles. Future estimates were further adjusted for K\&D and balanced.

Table 4-2. Model Growth Rates - 2017-2045

| Location | Facility Type | 2045 No-Build |  | 2045 Build |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Model | Adjusted | Model | Adjusted |
| SR 414, West of US 441 | Expressway | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 4.5\% | 3.7\% |
| SR 414, between US 441 and Bear Lake Rd | Class I Arterial | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 5.5\% | 3.7\% |
| SR 414, between Bear Lake Rd and Eden Park Rd | Class I Arterial | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 6.2\% | 3.7\% |
| SR 414, between Eden Park Rd and Magnolia Homes Rd | Class I Arterial | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 6.3\% | 3.7\% |
| SR 414, between Magnolia Homes Rd and Gateway Dr | Class I Arterial | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% |
| SR 414, between Gateway Dr and SR 434 Ramps | Class I Arterial | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% |
| SR 414, between the SR 434 Ramps | Uninterrupted Highway | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.4\% | 3.7\% |
| US 441, south of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 2.0\% |
| US 441, north of SR 414 | Class I Arterial | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 2.0\% |
| Rose Ave, south of SR 414 | Collector | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Bear Lake Rd, north of SR 414 | Collector | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
| Eden Park Rd, south of SR 414 | Collector | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Eden Park Rd, north of SR 414 | Collector | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
| Magnolia Homes Rd, south of SR 414 | Collector | -0.2\% | 0.5\% | -0.6\% | 0.5\% |
| Gateway Dr, north of SR 414 | Collector | 2.6\% | 0.5\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% |
| Maitland Summit Dr, south of SR 414 | Collector | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% |
| Maitland Summit Dr, north of SR 414 | Collector | 2.7\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% |

### 4.2.4 Tolls

To assess the impact of the proposed SR 414 Expressway Extension project as a future toll facility, the forecasts were based on the use of a coefficient of toll (CTOLL). CTOLL is applied to all toll facilities in the model and is the conversion of cost (toll) to time based upon average incomes in the study area incorporated as a time penalty. The model global model has a CTOLL Value of 0.06 or a value of $\$ 16.67$ per hour.

The Build alternatives for the SR 414 Expressway Extension were evaluated with and without tolls. The alternatives assumed one toll location on the Extension with all-electronic toll collection. For the analysis, the toll rate was set to $\$ 0.18$ per mile in 2017 dollars for design traffic, consistent with average toll on all new CFX facilities. Toll rates were escalated at 1.5 percent per year according to the CFX Customer First Toll Policy, adopted by the CFX Board in January 2017.

## 5. Alternatives Analysis

This section provides a description of the traffic analysis completed in the typical section selection and alternatives analysis phases of the study. This section also provides the AADT and DDHV for the preferred alternative in the 2025 opening and 2045 design years.

### 5.1 Typical Section Analysis

With the project being in the existing SR 414 corridor, the traffic analysis commenced with a study of several proposed typical sections. In addition to the existing (Typical Section 1) and the No-Build (Typical Section 2), five Build typical sections were developed for the study. In the Metroplan Orlando 2040 LRTP, a SR 414/Maitland Boulevard improvement to a 6-lane arterial from US 441 to SR 434 is listed in the Cost Feasible Plan. Since this is a planned improvement in the LRTP, it was considered the No-Build condition. The Build typical sections included an elevated expressway with varying numbers of lanes above a 4-lane arterial, unless otherwise noted, and include:

- Typical Section 3-1 lane/direction on the elevated Expressway Extension;
- Typical Section 4-2 lanes/direction on the elevated Expressway Extension;
- Typical Section 5-2-lane reversible on the elevated Expressway Extension, i.e., two lanes in the peak direction and no lanes in the off-peak direction reversed by time of day; and
- Typical Section 6 - Convertible 3-lane section on elevated Expressway Extension, one lane in each direction with the center lane being physically reversed twice a day; and,
- Typical Section 7-1 lane/direction on Expressway Extension \& 3 lanes/direction on arterial.

The travel demand model was run for the typical sections under a tolled and non-tolled condition. Using a simple LOS analysis, the typical sections were compared based on LOS using V/C ratios in the daily, AM peak and PM peak-hours based on the FDOT 2020 Quality and LOS Generalized Service Volume tables. The results of the typical section analysis are shown in Table 5-1. The red highlighted cells represent a V/C ratio higher than 1.1 or a generalized LOS E condition. This simple LOS analysis provided a high-level capacity analysis to compare the typical sections and help the project team eliminate typical sections from further analysis.

The typical section analysis demonstrated that the Existing (Typical Section 1), No-Build (Typical Section 2) and Typical Section 3 would be below the LOS standards in both the daily and peak-hour/peak-direction conditions, i.e., operate with LOS worse than the standard. Typical Section 5 would also be below the LOS standard in daily and peak-hour/off-peak direction, Typical Section 6 would be below the LOS standard for daily volumes, and Typical Section 7 was below the LOS standard in the peak-hour/peak-direction. The only typical section that was within LOS standards for all three time periods was Typical Section 4. Typical Sections 4 and 6 were selected as viable and given further consideration.

Typical Section 4 construction costs are higher but are offset by the significant capital and operating costs for Typical Section 6. Additionally, higher capacity is provided by Typical Section 4 and provides safer incident management. Therefore, the recommended option for the elevated SR 414 Expressway Extension is Typical Section 4.

Table 5-1. Typical Section LOS Analysis

| Typical Section | Description | Lanes per Direction |  |  | Volume |  |  |  |  |  | Growth <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Expressway |  | Arterial | Volume |  |  | V/C |  |  |  |
|  |  | Peak Dir | Off- <br> Peak Dir |  | AADT | Peak <br> Hour <br> Peak Dir | Peak Hour Off-Peak Dir | Daily | Peak- <br> Hour <br> Peak Dir | Peak- <br> Hour Off-Peak Dir |  |
| 1 | 2019 Existing 2 lanes/direction on arterial | 0 | 0 | 2 | 59,910 | 2,500 | 1,688 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 0.84 | n/a |
| 2 | No-Build - <br> 3 lanes/direction on arterial | 0 | 0 | 3 | 75,300 | 4,070 | 2,715 | 1.25 | 1.34 | 0.90 | 1.0\% |
| 3 | 1 lane/direction on Elevated Expressway Extension | 1 | 1 | 2 | 94,200 | 5,090 | 3,395 | 1.20 | 1.32 | 0.89 | 2.3\% |
| 4 | 2 lanes/direction on Elevated Expressway Extension | 2 | 2 | 2 | 112,100 | 6,055 | 4,040 | 0.95 | 1.07 | 0.71 | 3.5\% |
| 5 | 2-lane reversible on Elevated Expressway Extension | 2 | 0 | 2 | 112,100 | 6,055 | 4,040 | 1.13 | 0.91 | 1.34 | 3.5\% |
| 6 | Convertible 3-lane section on Elevated Expressway Extension | 2 | 1 | 2 | 112,100 | 6,055 | 4,040 | 1.14 | 1.07 | 1.05 | 3.5\% |
| 7 | 1 lane/direction on Elevated Expwy Extension \& 3 lanes/direction on arterial | 1 | 1 | 3 | 105,000 | 5,670 | 3,780 | 1.06 | 1.17 | 0.78 | 3.0\% |

Service Volume Source: FDOT 2020 Quality and LOS Generalized Service Volume Tables
Travel Demand Model: SR 414 EE (CFX Model 414) - Validated to SR 414 Corridor
AADT/DDHV: Cross-section on SR 414 just east of Magnolia Homes Rd
Toll Rate: $\$ 0.18 / \mathrm{mile} \sim \$ 0.50$ for corridor in 2019
Additional screening analysis was conducted for the east and west end transitions for Typical Section 4. Two options were evaluated:

- Option 1 - two-lane expressway ramp with a one-lane arterial ramp connection. Graphically depicted in in Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2.
- Option 2 - one-lane expressway ramp with a two-lane arterial ramp connection.

Figure 5-1. West End Concept for Expressway Extension Connection - Option 1


Figure 5-2. East End Concept for Expressway Extension Connection - Option 1


The two options were evaluated using the project section with the maximum volume, which is located west of SR 434, for operational capacity in peak-hour/peak-direction. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 5-2. The analysis concluded that Option 1: 2 lane expressway extension ramps with 1 lane arterial ramp performs better than Option 2: 1 lane expressway extension ramp with 2 lane arterial ramps. Under Option 2 the expressway extension is limited to 2,000 vehicles per hour in peak-hour/peak-direction which forces the remainder of demand to the arterial section. Option 2 would have twice as much volume than the capacity can provide.

Table 5-2. 2045 Build End Treatment Concept LOS Analysis

|  |  | Option 1 <br> (2L Exp, + 1L Art. End Treatments) |  |  |  | Option 2 <br> (1L Exp, + 2L Art. End Treatments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Facility | Lanes per Direction | Daily Volume | Peak Hr Peak Direction Volume | Peak Hr V/C Ratio | Lanes per Direction | Daily Volume | Peak Hr Peak Direction Volume | Peak <br> Hr V/C <br> Ratio |
| Section 1: West End | Expressway | 3 | 84,000 | 4,540 | 0.83 | 3 | 84,000 | 4,540 | 0.83 |
| Section 2: | Expressway | 2 |  | 3,565 | 0.97 | 1 |  | 2,000 | 1.00 |
| Volume Section | Arterial | 2 |  | 2,485 | 1.24 | 2 |  | 4,050 | 2.03 |
| Section 3: East End | Uninterrupted | 2 | 85,200 | 4,605 | 1.42 | 2 | 85,200 | 4,605 | 1.42 |

The ramp operational analysis using HCS v 7.6 for both Option 1 and Option 2 is shown in Table 5-3 and Table 5-4. This analysis shows that Option 1 performs at a LOS C or better in both the AM and PM peakhours, while Option 2 performs at LOS F for both the AM and PM peak-hours.

Table 5-3. 2045 Peak Hour Analysis (Option 1-2L Expressway 1L Arterial)

| Ramp Junction | Facility | Lanes | Peak Hour <br> Volume | LOS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 414 Expressway Extension EB On- <br> Ramp for SR 414 Arterial (AM Peak) | Mainline | 2 | 3,565 | C |
| SR 414 Expressway Extension EB On- <br> Ramp for SR 414 Arterial (AM Peak) | Ramp | 1 | 1,040 |  |

Table 5-4. 2045 Peak Hour Analysis (Option 2-1L Expressway 2L Arterial)

| Ramp Junction | Facility | Lanes | Peak Hour | LOS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Volume |  |
| SR 414 Expressway Extension EB On- | Mainline | 1 | 2,000 | F |
| Ramp for SR 414 Arterial (AM Peak) | Ramp | 2 | 2,605 |  |
| SR 414 Expressway Extension EB On- | Mainline | 1 | 2,000 | F |
|  | Ramp | 2 | 2,540 |  |

### 5.1.1 Preferred Build Alternative

As a result of the alternatives analyses conducted for the project, a Preferred Build alternative (Typical Section 4) was identified for further analysis and public input. The proposed posted and design speed for the toll lanes is 50 mph . The proposed SR 414 Expressway Extension typical section for the Build alternative includes maintaining the pavement footprint ( 118 feet ) of the four-lane at-grade Maitland Boulevard but shifts and restripes the lanes to provide a 7-foot-wide buffered bike lane implemented with the elevated SR 414 facility in the median, as four 12-foot-wide express lanes (two lanes per direction) separated by a median barrier wall. Using these recommendations and ongoing traffic analysis, the Build alternative was developed for the corridor to consider connections between existing facilities and include operational improvements at intersections.

### 5.2 Daily Traffic Forecasts and LOS

A project-specific travel demand model was developed to forecast traffic. The validation of the travel demand model was performed for the base year 2017 as described in detail in Section 4.1. Using the validated model, traffic forecasts were developed for the 2045 design year of the project for both NoBuild and Build conditions within the project limits. The model volumes were converted from Peak-Season Average Weekday Traffic (PSAWDT) to AADT using a model output conversion factor of 0.98 . The future AADTs from the model were compared with 2019 AADTs and adjusted where applicable to ensure reasonableness in growth rates. The adjustments were made to ensure reasonable K/D factors, growth rates, directionality and comparison between No-Build and Build conditions. Figure 5-3 and Figure 5-4 below provide AADT for the 2025 and 2045 No-Build conditions, respectively. Figure 5-5 and Figure 5-6 provide the AADT for the 2025 and 2045 Build conditions for the preferred Build alternative, respectively.

Figure 5-3. 2025 No-Build AADT


Figure 5-4. 2045 No-Build AADT


Figure 5-5. 2025 Build AADTs


Figure 5-6. 2045 Build AADTs


The daily roadway segment LOS analysis was conducted for the No-Build and Build conditions using the FDOT 2020 Quality and LOS Generalized Service Volume tables. A summary of 2025 and 2045 No-Build daily volumes and LOS are provided in Table 5-5 and 2025 and 2045 Build daily volumes and LOS are provided in Table 5-6. As shown in the tables, all the arterial roadway segments between Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue and SR 434 are projected to operate at LOS F in 2025 under No-Build conditions, which is assumed as a 6-lane arterial. In 2045 all of the segments between US 441 and SR 434, as well as Rose Avenue south of SR 414 are projected to operate at LOS F. However, under the Build condition, the arterial segments of SR 414 between US 441 and SR 434 are projected to operate at LOS D or better in 2025. In 2045, the arterial section between US 441 and Eden Park Road operates at LOS D or better, and all segments are projected to operate better than existing conditions.

Table 5-5. 2025 and 2045 No-Build AADT and LOS

|  |  |  | 2025 No-Build |  |  | 2045 No-Build |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Number of Lanes | LOS D <br> Service <br> Volume | Daily <br> Total | V/C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Daily } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Daily <br> Total | v/C | Daily LOS |
| Expressway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 414, btw US 441 Ramps | 6 | 123,600 | 44,900 | 0.36 | B | 50,600 | 0.41 | B |
| SR 414 Expressway Extension | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SR 414, btw SR 434 Ramps | 6 | 123,600 | 49,600 | 0.40 | B | 55,300 | 0.45 | B |
| SR 414 Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 414, btw US 441 and Bear Lake Rd | 6 | 59,900 | 59,500 | 0.99 | D | 65,900 | 1.10 | F |
| SR 414, btw Bear Lake Rd and Eden Park Rd | 6 | 59,900 | 61,000 | 1.02 | F | 66,300 | 1.11 | F |
| SR 414, btw Eden Park Rd and Magnolia Homes Rd | 6 | 59,900 | 64,400 | 1.08 | F | 69,100 | 1.15 | F |
| SR 414, btw Magnolia Homes Rd to Gateway Dr | 6 | 59,900 | 66,600 | 1.11 | F | 75,300 | 1.26 | F |
| SR 414, btw Gateway Dr to SR 434 Ramps | 6 | 59,900 | 63,400 | 1.06 | F | 70,900 | 1.18 | F |
| Cross Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Lake Rd | 2 | 14,800 | 10,200 | 0.69 | D | 10,900 | 0.74 | D |
| Rose Ave | 2 | 14,800 | 14,600 | 0.99 | D | 15,800 | 1.07 | F |
| Eden Park Rd N. of SR 414 | 2 | 14,800 | 8,200 | 0.55 | D | 9,200 | 0.62 | D |
| Eden Park Rd S. of SR 414 | 2 | 14,800 | 3,800 | 0.26 | C | 4,100 | 0.28 | C |
| Lake Lotus Park Road | 2 | 14,800 | 60 | 0.00 | C | 60 | 0.00 | C |
| Magnolia Homes S. of SR 414 | 2 | 14,800 | 7,200 | 0.49 | C | 7,800 | 0.53 | D |
| Gateway Dr N. of SR 414 | 2 | 14,800 | 5,000 | 0.34 | C | 4,500 | 0.30 | C |

Table 5-6. 2025 and 2045 Build AADT and LOS

|  |  |  | 2025 Build |  |  | 2045 Build |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Number of Lanes | LOS D <br> Service <br> Volume | Daily <br> Total | V/C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Daily } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Daily <br> Total | V/C | Daily LOS |
| Expressway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 414, btw US 441 Ramps | 6 | 123,600 | 63,000 | 0.51 | B | 84,000 | 0.68 | C |
| SR 414 Expressway Extension | 4 | 83,200 | 46,000 | 0.55 | B | 66,000 | 0.79 | C |
| SR 414, btw SR 434 Ramps | 6 | 123,600 | 62,800 | 0.51 | B | 85,200 | 0.69 | C |
| SR 414 Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 414, btw US 441 and Bear Lake Rd | 4 | 39,800 | 32,000 | 0.80 | C | 36,400 | 0.91 | C |
| SR 414, btw Bear Lake Rd and Eden Park Rd | 4 | 39,800 | 34,200 | 0.86 | C | 38,200 | 0.96 | D |
| SR 414, btw Eden Park Rd and Magnolia Homes Rd | 4 | 39,800 | 35,400 | 0.89 | C | 40,100 | 1.01 | F |
| SR 414, btw Magnolia Homes Rd to Gateway Dr | 4 | 39,800 | 36,800 | 0.92 | C | 46,000 | 1.16 | F |
| SR 414, btw Gateway Dr to SR 434 Ramps | 4 | 39,800 | 32,200 | 0.81 | C | 41,200 | 1.04 | F |
| Cross Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Lake Rd | 2 | 14,800 | 10,200 | 0.69 | D | 11,500 | 0.78 | D |
| Rose Ave | 2 | 14,800 | 14,600 | 0.99 | D | 16,500 | 1.11 | F |
| Eden Park Rd N. of SR 414 | 2 | 14,800 | 8,200 | 0.55 | D | 10,400 | 0.70 | D |
| Eden Park Rd S. of SR 414 | 2 | 14,800 | 3,800 | 0.26 | C | 5,100 | 0.34 | C |
| Lake Lotus Park Road | 2 | 14,800 | 60 | 0.00 | C | 60 | 0.00 | C |
| Magnolia Homes S. SR 414 | 2 | 14,800 | 7,200 | 0.49 | C | 9,700 | 0.66 | D |
| Gateway Dr N. of SR 414 | 2 | 14,800 | 5,000 | 0.34 | C | 5,600 | 0.38 | C |

### 5.3 Roadway Geometry

The future lane geometry for the No-build and Build conditions is presented in Figures 5-7 and 5-8. In addition to the proposed toll lanes, the Build alternative includes the extension of a third eastbound lane from the project terminus at SR 434 to Maitland Summit Boulevard, to enhance operations. The additional lane is marked in blue in Figure 5-8. These improvements are considered part of the Build scenario.

Figure 5-7. 2025 and 2045 No-Build Intersection Geometry


Figure 5-7. 2025 and 2045 No-Build Intersection Geometry (Cont.)


Figure 5-8. 2025 and 2045 Build Intersection Geometry


Figure 5-8. 2025 and 2045 Build Intersection Geometry (Cont.)


### 5.4 Design-Hour Traffic Forecasts and LOS

The DDHVs for the traffic forecast year 2045 were developed for both No-Build and Build conditions, using a combination of growth rates and the $K$ and $D$ factors (described in Section 3.3.3) along with the forecasted AADTs (described and shown in Section 5.2) and existing volumes (described and shown in Section 3.3.4). The 2025 DDHVs were developed by scaling back the 2045 DDHVs using the projected AADTs. DDHVs east of the project limits (SR 434 to Hope Road) were primarily developed using growth rates and balancing from the project terminus at SR 434. The DDHVs for the 2025 opening year and 2045 design year conditions are presented in Figure 5-9 though Figure 5-12. Figures 5-9 and 5-10 are summaries of the 2025 and 2045 No-Build condition DDHVs, respectively. Figures 5-11 and 5-12 present the 2025 and 2045 DDHVs under the Build condition, respectively.

Figure 5-9. 2025 No-Build DDHVs


Figure 5-9. 2025 No-Build DDHVs (Cont'd)


Figure 5-10. 2045 No-Build DDHVs


Figure 5-10. 2045 No-Build DDHVs (Cont'd)


Figure 5-11. 2025 Build DDHVs


Figure 5-11. 2025 Build DDHVs (Cont'd)


Figure 5-12. 2045 Build DDHVs


Figure 5-12. 2045 Build DDHVs (Cont'd)


The roadway segment LOS analysis was conducted for the 2025 and 2045 AM and PM peak hours for the No-Build and Build conditions using the projected DDHVs and the FDOT 2020 Quality and LOS Generalized Service Volume tables. A summary of the results is provided in Tables 5-7 and Table 5-8 for the No-Build and Build, respectively.

As shown in the tables, most of the arterial roadway segments between US 441 and SR 434 are projected to operate at LOS F in the eastbound 2025 AM peak pour peak direction, for the No-Build condition, which is assumed to be three lanes in each direction. In 2045 AM peak hour, all the arterial roadway segments between US 441 and SR 434 are projected to operate at LOS F under No-Build conditions. All the cross streets are projected to operate at a LOS D or better in the 2025 and 2045 AM and PM peak hours under No-Build conditions.

In 2025 under the Build condition, the arterial segments of SR 414 between US 441 and SR 434 are expected to operate at LOS D or better, with the exception of the segment between Magnolia Homes Road and Gateway Drive in the peak direction. In 2045, the arterial segments of SR 414 between Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue and SR 434 west ramps are expected to operate at LOS F. In the AM Peak Hour, however, all segments are projected to operate better than existing conditions. All the cross streets are projected to operate at a LOS D or better in the AM and PM peak hours, except Rose Avenue south of SR 414 , which is projected to operate at LOS E in the AM peak hour.

Table 5-7. 2025 and 2045 No-Build Roadway Segments DDHV and LOS

| Location | Direction | Number of Lanes | LOS D <br> Service <br> Volume | 2025 |  |  |  |  |  | 2045 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour | V/C | AM <br> Peak <br> Hour <br> LOS | PM <br> Peak <br> Hour | V/C | PM <br> Peak <br> Hour <br> LOS | AM <br> Peak <br> Hour | V/C | AM <br> Peak <br> Hour <br> LOS | PM Peak Hour | V/C | PM <br> Peak <br> Hour <br> LOS |
| Expressway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 414, btw US 441 Ramps | Eastbound | 3 | 5,620 | 2,570 | 0.46 | B | 1,650 | 0.29 | B | 2,717 | 0.48 | B | 1,532 | 0.27 | B |
|  | Westbound |  | 5,620 | 1,640 | 0.29 | B | 2,800 | 0.50 | B | 1,325 | 0.24 | B | 2,897 | 0.52 | B |
| SR 414 Expressway Extension | Eastbound | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Westbound | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| SR 414, btw SR 434 Ramps | Eastbound | 3 | 5,620 | 2,915 | 0.52 | B | 1,420 | 0.25 | B | 3,010 | 0.54 | B | 1,555 | 0.28 | B |
|  | Westbound |  | 5,620 | 1,505 | 0.27 | B | 2,075 | 0.37 | B | 1,790 | 0.32 | B | 3,155 | 0.56 | B |
| SR 414 Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 414, btw US 441 and Bear Lake Rd | Eastbound | 3 | 3,020 | 2,900 | 0.96 | C | 2,130 | 0.71 | C | 3,305 | 1.09 | F | 2,440 | 0.81 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 3,020 | 2,280 | 0.75 | C | 3,150 | 1.04 | F | 2,450 | 0.81 | C | 4,010 | 1.33 | F |
| SR 414, btw Bear Lake Rd and Eden Park Rd | Eastbound | 3 | 3,020 | 3,295 | 1.09 | F | 2,120 | 0.70 | C | 3,740 | 1.24 | F | 2,425 | 0.80 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 3,020 | 2,205 | 0.73 | C | 2,945 | 0.98 | D | 2,365 | 0.78 | C | 3,790 | 1.25 | F |
| SR 414, btw Eden Park Rd and Magnolia Homes Rd | Eastbound | 3 | 3,020 | 3,620 | 1.20 | F | 2,100 | 0.70 | C | 4,090 | 1.35 | F | 2,400 | 0.79 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 3,020 | 2,185 | 0.72 | C | 2,895 | 0.96 | C | 2,345 | 0.78 | C | 3,735 | 1.24 | F |
| SR 414, btw Magnolia Homes Rd to Gateway Dr | Eastbound | 3 | 3,020 | 3,740 | 1.24 | F | 2,210 | 0.73 | C | 4,220 | 1.40 | F | 2,515 | 0.83 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 3,020 | 2,255 | 0.75 | C | 2,930 | 0.97 | C | 2,415 | 0.80 | C | 3,775 | 1.25 | F |
| SR 414, btw Gateway Dr to SR 434 Ramps | Eastbound | 3 | 3,020 | 3,520 | 1.17 | F | 2,020 | 0.67 | C | 3,980 | 1.32 | F | 2,310 | 0.76 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 3,020 | 2,175 | 0.72 | C | 2,745 | 0.91 | C | 2,325 | 0.77 | C | 3,575 | 1.18 | F |
| Cross Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Lake Rd | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 290 | 0.39 | C | 550 | 0.73 | D | 315 | 0.42 | C | 605 | 0.81 | D |
| Bear Lake Rd | Southbound |  | 750 | 500 | 0.67 | D | 480 | 0.64 | D | 555 | 0.74 | D | 520 | 0.69 | D |
| Rose Ave | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 695 | 0.93 | D | 665 | 0.89 | D | 760 | 1.01 | E | 725 | 0.97 | D |
| Rose Ave | Southbound |  | 750 | 435 | 0.58 | D | 400 | 0.53 | D | 480 | 0.64 | D | 435 | 0.58 | D |
| Eden Park Rd N. of SR 414 | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 200 | 0.27 | C | 425 | 0.57 | D | 225 | 0.30 | C | 460 | 0.61 | D |
| Eden Park Rd N. of SR 414 | Southbound |  | 750 | 460 | 0.61 | D | 355 | 0.47 | C | 505 | 0.67 | D | 385 | 0.51 | D |
| Eden Park Rd S. of SR 414 | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 235 | 0.31 | C | 255 | 0.34 | C | 255 | 0.34 | C | 275 | 0.37 | C |
| Eden Park Rd S. of SR 414 | Southbound |  | 750 | 150 | 0.20 | C | 155 | 0.21 | C | 165 | 0.22 | C | 170 | 0.23 | C |
| Lake Lotus Park Road | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C |
| Lake Lotus Park Road | Southbound |  | 750 | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C |
| Magnolia Homes S. SR 414 | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 245 | 0.33 | C | 260 | 0.35 | C | 270 | 0.36 | C | 280 | 0.37 | C |
| Magnolia Homes S. SR 414 | Southbound |  | 750 | 195 | 0.26 | C | 185 | 0.25 | C | 210 | 0.28 | C | 205 | 0.27 | C |
| Gateway Dr N. of SR 414 | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 230 | 0.31 | C | 200 | 0.27 | C | 250 | 0.33 | C | 215 | 0.29 | C |
| Gateway Dr N. of SR 414 | Southbound |  | 750 | 90 | 0.12 | C | 195 | 0.26 | C | 100 | 0.13 | C | 210 | 0.28 | C |

Table 5-8. 2025 and 2045 Build Roadway Segments DDHV and LOS

|  | Direction | Number of Lanes | LOS D <br> Service <br> Volume | 2025 |  |  |  |  |  | 2045 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location |  |  |  | AM <br> Peak <br> Hour | V/C | AM <br> Peak <br> Hour <br> LOS | PM <br> Peak <br> Hour | V/C | PM <br> Peak <br> Hour <br> LOS | AM <br> Peak <br> Hour | V/C | AM <br> Peak <br> Hour <br> LOS | PM <br> Peak <br> Hour | v/C | PM <br> Peak <br> Hour <br> LOS |
| Expressway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 414, btw US 441 Ramps | Eastbound | 3 | 5,620 | 3,325 | 0.59 | C | 2,260 | 0.40 | B | 4,540 | 0.81 | C | 3,195 | 0.57 | B |
|  | Westbound |  | 5,620 | 2,260 | 0.40 | B | 3,325 | 0.59 | C | 3,195 | 0.57 | B | 4,540 | 0.81 | C |
| SR 414 Expressway Extension | Eastbound | 2 | 3,740 | 2,485 | 0.66 | C | 1,660 | 0.44 | B | 3,565 | 0.95 | D | 2,430 | 0.43 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 3,740 | 1,660 | 0.44 | B | 2,485 | 0.66 | C | 2,430 | 0.65 | C | 3,565 | 0.63 | D |
| SR 414, btw SR 434 Ramps | Eastbound | 3 | 5,620 | 3,530 | 0.63 | C | 2,245 | 0.40 | B | 4,605 | 0.82 | D | 3,070 | 0.55 | B |
|  | Westbound |  | 5,620 | 2,255 | 0.40 | B | 3,540 | 0.63 | C | 3,070 | 0.55 | B | 4,605 | 0.82 | D |
| SR 414 Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 414, btw US 441 and Bear Lake Rd | Eastbound | 2 | 2,000 | 1,585 | 0.79 | C | 1,275 | 0.64 | C | 1,870 | 0.94 | C | 1,465 | 0.73 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 2,000 | 1,275 | 0.64 | C | 1,585 | 0.79 | C | 1,465 | 0.73 | C | 1,870 | 0.94 | C |
| SR 414, btw Bear Lake Rd and Eden Park Rd | Eastbound | 2 | 2,000 | 1,775 | 0.89 | C | 1,275 | 0.64 | C | 2,045 | 1.02 | F | 1,445 | 0.72 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 2,000 | 1,275 | 0.64 | C | 1,775 | 0.89 | C | 1,445 | 0.72 | C | 2,045 | 1.02 | F |
| SR 414, btw Eden Park Rd and Magnolia Homes Rd | Eastbound | 2 | 2,000 | 1,965 | 0.98 | D | 1,255 | 0.63 | C | 2,245 | 1.12 | F | 1,420 | 0.71 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 2,000 | 1,255 | 0.63 | C | 1,965 | 0.98 | D | 1,420 | 0.71 | C | 2,245 | 1.12 | F |
| SR 414, btw Magnolia Homes Rd to Gateway Dr | Eastbound | 2 | 2,000 | 2,110 | 1.06 | F | 1,335 | 0.67 | C | 2,405 | 1.20 | F | 1,510 | 0.76 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 2,000 | 1,335 | 0.67 | C | 2,110 | 1.06 | F | 1,510 | 0.76 | C | 2,405 | 1.20 | F |
| SR 414, btw Gateway Dr to SR 434 Ramps | Eastbound | 2 | 2,000 | 1,880 | 0.94 | C | 1,145 | 0.57 | C | 2,145 | 1.07 | F | 1,310 | 0.66 | C |
|  | Westbound |  | 2,000 | 1,155 | 0.58 | C | 1,890 | 0.95 | C | 1,300 | 0.65 | C | 2,155 | 1.08 | F |
| Cross Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Lake Rd | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 390 | 0.52 | D | 575 | 0.77 | D | 430 | 0.57 | D | 625 | 0.83 | D |
| Bear Lake Rd | Southbound |  | 750 | 575 | 0.77 | D | 390 | 0.52 | D | 625 | 0.83 | D | 430 | 0.57 | D |
| Rose Ave | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 595 | 0.79 | D | 590 | 0.79 | D | 625 | 0.83 | D | 625 | 0.83 | D |
| Rose Ave | Southbound |  | 750 | 590 | 0.79 | D | 595 | 0.79 | D | 625 | 0.83 | D | 625 | 0.83 | D |
| Eden Park Rd N. of SR 414 | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 300 | 0.40 | C | 510 | 0.68 | D | 330 | 0.44 | C | 560 | 0.75 | D |
| Eden Park Rd N. of SR 414 | Southbound |  | 750 | 510 | 0.68 | D | 300 | 0.40 | C | 560 | 0.75 | D | 330 | 0.44 | C |
| Eden Park Rd S. of SR 414 | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 255 | 0.34 | C | 255 | 0.34 | C | 265 | 0.35 | C | 270 | 0.36 | C |
| Eden Park Rd S. of SR 414 | Southbound |  | 750 | 255 | 0.34 | C | 255 | 0.34 | C | 270 | 0.36 | C | 265 | 0.35 | C |
| Lake Lotus Park Road | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C |
| Lake Lotus Park Road | Southbound |  | 750 | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C | 15 | 0.02 | C |
| Magnolia Homes S. SR 414 | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 305 | 0.41 | C | 240 | 0.32 | C | 335 | 0.45 | C | 265 | 0.35 | C |
| Magnolia Homes S. SR 414 | Southbound |  | 750 | 240 | 0.32 | C | 305 | 0.41 | C | 265 | 0.35 | C | 335 | 0.45 | C |
| Gateway Dr N. of SR 414 | Northbound | 1 | 750 | 240 | 0.32 | C | 200 | 0.27 | C | 270 | 0.36 | C | 220 | 0.29 | C |
| Gateway Dr N. of SR 414 | Southbound |  | 750 | 190 | 0.25 | C | 230 | 0.31 | C | 210 | 0.28 | C | 260 | 0.35 | C |

### 5.4.1 Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE)

The FDOT Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) process quantitatively evaluates several intersection control alternatives and ranks these alternatives based on their operational and safety performance. Implementing a "performance-based" procedure such as ICE creates a transparent and consistent approach to consider intersection alternatives based on metrics such as safety, operations, cost, and social, environmental, and economic impacts. The arterial intersections were evaluated using the ICE Process including Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue, Eden Park Road, and Magnolia Homes Road.

Stage 1 of the ICE analysis is a screening stage using FHWA's Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions (CAP-X). The Stage 1 CAP-X screening was performed using FDOT's ICE forms, using 2045 volumes. The results are summarized in Table 5-9 and the forms are included in Appendix F. The ICE CAP-X Screening recommends a Displaced Left Turn (DLT) as the appropriate type of control for the arterial intersections. With the Expressway Extension pulling most of the east/west through traffic from the arterial, the left turn volumes on the cross streets do not justify a full DLT configuration but may justify a partial DTL.

Traffic operational benefits might be likely if the intersection is converted to a partial DTL intersection, but those configurations also have major ROW impacts to adjacent areas along SR 414 that outweigh the benefit. The Expressway Extension is elevated through the corridor and requires frequent piers which might not allow for displaced left turn storage and may cause sight distance issues. For this study, the recommendation was to retain the existing traffic signal control for all the arterial intersections.

Table 5-9. ICE CAP-X at Arterial Intersections

| Intersection | Existing Control | Anticipated Control | Intersection ICE <br> Recommendation | Consideration | Final <br> Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bear Lake <br> Road/Rose <br> Avenue | Traffic Signal | Traffic Signal | Displaced Left Turn | No ROW \& Safety Issue w/ Elevated Expressway in Median | Traffic Signal |
| Eden Park <br> Road | Traffic Signal | Traffic Signal | Displaced Left Turn | No ROW \& Safety Issue w/ Elevated Expressway in Median | Traffic Signal |
| Magnolia Homes Road | Traffic Signal | Traffic Signal | Displaced Left Turn | No ROW \& Safety Issue w/ Elevated Expressway in Median | Traffic Signal |

### 5.4.2 Intersection Operations

The intersection LOS analysis using Synchro was conducted for the 2025 and 2045 AM and PM peak hours. A summary of 2025 and 2045 No-Build and Build AM and PM peak hour intersection LOS is provided in Tables 5-10 through Table 5-17. In the No-Build models, an additional through lane in each direction on the arterial was added to the intersections from west of Rose Avenue to east of Gateway Drive, terminating at the SR 434 ramps. The Build analysis assumed existing intersection lane geometry with an exception of the following changes which were made in both No-Build and Build analysis:

- The westbound approach at the Maitland Summit Boulevard intersection was updated to match recent changes in lane assignment.
- The Gateway Drive intersection was assumed to be signalized in all future models.

The yellow change and red clearance intervals were checked against the standards in the FDOT Traffic Engineering Manual, published in January 2022. Insufficient existing yellow and all-red intervals were updated to meet the standards. Existing cycle lengths were maintained, and splits and offsets were optimized using Synchro for each intersection coordination zone for all future models.

The Synchro reports for 2025 and 2045 No-Build and Build conditions are provided in Appendix G.
The Synchro analysis shows that the intersections operations are expected to similar between the NoBuild and Build conditions, except at a few locations. In 2025 PM, the delays increase noticeably for the westbound-left movement at the US $441 /$ SR 414 westbound ramps intersection and the westboundthrough movement at the Hope Road intersection in Build compared to No-Build, due to much higher volumes for these movements. However, these intersections operate acceptably at LOS D and C, respectively in Build. In 2045 AM, the delays reduce noticeably for eastbound-right and westbound-right movements at the US 441 intersections in Build compared to No-Build, due to reduced volumes for the right-turn movements from SR 414 to US 441.

In both 2045 AM and PM, the delays for the eastbound-through movement (in the AM) and westboundthrough movement (in the PM) at the intersections from Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue to Gateway Drive reduce significantly in Build compared to No-Build due to much lower through traffic on the arterial facility. The delay for the westbound-through movement at the Hope Road intersection increases significantly in Build compared to No-Build due to higher volume generating from east of Hope Road in Build. In 2045 AM, the Hope Road intersection operates at LOS F in both No-Build and Build; while in 2045 PM, it operates at LOS in D in No-Build and LOS F in Build.

This isolated intersection analysis does not take into account the additional benefit associated with reduced delay and travel time for the traffic diverted to the proposed toll lanes in the Build alternative. The No-Build condition is an improvement on the arterial to a six-lane section, albeit there is less overall corridor volume with 70,900 AADT in 2045 in the highest volume section. The Build is a four-lane expressway with a four-lane arterial with more overall corridor traffic - with 112,000 AADT in 2045 in the highest volume section. As a result, a few intersection delays are worse due to the four-lane cross section in the Build. In addition, the user benefit resulting from reduced travel and delay for the traffic shifted to the proposed expressway is a major improvement to traffic operations along the corridor. Also, the project includes extension of a third eastbound lane from the project terminus at SR 434 to Maitland Summit Blvd and an acceleration lane for the SR 434 on-ramp. Overall, the Build is expected to process more demand than the No-Build and improve operations and safety in the region.

Table 5-10. 2025 No-Build AM Peak Hour Intersection LOS

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 62.1 |  | 46.2 | 54.5 |  | 1.0 | 42.0 | 17.0 | 2.4 | 54.3 | 31.0 | 4.3 | 29.4 |
|  | LOS | E |  | D | D |  | A | D | B | A | D | C | A | C |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | Delay | 34.1 |  | 57.8 |  |  |  |  | 37.0 | 6.4 | 89.8 | 19.4 |  | 37.6 |
|  | LOS | C |  | E |  |  |  |  | D | A | F | B |  | D |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | Delay | 42.6 | 58.0 | 42.3 | 52.3 | 56.9 | 27.7 | 83.1 | 13.1 |  | 78.0 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 31.5 |
|  | LOS | D | E | D | D | E | C | F | B |  | E | C |  | C |
| SR 414 @ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | Delay | 184.5 | 67.0 | 6.5 | 203.2 | 20.1 | 0.1 | 127.5 | 132.4 | 127.6 | 132.5 | 142.1 | 36.8 | 64.6 |
|  | LOS | F | E | A | F | C | A | F | F | F | F | F | D | E |
| SR 414 @ <br> Eden Park Rd | Delay | 178.2 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 227.7 | 16.9 | 3.0 | 147.7 | 175.2 | 128.3 | 162.9 | 110.5 | 70.8 | 30.9 |
|  | LOS | F | B |  | F | B | A | F | F | F | F | F | E | C |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | Delay | 166.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 184.3 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 161.8 | 134.1 |  |  | 121.4 |  | 19.5 |
|  | LOS | F | B |  | F | A |  | F | F |  |  | F |  | B |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | Delay | 81.4 | 0.3 |  |  | 11.3 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 54.3 | 8.1 |
|  | LOS | F | A |  |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |  | D | A |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 434 \text { @ } \\ \text { SR } 414 \text { Ramps } \end{gathered}$ | Delay | 91.4 |  | 7.6 | 72.6 |  | 37.3 | 97.4 | 44.9 | 6.0 | 85.8 | 35.2 | 3.4 | 43.8 |
|  | LOS | F |  | A | E |  | D | F | D | A | F | D | A | D |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | Delay | 57.2 | 41.7 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  | 21.2 | 17.5 | 35.8 | 6.2 |  | 25.3 |
|  | LOS |  | D | A |  |  |  |  | C | B | D | A |  | C |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay |  |  |  | 49.1 | 28.3 | 23.8 | 36.1 | 7.9 |  |  | 20.7 | 0.2 | 24.6 |
|  | LOS |  |  |  | D | C | C | D | A |  |  | C | A | C |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 54.9 |  | 0.5 | 38.7 |  | 5.1 | 57.1 | 42.5 | 0.2 | 50.9 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 23.3 |
|  | LOS | D |  | A | D |  | A | E | D | A | D | C |  | C |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | Delay |  |  |  | 44.2 | 0.1 |  |  | 19.3 | 7.6 | 45.0 | 7.5 |  | 23.4 |
|  | LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  | A |  | C |
| SR 414 @ <br> Hope Rd | Delay | 60.0 | 0.6 |  |  | 130.3 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 74.1 |
|  | LOS | E | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | A | E |

Table 5-11. 2025 No-Build PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.1 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.2 \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.1 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 Westbound Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 54.0 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.6 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.9 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.1 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 103.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 29.2 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\text { SR } 414 \text { @ }$ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 186.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 214.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 184.3 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 189.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169.3 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ <br> Eden Park Rd | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 181.2 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 197.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 175.3 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 181.4 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 181.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 129.4 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 62.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 85.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 434 @ SR 414 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 91.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 102.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.7 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.9 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 55.5 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.8 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.6 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.8 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.7 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.8 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Keller Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 55.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.7 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ <br> I-4 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 41.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Hope Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 59.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5-12. 2025 Build AM Peak Hour Intersection LOS

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 62.1 |  | 46.2 | 54.5 |  | 1.0 | 42.0 | 17.0 | 2.4 | 54.3 | 31.0 | 4.3 | 29.4 |
|  | LOS | E |  | D | D |  | A | D | B | A | D | C | A | C |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | Delay | 51.2 |  | 56.9 |  |  |  |  | 30.5 | 4.4 | 88.5 | 9.9 |  | 31.5 |
|  | LOS | D |  | E |  |  |  |  | C | A | F | A |  | C |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | Delay | 42.8 | 57.7 | 29.7 | 52.4 | 57.8 | 38.0 | 92.6 | 17.5 |  | 85.0 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 34.5 |
|  | LOS | D | E | C | D | E | D | F | B |  | F | D |  | C |
| SR 414 @ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | Delay | 178.0 | 70.9 | 4.5 | 189.0 | 26.3 | 5.1 | 141.4 | 127.6 | 68.2 | 107.9 | 164.4 | 35.2 | 77.9 |
|  | LOS | F | E | A | F | C | A | F | F | E | F | F | D | E |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 414 @ \\ \text { Eden Park Rd } \end{gathered}$ | Delay | 196.4 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 207.3 | 32.8 | 1.9 | 157.1 | 177.2 | 23.4 | 129.4 | 106.6 | 10.8 | 46.5 |
|  | LOS | F | B |  | F | C | A | F | F | C | F | F | B | D |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | Delay | 156.8 | 10.2 | 0.1 | 159.9 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 182.0 | 39.8 |  |  | 111.4 |  | 21.9 |
|  | LOS | F | B | A | F | A |  | F | D |  |  | F |  | C |
| SR414@ <br> Gateway Drive | Delay | 68.7 | 0.4 |  |  | 10.1 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 42.4 | 10.5 |
|  | LOS | E | A |  |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |  | D | B |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 434 @ \\ \text { SR } 414 \text { Ramps } \end{gathered}$ | Delay | 86.9 |  | 7.7 | 64.8 |  | 42.1 | 97.5 | 51.0 | 5.9 | 88.4 | 45.8 | 4.3 | 49.5 |
|  | LOS | F |  | A | E |  | D | F | D | A | F | D | A | D |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | Delay | 55.6 | 39.6 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  | 22.2 | 20.0 | 39.0 | 7.5 |  | 26.6 |
|  | LOS | E | D | A |  |  |  |  | C | B | D | A |  | C |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay |  |  |  | 49.7 | 28.5 | 28.8 | 35.4 | 7.9 |  |  | 20.7 | 0.2 | 25.1 |
|  | LOS |  |  |  |  | C | C |  | A |  |  |  | A | C |
| Keller Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | Delay | 55.2 |  | 0.6 | 38.7 |  | 7.3 | 57.3 | 42.5 | 0.2 | 50.9 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 23.7 |
|  | LOS | E |  | A | D |  | A | E | D | A | D | C |  | C |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ <br> I-4 Ramps | Delay |  |  |  | 44.2 | 0.1 |  |  | 19.3 | 7.6 | 45.0 | 7.5 |  | 23.4 |
|  | LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  | A |  | C |
| SR 414 @ Hope Rd | Delay | 60.0 | 0.8 |  |  | 145.7 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 81.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | A | F |

Table 5-13. 2025 Build PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.1 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.9 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 23.2 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 50.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.9 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.8 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122.7 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.1 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110.1 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 101.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 48.9 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\text { SR } 414 \text { @ }$ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 195.0 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107.5 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 160.1 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 124.4 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 125.7 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.1 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ <br> Eden Park Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 157.6 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.4 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 196.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 171.9 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135.4 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.6 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 149.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 182.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.8 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 140.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 22.5 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 55.7 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 16.4 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 79.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 434 @ \\ \text { SR } 414 \text { Ramps } \end{gathered}$ | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 75.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 20.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 100.7 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.9 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 20.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 15.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 57.1 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.3 \\ C \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.5 \\ F \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.3 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| Keller Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ <br> I-4 Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 41.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Hope Rd | Delay <br> LOS | $\begin{gathered} 61.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 62.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5-14. 2045 No-Build AM Peak Hour Intersection LOS

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @1 <br> SR 414 Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 69.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 56.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps1 | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 35.9 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 106.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 42.0 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 66.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 38.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.6 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 83.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.7 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{gathered} 66.1 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| $\text { SR } 414 \text { @ }$ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 183.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109.1 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 205.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 189.4 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 149.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 168.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 187.5 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 171.4 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92.2 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ <br> Eden Park Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 172.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 254.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 159.6 \\ \text { F } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 240.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 124.7 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $197.9$ F | $\begin{gathered} 114.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.1 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 168.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.2 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 205.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 173.7 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 173.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 124.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 46.1 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ <br> Gateway Drive | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 73.2 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 55.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 434 @ SR 414 Ramps1 | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 83.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.4 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 39.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.8 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 56.4 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 20.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.9 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 26.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 47.0 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.0 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 22.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 55.9 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.4 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.0 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 24.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 42.9 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.1 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @1 Hope Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 73.6 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 191.8 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5-15. 2045 No-Build PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @1 <br> SR 414 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 27.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.4 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 26.0 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.6 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.6 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.6 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 174.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 80.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 240.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.7 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132.7 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 236.7 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 217.9 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 289.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 248.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ <br> Eden Park Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 206.3 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 178.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88.3 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 221.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 249.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 129.7 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.1 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 150.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 179.7 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 122.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 80.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 55.8 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 129.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.9 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 434 @ <br> SR 414 Ramps 1 | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 102.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 127.1 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 101.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 101.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.1 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.7 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 63.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.9 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ B \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 19.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 55.1 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 26.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| Keller Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 56.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.1 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ <br> I-4 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 43.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @1 <br> Hope Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 76.4 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 65.7 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5-16. 2045 Build AM Peak Hour Intersection LOS

| Intersection | Delay/ LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @1 <br> SR 414 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 56.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps1 | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 49.0 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 58.6 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 34.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 31.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.9 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.9 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.1 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.6 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 85.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 44.4 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 176.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.7 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197.1 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.9 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.4 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 171.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 414 \text { @ } \\ \text { Eden Park Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 209.2 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 157.8 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 185.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.3 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 157.8 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 191.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 111.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 25.9 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ <br> Gateway Drive | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.8 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 51.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 434 \text { @ } \\ \text { SR } 414 \text { Ramps1 } \end{gathered}$ | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 84.3 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.8 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 44.1 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99.7 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.1 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.1 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.9 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.8 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 27.2 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 47.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.1 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.9 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.7 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.4 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 24.2 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 42.9 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.3 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @1 <br> Hope Rd | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.6 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 278.7 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5-17. 2045 Build PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS

| Intersection | Delay/ <br> LOS | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Hiawassee Rd @1 <br> SR 414 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps1 | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 87.6 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.7 \\ \text { C } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| US 441@ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 47.1 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.4 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.0 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.7 \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 168.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.9 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 79.7 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| $\text { SR } 414 \text { @ }$ <br> Bear Lake Rd/Rose Ave | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 175.4 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 181.8 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107.0 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164.9 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.3 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151.2 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133.1 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 414 \text { @ } \\ \text { Eden Park Rd } \end{gathered}$ | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 157.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 190.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 178.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 158.9 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.7 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.5 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Rd | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 157.0 \\ \text { F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 138.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 182.0 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 129.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 25.4 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 70.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 23.9 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 106.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 434 @ <br> SR 414 Ramps 1 | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 77.5 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 142.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 133.1 \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97.3 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104.4 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.6 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | Delay LOS | $\begin{gathered} 62.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Maitland Summit <br> Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 58.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 26.1 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.4 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.2 \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.4 \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.8 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.1 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | Delay LOS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 43.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.8 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 414 @1 <br> Hope Rd | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.4 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 179.6 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93.5 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |

The existing turn bay storage and Synchro $50^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths for the study intersections turn movements in 2025 and 2045 No-Build and Build conditions are presented in Tables 518 through 5-21. It's important to note that Synhro has limitations in estimating queue lengths for saturated conditions, as indicated in the tables' footnotes. The queue lengths in the tables are provided for information only. Queue lengths and storage length recommendations for saturated conditions should be based on a properly calibrated microsimulation model.

Table 5-18. 2025 No-Build 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | Storage Length ( ft ) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Hiawassee Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 500 | 125 | 88 | 174 | 126 |
|  | EBR | 500 | 176 | 0 | 272 | 23 |
|  | WBL | 600 | 72 | 96 | 109 | 137 |
|  | WBR | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBL | 350 | 40 | 83 | 61 | 120 |
|  | NBT |  | 216 | 202 | 270 | 261 |
|  | NBR | 400 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 31 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 21 |
|  | SBT |  | 311 | 204 | 442 | 278 |
|  | SBR | 300 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 36 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | EBL | 390 | 143 | 48 | 211 | 93 |
|  | EBR |  | 510 | 0 | \#664 | 47 |
|  | NBT |  | 223 | 438 | 269 | 568 |
|  | NBR | 250 | 0 | 7 | 42 | 36 |
|  | SBL | 490 | 110 | 222 | m154 | 283 |
|  | SBT |  | 677 | 75 | 258 | 39 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | EBL | 450 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 29 |
|  | EBT |  | 19 | 32 | 44 | 67 |
|  | EBR | 450 | 85 | 59 | 172 | \#188 |
|  | WBL | 425 | 77 | 33 | 123 | 68 |
|  | WBT |  | 123 | 53 | 205 | 106 |
|  | WBR | 425 | 118 | 66 | \#297 | \#212 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 91 | 82 | \#175 | m132 |
|  | NBT |  | 152 | 1,013 | 163 | \#583 |
|  | NBR |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SBL | 450 | 25 | 76 | 59 | \#173 |
|  | SBT |  | 664 | 303 | 832 | 390 |
|  | SBR | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SR 414 @ Bear Lake Rd/ Rose Ave | EBL | 630 | 145 | 479 | 220 | \#702 |
|  | EBT |  | 2,094 | 889 | 2,057 | 957 |
|  | EBR | 630 | 14 | 0 | 48 | 36 |
|  | WBL | 550 | 304 | 268 | \#455 | m308 |
|  | WBT |  | 372 | 2,252 | 391 | 1,472 |
|  | WBR | 375 | 0 | 2 | m1 | m0 |
|  | NBL | 330 | 168 | ~354 | 243 | \#498 |
|  | NBT |  | 380 | $\sim 617$ | 496 | \#859 |
|  | NBR | 420 | 869 | 371 | \#1106 | 477 |
|  | SBL | 575 | 227 | 151 | 313 | \#291 |
|  | SBT |  | 479 | 393 | 606 | \#550 |
|  | SBR | 350 | 85 | 157 | 189 | 289 |

Note: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite,
\# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Table 5-18. 2025 No-Build 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths (Cont.)

| Intersection | Movement | Storage Length (ft) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Road | EBL | 425 | 11 | 11 | m10 | m17 |
|  | EBT |  | 289 | 83 | 290 | 86 |
|  | EBR | 425 | 0 | 0 | m0 | m0 |
|  | WBL | 580 | 298 | 237 | \#474 | m301 |
|  | WBT |  | 402 | 1,114 | 665 | 1,188 |
|  | WBR | 725 | 0 | 0 | m0 | m0 |
|  | NBL | 325 | 132 | 158 | 206 | 232 |
|  | NBT |  | 254 | 115 | \#460 | 232 |
|  | SBT |  | 20 | 21 | 57 | 56 |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | EBL | 310 | 320 | 274 | m303 | 321 |
|  | EBT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | 376 | 590 | 504 | 756 |
|  | WBR | 170 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 |
|  | SBR |  | 79 | 205 | 131 | 289 |
| SR 434 @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 600 | 243 | 309 | 294 | 379 |
|  | EBR | 750 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 25 |
|  | WBL | 900 | 67 | 426 | 98 | \#566 |
|  | WBR | 900 | 171 | ~825 | 227 | \#977 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 60 | 74 | 95 | 113 |
|  | NBT |  | 231 | 614 | 290 | \#705 |
|  | NBR | 825 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 1000 | 335 | 141 | 386 | 187 |
|  | SBT |  | 526 | 353 | 594 | 395 |
|  | SBR | 800 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 58 |
| Maitland Summit <br> Blvd @ SR 414 EB <br> Ramps | EBL | 450 | 254 | 110 | 333 | 171 |
|  | EBT |  | 173 | 51 | 206 | 78 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 42 | 55 |
|  | NBT |  | 46 | 49 | 65 | 69 |
|  | NBR | 300 | 173 | 14 | 248 | 51 |
|  | SBL |  | 20 | 88 | 43 | 136 |
|  | SBT |  | 23 | 146 | 90 | 192 |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | WBL | 510 | 292 | 363 | 359 | 472 |
|  | WBT |  | 22 | 153 | 35 | 187 |
|  | WBR |  | 130 | 0 | 201 | 31 |
|  | NBL |  | 27 | 68 | 50 | 107 |
|  | NBT |  | 66 | 48 | 98 | 72 |
|  | SBT |  | 21 | 93 | 41 | 129 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 197 | 0 | 343 |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 400 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 14 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBL | 525 | 388 | 64 | 424 | 92 |
|  | WBR | 285 | 61 | 10 | 123 | 40 |
|  | NBL | 250 | 36 | 169 | 63 | 221 |
|  | NBT |  | 76 | 245 | 125 | 354 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 275 | 109 | 290 | 152 | 363 |
|  | SBT |  | 77 | 28 | 126 | 55 |
|  | SBR | 475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | WBL | 365 | 129 | 40 | 191 | 80 |
|  | WBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBT |  | 13 | 32 | 32 | 63 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 23 | 43 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 57 | 129 | 103 | 191 |
|  | SBT |  | 17 | 11 | 36 | 22 |
| SR 414 @ <br> Hope Rd | EBL | 485 | 57 | 56 | \#98 | 91 |
|  | EBT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | ~1487 | 510 | \#1549 | 591 |
|  | WBR | 200 | 10 | 2 | 23 | 12 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite,
\# indicates 95 th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Table 5-19. 2025 Build $50^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | Storage Length (ft) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Hiawassee Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 500 | 125 | 88 | 174 | 126 |
|  | EBR | 500 | 176 | 0 | 272 | 23 |
|  | WBL | 600 | 72 | 96 | 109 | 137 |
|  | WBR | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBL | 350 | 40 | 83 | 61 | 120 |
|  | NBT |  | 216 | 202 | 270 | 261 |
|  | NBR | 400 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 31 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 21 |
|  | SBT |  | 311 | 204 | 442 | 278 |
|  | SBR | 300 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 36 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | EBL | 390 | 178 | 129 | 240 | 198 |
|  | EBR |  | 296 | 0 | 349 | 47 |
|  | NBT |  | 220 | 388 | 309 | 518 |
|  | NBR | 250 | 0 | 27 | 71 | 88 |
|  | SBL | 490 | 224 | 213 | m240 | m280 |
|  | SBT |  | 200 | 71 | 190 | m196 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 <br> Westbound Ramps | EBL | 450 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 28 |
|  | EBT |  | 19 | 32 | 44 | 68 |
|  | EBR | 450 | 54 | 38 | 141 | \#171 |
|  | WBL | 425 | 75 | ~294 | 117 | \#343 |
|  | WBT |  | 128 | 147 | 206 | 242 |
|  | WBR | 425 | 175 | 100 | \#390 | \#274 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 93 | 82 | \#193 | 141 |
|  | NBT |  | 104 | ~954 | 204 | \#278 |
|  | NBR |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SBL | 450 | 101 | 184 | 166 | \#349 |
|  | SBT |  | 695 | 243 | \#909 | 314 |
|  | SBR | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SR 414 @ Bear Lake Rd/ Rose Ave | EBL | 630 | 282 | 426 | 377 | 530 |
|  | EBT |  | 1,365 | 808 | 1,556 | 1,004 |
|  | EBR | 630 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 66 |
|  | WBL | 550 | 529 | 597 | 665 | 698 |
|  | WBT |  | 356 | 905 | 374 | 1,114 |
|  | WBR | 375 | 18 | 5 | 25 | m13 |
|  | NBL | 330 | 238 | 165 | \#325 | 227 |
|  | NBT |  | 375 | 593 | 476 | 705 |
|  | NBR | 420 | 424 | 292 | 508 | 344 |
|  | SBL | 575 | 218 | 154 | 292 | 214 |
|  | SBT |  | 593 | 374 | 718 | 467 |
|  | SBR | 350 | 98 | 0 | 204 | 78 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite,
\# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Table 5-19. 2025 Build 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths (Cont.)

| Intersection | Movement | Storage Length (ft) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Road | EBL | 425 | 10 | 10 | m15 | m25 |
|  | EBT |  | 300 | 136 | 335 | 160 |
|  | EBR | 425 | 0 | 4 | m0 | 5 |
|  | WBL | 580 | 354 | 472 | 449 | 559 |
|  | WBT |  | 132 | 815 | 353 | 1,117 |
|  | WBR | 725 | 0 | 0 | m0 | m0 |
|  | NBL | 325 | 202 | 145 | 286 | 217 |
|  | NBT |  | 77 | 10 | 199 | 116 |
|  | SBT |  | 20 | 21 | 55 | 57 |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | EBL | 310 | 311 | 253 | 388 | 279 |
|  | EBT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | 246 | 607 | 360 | 837 |
|  | WBR | 170 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 |
|  | SBR |  | 119 | 226 | 194 | 315 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 434 @ \text { SR } 414 \\ \text { Ramps } \end{gathered}$ | EBL | 600 | 330 | 213 | 385 | 273 |
|  | EBR | 750 | 8 | 44 | 60 | 88 |
|  | WBL | 900 | 63 | $\sim 456$ | 93 | \#588 |
|  | WBR | 900 | 185 | ~844 | 246 | \#997 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 148 | 254 | 195 | 312 |
|  | NBT |  | 369 | $\sim 735$ | 445 | \#829 |
|  | NBR | 825 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 7 |
|  | SBL | 1000 | 305 | 134 | 359 | 178 |
|  | SBT |  | 575 | 327 | 656 | 384 |
|  | SBR | 800 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 83 |
| Maitland Summit <br> Blvd @ SR 414 EB Ramps | EBL | 450 | 283 | 125 | 363 | 191 |
|  | EBT |  | 188 | 54 | 220 | 82 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 42 | 56 |
|  | NBT |  | 53 | 57 | 74 | 79 |
|  | NBR | 300 | 194 | 12 | 272 | 49 |
|  | SBL |  | 20 | 89 | 44 | 138 |
|  | SBT |  | 25 | 146 | 90 | 194 |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | WBL | 510 | 293 | 363 | 362 | 486 |
|  | WBT |  | 22 | 176 | 35 | 220 |
|  | WBR |  | 162 | 0 | 237 | 32 |
|  | NBL |  | 31 | 77 | 55 | \#126 |
|  | NBT |  | 74 | 57 | 113 | 81 |
|  | SBT |  | 21 | 95 | 41 | 126 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 266 | 2 | 419 |
| Keller Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 400 | 8 | 8 | 21 | 22 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBL | 525 | 388 | 64 | 424 | 92 |
|  | WBR | 285 | 82 | 21 | 153 | 53 |
|  | NBL | 250 | 40 | 189 | 68 | 243 |
|  | NBT |  | 76 | 245 | 125 | 354 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 275 | 109 | 290 | 152 | 363 |
|  | SBT |  | 77 | 28 | 127 | 57 |
|  | SBR | 475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | WBL | 365 | 129 | 40 | 191 | 80 |
|  | WBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBT |  | 13 | 32 | 32 | 63 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 23 | 43 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 57 | 129 | 103 | 191 |
|  | SBT |  | 17 | 11 | 36 | 22 |
| SR 414 @ Hope Rd | EBL | 485 | 57 | 56 | \#98 | 91 |
|  | EBT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | ~1554 | $\sim 1258$ | \#1614 | \#1326 |
|  | WBR | 200 | 10 | 4 | 23 | 13 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite,
\# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Table 5-20. 2045 No-Build 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | Storage Length (ft) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Hiawassee Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 500 | 157 | 110 | \#226 | 151 |
|  | EBR | 500 | 245 | 0 | \#430 | 49 |
|  | WBL | 600 | 90 | 122 | 132 | 165 |
|  | WBR | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBL | 350 | 46 | 105 | 73 | 146 |
|  | NBT |  | 287 | 283 | 349 | 360 |
|  | NBR | 400 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 35 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 21 |
|  | SBT |  | 472 | 292 | 569 | 394 |
|  | SBR | 300 | 7 | 0 | 66 | 42 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 <br> Eastbound Ramps | EBL | 390 | 179 | 55 | 258 | 103 |
|  | EBR |  | $\sim 755$ | 0 | \#909 | 50 |
|  | NBT |  | 285 | 710 | 341 | 842 |
|  | NBR | 250 | 0 | 21 | 49 | 58 |
|  | SBL | 490 | 151 | 292 | m142 | m346 |
|  | SBT |  | ~1108 | 84 | m\#490 | 86 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 Westbound Ramps | EBL | 450 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 28 |
|  | EBT |  | 17 | 31 | 43 | 67 |
|  | EBR | 450 | 97 | 159 | 197 | \#354 |
|  | WBL | 425 | 95 | 46 | 153 | 88 |
|  | WBT |  | 122 | 55 | 215 | 114 |
|  | WBR | 425 | 360 | 213 | \#712 | \#498 |
|  | NBL | 500 | ~106 | 93 | \#234 | m117 |
|  | NBT |  | 280 | $\sim 846$ | 377 | \#592 |
|  | NBR |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SBL | 450 | 31 | ~91 | 69 | \#213 |
|  | SBT |  | ~1115 | 486 | \#1250 | 583 |
|  | SBR | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SR 414 @ Bear Lake Rd/ Rose Ave | EBL | 630 | 158 | ~628 | 235 | \#861 |
|  | EBT |  | $\sim 2772$ | 1,089 | \#2700 | 1,169 |
|  | EBR | 630 | 22 | 5 | 56 | 41 |
|  | WBL | 550 | ~351 | 283 | \#552 | m254 |
|  | WBT |  | 490 | $\sim 3734$ | 604 | m\#3119 |
|  | WBR | 375 | 0 | 4 | m14 | m2 |
|  | NBL | 330 | 191 | $\sim 458$ | \#316 | \#680 |
|  | NBT |  | 428 | $\sim 769$ | 551 | \#1015 |
|  | NBR | 420 | $\sim 1115$ | 419 | \#1384 | 529 |
|  | SBL | 575 | ~269 | ~186 | \#473 | \#352 |
|  | SBT |  | 544 | $\sim 519$ | \#753 | \#738 |
|  | SBR | 350 | 142 | 222 | 257 | \#417 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite, \# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Table 5-20. 2045 No-Build 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths (Cont.)

| Intersection | Movement | Storage Length (ft) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Road | EBL | 425 | 10 | 10 | m8 | m18 |
|  | EBT |  | ~3685 | 242 | m\#3187 | m339 |
|  | EBR | 425 | 0 | 0 | m0 | m19 |
|  | WBL | 580 | ~341 | 256 | \#546 | m248 |
|  | WBT |  | 629 | 1,616 | 830 | 1,627 |
|  | WBR | 725 | 0 | 0 | m0 | m0 |
|  | NBL | 325 | 157 | 166 | \#239 | 249 |
|  | NBT |  | ~358 | 217 | \#583 | \#388 |
|  | SBT |  | 20 | 20 | 58 | 57 |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | EBL | 310 | 337 | 267 | m287 | m\#373 |
|  | EBT |  | 145 | 0 | m0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | 449 | 1,295 | 575 | \#1421 |
|  | WBR | 170 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 6 |
|  | SBR |  | 90 | ~236 | 14 | \#420 |
| SR 434 @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 600 | 386 | 409 | 439 | \#538 |
|  | EBR | 750 | 46 | 6 | 111 | 47 |
|  | WBL | 900 | 67 | $\sim 518$ | 94 | \#653 |
|  | WBR | 900 | 195 | ~997 | 260 | \#1148 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 67 | 84 | 102 | 125 |
|  | NBT |  | 250 | $\sim 733$ | 309 | \#828 |
|  | NBR | 825 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 3 |
|  | SBL | 1000 | 359 | 152 | 415 | 200 |
|  | SBT |  | 563 | 401 | 636 | 449 |
|  | SBR | 800 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 61 |
| Maitland Summit <br> Blvd @ SR 414 EB <br> Ramps | EBL | 450 | 276 | 125 | 356 | 191 |
|  | EBT |  | 186 | 54 | 218 | 82 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 42 | 56 |
|  | NBT |  | 49 | 54 | 69 | 74 |
|  | NBR | 300 | 228 | 45 | 313 | 91 |
|  | SBL |  | 21 | 98 | 45 | 151 |
|  | SBT |  | 30 | 174 | 250 | 228 |
| Maitland Summit Blvd @ SR 414 WB Ramps | WBL | 510 | 318 | 390 | 384 | 520 |
|  | WBT |  | 21 | 165 | 33 | 206 |
|  | WBR |  | 170 | 0 | 238 | 36 |
|  | NBL |  | 28 | 72 | 51 | 112 |
|  | NBT |  | 74 | 57 | 117 | 83 |
|  | SBT |  | 24 | 110 | 46 | 144 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 237 | 2 | 385 |
| Keller Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 400 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 22 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBL | 525 | 419 | 69 | 469 | 97 |
|  | WBR | 285 | 110 | 31 | 214 | 68 |
|  | NBL | 250 | 36 | 185 | 65 | 239 |
|  | NBT |  | 88 | 288 | 134 | 395 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 275 | 117 | 315 | 167 | \#412 |
|  | SBT |  | 88 | 31 | 134 | 60 |
|  | SBR | 475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | WBL | 365 | 172 | 52 | 240 | 97 |
|  | WBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBT |  | 21 | 46 | 48 | 86 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 30 | 54 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 79 | 172 | 132 | 239 |
|  | SBT |  | 27 | 16 | 53 | 29 |
| SR 414 @ <br> Hope Rd | EBL | 485 | 73 | 73 | \#136 | \#135 |
|  | EBT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | ~1758 | ${ }^{\sim} 1278$ | \#1809 | \#1344 |
|  | WBR | 200 | 21 | 12 | 37 | 23 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite,
\# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Table 5-21. 2045 Build 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | Storage Length (ft) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Hiawassee Rd @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 500 | 157 | 110 | \#226 | 151 |
|  | EBR | 500 | 245 | 0 | \#430 | 49 |
|  | WBL | 600 | 90 | 122 | 132 | 165 |
|  | WBR | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBL | 350 | 46 | 105 | 73 | 146 |
|  | NBT |  | 287 | 283 | 349 | 360 |
|  | NBR | 400 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 35 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 21 |
|  | SBT |  | 472 | 292 | 569 | 394 |
|  | SBR | 300 | 7 | 0 | 66 | 42 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 Eastbound Ramps | EBL | 390 | 207 | 129 | 288 | 198 |
|  | EBR |  | 350 | 30 | 428 | 82 |
|  | NBT |  | 270 | 453 | 340 | 598 |
|  | NBR | 250 | 0 | 32 | 84 | 94 |
|  | SBL | 490 | 224 | 244 | m194 | m293 |
|  | SBT |  | 278 | 77 | m137 | m248 |
| US 441 @ SR 414 Westbound Ramps | EBL | 450 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 26 |
|  | EBT |  | 18 | 32 | 45 | 70 |
|  | EBR | 450 | 94 | 79 | 196 | \#270 |
|  | WBL | 425 | 84 | $\sim 404$ | 138 | \#625 |
|  | WBT |  | 130 | 88 | 224 | 163 |
|  | WBR | 425 | 199 | 153 | \#464 | \#369 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 98 | 90 | \#220 | m149 |
|  | NBT |  | 150 | ~1177 | 335 | \#421 |
|  | NBR |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SBL | 450 | 101 | $\sim 270$ | 166 | \#448 |
|  | SBT |  | 923 | 326 | \#1118 | 399 |
|  | SBR | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SR 414 @ Bear Lake Rd/ Rose Ave | EBL | 630 | 314 | 458 | 413 | \#618 |
|  | EBT |  | 1,866 | 1,044 | 1,943 | 1,203 |
|  | EBR | 630 | 36 | 27 | 83 | 91 |
|  | WBL | 550 | 545 | 609 | \#756 | m655 |
|  | WBT |  | 410 | 1,647 | 355 | 1,495 |
|  | WBR | 375 | 21 | 22 | 16 | m22 |
|  | NBL | 330 | 265 | 179 | \#465 | 256 |
|  | NBT |  | 415 | 645 | 535 | \#825 |
|  | NBR | 420 | 445 | 307 | 567 | 378 |
|  | SBL | 575 | 235 | 170 | 321 | \#278 |
|  | SBT |  | 656 | 410 | \#884 | 527 |
|  | SBR | 350 | 141 | 0 | 257 | 86 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite,
\# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Table 5-21. 2045 Build 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Lengths (Cont.)

| Intersection | Movement | Storage Length ( ft ) | 50th Percentile |  | 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| SR 414 @ Magnolia Homes Road | EBL | 425 | 10 | 11 | m14 | m19 |
|  | EBT |  | 327 | 163 | 357 | 865 |
|  | EBR | 425 | 0 | 4 | m0 | m5 |
|  | WBL | 580 | 394 | 503 | \#574 | m554 |
|  | WBT |  | 156 | 1,200 | 169 | 1,357 |
|  | WBR | 725 | 0 | 0 | m0 | m0 |
|  | NBL | 325 | 223 | 158 | \#345 | 232 |
|  | NBT |  | 195 | 10 | \#346 | 121 |
|  | SBT |  | 20 | 21 | 56 | 57 |
| SR 414 @ Gateway Drive | EBL | 310 | 363 | 211 | m383 | 405 |
|  | EBT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | 325 | 954 | 469 | 1,095 |
|  | WBR | 170 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 8 |
|  | SBR |  | 164 | 264 | 240 | \#412 |
| SR 434 @ SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 600 | 435 | 255 | 504 | 319 |
|  | EBR | 750 | 71 | 67 | 141 | 118 |
|  | WBL | 900 | 65 | ~530 | 94 | \#664 |
|  | WBR | 900 | 208 | ~1007 | 273 | \#1158 |
|  | NBL | 500 | 180 | 295 | 237 | 366 |
|  | NBT |  | 406 | $\sim 783$ | 476 | \#875 |
|  | NBR | 825 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 20 |
|  | SBL | 1000 | 331 | 144 | 393 | 191 |
|  | SBT |  | 645 | 353 | 709 | 399 |
|  | SBR | 800 | 0 | 40 | 78 | 182 |
| Maitland Summit <br> Blvd @ SR 414 EB <br> Ramps | EBL | 450 | 306 | 141 | 389 | 209 |
|  | EBT |  | 201 | 57 | 233 | 85 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 42 | 57 |
|  | NBT |  | 55 | 59 | 77 | 80 |
|  | NBR | 300 | 247 | 42 | 334 | 86 |
|  | SBL |  | 20 | 100 | 44 | 154 |
|  | SBT |  | 74 | 175 | 100 | 230 |
| Maitland Summit <br> Blvd @ SR 414 WB <br> Ramps | WBL | 510 | 319 | 390 | 386 | \#576 |
|  | WBT |  | 24 | 185 | 37 | 236 |
|  | WBR |  | 186 | 0 | 254 | 37 |
|  | NBL |  | 31 | 82 | 56 | \#135 |
|  | NBT |  | 91 | 62 | 127 | 85 |
|  | SBT |  | 24 | 109 | 46 | 140 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 313 | 2 | \#514 |
| Keller Rd @ <br> SR 414 Ramps | EBL | 400 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 22 |
|  | EBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBL | 525 | 419 | 69 | 469 | 97 |
|  | WBR | 285 | 110 | 31 | 214 | 68 |
|  | NBL | 250 | 40 | 205 | 70 | 259 |
|  | NBT |  | 88 | 288 | 134 | 395 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 275 | 117 | 315 | 167 | \#412 |
|  | SBT |  | 88 | 32 | 135 | 62 |
|  | SBR | 475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake Destiny Rd @ I-4 Ramps | WBL | 365 | 172 | 52 | 240 | 97 |
|  | WBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBT |  | 21 | 46 | 48 | 86 |
|  | NBR |  | 0 | 0 | 30 | 54 |
|  | SBL | 300 | 79 | 172 | 132 | 239 |
|  | SBT |  | 27 | 16 | 53 | 29 |
| SR 414 @ Hope Rd | EBL | 485 | 73 | 73 | \#136 | \#135 |
|  | EBT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBT |  | $\sim 2142$ | ${ }^{\sim} 1811$ | \#2176 | \#1857 |
|  | WBR | 200 | 21 | 12 | 37 | 23 |
|  | SBR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes: ~ indicates volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite, \# indicates 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer, and $m$ indicates volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

A comparison of cumulative intersection control delay (sum of average delay for all intersections) between No-Build and Build in 2045 is shown in Figure 5-13, for the intersections within the PD\&E study limits including Maitland Summit Boulevard. The figure shows that delay at the intersections along the study corridor is expected to be about 15-18 percent lower with the Build alternative compared to the No-Build. This is due to traffic diversion from the arterial portion of SR 414 to the proposed toll lanes. The toll lanes will provide additional capacity at a high speed to alleviate existing and future congestion and enhance safety. This isolated intersection analysis does not take into account the additional benefit associated with reduced delay and travel time for the traffic diverted to the proposed toll lanes in the Build alternative. The Build demand is higher than No-Build demand. Overall, the Build is expected to process more demand than the No-Build and improve operations and safety in the region.

Figure 5-13. 2045 Cumulative Intersection Control Delay


## 6. Conclusion

The preferred Build alternative, Alternative 4 with Option 1 Concept ramp connections, considers a twomile four-lane tolled expressway extension of the SR 414/John Land Apopka Expressway from the current terminus at US 441 to the SR 434 interchange. The alternative is an expressway bypass of the SR 414 arterial, in which the arterial intersections remain, including Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue, Eden Park Road, Magnolia Homes Road, and Gateway Drive. Travel pattern analysis using INRIX and Streetlight data showed that 60 percent of the traffic west of the project travels through the study area to the Maitland Center office park, I-4, and SR 414 east of I-4, with 20 percent destined to US 441 and the other 20 percent destined to the neighborhoods in the study area.

The No-Build alternative analysis assumed widening of SR 414 to six lanes from US 441 to SR 434. The Build alternative is expected to have a higher east-west throughput compared to the No-Build within the project limits. Overall, the projected 2045 AADT for the Build $(112,000)$ is 58 percent higher than the NoBuild $(70,900)$, in the highest volume section. The Build alternative provides for traffic growth of 77 percent, from $2025(63,400)$ to $2045(112,000)$.

The arterial intersection configurations within the study corridor were initially screened using the Intersection Capacity Evaluation (ICE) tools. The Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions (CAP-X) tool recommended Displaced Left Turn geometry for each of the intersections; however due to right-of-way constraints and the elevated expressway piers in the medians, the existing intersections configurations and control were maintained. Some of the improvements suggested at Bear Lake intersection (adding dual eastbound and westbound left turn lanes) could not be accommodated due to right-of-way impacts and Bear Lake Road/Rose Avenue being two-lane facilities feeding traffic into residential neighborhoods. The traffic patterns could change significantly with the project build and thereby reduce some of the cut through traffic using these neighborhood streets. In addition to the proposed toll lanes, the preferred Build alternative includes the extension of a third eastbound lane from the project terminus at SR 434 to Maitland Summit Boulevard, to enhance operations.

The analysis showed that delay at the intersections along the study corridor is expected to be about 1518 percent lower with the Build alternative compared to the No-Build in 2045. This is due to traffic diversion from the arterial portion of SR 414 to the proposed toll lanes. This isolated intersection analysis does not take into account the additional user benefit associated with reduced delay and travel time for the traffic diverted to the proposed toll lanes in the Build alternative.

The traffic analysis showed that the SR 414 Expressway Extension will improve traffic operations in the study area in the Build condition over the No-Build condition. The SR 414 Expressway Extension provides an opportunity for high-speed travel between the SR 414 John Land Apopka Expressway and the improvements on SR 414 as part of the I-4 Ultimate project. This connectivity provides relief to the latent traffic demand of east-west travel, providing an alternative in west Orange and Seminole Counties. The toll lanes will provide additional capacity at a high speed to alleviate existing and future congestion and enhance safety in the region.
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