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## Subject: Project Traffic Analysis Memorandum SR 429 and Binion Road Interchange PD\&E Study (Project \# 429-309)

### 1.0 Overview

### 1.1 Background

As part of the 2045 Central Florida Expressway Authority (CFX) Master Plan stakeholder outreach efforts, the City of Apopka asked CFX to consider a new interchange on SR 429 connecting to Binion Road. In early 2021, the City of Apopka reviewed a new 365 -acre mixed-use development project called The Ridge at Lake Bronson, located between Ocoee Apopka Road and Binion Road near the system-to-system interchange of SR 429, SR 414, and SR 451. The City also has 29 other recently approved and planned developments within the vicinity of the SR 429 corridor. These developments will increase population, employment, and traffic in the area. A direct access to SR 429 is expected to reduce congestion on local roads and relief adjacent interchanges. Figure $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ is a map of the proposed interchange location in the regional context. The proposed interchange is located less than a mile north of SR 414 in west Orange County. It will include ramps to and from the north of SR 429 only, that will terminate at the Binion Road and Boy Scout Road intersection.

In Summer 2022, CFX initiated a Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) study for the proposed partial interchange. This Project Traffic Analysis Memorandum is prepared to support the PD\&E study. It provides existing conditions data, future traffic forecasts, and operational analysis results for the 2022 existing, 2025 opening and 2045 design year conditions.

### 1.2 Analysis Area of Influence

The analysis Area of Influence (AOI) for the proposed interchange is depicted on Figure 1.2. It includes the following existing facilities:

- SR 429 mainline segments from south of Ocoee Apopka Road to north of US 441 and interchange ramps
- Binion Road and Boy Scout Road intersection

For Build conditions, the analysis also included the proposed partial interchange at SR 429 and Binion Road.
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Figure 1.1
Project Location
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Figure 1.2
Analysis Area of Influence


### 1.3 Operational Analysis Methodology

The analysis documented in this memorandum was conducted for the 2022 existing, 2025 opening and 2045 design years. A Volume to Capacity (V/C) analysis was conducted for the SR 429 mainline segments and ramp roadways. The Binion Road and Boy Scout Road intersection was evaluated based on Level of Service (LOS)/delay.

The freeway segments $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ analysis was based on the LOS D maximum service volumes published in the 2020 Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Quality and LOS Handbook. The analysis for ramp roadways was based on LOS E targets from the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 7th Edition. The FDOT and HCM targets were adjusted for local conditions such as speed, truck proportion and Peak Hour Factor (PHF). Intersections were evaluated using Synchro Version 11, based on the HCM 7th Edition LOS and the delay targets presented in Tables 1.1 and 1.2. Unlike the HCM, Synchro has additional procedures for estimating control delay, such as estimation of right turn on red and queue delay associated with starvation and spillback. Thus, Synchro is expected to yield more accurate results than HCM because of these additional refinements.

Table 1.1
Signalized Intersection HCM 7th Edition Level of Service Criteria

| Control Delay <br> (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq 1.0$ | $>1.0$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10-20$ | B | F |
| $>20-35$ | C | F |
| $>35-55$ | D | F |
| $>55-80$ | E | F |
| $>80$ | F | F |

*For approach-based and intersection wide assessments, LOS is defined solely by control delay.
Table 1.2
Unsignalized Intersection HCM 7th Edition Level of Service Criteria

| Control Delay <br> (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{\leq 1 . 0}$ | $>1.0$ |
| $0-10$ | A | F |
| $>10-15$ | B | F |
| $>15-25$ | C | F |
| $>25-35$ | D | F |
| $>35-50$ | E | F |
| $>50$ | F | F |

The LOS criteria apply to each lane on a given approach and to each approach on the minor street.
LOS is not calculated for major-street approaches or for the intersection as a whole.

### 2.0 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Roadway Facilities

SR 429 is a north-south, limited-access tolled facility that forms a portion of the belt route system around the Orlando metro area. This facility begins at l-4 to the south, close to Champions Gate, and ends at US 441 to the north in South Apopka. SR 429 is designated as Wekiva Parkway north of US 441. The final link in the Central Florida Beltway - the extension of the Wekiva Parkway - is being built in stages by CFX and FDOT. The Wekiva Parkway extension is scheduled to be completed in 2023. CFX owns and operates most of the existing SR 429 corridor, including the segment within the project location. FTE owns and operates the portion of SR 429 from I-4 to Seidel Road.

The SR 429 mainline south of Ocoee Apopka Road and north of US 441 has two 12-foot lanes and 10 -foot inside and outside shoulders in each direction. The segment between Ocoee Apopka Road and SR 414 has two 12 -foot lanes, one auxiliary lane, and 10 -foot inside and outside shoulders in each direction. From SR 414 to US 441, the SR 429 mainline has three 12-foot lanes and 10-foot inside and outside shoulders in each direction. The posted speed limit within the study area is 70 mph. SR 429 forms a diamond interchange with Ocoee Apopka Road, a system-to system connection with SR 414 and a diamond interchange with US 441 via a connector road.

Binion Road is a two-lane, undivided rural collector which runs north-south. It serves mostly residential uses. It starts on the west side of Ocoee Apopka Road, runs north, and ends at the intersection with Pickford Circle/Lake View Drive. The posted speed limit within the study area is 40 mph.

Boy Scout Road is an east-west local road that terminates at Binion Road at a T-intersection. It is a two-lane road with a posted speed of 45 mph within the study area.

Existing conditions lane geometry at the Binion Road and Boy Scout Road intersection is depicted on Figure 2.1. Lane geometry information was obtained from high resolution aerial maps and field reviews.

Figure 2.1
2022 (Existing) Intersection Lane Geometry and Control


### 2.2 Existing Traffic Data and Operations

Traffic volumes for SR 429 at Forest Lake mainline plaza and tolled ramps at Ocoee Apopka Road for 2022 were obtained from transaction data. Traffic data for non-tolled ramps were obtained from the 2021 CFX Traffic Data and Statistics Manual and the Florida Traffic Online web application. Traffic data for Binion Road was obtained from the Orange County Traffic Counts web application for 2021. To calculate the 2022 existing peak hour volumes, an analysis was conducted for the daily counts and the four highest consecutive 15 -minute periods in the morning and evening. Seasonal and axle adjustment factors were applied to the data where necessary. Growth rates estimated from historical data were used where applicable. The data were then aggregated and balanced to ensure continuity of flow and consistency. A summary of the 2022 existing traffic and LOS D V/C ratios is presented in Table 2.1. The results show that the roadway segments and ramps within the AOI have a LOS D V/C ratio of 0.8 or less in year 2022, indicating that there are no capacity concerns in the area under existing conditions.

Figure 2.2 shows the final 2022 existing year peak hour volumes in the AM and PM conditions at the Binion Road and Boy Scout Road intersection. Typical peak hour traffic is low at the intersection.

The intersection LOS and delay was evaluated using the Synchro software, Version 11. Queue lengths were estimated using SimTraffic, the microsimulation companion of Synchro, to better account for vehicle interactions. The analysis results for the 2022 AM and PM peak hours are summarized in Table 2.2. Detailed Synchro/SimTraffic output reports are provided in Appendix A. The results show that all movements are currently operating at an acceptable LOS C or better in both the AM and PM peak hours.
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Table 2.1
2022 Traffic and Volume to Capacity Ratios

| Location | SR 429 | Lanes /Direction | AADT |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | LOS D Volume/Capacity (V/C)* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | AADT | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  |  |  | SB | NB |  |  | SB | NB | SB | NB | SB | NB | SB | NB | SB | NB |
| Ponkan Mainline Plaza |  | 2 | 11,820 | 11,160 | 755 | 484 |  |  | 797 | 958 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| US 441 |  | 1 | 500 | 500 | 41 | 46 | 46 | 41 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2 | 8,210 | 8,740 | 782 | 693 | 693 | 782 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 3 | 19,530 | 19,400 | 1,495 | 1,131 | 1,444 | 1,698 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| SR 414 |  | 2 | 6,200 | 6,100 | 643 | 526 | 526 | 643 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2 | 16,720 | 16,650 | 1,744 | 1,427 | 1,427 | 1,744 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 2+1 Aux | 30,050 | 29,950 | 2,596 | 2,031 | 2,345 | 2,799 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Ocoee Apopka Road |  | 1 | 1,250 | 1,300 | 95 | 111 | 108 | 96 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 1 | 2,750 | 2,750 | 237 | 203 | 207 | 233 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Forest Lake Mainline Plaza |  | 2 | 31,550 | 31,400 | 2,738 | 2,124 | 2,444 | 2,937 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
|  | Binion Road |  | SB | NB | SB | NB | SB | NB | SB | NB | SB | NB | SB | NB |
| North of Boy Scout Road South of Boy Scout Road |  | 1 | 3,500 | 3,600 | 378 | 302 | 311 | 368 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 1 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 284 | 227 | 226 | 302 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  | Boy Scout Road |  | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB |
| East of Binion Road |  | 1 | 2,400 | 2,300 | 255 | 236 | 245 | 226 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

AADT and peak hour volumes based on days of the week and peak periods with the highest demand
Values in purple indicate peak hour directional volumes
*LOS D V/C for freeway mainline and arterials. LOS E (capacity) V/C for ramps
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Figure 2.2
2022 (Existing) AM and PM Peak Hour Intersection Volumes


Table 2.2
2022 Existing AM and PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS/Delay (sec)

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | LOS | Delay (Seconds) | Maximum Queue Length (Feet)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM (PM) | AM (PM) | AM (PM) |
| Binion Road and Boy Scout <br> Road (Unsignalized) | Eastbound | Left | - | - | - |
|  |  | Through | - | - | - |
|  |  | Right | - | - | - |
|  | Westbound | Left | C (C) | 21.5 (18.3) | 141 (160) |
|  |  | Through | - | - | - |
|  |  | Right | C (C) | 21.5 (18.3) | 141 (160) |
|  | Northbound | Left | - | - | - |
|  |  | Through | A (A) | 0.0 (0.0) | 41 (22) |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 0.0 (0.0) | 41 (22) |
|  | Southbound | Left | A (A) | 1.5 (1.3) | 95 (72) |
|  |  | Through | A (A) | 4.9 (4.8) | 95 (72) |
|  |  | Right | - | - | - |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | $C$ (C) | 21.5 (18.3) | - |

*SimTraffic maximum queue length

## CDM <br> smith

### 3.0 Future Conditions

### 3.1 Travel Demand Model

The CFX travel demand model selected for this analysis was based on the Central Florida Regional Planning Model (CFRPM) version 6.1, covering ten counties. Using the regional model for the SR 414 Expressway Extension PD\&E study as a starting point, updates and refinements with special emphasis on the l-4 corridor and SR 429 were made for better base year validation of year 2017. This model was designated CFX Model 414 Traffic and Revenue (T\&R) and used for the SR 429 and Binion Road interchange PD\&E study. Documentation for the base-year and future-year travel demand models can be found in the Planning Level Traffic and Revenue Estimates for SR 429 and Binion Road Interchange letter report Travel Demand Modeling Section provided in Appendix B.

### 3.2 Traffic Factors

The future traffic factors for this study are presented in Table 3.1. The Design Hour Factor ( $K$ ) is the proportion of the AADT that occurs during the design hour. The Directional Distribution Factor (D) is the proportion of traffic traveling in the peak direction during the design hour. The $K$ and $D$ factors represent the traffic demand a roadway is typically designed to accommodate.

Table 3.1 Future Traffic Factors

| Segment | Traffic Factors |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | D | $\mathrm{T}_{24}$ | DHT |
| Freeway Mainline |  |  |  |  |
| SR 429 | 9.5\% | 55.0\% | 3.6\% | 2.0\% |
| SR 429 Ramps |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 <br> Southbound Off-ramp and Northbound On-ramp <br> Southbound On-ramp and Northbound Off-ramp | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7 \% \\ & 8.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.8 \% \\ & 52.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \% \\ & 3.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \% \\ & 2.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Binion Road <br> Southbound Off-ramp and Northbound On-ramp | 9.5\% | 53.0\% | 3.6\% | 2.0\% |
| SR 414 <br> Southbound Off-ramp and Northbound On-ramp <br> Southbound On-ramp and Northbound Off-ramp | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5 \% \\ & 9.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.0 \% \\ & 55.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \% \\ & 3.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \% \\ & 2.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Arterials |  |  |  |  |
| Binion Road | 9.0\% | 55.5\% | 6.2\% | 4.0\% |
| Boy Scout Road | 9.0\% | 52.2\% | 6.2\% | 4.0\% |

For future conditions analysis, this study used the standard K factor for the SR 429 and arterials. Consistent with FDOT, CFX has developed standard K factors for use in planning and design applications. The D factors were originally calculated using count data and adjusted where
applicable based on future projections to account for anticipated changes in land use and traffic patterns. The daily truck ( $\mathrm{T}_{24}$ ) factors were obtained from the Florida Traffic Online web application for Portable Traffic Monitoring Site (PTMS) 75-0665, located on the SR 429 mainline, north of Ocoee Apopka Road and PTMS 75-8335, located on Binion Road, south of Lake View Drive. The Design Hour Truck (DHT) factor is the proportion of trucks within the peak hour and is assumed to be half of the $\mathrm{T}_{24}$ proportion rounded up to the nearest whole number for this study. A PHF of 0.95 was assumed for future conditions.

### 3.3 Traffic Forecasts

Traffic projections were developed using the updated CFX Model 414 T\&R for years 2025 and 2045, corresponding to the opening and design analysis years for the PD\&E study, respectively. The ongoing widening of the SR 429 mainline to three lanes and Part Time Shoulder Use (PTSU) per direction from West Road to SR 414 (429-153) was considered in the analysis, and other planned and programmed improvements within the study area. The Peak Season Weekday Average Daily Traffic (PSWADT) from the model was converted to AADT by applying a Model Output Calibration Factor (MOCF) of 0.98. The future No Build AADT were compared against the year 2017 validated model to establish linear model growth rates. Using historical growth rates and model growth rates, the 2025 and 2045 No Build AADT were generated based on the final 2022 existing conditions profile. The Build AADT were refined by comparing against the No Build estimates. Directional Design Hour Volumes (DDHV) for the No Build and Build alternatives were generated by applying the project $K$ and $D$ traffic factors. Finally, adjustments were made to balance volumes to ensure continuity of flow and for reasonableness where applicable.

The final mainline and ramps AADT and the corresponding DDHV for years 2025 and 2045 are provided in Tables 3.2 and 3.3 for the No Build and Build conditions, respectively. The bold values represent the mainline volumes, and the non-bold values represent ramp volumes. The data shows that traffic will primarily be diverted from the Ocoee Apopka Road and US 441 ramps to/from the north of SR 429 to the proposed Binion Road ramps. A small diversion is expected from the SR 414 ramps to/from north and there will be a small amount of induced trips due to the proposed ramps.

Future year turn movement volumes for the Binion Road and Boy Scout Road/SR 429 Ramps terminal intersection were developed using the projected ramp DDHV. Turn proportions were estimated using peak period data from the model and adjusted based on anticipated changes in land use and traffic patterns where applicable. The projected 2025 and 2045 design hour volumes are presented in Figures 3.1 through $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ for the No Build and Build conditions. Generally, the 2045 DDHV at the Binion Road and Boy Scout Road intersection are expected to be low in the future during typical peak hours, even with the new SR 429 ramps to and from the north.
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Table 3.2
No Build Traffic Forecasts


[^0]
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Table 3.3
Build Traffic Forecasts


[^1]Figure 3.1
2025 AM (PM) No Build DDHV


Figure 3.2
2045 AM (PM) No Build DDHV


Figure 3.3
2025 AM (PM) Build DDHV


Figure 3.4
2045 AM (PM) Build DDHV


### 3.4 Capacity Analysis for Freeway Mainline and Ramps

Future lane requirements were evaluated to provide an estimated timeline for the onset of capacity deficiencies along the SR 429 mainline and ramp roadways for the No Build and Build alternatives. Freeway mainline LOS targets were based on the FDOT's Quality and LOS Handbook. Capacity analysis for ramp roadways was based on HCM targets. The FDOT and HCM targets were adjusted for local conditions such as speed, truck proportion and PHF.

The lane requirements analysis per direction for the SR 429 mainline and ramps is summarized in Table 3.4. The analysis for the mainline segments was based on both LOS D and E (capacity) constraints. The analysis for ramp roadways was based on LOS E (capacity) target only. The future No Build and Build analysis considered the ongoing widening of the SR 429 mainline to three lanes and PTSU per direction from West Road to SR 414 (429-153).

The analysis showed that the programmed and proposed future number of lanes for the No Build and Build conditions are expected to accommodate projected demand through the 2045 design year, except for the SR 429 mainline segment north of US 441 . This segment will require an additional third lane per direction in year 2042 and 2045 based on LOS D and E constraints, respectively, for both No Build and Build conditions. Detailed color-coded lane requirements analysis is presented in Tables 3.5 through 3.8.
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Table 3.4
Lanes Requirements Summary - Number of Lanes per Direction

${ }^{1}$ Mainline Maximum Service Volume (LOS D)/Ramp Capacity (LOS E)
${ }^{2}$ Mainline Maximum Service Volume (LOS E)/Ramp Capacity (LOS E)
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ - not applicable or no additional lane needs
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Table 3.5
No Build Mainline (LOS D) and Ramp Capacity (LOS E) Lane Requirements
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Table 3.6
Build Mainline (LOS D) and Ramp Capacity (LOS E) Lane Requirements
DDHV - Worst Case AM or PM Design Hour
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Table 3.7
No Build Mainline (LOS E) and Ramp Capacity (LOS E) Lane Requirements
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Table 3.8
Build Mainline (LOS E) and Ramp Capacity (LOS E) Lane Requirements

| DDHV - Worst Case AM or PM Design Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | SR 429 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Opening } \\ \hline 2025 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 202 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | Interpolated |  |  |  |  | 2038 | 2039 |  | 2041 |  | 2043 | 2044 | Design |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 | 2037 |  |  | 2040 |  | 2042 |  |  | 2045 |
| Ponkan Mainline Plaza |  | 1,850 | 1,980 | 2,100 | 2,230 | 2,350 | 2,480 | 2,600 | 2,730 | 2,850 | 2,980 | 3,100 | 3,220 | 3,350 | 3,470 | 3,590 | 3,720 | 3,840 | 3,960 | 4,080 | 4,210 | 4,330 |
| US 441 |  | 140 | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ 1,020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180 \\ 1,050 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 190 \\ 1,070 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ 1,090 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 210 \\ 1,110 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 220 \\ 1,130 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 240 \\ 1,160 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 250 \\ 1,180 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 260 \\ & 1,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 270 \\ 1,220 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 280 \\ 1,240 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 300 \\ 1,270 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 310 \\ 1,290 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 320 \\ 1,310 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 330 \\ 1,330 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 340 \\ 1,350 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 360 \\ 1,380 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 370 \\ 1,400 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 380 \\ & 1,420 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 2,710 | 2,850 | 2,980 | 3,120 | 3,250 | 3,390 | 3,530 | 3,660 | 3,800 | 3,930 | 4,070 | 4,200 | 4,340 | 4,470 | 4,610 | 4,740 | 4,870 | 5,010 | 5,140 | 5,280 | 5,410 |
| Binion Road |  | 90 | 100 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 220 | 230 |
|  |  | 2,630 | 2,760 | 2,890 | 3,020 | 3,150 | 3,280 | 3,400 | 3,530 | 3,660 | 3,790 | 3,920 | 4,050 | 4,180 | 4,300 | 4,430 | 4,560 | 4,690 | 4,820 | 4,940 | 5,070 | 5,200 |
|  |  | 1,020 | 1,060 | 1,100 | 1,130 | 1,170 | 1,210 | 1,250 | 1,290 | 1,320 | 1,360 | 1,400 | 1,440 | 1,470 | 1,510 | 1,550 | 1,590 | 1,620 | 1,660 | 1,700 | 1,730 | 1,770 |
| SR 414 |  | 2,040 | 2,060 | 2,080 | 2,110 | 2,130 | 2,150 | 2,170 | 2,190 | 2,220 | 2,240 | 2,260 | 2,280 | 2,300 | 2,320 | 2,340 | 2,370 | 2,390 | 2,410 | 2,430 | 2,450 | 2,470 |
|  |  | 3,650 | 3,760 | 3,880 | 3,990 | 4,100 | 4,220 | 4,330 | 4,440 | 4,550 | 4,670 | 4,780 | 4,890 | 5,000 | 5,120 | 5,230 | 5,340 | 5,450 | 5,560 | 5,680 | 5,790 | 5,900 |
| Ocoee Apopka Road |  | 180 | 190 | 210 | 220 | 240 | 250 | 260 | 280 | 290 | 310 | 320 | 330 | 350 | 360 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 420 | 430 | 450 | 460 |
|  |  | 410 | 430 | 440 | 460 | 470 | 490 | 500 | 520 | 530 | 550 | 560 | 580 | 590 | 610 | 620 | 640 | 650 | 670 | 680 | 700 | 710 |
| Forest Lake Mainline Plaza |  | 3,900 | 4,020 | 4,130 | 4,250 | 4,360 | 4,480 | 4,590 | 4,710 | 4,820 | 4,940 | 5,050 | 5,170 | 5,280 | 5,400 | 5,510 | 5,630 | 5,740 | 5,860 | 5,970 | 6,090 | 6,200 |
| Freeway Inputs |  |  | Freeway LOS Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ramp Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck \% ( $\mathrm{t}_{\text {t }}$ | 2.0\% |  | Lanes | LOSE | Lanes* | LOSE |  |  |  |  | Lanes | LOSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free Flow Speed (mph) | 75 |  | 2 | 4,240 | 2+1 | 5,240 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1,850 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.95 |  | 3 | 6,360 | 3+1 | 7,360 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 8,480 | 4+1 | 9,480 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5,550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 10,600 | 5+1 | 11,600 |  |  |  |  | Speed - 40 to 50 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | 12,720 | 6+1 | 13,720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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### 3.5 Ramp Terminal Intersection Analysis Alternatives

The proposed SR 429 and Binion Road interchange will form a partial interchange on SR 429, providing access to/from the north only. The ramps will be tolled and will terminate at the Binion Road and Boy Scout Road intersection. Due to right-of-way constraints on SR 429, a concept was developed in which the southbound ramp flies over the SR 429 mainline. To accommodate the geometrics for the flyover ramp, Boy Scout Road will need to be realigned to the south as it intersects with Binion Road. Two configurations were developed for the ramp terminal intersection at Binion Road: a signalized and a roundabout intersection.

The proposed concepts are depicted on Figures 3.5 and 3.6 for the signalized and roundabout intersections, respectively. The proposed configuration for the roundabout includes a right-turn bypass lane for the SR 429 off-ramp and a wide circulatory lane and apron to properly accommodate trucks.

Figure 3.5
Conceptual Layout of Proposed Interchange Configuration (Signal Alternative)
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Figure 3.6
Conceptual Layout of Proposed Interchange Configuration (Roundabout Alternative)


### 3.6 Future Intersection Operations Analysis

Future operations analysis was conducted for the proposed Binion Road and Boy Scout Road/SR 429 Ramps intersection alternatives using the 2025 and 2045 design hour volumes on Figures 3.3 and 3.4, to verify operations in the opening and design years. The signalized intersection alternative was evaluated using the Synchro software, Version 11, whereas, the roundabout alternative was evaluated using the SIDRA software, Version 9. The analysis results for the 2025 and 2045 AM (PM) peak hour conditions are presented in Tables 3.9 through 3.12. The proposed storage lengths for the turn bays based on 2045 peak hour maximum queues are also provided in the tables and graphically depicted on Figures 3.7 and 3.8. For the signalized intersection, queue lengths were estimated using SimTraffic, the microsimulation companion of Synchro, to better account for vehicle interactions. Detailed Synchro/SimTraffic and SIDRA reports are provided in Appendices C and D, respectively.

## CDMA Smith

Table 3.9
2025 AM (PM) Design Hour Signalized Intersection LOS/Delay (sec)

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | LOS | Delay (Seconds) | Maximum Queue Length (Feet)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM (PM) | AM (PM) | AM (PM) |
| Binion Road and Boy Scout Road/ SR 429 Ramps | Eastbound | Left | B (B) | 15.9 (16.6) | 31 (50) |
|  |  | Through | C (C) | 27.6 (26.7) | 98 (72) |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 0.3 (0.7) | 52 (74) |
|  | Westbound | Left | B (B) | 18.7 (18.4) | 134 (90) |
|  |  | Through | C (C) | 20.9 (21.3) | 63 (70) |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 1.1 (4.6) | 68 (65) |
|  | Northbound | Left | B (A) | 11.1 (9.8) | 70 (49) |
|  |  | Through | C (C) | 25.3 (21.5) | 89 (136) |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 0.5 (0.4) | 66 (45) |
|  | Southbound | Left | B (A) | 11.4 (9.9) | 110 (87) |
|  |  | Through | B (B) | 18.3 (14.3) | 126 (112) |
|  |  | Right | B (B) | 18.3 (14.3) | 126 (112) |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | $B$ (B) | 13.9 (12.4) | - |

*SimTraffic maximum queue length

## CDM smith

Table 3.10
2025 AM (PM) Design Hour Roundabout Intersection LOS/Delay (sec)

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | LOS | Delay (Seconds) | Maximum Queue Length (Feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM (PM) | AM (PM) | AM (PM) |
| Binion Road and Boy Scout Road/ SR 429 Ramps | Eastbound | Left | A (A) | 5.6 (5.1) | 11 (11) |
|  |  | Through | A (A) | 5.6 (5.1) | 11 (11) |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 5.6 (5.1) | 11 (11) |
|  | Westbound | Left | A (A) | 6.0 (6.2) | 34 (34) |
|  |  | Through | A (A) | 6.0 (6.2) | 34 (34) |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 6.0 (6.2) | 34 (34) |
|  | Northbound | Left | A (A) | 6.1 (6.6) | 33 (44) |
|  |  | Through | A (A) | 6.1 (6.6) | 33 (44) |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 6.1 (6.6) | 33 (44) |
|  | Southbound | Left | A (A) | 7.0 (5.8) | 51 (37) |
|  |  | Through | A (A) | 7.0 (5.8) | 51 (37) |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 7.0 (5.8) | 51 (37) |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | A (A) | 6.3 (6.1) | - |

Table 3.11
2045 AM (PM) Design Hour Signalized Intersection LOS/Delay (sec)

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | LOS | Delay (Seconds) | Maximum Queue Length (Feet)* | Proposed \# of Turn Lanes/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM (PM) | AM (PM) | AM (PM) | Storage Length (Feet) |
| Binion Road and Boy Scout Road/ SR 429 Ramps | Eastbound | Left | C (C) | 21.4 (22.5) | 116 (94) | 1/200' |
|  |  | Through | C (C) | 34.8 (34.4) | 137 (126) | - |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 0.9 (2.4) | 90 (96) | 1/200' |
|  | Westbound | Left | C (C) | 33.6 (29.0) | 193 (165) | 1/275 |
|  |  | Through | C (C) | 32.5 (31.7) | 95 (114) | - |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 4.9 (9.7) | 113 (220) | 1/275 |
|  | Northbound | Left | B (B) | 13.8 (10.8) | 176 (108) | 1/275' |
|  |  | Through | C (C) | 34.5 (30.4) | 235 (240) | - |
|  |  | Right | A (A) | 1.0 (4.1) | 92 (141) | 1/275 |
|  | Southbound | Left | B (B) | 16.0 (14.7) | 263 (194) | 1/350' |
|  |  | Through | C (B) | 24.0 (18.7) | 332 (219) | - |
|  |  | Right | C (B) | 24.0 (18.7) | 332 (219) | - |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | $C$ (B) | 20.4 (18.4) | - | - |

[^2]
## CDM Smith

Table 3.12
2045 AM (PM) Design Hour Roundabout Intersection LOS/Delay (sec)

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | LOS | Delay (Seconds) | Maximum Queue Length (Feet) | Proposed \# of Turn Lanes/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM (PM) | AM (PM) | AM (PM) | Storage Length (Feet) |
| Binion Road and Boy Scout Road/ SR 429 Ramps | Eastbound | Left | B (B) | 13.4 (10.3) | 52 (45) | - |
|  |  | Through | B (B) | 13.4 (10.3) | 52 (45) | - |
|  |  | Right | B (B) | 13.4 (10.3) | 52 (45) | 1/200' |
|  | Westbound | Left | B (C) | 13.4 (15.0) | 136 (144) | - |
|  |  | Through | B (C) | 13.4 (15.0) | 136 (144) | - |
|  |  | Right | B (C) | 13.4 (15.0) | 136 (144) | - |
|  | Northbound | Left | C (C) | 15.1 (18.1) | 170 (286) | - |
|  |  | Through | C (C) | 15.1 (18.1) | 170 (286) | - |
|  |  | Right | C (C) | 15.1 (18.1) | 170 (286) | - |
|  | Southbound | Left | C (B) | 22.6 (12.0) | 402 (154) | - |
|  |  | Through | C (B) | 22.6 (12.0) | 402 (154) | - |
|  |  | Right | C (B) | 22.6 (12.0) | 402 (154) | - |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | $C$ (B) | 17.2 (14.6) | - | - |

A $200^{\prime}$ storage is proposed for the eastbound right turn by-pass to ensure the turn lane is not blocked by through movement queue.

## CDMAn Smith

Figure 3.7
Proposed Lane Geometry and Storage Lengths for Signalized Intersection


## CDM Smith

Figure 3.8
Proposed Lane Geometry and Storage Lengths for Roundabout Intersection


The results in Tables 3.9 and 3.10 show that all movements are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS C or better in 2025 for the signalized intersection alternative and LOS A for the roundabout alternative. The overall LOS is B for the signalized intersection and A for the roundabout intersection. In the 2045 design year (Tables 3.11 and 3.12), all movements are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS C or better for both the signalized and roundabout intersection alternatives. The overall LOS for the intersection is also C or better. Although traffic operations are similar for both alternatives, delays are lower for the roundabout when compared to the signal. The roundabout alternative also has fewer conflict points and is deemed safer than the signalized alternative.

### 4.0 Conclusion

The proposed SR 429 and Binion Road partial interchange is being considered to provide new access by adding ramps to and from the north. The Binion Road interchange will provide additional local access between SR 414 and US 441 and allow trips that need to navigate the local street system to access SR 429 to points north including US 441, SR 46, and the Wekiva Parkway. The analysis showed that traffic will primarily be diverted from the Ocoee Apopka Road and US 441 ramps to/from the north of SR 429 to the proposed Binion Road ramps. A small diversion is expected from the SR 414 ramps to/from north and there will be a small amount of induced trips due to the proposed ramps.

Two intersection configurations were developed for the ramp terminal: a signalized and a roundabout intersection. Both intersection alternatives are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS C or better in the 2045 design year. However, the roundabout alternative has fewer conflict points and is deemed safer than the signalized alternative. Both intersection configurations were presented to the City of Apopka's Transportation Planning Department for feedback on a preferred alternative. The City selected the signalized intersection alternative.

## Appendices

## Appendix A

2022 AM and PM Peak Hour Synchro/SimTraffic Reports

|  | 7 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 95 | 141 | 161 | 66 | 189 | 189 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 95 | 141 | 161 | 66 | 189 | 189 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1645 | 0 | 1756 | 0 | 0 | 1783 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.980 |  |  |  |  | 0.976 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1645 | 0 | 1756 | 0 | 0 | 1783 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 256 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 410 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.8\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Binion Road \& Boy Scout Road

| Movement | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | TR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 141 | 41 | 95 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 61 | 1 | 32 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 103 | 11 | 71 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 972 | 966 | 978 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0


Intersection: 4: Binion Road \& Boy Scout Road

| Movement | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | TR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 160 | 22 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 61 | 1 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 106 | 7 | 63 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 972 | 966 | 978 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

## Appendix B

Planning Level T\&R Estimates for SR 429 and Binion Road Interchange Letter Report Travel Demand Modeling Section

## Planning Level T\&R Estimates for SR 429 and Binion Road Interchange

## 1. Travel Demand Modeling

This section contains brief descriptions of the base-year and future-year travel demand models, including an account of model validation and the assumptions used to produce traffic forecasts (socio-economic data forecasts, network improvements and toll rates).

### 1.1. Base-Year Model

CDM Smith used the latest version of the CFX travel demand model with validation year of 2017 and forecast years of 2025 and 2045, updated for application to the T\&R study. CDM Smith started with the regional model used to predict the traffic and revenue growth for the S.R. 414 Expressway Extension PD\&E study, referred to as CFX Model 414 T\&R. This model was created with updates/revisions to the models from previous studies and originally based on the Central Florida Regional Planning Model (CFRPM) v6.1, produced by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District 5. Like its predecessor, the CFX Model 414 T\&R, is a project-specific model of peak-season, average weekday traffic, with a disaggregated zone structure and supporting transportation network in the study area. The base year model was reviewed for use in this study. No additional validation efforts were completed for this planning level study. Documentation for the base year model validation can be found in the Preliminary Level Traffic and Revenue Estimates for S.R. 414 Expressway Extension letter report. For this study a closer evaluation of the area around the proposed interchange was considered, and the project-specific model area is shown in Figure 1-1. The purple outlined areas represent the traffic analysis zones (TAZs) that contain the socioeconomic data within the modeling structure for the study area.

Figure 1-1. Binion Road Study Area in CFX Model 414 T\&R


### 1.2. Future-Year Models

The future-year models start from the base-year CFX Model 414 T\&R and retain all the updates and enhancements created for previous models as well as the model improvements identified in the study area. There are two future year models, one for opening year of 2025 and the second for the horizon year of 2045. Additionally, for each model year, there are three scenario models: No Build, Build No-Toll and Build Toll models. The results for 2035 are interpolated, assuming a proportion of the difference between 2025 and 2045.

### 1.2.1. Socioeconomic Data Forecasts

Independent socioeconomic forecasts of population, employment and school enrollment were developed by Fishkind and Associates (FKA) for the entirety of Orange and Lake Counties for the Lake Orange County Connector (S.R. 516) project which were also incorporated into this project model. These forecasts are documented under separate cover, Lake Orange Connector, Lake and Orange Counties Analysis, 2017 Base Year Analysis and Socioeconomic Data Forecast Analysis (2025, 2035 and 2045), January 31, 2019.

The SE data forecasts for the Binion Road interchange analysis were modified to incorporate the 30 planned and approved developments provided by the City of Apopka, in addition to the SE data set adjustments from the S.R. 414 Expressway Extension T\&R study. In the model, the ZDATA1 file contains the housing and population data and the ZDATA2 file contains the employment and school enrollment data. For the ZDATA1 file, each of the housing developments were located by TAZ and dwelling units were added by category, i.e., single-family or multi-family, and population was calculated using the average person/household in a comparable TAZ. These changes are summarized in the SE data forecasts for Orange County for Year 2025 data set and are contained in Table 1-1. The data in the 2045 data set was reviewed for consistency and negative growth but ultimately not updated for this analysis.

Table 1-1. Comparison of SE Data Sets

| ZDATA1 | Single Family <br> Dwelling Unit | Single Family <br> Population | Multi-Family <br> Dwelling Unit | Multi-Family <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.R. 414 SE <br> Data Set | 354,949 | 902,730 | 249,926 | 478,613 |
| Binion Road <br> SE Data Set | 357,062 | 912,840 | 253,380 | 487,781 |
| Net Increase | 2,113 | 10,110 | 3,454 | 9,168 |
| Percent <br> Change | $0.60 \%$ | $1.12 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ |

As the largest development planned in the City of Apopka, and the one with the greatest impact on this interchange, The Ridge at Lake Bronson development plan employment was estimated based on land use from the Planned Development Master Plan, dated April 26, 2021, and shown in Table 1-2. The employment was calculated using national standards for employment per square foot of 1 employee/ $1,500 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of Industrial uses, 1 employee/500 sq. ft. for Commercial uses, 1 employee $/ 300 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. for Office uses, and 0.15 employees/acre for Civic uses. Employment data is found in the ZDATA2 file of the model, and this was checked against the employment estimates for The Ridge at Lake Bronson development as well as the other developments provided by the City of Apopka. No adjustments were made to the ZDATA2 files for 2025 or 2045 as the employment in these zones were already sufficient to meet employment thresholds from these developments.

Table 1-2. Employment Estimates for The Ridge at Lake Bronson Development

| The Ridge <br> at Lake <br> Bronson <br> Land Uses | INDUSTRIAL <br> Industrial <br> (SF) |  | IND <br> Jobs | Retail <br> (SF) | COM <br> Jobs | Office <br> (SF) | SER <br> Jobs | Civic <br> (acre) | SER <br> Jobs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - | 300,000 | 600 | - | - | - | - | Total |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Square feet from The Ridge PD, Planned Development Master Plan, April 2021.

### 1.2.2. Roadway Improvements

The future year networks from the CFX Model S.R. 414 T\&R were taken directly from the model for use in this study. The future year networks were updated to include the new interchange and connection to Boy Scout Road. These networks already included the transportation improvements identified in the CFX, FDOT and county work programs, as well as the improvements included in the cost feasible plan from Metroplan Orlando's Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) for year 2040. Some 2045 regional network improvements to note include:

- Widening of S.R. 429 (6 lanes) from Seidel Road to S.R. 414
- Completion of I-4 Ultimate Improvement (10 lanes) from S.R. 408 to S.R. 434
- Completion of Wekiva Parkway (4 lanes) from Mt. Plymouth Road to I-4
- Construction of the S.R. 414 Expressway Extension from US 441 to S.R. 434


### 1.2.3. Tolls

The future-year models contain updated toll amounts at CFX toll locations, based on the following procedures. Like the base-year model, the future-year models use the average toll amounts that reflect the combination of vehicle class and payment method. Based on traffic count data, CDM Smith has determined that $6 \%$ of the traffic stream will be trucks of all sizes and used the toll amounts from 4-Axle vehicles to represent the truck toll. The payment method splits used in this analysis were established using the share of revenue by payment method from FY 2021 CFX toll operations data, as shown in Table 1-3.

Table 1-3. FY 2021 Share by Payment Method

| Average | Rev Split |
| :--- | :---: |
| Paid In-Lane | $81.1 \%$ |
| PBP | $18.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

The S.R. 429/Wekiva Parkway, including the Ponkan Mainline, Mt. Plymouth Mainline and Coronado Mainline Plazas, was created with all electronic tolling (AET), i.e., without cash toll collection. Table 1-4 contains a summary of the FY 2021 2-Axle and 4-Axle toll amounts by payment method and the derived average toll amounts. With the Binion Road interchange being in the Forest Lake Plaza group, the rate per mile for this segment of S.R. 429 is $\$ 0.128$ per mile for 2 -axle ETC customers. The Binion Road interchange is approximately 2.3 miles from the zero-point location at US 441 , and at $\$ 0.128$ per mile equates to a $\$ 0.30$ ETC toll for 2-axle vehicles. This is consistent with the S.R. 438/Plant St. interchange at the south end of this plaza group.

Table 1-4. FY 2021 Toll Amounts by Class and Payment Method

| Toll Location | Plaza Group | ETC |  | Cash |  | Pay By Plate |  | Average toll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 Axles | 4 Axles | 2 Axles | 4 Axles | 2 Axles | 4 Axles |  |
| S.R. 438 |  | \$0.30 | \$0.30 | \$0.50 | \$0.50 | \$0.60 | \$0.60 | \$0.36 |
| West Road |  | \$0.87 | \$0.87 | \$1.00 | \$1.00 | \$1.74 | \$1.74 | \$1.04 |
| Forest Lake Main | Forest Lake | \$1.45 | \$2.61 | \$1.75 | \$3.00 | \$2.90 | \$5.22 | \$1.82 |
| C.R. 437A |  | \$0.58 | \$0.58 | \$0.75 | \$0.75 | \$1.16 | \$1.16 | \$0.70 |
| Binion Road |  | \$0.30 | \$0.30 | n/a | n/a | \$0.60 | \$0.60 | \$0.36 |
| Ponkan Main | Ponkan | \$0.83 | \$1.66 | n/a | n/a | \$1.66 | \$3.32 | \$1.05 |
| Mt. Plymouth Main | Mt. Plymouth | \$0.78 | \$1.55 | n/a | n/a | \$1.56 | \$3.10 | \$0.99 |
| Coronado Main | Coronado | \$0.67 | \$1.35 | n/a | n/a | \$1.34 | \$2.70 | \$0.85 |

The final step in determining toll amount for the travel demand model was to escalate the present toll amounts at the CFX floor index rate of 1.5\% per year, per the Customer First Toll Policy. Table 1-5 contains the future average toll rates used in the travel demand model and in the revenue calculations. The shares of traffic by vehicle class and payment type were assumed to be an average of the shares. Finally, the toll amounts were escalated at $1.5 \%$ per year according to CFX policy.

Table 1-5. Average Toll Rates

|  | Average Toll Rate |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | 2025 | 2035 | 2045 |
| Forest Lake Main | $\$ 1.930$ | $\$ 2.240$ | $\$ 2.600$ |
| Binion Road Ramps | $\$ 0.380$ | $\$ 0.440$ | $\$ 0.510$ |
| Ponkan Main | $\$ 1.118$ | $\$ 1.297$ | $\$ 1.505$ |
| Mount Plymouth Main | $\$ 1.049$ | $\$ 1.217$ | $\$ 1.412$ |
| Coronado Main | $\$ 0.903$ | $\$ 1.048$ | $\$ 1.217$ |

### 1.2.4. Other Parameters

CDM Smith has assumed an annual inflation rate of $2.5 \%$. The value of time (VOT) from model validation was established to be $\$ 16.67$ per hour in the validation year. This is consistent with prior models. The models use a parameter known as the Coefficient of Toll (CTOLL) which is the inverse of the value of time. The product of CTOLL and the toll amount is the time penalty from the tolls. Table 1-6 contains the values of VOT and CTOLL used in the base-year and future-year models.

Table 1-6. VOT and CTOLL

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOT | $\$ 16.67$ | $\$ 20.31$ | $\$ 33.27$ |
| CTOLL | 0.060 | 0.049 | 0.030 |

Other model parameters include the following:

- Vehicle operating cost (VOC) = \$0.03 per mile in base year
- For interpolation to 2035 between 2025 and 2045 = 45\% of difference occurs in 2035


## Appendix C

2025 AM and PM Peak Hour Synchro/SimTraffic Reports
2045 AM and PM Peak Hour Synchro/SimTraffic Reports

SR 429 \＆Binion Road Interchange
4：Binion Road \＆SR 429 Ramps／Boy Scout Road

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 10 | 40 | 30 | 100 | 30 | 140 | 50 | 140 | 70 | 180 | 180 | 10 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 10 | 40 | 30 | 100 | 30 | 140 | 50 | 140 | 70 | 180 | 180 | 10 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1812 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.736 |  |  | 0.431 |  |  | 0.632 |  |  | 0.475 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1345 | 1827 | 1553 | 787 | 1827 | 1553 | 1155 | 1827 | 1553 | 868 | 1812 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 279 |  |  | 279 |  |  | 284 |  | 4 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 11 | 42 | 32 | 105 | 32 | 147 | 53 | 147 | 74 | 189 | 200 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | ， |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 14.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 13.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 24.0 | 39.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 10.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 13.8 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 18.9 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 28.0 | 24.8 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.52 | 0.46 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.24 |  |
| Control Delay | 15.9 | 27.6 | 0.3 | 18.7 | 20.9 | 1.1 | 11.1 | 25.3 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 18.3 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 15.9 | 27.6 | 0.3 | 18.7 | 20.9 | 1.1 | 11.1 | 25.3 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 18.3 |  |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 15.8 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 15.9 |  |  | 14.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 53.6
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.36
Intersection Signal Delay： 13.9
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 46．8\％ ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：4：Binion Road \＆SR 429 Ramps／Boy Scout Road


Intersection: 4: Binion Road \& SR 429 Ramps/Boy Scout Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 98 | 52 | 134 | 63 | 68 | 70 | 89 | 66 | 110 | 126 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 28 | 17 | 45 | 16 | 24 | 23 | 39 | 21 | 48 | 45 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 28 | 65 | 41 | 82 | 42 | 44 | 51 | 76 | 51 | 90 | 92 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 966 |  |  | 954 |  |  | 954 |  |  | 953 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 275 |  | 275 | 275 |  | 275 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

SR 429 \＆Binion Road Interchange
4：Binion Road \＆SR 429 Ramps／Boy Scout Road

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 40 | 150 | 30 | 200 | 100 | 150 | 140 | 10 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 40 | 150 | 30 | 200 | 100 | 150 | 140 | 10 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1809 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.730 |  |  | 0.592 |  |  | 0.657 |  |  | 0.506 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1334 | 1827 | 1553 | 1082 | 1827 | 1553 | 1200 | 1827 | 1553 | 924 | 1809 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 201 |  |  | 201 |  |  | 206 |  | 5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 11 | 32 | 53 | 74 | 42 | 158 | 32 | 211 | 105 | 158 | 158 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | ， |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 17.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 9.7 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 21.7 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 28.3 | 27.5 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.53 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.17 |  |
| Control Delay | 16.6 | 26.7 | 0.7 | 18.4 | 21.3 | 4.6 | 9.8 | 21.5 | 0.4 | 9.9 | 14.3 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 16.6 | 26.7 | 0.7 | 18.4 | 21.3 | 4.6 | 9.8 | 21.5 | 0.4 | 9.9 | 14.3 |  |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | A | C | A | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.2 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  | 14.1 |  |  | 12.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 52.1
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.32
Intersection Signal Delay： 12.4
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 45．7\％ ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：4：Binion Road \＆SR 429 Ramps／Boy Scout Road


Intersection: 4: Binion Road \& SR 429 Ramps/Boy Scout Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 | 72 | 74 | 90 | 70 | 65 | 49 | 136 | 45 | 87 | 112 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 23 | 26 | 37 | 18 | 27 | 10 | 48 | 21 | 40 | 32 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 29 | 51 | 51 | 71 | 42 | 50 | 33 | 95 | 36 | 72 | 77 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 966 |  |  | 954 |  |  | 954 |  |  | 953 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 275 |  | 275 | 275 |  | 275 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

SR 429 \＆Binion Road Interchange
4：Binion Road \＆SR 429 Ramps／Boy Scout Road

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | ， | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「7 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 60 | 70 | 80 | 180 | 70 | 210 | 110 | 260 | 130 | 300 | 330 | 50 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 60 | 70 | 80 | 180 | 70 | 210 | 110 | 260 | 130 | 300 | 330 | 50 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1790 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.709 |  |  | 0.508 |  |  | 0.526 |  |  | 0.349 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1295 | 1827 | 1553 | 928 | 1827 | 1553 | 961 | 1827 | 1553 | 638 | 1790 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 279 |  |  | 279 |  |  | 284 |  | 10 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 63 | 74 | 84 | 189 | 74 | 221 | 116 | 274 | 137 | 316 | 400 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 14.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 13.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 24.0 | 39.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.5 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 15.9 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 22.7 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 35.5 | 26.2 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.51 | 0.38 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.63 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.65 | 0.24 | 0.59 | 0.59 |  |
| Control Delay | 21.4 | 34.8 | 0.9 | 33.6 | 32.5 | 4.9 | 13.8 | 34.5 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 24.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 21.4 | 34.8 | 0.9 | 33.6 | 32.5 | 4.9 | 13.8 | 34.5 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 24.0 |  |
| LOS | C | C | A | C | C | A | B | C | A | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 18.1 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 21.2 |  |  | 20.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 69.6
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.65
Intersection Signal Delay： 20.4
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63．3\％
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：4：Binion Road \＆SR 429 Ramps／Boy Scout Road


Intersection: 4: Binion Road \& SR 429 Ramps/Boy Scout Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 116 | 137 | 90 | 193 | 95 | 113 | 176 | 235 | 92 | 263 | 332 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 39 | 48 | 35 | 83 | 37 | 48 | 47 | 104 | 32 | 100 | 113 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 80 | 92 | 63 | 145 | 74 | 88 | 93 | 178 | 60 | 170 | 199 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 966 |  |  | 954 |  |  | 954 |  |  | 953 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 275 |  | 275 | 275 |  | 275 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

SR 429 \＆Binion Road Interchange
4：Binion Road \＆SR 429 Ramps／Boy Scout Road

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ， | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 50 | 70 | 110 | 130 | 70 | 250 | 80 | 360 | 180 | 240 | 250 | 60 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 50 | 70 | 110 | 130 | 70 | 250 | 80 | 360 | 180 | 240 | 250 | 60 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 | 1736 | 1774 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.709 |  |  | 0.640 |  |  | 0.563 |  |  | 0.288 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1295 | 1827 | 1553 | 1169 | 1827 | 1553 | 1029 | 1827 | 1553 | 526 | 1774 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 201 |  |  | 263 |  |  | 206 |  | 17 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 53 | 74 | 116 | 137 | 74 | 263 | 84 | 379 | 189 | 253 | 326 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 17.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.6 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 14.0 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 26.0 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 35.7 | 28.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.41 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.44 |  |
| Control Delay | 22.5 | 34.4 | 2.4 | 29.0 | 31.7 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 30.4 | 4.1 | 14.7 | 18.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 22.5 | 34.4 | 2.4 | 29.0 | 31.7 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 30.4 | 4.1 | 14.7 | 18.7 |  |
| LOS | C | C | A | C | C | A | B | C | A | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.5 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 17.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 68.5
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay： 18.4
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 62．4\％
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：4：Binion Road \＆SR 429 Ramps／Boy Scout Road


Intersection: 4: Binion Road \& SR 429 Ramps/Boy Scout Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 94 | 126 | 96 | 165 | 114 | 220 | 108 | 240 | 141 | 194 | 219 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 30 | 45 | 40 | 69 | 36 | 64 | 35 | 125 | 32 | 80 | 85 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 66 | 86 | 71 | 123 | 74 | 125 | 73 | 214 | 64 | 138 | 161 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 966 |  |  | 954 |  |  | 954 |  |  | 953 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 275 |  | 275 | 275 |  | 275 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

## Appendix D

2025 AM and PM Peak Hour SIDRA Reports 2045 AM and PM Peak Hour SIDRA Reports

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\square$ Site: 1 [RA 1-lane (Site Folder: 2025 AM Build)]

SR 429/Binion Road Interchange
2025 AM Build
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JT } \\ \text { MES } \\ \text { HV ] } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { VS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CK OF } \\ \text { UE } \\ \text { Dist ] } \\ \text { ft } \end{gathered}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate |  | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 50 | 4.0 | 53 | 4.0 | 0.267 | 6.1 | LOSA | 1.3 | 33.3 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 28.8 |
| 8 T1 | 140 | 4.0 | 147 | 4.0 | 0.267 | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.3 | 33.3 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 28.7 |
| 18 R2 | 70 | 4.0 | 74 | 4.0 | 0.267 | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.3 | 33.3 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 27.7 |
| Approach | 260 | 4.0 | 274 | 4.0 | 0.267 | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.3 | 33.3 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 28.5 |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 100 | 4.0 | 105 | 4.0 | 0.268 | 6.0 | LOS A | 1.3 | 34.0 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 28.4 |
| 6 T1 | 30 | 4.0 | 32 | 4.0 | 0.268 | 6.0 | LOSA | 1.3 | 34.0 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 28.3 |
| 16 R2 | 140 | 4.0 | 147 | 4.0 | 0.268 | 6.0 | LOSA | 1.3 | 34.0 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 27.3 |
| Approach | 270 | 4.0 | 284 | 4.0 | 0.268 | 6.0 | LOS A | 1.3 | 34.0 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 27.8 |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 180 | 4.0 | 189 | 4.0 | 0.359 | 7.0 | LOS A | 2.0 | 50.5 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 27.6 |
| 4 T1 | 180 | 4.0 | 189 | 4.0 | 0.359 | 7.0 | LOSA | 2.0 | 50.5 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 27.6 |
| 14 R2 | 10 | 4.0 | 11 | 4.0 | 0.359 | 7.0 | LOSA | 2.0 | 50.5 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 26.6 |
| Approach | 370 | 4.0 | 389 | 4.0 | 0.359 | 7.0 | LOS A | 2.0 | 50.5 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 27.6 |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 10 | 4.0 | 11 | 4.0 | 0.106 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.9 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 29.3 |
| 2 T1 | 40 | 4.0 | 42 | 4.0 | 0.106 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.9 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 29.2 |
| 12 R 2 | 30 | 4.0 | 32 | 4.0 | 0.106 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.9 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 28.2 |
| Approach | 80 | 4.0 | 84 | 4.0 | 0.106 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.9 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 28.8 |
| All Vehicles | 980 | 4.0 | 1032 | 4.0 | 0.359 | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.0 | 50.5 | 0.45 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 28.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.
Delay Model: HCM Delay Formula (Geometric Delay is not included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## QUEUE ANALYSIS

Site: 1 [RA 1-lane (Site Folder: 2025 AM Build)]
SR 429/Binion Road Interchange
2025 AM Build
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Lane Queues (Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane <br> Number Contin. <br> Lane <br>   |  | Prog. Factor Queue) | Overflow Queue (ft) | Back of Queue <br> (ft) |  | Queue at Start of Green <br> (ft) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Cycle Average Queue (ft) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Queue Storage Ratio |  | Prob. Prob. Ov. Block. SL Ov. Lane No.$\qquad$ |  |  |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.267 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 33.3 | NA | NA | 12.0 | 21.7 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.267 |  |  | 13.4 | 33.3 | NA | NA | 12.0 | 21.7 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.268 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 34.0 | NA | NA | 12.2 | 22.0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.268 |  |  | 13.7 | 34.0 | NA | NA | 12.2 | 22.0 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.359 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 50.5 | NA | NA | 19.4 | 35.2 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.359 |  |  | 20.3 | 50.5 | NA | NA | 19.4 | 35.2 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.106 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 10.9 | NA | NA | 3.4 | 6.1 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.106 |  |  | 4.4 | 10.9 | NA | NA | 3.4 | 6.1 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 0.359 |  |  | 20.3 | 50.5 | NA | NA | 19.4 | 35.2 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |

Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

| Lane Queues (Vehicles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane <br> Number Contin. <br> Lane <br>   |  |  |  | Back of Queue (veh) |  | Queue at Start of Green (veh) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Cycle Average Queue (veh) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Queue Storage Ratio <br> Av. $95 \%$ |  | Prob. Prob. Ov. Block. SL Ov. Lane No.$\qquad$ |  |  |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.267 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | NA | NA | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.267 |  |  | 0.5 | 1.3 | NA | NA | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.268 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | NA | NA | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.268 |  |  | 0.5 | 1.3 | NA | NA | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.359 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 | NA | NA | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.359 |  |  | 0.8 | 2.0 | NA | NA | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.106 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | NA | NA | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.106 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | NA | NA | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 0.359 |  |  | 0.8 | 2.0 | NA | NA | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |

## Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

| Continuous Lane Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Number | Deg. Satn v/c | Unint. Speed <br> mph | Unint. <br> Travel <br> Delay <br> sec | Hdwy <br> sec | ft | Aver. Vehicle Length | Occup. Time sec | Space Time | Space Occup. Ratio \% |  |  | sity pc/mi | LOS <br> (Density Method) |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Midblock Effective Detection Zone Length $=7 \mathrm{ft}$
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\square$ Site: 1 [RA 1-lane (Site Folder: 2025 PM Build)]

SR 429/Binion Road Interchange
2025 PM Build
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INF } \\ & \text { vOL } \\ & \text { [ Total } \\ & \text { veh/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEN } \\ \text { FL( } \\ \text { [ Total } \\ \text { veh/h } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { VS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \text { B } \\ \text { QL } \\ \text { [ Veh. } \\ \text { veh } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CK OF } \\ & \text { UE } \\ & \text { Dist ] } \\ & \text { ft } \end{aligned}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 30 | 4.0 | 32 | 4.0 | 0.324 | 6.6 | LOS A | 1.7 | 43.6 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 28.8 |
| 8 T1 | 200 | 4.0 | 211 | 4.0 | 0.324 | 6.6 | LOS A | 1.7 | 43.6 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 28.7 |
| 18 R2 | 100 | 4.0 | 105 | 4.0 | 0.324 | 6.6 | LOSA | 1.7 | 43.6 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 27.7 |
| Approach | 330 | 4.0 | 347 | 4.0 | 0.324 | 6.6 | LOS A | 1.7 | 43.6 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 28.4 |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 70 | 4.0 | 74 | 4.0 | 0.270 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 33.7 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 28.5 |
| 6 T1 | 40 | 4.0 | 42 | 4.0 | 0.270 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 33.7 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 28.4 |
| 16 R2 | 150 | 4.0 | 158 | 4.0 | 0.270 | 6.2 | LOSA | 1.3 | 33.7 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 27.4 |
| Approach | 260 | 4.0 | 274 | 4.0 | 0.270 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 33.7 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 27.9 |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 150 | 4.0 | 158 | 4.0 | 0.278 | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.7 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 28.2 |
| 4 T1 | 140 | 4.0 | 147 | 4.0 | 0.278 | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.7 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 28.1 |
| 14 R2 | 10 | 4.0 | 11 | 4.0 | 0.278 | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.7 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 27.2 |
| Approach | 300 | 4.0 | 316 | 4.0 | 0.278 | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.7 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 28.2 |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 10 | 4.0 | 11 | 4.0 | 0.107 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 29.6 |
| 2 T1 | 30 | 4.0 | 32 | 4.0 | 0.107 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 29.5 |
| 12 R2 | 50 | 4.0 | 53 | 4.0 | 0.107 | 5.1 | LOSA | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 28.5 |
| Approach | 90 | 4.0 | 95 | 4.0 | 0.107 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 28.9 |
| All Vehicles | 980 | 4.0 | 1032 | 4.0 | 0.324 |  | LOS A | 1.7 | 43.6 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 28.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.
Delay Model: HCM Delay Formula (Geometric Delay is not included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## QUEUE ANALYSIS

$\square$ Site: 1 [RA 1-lane (Site Folder: 2025 PM Build)]
SR 429/Binion Road Interchange
2025 PM Build
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Lane Queues (Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane <br> Number Contin. <br> Lane <br>   |  | Prog. Factor Queue) | Overflow Queue (ft) | Back of Queue <br> (ft) |  | Queue at Start of Green (ft) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Cycle Average Queue (ft) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Queue Storage Ratio |  | Prob. Prob. Ov. Block. SL Ov. Lane No.$\qquad$ |  |  |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.324 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 43.6 | NA | NA | 16.4 | 29.7 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.324 |  |  | 17.6 | 43.6 | NA | NA | 16.4 | 29.7 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.270 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 33.7 | NA | NA | 12.2 | 22.1 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.270 |  |  | 13.5 | 33.7 | NA | NA | 12.2 | 22.1 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.278 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 36.7 | NA | NA | 13.1 | 23.7 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.278 |  |  | 14.8 | 36.7 | NA | NA | 13.1 | 23.7 | 0.01 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.107 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 11.2 | NA | NA | 3.4 | 6.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.107 |  |  | 4.5 | 11.2 | NA | NA | 3.4 | 6.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 0.324 |  |  | 17.6 | 43.6 | NA | NA | 16.4 | 29.7 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |  |

Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

| Lane Queues (Vehicles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane <br> Number Contin. <br> Lane <br>   | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c |  |  | Back of Queue (veh) |  | Queue at Start of Green (veh) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Cycle Average Queue (veh) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Queue Storage Ratio |  | Prob. Prob. Ov. Block. SL Ov. Lane No.$\qquad$ |  |  |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.324 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | NA | NA | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.324 |  |  | 0.7 | 1.7 | NA | NA | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.270 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | NA | NA | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.270 |  |  | 0.5 | 1.3 | NA | NA | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.278 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | NA | NA | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.278 |  |  | 0.6 | 1.4 | NA | NA | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.107 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | NA | NA | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.107 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | NA | NA | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 0.324 |  |  | 0.7 | 1.7 | NA | NA | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |  |

## Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

| Continuous Lane Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Number | Deg. Satn v/c | Unint. Speed <br> mph | Unint. <br> Travel <br> Delay <br> sec | Hdwy <br> sec | ft | Aver. Vehicle Length | Occup. Time sec | Space Time | Space Occup. Ratio \% |  |  | sity pc/mi | LOS <br> (Density Method) |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Midblock Effective Detection Zone Length $=7 \mathrm{ft}$
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\square$ Site: 1 [RA 1-lane (Site Folder: 2045 AM Build)]

SR 429/Binion Road Interchange
2045 AM Build
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INF } \\ & \text { vOL } \\ & \text { [ Total } \\ & \text { veh/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEN } \\ \text { FL( } \\ \text { [ Total } \\ \text { veh/h } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { VS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \text { B } \\ \text { QU } \\ \text { [ Veh. } \\ \text { veh } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CK OF } \\ & \text { UUE } \\ & \text { Dist ] } \\ & \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 110 | 4.0 | 116 | 4.0 | 0.641 | 15.1 | LOS C | 6.6 | 170.3 | 0.79 | 1.03 | 1.34 | 24.4 |
| 8 T1 | 260 | 4.0 | 274 | 4.0 | 0.641 | 15.1 | LOS C | 6.6 | 170.3 | 0.79 | 1.03 | 1.34 | 24.3 |
| 18 R2 | 130 | 4.0 | 137 | 4.0 | 0.641 | 15.1 | LOS C | 6.6 | 170.3 | 0.79 | 1.03 | 1.34 | 23.6 |
| Approach | 500 | 4.0 | 526 | 4.0 | 0.641 | 15.1 | LOS C | 6.6 | 170.3 | 0.79 | 1.03 | 1.34 | 24.1 |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 180 | 4.0 | 189 | 4.0 | 0.590 | 13.4 | LOS B | 5.3 | 136.2 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 1.18 | 24.7 |
| 6 T1 | 70 | 4.0 | 74 | 4.0 | 0.590 | 13.4 | LOS B | 5.3 | 136.2 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 1.18 | 24.6 |
| 16 R2 | 210 | 4.0 | 221 | 4.0 | 0.590 | 13.4 | LOS B | 5.3 | 136.2 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 1.18 | 23.9 |
| Approach | 460 | 4.0 | 484 | 4.0 | 0.590 | 13.4 | LOS B | 5.3 | 136.2 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 1.18 | 24.3 |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 300 | 4.0 | 316 | 4.0 | 0.806 | 22.6 | LOS C | 15.6 | 401.9 | 0.93 | 1.41 | 2.04 | 21.4 |
| 4 T1 | 330 | 4.0 | 347 | 4.0 | 0.806 | 22.6 | LOS C | 15.6 | 401.9 | 0.93 | 1.41 | 2.04 | 21.3 |
| 14 R2 | 50 | 4.0 | 53 | 4.0 | 0.806 | 22.6 | LOS C | 15.6 | 401.9 | 0.93 | 1.41 | 2.04 | 20.8 |
| Approach | 680 | 4.0 | 716 | 4.0 | 0.806 | 22.6 | LOS C | 15.6 | 401.9 | 0.93 | 1.41 | 2.04 | 21.3 |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 60 | 4.0 | 63 | 4.0 | 0.412 | 13.4 | LOS B | 2.0 | 52.1 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.99 | 25.0 |
| 2 T1 | 70 | 4.0 | 74 | 4.0 | 0.412 | 13.4 | LOS B | 2.0 | 52.1 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.99 | 24.9 |
| 12 R2 | 80 | 4.0 | 84 | 4.0 | 0.412 | 13.4 | LOS B | 2.0 | 52.1 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.99 | 24.1 |
| Approach | 210 | 4.0 | 221 | 4.0 | 0.412 | 13.4 | LOS B | 2.0 | 52.1 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.99 | 24.6 |
| All Vehicles | 1850 | 4.0 | 1947 | 4.0 | 0.806 | 17.2 | LOS C | 15.6 | 401.9 | 0.83 | 1.12 | 1.52 | 23.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.
Delay Model: HCM Delay Formula (Geometric Delay is not included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## QUEUE ANALYSIS

Site: 1 [RA 1-lane (Site Folder: 2045 AM Build)]
SR 429/Binion Road Interchange
2045 AM Build
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Lane Queues (Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane <br> Number Contin. <br> Lane <br>   |  | Prog. Factor Queue) | Overflow Queue (ft) | Back of Queue <br> (ft) |  | Queue at Start of Green (ft) Av. $95 \%$ |  | Cycle Average Queue (ft) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Queue Storage Ratio |  | Prob. Prob. Ov. Block. SL Ov. Lane No.$\qquad$ |  |  |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.641 | 1.000 | 21.6 | 68.5 | 170.3 | NA | NA | 56.9 | 103.2 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.641 |  |  | 68.5 | 170.3 | NA | NA | 56.9 | 103.2 | 0.07 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.590 | 1.000 | 15.4 | 54.8 | 136.2 | NA | NA | 46.6 | 84.6 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.590 |  |  | 54.8 | 136.2 | NA | NA | 46.6 | 84.6 | 0.05 | 0.14 |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.806 | 1.000 | 64.6 | 161.7 | 401.9 | NA | NA | 115.8 | 210.0 | 0.16 | 0.40 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.806 |  |  | 161.7 | 401.9 | NA | NA | 115.8 | 210.0 | 0.16 | 0.40 |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.412 | 1.000 | 3.9 | 21.0 | 52.1 | NA | NA | 21.2 | 38.4 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.412 |  |  | 21.0 | 52.1 | NA | NA | 21.2 | 38.4 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 0.806 |  |  | 161.7 | 401.9 | NA | NA | 115.8 | 210.0 | 0.16 | 0.40 |  |  |  |

Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

| Lane Queues (Vehicles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane <br> Number Contin. <br> Lane <br>   | Deg. Satn v/c | Prog. OverflowFactor Queue(Queue) (veh) |  | Back of Queue (veh) |  | Queue at Start of Green (veh) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Cycle Average Queue (veh) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Queue Storage Ratio <br> Av. $95 \%$ |  | Prob. Prob. Ov. Block. SL Ov. Lane No.$\qquad$ |  |  |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.641 | 1.000 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 6.6 | NA | NA | 2.2 | 4.0 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.641 |  |  | 2.7 | 6.6 | NA | NA | 2.2 | 4.0 | 0.07 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.590 | 1.000 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 5.3 | NA | NA | 1.8 | 3.3 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.590 |  |  | 2.1 | 5.3 | NA | NA | 1.8 | 3.3 | 0.05 | 0.14 |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.806 | 1.000 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 15.6 | NA | NA | 4.5 | 8.1 | 0.16 | 0.40 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.806 |  |  | 6.3 | 15.6 | NA | NA | 4.5 | 8.1 | 0.16 | 0.40 |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.412 | 1.000 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 2.0 | NA | NA | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.412 |  |  | 0.8 | 2.0 | NA | NA | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 0.806 |  |  | 6.3 | 15.6 | NA | NA | 4.5 | 8.1 | 0.16 | 0.40 |  |  |  |

## Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

| Continuous Lane Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Number | Deg. Satn v/c | Unint. Speed <br> mph | Unint. <br> Travel <br> Delay <br> sec | Hdwy <br> sec | ft | Aver. Vehicle Length | Occup. Time sec | Space Time | Space Occup. Ratio \% |  |  | sity pc/mi | LOS <br> (Density Method) |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Midblock Effective Detection Zone Length $=7 \mathrm{ft}$
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\square$ Site: 1 [RA 1-lane (Site Folder: 2045 PM Build)]

SR 429/Binion Road Interchange
2045 PM Build
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { INF } \\ \text { VOLl } \\ \text { [ Total } \\ \text { veh/h } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEN } \\ \text { FLC } \\ \text { [ Total } \\ \text { veh/h } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { VS } \\ & \mathrm{HV} \text { ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% B <br> QU <br> [ Veh. veh | CK OF UE Dist ] ft | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed mph |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 80 | 4.0 | 84 | 4.0 | 0.735 | 18.1 | LOS C | 11.1 | 285.8 | 0.85 | 1.18 | 1.64 | 23.4 |
| 8 T1 | 360 | 4.0 | 379 | 4.0 | 0.735 | 18.1 | LOS C | 11.1 | 285.8 | 0.85 | 1.18 | 1.64 | 23.3 |
| 18 R2 | 180 | 4.0 | 189 | 4.0 | 0.735 | 18.1 | LOS C | 11.1 | 285.8 | 0.85 | 1.18 | 1.64 | 22.6 |
| Approach | 620 | 4.0 | 653 | 4.0 | 0.735 | 18.1 | LOS C | 11.1 | 285.8 | 0.85 | 1.18 | 1.64 | 23.1 |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 130 | 4.0 | 137 | 4.0 | 0.617 | 15.0 | LOS C | 5.6 | 144.2 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 24.2 |
| 6 T1 | 70 | 4.0 | 74 | 4.0 | 0.617 | 15.0 | LOS C | 5.6 | 144.2 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 24.1 |
| 16 R2 | 250 | 4.0 | 263 | 4.0 | 0.617 | 15.0 | LOS C | 5.6 | 144.2 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 23.4 |
| Approach | 450 | 4.0 | 474 | 4.0 | 0.617 | 15.0 | LOS C | 5.6 | 144.2 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 23.8 |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 240 | 4.0 | 253 | 4.0 | 0.596 | 12.0 | LOS B | 6.0 | 154.1 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.95 | 25.3 |
| 4 T1 | 250 | 4.0 | 263 | 4.0 | 0.596 | 12.0 | LOS B | 6.0 | 154.1 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.95 | 25.2 |
| 14 R2 | 60 | 4.0 | 63 | 4.0 | 0.596 | 12.0 | LOS B | 6.0 | 154.1 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.95 | 24.5 |
| Approach | 550 | 4.0 | 579 | 4.0 | 0.596 | 12.0 | LOS B | 6.0 | 154.1 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.95 | 25.2 |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 50 | 4.0 | 53 | 4.0 | 0.365 | 10.3 | LOS B | 1.7 | 45.1 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.79 | 26.5 |
| 2 T1 | 70 | 4.0 | 74 | 4.0 | 0.365 | 10.3 | LOS B | 1.7 | 45.1 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.79 | 26.4 |
| 12 R 2 | 110 | 4.0 | 116 | 4.0 | 0.365 | 10.3 | LOS B | 1.7 | 45.1 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.79 | 25.5 |
| Approach | 230 | 4.0 | 242 | 4.0 | 0.365 | 10.3 | LOS B | 1.7 | 45.1 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.79 | 26.0 |
| All <br> Vehicles | 1850 | 4.0 | 1947 | 4.0 | 0.735 | 14.6 | LOS B | 11.1 | 285.8 | 0.77 | 0.94 | 1.25 | 24.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.
Delay Model: HCM Delay Formula (Geometric Delay is not included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## QUEUE ANALYSIS

- Site: 1 [RA 1-lane (Site Folder: 2045 PM Build)]

SR 429/Binion Road Interchange
2045 PM Build
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Lane Queues (Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane <br> Number Contin. <br> Lane <br>   |  | Prog. Factor Queue) | Overflow Queue (ft) | Back of Queue <br> (ft) |  | Queue at Start of Green (ft) Av. $95 \%$ |  | Cycle Average Queue (ft) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Queue Storage Ratio |  | Prob. Prob. Ov. Block. SL Ov. Lane No.$\qquad$ |  |  |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.735 | 1.000 | 41.0 | 115.0 | 285.8 | NA | NA | 84.6 | 153.5 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.735 |  |  | 115.0 | 285.8 | NA | NA | 84.6 | 153.5 | 0.11 | 0.29 |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.617 | 1.000 | 18.0 | 58.0 | 144.2 | NA | NA | 51.0 | 92.5 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.617 |  |  | 58.0 | 144.2 | NA | NA | 51.0 | 92.5 | 0.06 | 0.14 |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.596 | 1.000 | 13.8 | 62.0 | 154.1 | NA | NA | 49.9 | 90.4 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.596 |  |  | 62.0 | 154.1 | NA | NA | 49.9 | 90.4 | 0.06 | 0.15 |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.365 | 1.000 | 1.7 | 18.2 | 45.1 | NA | NA | 17.9 | 32.5 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.365 |  |  | 18.2 | 45.1 | NA | NA | 17.9 | 32.5 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 0.735 |  |  | 115.0 | 285.8 | NA | NA | 84.6 | 153.5 | 0.11 | 0.29 |  |  |  |

Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

| Lane Queues (Vehicles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane <br> Number Contin. <br> Lane <br>   | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c |  |  | Back of Queue (veh) |  | Queue at Start of Green (veh) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Cycle Average Queue (veh) <br> Av. 95\% |  | Queue Storage Ratio |  | Prob. Prob. Ov. Block. SL Ov. Lane No.$\qquad$ |  |  |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.735 | 1.000 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 11.1 | NA | NA | 3.3 | 5.9 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.735 |  |  | 4.5 | 11.1 | NA | NA | 3.3 | 5.9 | 0.11 | 0.29 |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.617 | 1.000 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 5.6 | NA | NA | 2.0 | 3.6 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.617 |  |  | 2.2 | 5.6 | NA | NA | 2.0 | 3.6 | 0.06 | 0.14 |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.596 | 1.000 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 6.0 | NA | NA | 1.9 | 3.5 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.596 |  |  | 2.4 | 6.0 | NA | NA | 1.9 | 3.5 | 0.06 | 0.15 |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 0.365 | 1.000 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | NA | NA | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Approach | 0.365 |  |  | 0.7 | 1.7 | NA | NA | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 0.735 |  |  | 4.5 | 11.1 | NA | NA | 3.3 | 5.9 | 0.11 | 0.29 |  |  |  |

## Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

| Continuous Lane Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Number | Deg. Satn v/c | Unint. Speed <br> mph | Unint. <br> Travel <br> Delay <br> sec | Hdwy <br> sec | ft | Aver. Vehicle Length | Occup. Time sec | Space Time | Space Occup. Ratio \% |  |  | sity pc/mi | LOS <br> (Density Method) |
| South: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East: Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North: Binion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West: Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This approach does not have any continuous lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Midblock Effective Detection Zone Length $=7 \mathrm{ft}$
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[^0]:    Values in purple indicate peak hour directional volumes

[^1]:    Values in purple indicate peak hour directional volumes

[^2]:    *SimTraffic maximum queue length

