## APPENDIX A - CORRIDOR REPORT ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS RESULTS

## Alternatives Evaluation

The final evaluation of the various corridor alternatives for the proposed SR 408 Eastern Extension involved essentially a multi-objective/multi-attribute decision making process. The establishment of the relative importance of each objective/criteria was critical in order to ultimately choose the most efficient or "best" corridor alternative. This process involved decisions which must make trade-offs between different and often conflicting objectives/criteria. The core decision making tool utilized during the evaluation was the Analytic Hierarchical Process (AHP). This process was developed by Thomas J. Saaty for decision analysis of complex subjective problems involving a large number of criteria. This appendix documents the application of the AHP computer decision making software used to determine the recommended corridor alternative for the proposed project. Study participants started by addressing pertinent issues such as setting priorities, subsequently establishing criteria and criteria weights, and finally by evaluating the various alternatives for the proposed project improvements. Figure A-1 illustrates the methodology utilized in the evaluation of the corridor alternatives for the proposed project.

## Evaluation Methodology

The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) method is based on the breakdown of each problem into a system of stratified levels or hierarchies where each level consists of criteria or objectives to be compared. Each of the criteria or objectives in a level is further broken down in subsequent levels into sub-criteria or objectives that are easier to quantify. The relative importance or priority for all the criteria in a given level is then established through a sequence of pair-wise comparisons which will ultimately lead to the derivation of priorities (i.e., weights or importance) for each criterion as well as the determination of the recommended corridor alternative. Pair-wise comparisons have been technically proven to be more reliable in eliciting human judgment than directly assigning weights. Once the hierarchy was established and agreed upon, a questionnaire was developed based on pair-wise comparisons of the established


Figure A-1 - Evaluation Methodology Flowchart

Figure A-1 criteria. It should be noted that even though project questionnaires are often utilized by participants to establish the importance, priority or weight of each criterion, in our case the panel participants agreed to adopt the weights previously established during the previous evaluation phase (see values at top of Table 3 \& Figure 5). However, a questionnaire was developed to compare each of the four (4) corridor alternatives based on each parameter comprising the criteria. After the questionnaires were completed, the data was input into the computer program.

## Evaluation Results

The AHP computer application was performed with a group consensus results obtained by aggregating the responses of all participants and applying the group median method. The group median judgments and preferences were then incorporated into the AHP computer program. The AHP computer application results are included at the end of this appendix and Table A-1 provides a brief explanation of the included outputs. A thorough sensitivity analysis of the results was conducted after finding the recommended roadway alternative as selected by the participants of the study through the execution of the program. The analysis included the investigation of sensitive criterion or criteria within the results. The AHP software also includes a sensitivity analysis feature. This feature investigates the effect of the ranking of the recommended roadway alternative if criteria take on other possible values. The sensitivity analysis identifies the relatively sensitive criteria (i.e., those that can not be changed much without changing the ranking of the top roadway alternative) to try to estimate these more closely, and then to select a solution which remains a good one over the ranges of likely values of the sensitive parameters. Usually there will be some criteria that can be assigned any reasonable value without affecting the ranking of the recommended alternative. However, there may also be criteria with likely values that would yield a new ranking of the recommended alternative.

| Page No. | Table A-1 <br> Contents |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 to 2 | Weight assignment for all Primary \& Secondary objectives and Final Computed results for both competing alternatives |
| 3 | Weight Assignment graph for Primary Objectives |
| 4 | Weight Assignment graph for Engineering Impacts |
| 5 to 7 | Computed alternative results with respect to secondary objectives of traffic congestion/safety, traffic accommodated, and connectivity |
| 8 | Weight Assignment graph for Environmental Impacts |
| 9 to 12 | Computed alternative results with respect to secondary objectives of SJRWMD Regulatory Easement impacts, wetland impacts, wildlife and habitat, and outstanding Florida waterway impacts |
| 13 | Weight Assignment graph for Socio-Economic Impacts |
| 14 to 15 | Computed alternative results with respect to secondary objectives of Community Cohesion and controversy potential |
| 16 | Weight Assignment graph for Cost Objectives |
| 17 \& 18 | Computed alternative results with respect to secondary objectives of construction/engineering/administration and legal, and wetland mitigation |
| 18 to 19 | Synthesis of computed alternative results |

Model Name: Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluation RD version

Treeview

```
Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluation
        Engineering Impacts (L: .330)
        Traffic Congestion/Safety (L: .364)
        Traffic Accommodated (L: .334)
        Connectivity (L: .303)
        Environmental Impacts (L: .260)
            SJRWMD Regulatory Easements (L: .307)
            Wetland Impacts (L: .231)
            Wildlife and Habitat (L: .231)
            Outstanding Florida Waterway Impacts (L: .231)
```

        Socio-Economic Impacts (L: .231)
            Community Cohesion (L: .565)
            Controvery Potential (L: .435)
        Cost (L: .180)
            Construction (L: .444)
            R/W \& Mitigation (L: .556)
    Cluster view

| Engineer | Environm | Socio-Ec | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic | SJRWMD R | Communit | Construc |
| Traffic | Wetland | Controve | R/W \& Mi |
| Connecti | Wildlife |  |  |
|  | Outstand |  |  |

Alternatives

| Corridor 4 | .677 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corridor 4-2 | .226 |
| Corridor 5-4 | .097 |

Data Grid

|  | Pairwise | Pairwise | Pairwise | Pairwise | Pairwise | Pairwise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Engineer Traffic Congestion (L: .364) | Engineer Traffic Accommodi (L: .334) | Engineer Connectivit (L: .303) | Environm SJRWMD Regulatory Easements (L: .307) | Environm Wetland Impacts (L: .231) | Environm Wild life and Habitat (L: .231) |
| $\checkmark$ Corridor 4 | . 35 | . 35 | 1.00 | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 |
| $\checkmark$ Corridor 4-2 | . 12 | . 12 | . 50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $\checkmark$ Corridor 5-4 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 50 |


|  | Pairwise | Pairwise | Pairwise | Pairwise | Pairwise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Environm <br> Outstandin <br> Florida <br> Waterway <br> Impacts <br> (L: .231) | Socio-Ec Community Cohesion (L: .565) | Socio-Ec <br> Controvery <br> Potential <br> (L: .435) | Cost Constructio (L: .444) | Cost <br>  <br> Mitigation <br> (L: .556) |
| $\checkmark$ Corridor 4 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 33 | 1.00 |
| $\checkmark$ Corridor 4-2 | 1.00 | . 33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 33 |
| $\checkmark$ Corridor 5-4 | . 33 | . 14 | . 14 | . 67 | . 14 |

Priority Graphs

Priorities with respect to:
Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evalu...

| Engineering Impacts | .330 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Environmental Impacts | .260 |
| Socio-Economic Impacts | .231 |
| Cost | .180 |
| $\quad$ Inconsistency $=0.00$ |  |
| $\quad$ with 0 missing judgments. |  |

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluatio

>Engineering Impacts

Traffic Congestion/Safety Traffic Accommodated . 364 Connectivity

Inconsistency $\mathbf{= 0 . 0 0}$
with 0 missing judgments.

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati

>Engineering Impacts >Traffic Congestion/Safety

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4

## . 236 <br> .082

Inconsistency $=\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati <br> >Engineering Impacts $>$ Traffic Accommodated

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4

## .236 <br> .082 <br> . 682

Inconsistency $\mathbf{=} \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati <br> >Engineering Impacts >Connectivity

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4

. 545
. 273
. 182

Inconsistency $\mathbf{=} \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluation

>Environmental Impacts

## SJRWMD Regulatory Easements

Wetland Impacts
Wild life and Habitat
Outstanding Florida Waterway Impacts
.307
.231
.231
.231

Inconsistency $\mathbf{= 0 . 0 0}$
with 0 missing judgments.

Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati

>Environmental Impacts >SJRWMD Regulatory Easeme...

## Corridor 4

Corridor 4-2
Corridor 5-4
. 200
.400

Inconsistency = 0.00
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati

>Environmental Impacts
>Wetland Impacts

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4 <br> . 200 <br> .400

Inconsistency $=\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati

>Environmental Impacts
$>$ Wildlife and Habitat

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4



Inconsistency $=\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati

>Environmental Impacts
>Outstanding Florida Waterw...

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4

.429
. 429
. 143

Inconsistency = 0.00
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati

>Socio-Economic Impacts

| Community Cohesion | .565 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Controvery Potential | .435 |
| $\quad$ Inconsistency $=0.00$ |  |
| with 0 missing judgments. |  |

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati <br> >Socio-Economic Impacts >Community Cohesion

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4

. 677
. 226
.097
Inconsistency $=\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati

>Socio-Economic Impacts >Controvery Potential

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4

.467
. 467

Inconsistency = 0.00
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati

 $>$ CostConstruction<br>R/W \& Mitigation<br>Inconsistency $=\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$<br>with 0 missing judgments.

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluati <br> $>$ Cost <br> >Construction

## Corridor 4 <br> Corridor 4-2 <br> Corridor 5-4

. 167
.500

Inconsistency $=\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
with $\mathbf{0}$ missing judgments.

## Priorities with respect to:

## Pre-Final Alternative Corridor Evaluat <br> $>$ Cost <br> >R/W \& Mitigation

## Corridor 4

Corridor 4-2
Corridor 5-4
Inconsistency = 0.00 with 0 missing judgments.


Synthesis: Details

| Alts | Level 1 | Level 2 | Prty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ... |  |  | 0.378 |
| Corrido... | Total Cost (L: .180) |  | 0.069 |
|  | Cost (L: .180) | Construct... | . 01447 |
|  |  | R/W \& Mi... | . 05427 |
|  | Total Engineering Impacts (L: .330) |  | 0.097 |
|  | Engineering Impacts (L: .330) | Traffic Co... | . 02253 |
|  |  | Traffic Ac... | . 02067 |
|  |  | Connectiv... | . 05416 |
|  | Total Environmental Impacts (L: .260) |  | 0.087 |
|  | Environmental Impacts (L: .260) | SJRWMD... | . 02162 |
|  |  | Wetland I... | . 01625 |
|  |  | Wild life a... | . 01625 |
|  |  | Outstandi... | . 03251 |
|  | Total Socio-Economic Impacts (L: .231) |  | 0.125 |
|  | Socio-Economic Impacts (L: .231) | Communi... | . 07066 |
|  |  | Controver... | . 05435 |
| Total ... |  |  | 0.322 |
| Corrido... | Total Cost (L: .180) |  | 0.062 |
|  | Cost (L: .180) | Construct... | . 04342 |
|  |  | R/W \& Mi... | . 01809 |
|  | Total Engineering Impacts (L: .330) |  | 0.042 |
|  | Engineering Impacts (L: .330) | Traffic Co... | . 00781 |
|  |  | Traffic Ac... | . 00717 |
|  |  | Connectiv... | . 02708 |
|  | Total Environmental Impacts (L: .260) |  | 0.141 |
|  | Environmental Impacts (L: .260) | SJRWMD... | . 04323 |
|  |  | Wetland I... | . 03251 |
|  |  | Wild life a... | . 03251 |
|  |  | Outstandi... | . 03251 |
|  | Total Socio-Economic Impacts (L: .231) |  | 0.078 |
|  | Socio-Economic Impacts (L: .231) | Communi... | . 02355 |
|  |  | Controver... | . 05435 |
| Total ... |  |  | 0.300 |
| Corrido... | Total Cost (L: .180) |  | 0.037 |


| Alts | Level 1 | Level 2 | Prty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corrido... | Cost (L: . 180) | Construct... | . 02894 |
|  |  | R/W \& Mi... | . 00775 |
|  | Total Engineering Impacts (L: .330) |  | 0.143 |
|  | Engineering Impacts (L: .330) | Traffic Co... | . 06499 |
|  |  | Traffic Ac... | . 05962 |
|  |  | Connectiv... | . 01805 |
|  | Total Environmental Impacts (L: .260) |  | 0.103 |
|  | Environmental Impacts (L: .260) | SJRWMD... | . 04323 |
|  |  | Wetland I... | . 03251 |
|  |  | Wild life a... | . 01625 |
|  |  | Outstandi... | . 01084 |
|  | Total Socio-Economic Impacts (L: .231) |  | 0.018 |
|  | Socio-Economic Impacts (L: .231) | Communi... | . 01009 |
|  |  | Controver... | . 00776 |

## APPENDIX B - REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

## A. Reference Documents

1. Orlando Orange County Expressway Authority (OOCEA) 2030 Master Plan
2. 2008 SR 408 East Extension Concept Development and Evaluation Study
3. Central Florida Expressway Authority (CFX) 2040 Master Plan
4. CFX 2018-2022 Five Year Work Plan
5. CFX Five-Year Work Plan
6. MetroPlan Orlando 2040 Long Range Transportaiton Plan

## B. Companion Documents

1. Draft State Environmental Impact Report
2. Final Contamination Screening Evaluation Report
3. Final Natural Resources Evaluation
4. Final Air Quality Memorandum
5. Final Water Quality Impact
6. Draft Location Hydraulic Report
7. Draft Pond Siting Report
8. Draft Noise Study Report
9. Final Corridor Analysis Technical Memorandum
10. Draft Traffic Technical Memorandum
11. Draft Bridge Analysis Report
12. Draft Utility Assessment Report
13. Draft Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

## APPENDIX C - UTILITY CONFLICTS

Table C-1 - Existing Utilities

| Utility \& Contact Information | Utility Type | Description | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Cabling Solutions Inc Robert Ford (407) 883-8881 | Electric and Fiber | No Response | No Response |
| American Traffic Solutions Santiago Martinez (480) 596-4595 | Communications/ Electric | No Response | No Response |
| AT\&T Distribution <br> Dino Farruggio (561) 997-0240 | Telephone | Aerial Cable | - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 383 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 456 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 461 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 517 <br> - Runs perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 537 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 551 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 569 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 579 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 602 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 702 <br> - Runs along south side of SR 408 from approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 730 to STA 738 <br> - Runs along south side of SR 408 from approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 738 to STA 750 <br> - Runs along north side of E. Colonial Dr. from approximately SR 50 Baseline STA 5000 to STA 5003 <br> - Runs along south side of E. Colonial Dr. from approximately SR 50 Baseline STA 5000 to STA 5030 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately EB SR 408/Challenger Parkway Baseline STA 1001 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 550 <br> - Runs along east side of Woodbury Rd. from approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2009 to STA 2019 then runs perpendicular at STA 2020 <br> - Runs along west side of Woodbury Rd. from approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2009 to STA 2040 <br> - Runs along south side of SR 408 from approximately Chuluota Road Extension Baseline STA 4015 to STA 4030 <br> - Runs along east side of Chuluota Rd. from approximately Chuluota Road Extension Baseline STA 4028 to STA 4031 |
|  |  | Buried Cable | - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 441 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 471 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 475 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 476 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 477 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 478 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 482 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 497 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 518 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 522 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 534 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 569 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 641 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 642 <br> - Runs parallel to SR 408 from approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 704 to STA 714 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 714 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 715 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 731 |


| Utility \& Contact Information | Utility Type | Description | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT\&T Distribution <br> Dino Farruggio (561) 997-0240 | Telephone | Buried Cable | - Runs along south side of SR 408 from approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 738 to STA 750 <br> - Runs along north side of E. Colonial Dr. from approximately SR 50 Baseline STA 5000 to STA 5003 <br> - Runs along north side of E. Colonial Dr. from approximately SR 50 Baseline STA 5000 to STA 5030 <br> - Runs along south side of E. Colonial Dr. from approximately SR 50 Baseline STA 5000 to STA 5030 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 50 Baseline STA 5019 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 50 Baseline STA 1060 <br> - Runs along east side of Woodbury Rd. from approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2000 to STA 2009 <br> - Runs along east side of Woodbury Rd. from approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2021 to STA 2029 <br> - Runs along west side of Woodbury Rd. from approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2009 to STA 2030 <br> - Runs along west side of Woodbury Rd. from approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2036 to STA 2040 <br> - Runs along west side of Avalon Park Blvd. from approximately Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3000 to STA 3011 <br> - Runs along east side of Avalon Park Blvd. from approximately Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3000 to STA 3015 <br> - Runs along west side of Avalon Park Blvd. from approximately Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3011 to STA 3019 <br> - Runs along east side of Chuluota Rd. from approximately Chuluota Road Extension Baseline STA 4034 to STA 4037 |
| Central Florida Expressway Authority Vu Vu (407) 843-5120 | Fiber Optics | No Response | No Response |
| Centurylink <br> George Mcelvain (303) 992-9931 | Telephone | No Response | No Response |
| Charter Communications Marvin Usry Jr (407) 532-8509 | Internet, Cable T.V., Phone, Fiber | No Response | No Response |
| City of Orlando - Wastewater David Breitrick (407) 246-3525 | Wastewater/ Reclaim Water | No Response | No Response |
| Comcast Cable Communications Wade Mathews (352) 516-3824 | CATV | No Response | No Response |
| Duke Energy Megan Vonstetina (727) 893-9394 | Electric/Transmission | $\begin{gathered} \text { OE } 69 \mathrm{kV} \text { (FTR) } \\ \text { OE } 230 \mathrm{kV} \text { (SPBX) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - Runs along north side of SR 408 from approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 443+60 to STA 457+91 <br> - Crosses perpendicular to SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 1055 |
| Duke Energy <br> Megan Vonstetina (727) 893-9394 | Fiber | No Response | No Response |
| Fibernet Direct <br> Danny Haskett (305) 552-2931 | Fiber | Fiber | - Runs along north/west and south/east side of the existing SR 408 from approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 355 to STA 1060 <br> - Crosses perpendicular the proposed SR 408 eastern extension mainline approximately from SR 408 Baseline STA 385 to STA 403 and STA 408 <br> - Crosses perpendicular the existing SR 408 approximately at SR 408 Baseline STA 1043 and STA 1048 <br> - Runs along the west side of Avalon Park Boulevard approximately from Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3000 to STA 3020 <br> - Runs along the east side of Avalon Park Boulevard approximately from Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3010 to STA 3020 |
| Lovelace Gas Service <br> Garry Lovelace (407) 277-2966 | Gas |  | - No existing utilities located within the project limits |
| MCl Dean Boyers (469) 886-4238 | Communications/ Fiber Optic | No Response | No Response |
| Orange County Public Works Roger Smith (407) 836-6869 | Traffic Signals \& Fiber | No Response | No Response |
| Orange County Utilities - Waste Water David Shorette (407) 254-9764 | Wastewater | No Response | No Response |


| Utility \& Contact Information | Utility Type | Description | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange County Utilities Marc Brown (407) 836-6869 | Water | 4" PVC Force Main | - Runs perpendicular to the SR 408 eastern extension at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 456 (runs along the east side of Lone Palm Road) <br> - Runs along Woodbury Road on the east side approximately from Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2020 to 2027 <br> - Crosses Woodbury Road at approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2020 <br> - Runs across Old Cheney Highway at Chuluota Road Extension STA 4500 <br> - Runs along Columbia School Road approximately from Chuluota Road Extension STA 4032 to East River High School entry |
|  |  | 6" PVC Force Main | - Runs perpendicular to Woodbury road at approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2000 |
|  |  | 8" PVC Force Main | - Runs along the north of existing SR 408 from approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 352 to STA 370 <br> - Runs perpendicular to the proposed SR 408 eastern extension at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 441 (runs along the east side of Bridgeway Boulevard) <br> - Runs perpendicular to the proposed SR 408 eastern extension at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 477 (runs along Pel Street) |
|  |  | 12" PVC Force Main | - Runs along the west side of Avalon Park Boulevard approximately from Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3012 to STA 3020 |
| Orange County Utilities Marc Brown (407) 836-6869 | Water | 16" PVC Force Main | - Runs along Old Cheney Highway and crosses the proposed SR 408 eastern extension approximately from SR 408 Baseline STA 531 to STA 536 (Sunflower Trail) <br> - Crosses perpendicular Woodbury Road at approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2020 |
|  |  | 24" PVC Force Main | - Runs along the south side of Old Cheney Highway and crosses the proposed SR 408 eastern extension approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 548 to STA 554 |
|  |  | 8" PVC Gravity Main | - Runs perpendicular to the proposed SR 408 eastern extension at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 477 (runs along Pel Street) <br> - Runs along Avalon Park Boulevard approximately from Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3007 to STA 3016 <br> - Runs perpendicular to Avalon Park Boulevard approximately at Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3007 and at STA 3016 <br> - Runs along the east side of Woodbury Road approximately from Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 2035 to STA 2040 <br> - Runs along Old Cheney Highway approximately from Chuluota Road Extension Baseline STA 4500 to STA 4509 <br> - Crosses the proposed Chuluota Road Extension approximately at Chuluota Road Extension Baseline STA 4034 to STA 4032 |
|  |  | 8" PVC Water Main | - Runs along west side of Woodbury Road approximately from Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2034 to STA 2040 |
|  |  | 10" PVC Water Main | - Runs perpendicular to the proposed SR 408 eastern extension at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 441 (runs along the west side of Bridgeway Boulevard) |
|  |  | 12" PVC Water Main | - Runs perpendicular to the proposed SR 408 eastern extension at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 456 (runs along the west side of Lone Palm Road) <br> - Runs on the east side of Avalon Park Boulevard approximately from Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3006 to STA 3020 |
|  |  | 16" PVC Water Main | - Runs along Columbia School Road approximately from Chuluota Rd Extension Baseline STA 4032 to STA 4037 |
|  |  | 24" DI Water Main | - Runs along Old Cheney Highway and crosses the proposed SR 408 eastern extension approximate from SR 408 Baseline STA 532 to STA 537 and STA 548 to STA 554 <br> - Runs perpendicular to the proposed SR 408 eastern extension approximately at SR 408 Baseline STA 382 (runs on the east side of Woodbury Road) <br> - Runs along the east side of Woodbury road from approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2000 to STA 2040 |
|  |  | Pump Station F3051 | - Located at Avalon Park Boulevard approximately at Avalon Park Blvd Baseline STA 3012 |
|  |  | Pump Station F3102 | - Located at Old Cheney Highway approximately at Chuluota Rd Extension Baseline STA 4500 |
| Orlando Telephone Company Inc Jack Leopard (407) 996-6297 | Fiber Optics | Underground FOC | - Runs perpendicular to the proposed SR 408 eastern extension at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 496 (runs along the west side of Avalon Park Blvd), SR 408 Baseline STA 517 (runs along the west side of Caudle Street) <br> - Runs on the north side of SR 50 from SR 50 Baseline STA 5000 to STA 5030 |
| OUC Transmission <br> Adonis Willis (407) 434-4134 | Electric/ Transmission | Transmission Lines | - No response but crosses perpendicular SR 408 at approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 648+50 |
| ```Teco Peoples Gas Deborah Frazier (407) 420-6609``` | Gas | 2" Coated Steel Gas line | - Runs along approximately SR 408 Baseline STA 440 to STA 442 (along Bridgeway Boulevard) <br> - Runs along the south side of the SR 408 eastern extension along Woodbury Road approximately Woodbury Rd Baseline STA 2000 to 2002 |

## APPENDIX D - FEMA FIRM MAPS






## APPENDIX E - TRAFFIC

## A.M. Peak - Synchro Output

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | ¢4个 | 7 | \% | ¢4¢ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | \% | F |  |
| Volume (vph) | 77 | 688 | 75 | 192 | 1309 | 358 | 183 | 277 | 225 | 19 | 23 | 15 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3302 | 0 | 3433 | 1753 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3302 | 0 | 3433 | 1753 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 103 |  |  | 242 |  | 119 |  |  | 14 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 84 | 748 | 82 | 209 | 1423 | 389 | 199 | 546 | 0 | 21 | 41 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 26.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 46.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 | 45.0 | 60.0 |  | 10.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 42.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 41.0 | 56.0 |  | 6.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0.31 |  | 0.03 | 0.12 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.51 | 0.63 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.49 |  | 0.18 | 0.19 |  |
| Control Delay | 78.7 | 47.9 | 3.6 | 64.5 | 28.6 | 7.1 | 65.4 | 40.5 |  | 88.5 | 53.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 78.7 | 47.9 | 3.6 | 64.5 | 28.6 | 7.1 | 65.4 | 40.5 |  | 88.5 | 53.0 |  |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | C | A | E | D |  | F | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 46.8 |  |  | 28.2 |  |  | 47.1 |  |  | 65.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 71 (39\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63
Intersection Signal Delay: 37.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.4\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 3: Woodbury Rd \& SR 50


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  | 个个¢ | \% | $\overline{7}$ |
| Volume (vph) | 688 | 0 | 0 | 2699 | 40 | 399 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 269 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 748 | 0 | 0 | 2934 | 43 | 434 |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 2 | 8 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 129.0 |  |  | 129.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 125.0 |  |  | 125.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.69 |  |  | 0.69 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.21 |  |  | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.71 |
| Control Delay | 7.4 |  |  | 37.6 | 50.1 | 29.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 7.4 |  |  | 39.8 | 50.1 | 29.0 |
| LOS | A |  |  | D | D | C |
| Approach Delay | 7.4 |  |  | 39.8 | 30.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | D | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBWB, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay: 33.0
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 62.1\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 6: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | tttt |  | \％ | 个个个 |  |  | ¢ |  | 7 | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 105 | 1055 | 39 | 10 | 2140 | 10 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 8 | 438 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 6376 | 0 | 1770 | 5080 | 0 | 0 | 1772 | 0 | 1770 | 1589 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.426 |  | 0.745 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 6376 | 0 | 1770 | 5080 | 0 | 0 | 785 | 0 | 1388 | 1589 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 7 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 147 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 114 | 1189 | 0 | 11 | 2337 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 12 | 485 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 108.0 |  | 9.0 | 95.0 |  | 63.0 | 63.0 |  | 63.0 | 63.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 18.0 | 104.0 |  | 5.0 | 91.0 |  |  | 59.0 |  | 59.0 | 59.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.10 | 0.58 |  | 0.03 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.33 |  | 0.33 | 0.33 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.32 |  | 0.22 | 0.91 |  |  | 0.14 |  | 0.03 | 0.78 |  |
| Control Delay | 107.8 | 17.9 |  | 90.9 | 27.9 |  |  | 41.4 |  | 41.5 | 47.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.4 |  |
| Total Delay | 107.8 | 17.9 |  | 90.9 | 27.9 |  |  | 41.4 |  | 41.5 | 50.0 |  |
| LOS | F | B |  | F | C |  |  | D |  | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 25.8 |  |  | 28.2 |  |  | 41.4 |  |  | 49.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： $85(47 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.91
Intersection Signal Delay： 30.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84．9\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：9：Bonneville Dr \＆SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | * | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | ¢ |  |  | ¢ | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 119 | 853 | 16 | 14 | 1454 | 70 | 139 | 29 | 6 | 52 | 6 | 637 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1699 | 0 | 0 | 1538 | 1504 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.971 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1699 | 0 | 0 | 1538 | 1504 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 55 |  |  | 79 |  | 2 |  |  | 134 | 134 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37\% |  |  |  |  | 46\% |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 129 | 927 | 17 | 15 | 1580 | 76 | 95 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 382 | 374 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 83.0 | 83.0 | 9.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 11.0 | 79.0 | 79.0 | 5.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  | 56.0 | 56.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.03 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  |  | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.42 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.77 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.42 |  |  | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| Control Delay | 129.1 | 13.4 | 0.1 | 122.2 | 73.9 | 22.0 | 78.1 | 76.3 |  |  | 40.7 | 40.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 129.1 | 13.4 | 0.1 | 122.2 | 73.9 | 22.0 | 78.1 | 76.3 |  |  | 40.7 | 40.3 |
| LOS | F | B | A | F | E | C | E | E |  |  | D | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 27.1 |  |  | 72.0 |  |  | 77.2 |  |  | 40.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 97 (54\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77
Intersection Signal Delay: 52.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.2\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 12: Bridgeway Blvd/Lake Pickett Rd \& SR 50


|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个¢ |  | \％ | ¢个¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Volume（vph） | 18 | 905 | 1 | 6 | 1453 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 78 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 1760 | 0 | 0 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.932 |  |  | 0.754 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 1689 | 0 | 0 | 1405 | 1583 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 85 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 20 | 985 | 0 | 7 | 1584 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 85 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Total Split（s） | 18.0 | 132.0 |  | 12.0 | 126.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.0 | 128.0 |  | 8.0 | 122.0 |  |  | 32.0 |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.71 |  | 0.04 | 0.68 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.27 |  | 0.09 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.06 | 0.24 |
| Control Delay | 57.1 | 18.1 |  | 84.2 | 5.6 |  |  | 55.8 |  |  | 62.4 | 12.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 57.1 | 18.1 |  | 84.2 | 5.6 |  |  | 55.8 |  |  | 62.4 | 12.7 |
| LOS | E | B |  | F | A |  |  | E |  |  | E | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 18.9 |  |  | 5.9 |  |  | 55.8 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 54 （30\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.46
Intersection Signal Delay： 11.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 46．3\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：7：Pebble Beach Blvd \＆SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F' | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ |  | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 27 | 598 | 253 | 161 | 947 | 55 | 373 | 45 | 235 | 29 | 18 | 19 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 5045 | 0 | 1681 | 1702 | 1583 | 0 | 1807 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.231 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.962 |  |  | 0.970 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 430 | 5045 | 0 | 1681 | 1702 | 1583 | 0 | 1807 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 268 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 255 |  |  | 79 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 29 | 650 | 275 | 175 | 1089 | 0 | 227 | 227 | 255 | 0 | 52 | 21 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 31.0 | 81.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 11.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 92.0 | 77.0 |  | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.43 |  | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.54 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.50 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.38 |  | 0.26 | 0.09 |
| Control Delay | 70.2 | 100.1 | 49.2 | 15.9 | 28.6 |  | 52.6 | 52.4 | 6.2 |  | 77.0 | 0.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 70.2 | 100.1 | 49.2 | 15.9 | 28.6 |  | 52.6 | 52.4 | 6.2 |  | 77.0 | 0.7 |
| LOS | E | F | D | B | C |  | D | D | A |  | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 84.5 |  |  | 26.8 |  |  | 35.9 |  |  | 55.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 115 (64\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.54

Intersection Signal Delay: 48.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.6\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 17: Avalon Park Blvd/Pilgrim St \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | * | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 163 | 516 | 110 | 43 | 741 | 340 | 90 | 80 | 28 | 304 | 76 | 187 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1681 | 1761 | 1583 | 1681 | 1718 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.181 |  |  | 0.442 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.995 |  | 0.950 | 0.971 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 337 | 3539 | 1583 | 823 | 3539 | 1583 | 1681 | 1761 | 1583 | 1681 | 1718 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 120 |  |  | 365 |  |  | 79 |  |  | 203 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10\% |  |  | 38\% |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 177 | 561 | 120 | 47 | 805 | 370 | 88 | 97 | 30 | 205 | 208 | 203 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| Total Split (s) | 29.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 10.0 | 72.0 | 72.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 97.0 | 87.0 | 87.0 | 74.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.60 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.38 |
| Control Delay | 16.8 | 13.7 | 0.5 | 19.1 | 38.4 | 2.7 | 73.1 | 73.4 | 0.6 | 63.5 | 63.3 | 8.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 16.8 | 13.7 | 0.5 | 19.1 | 38.4 | 2.7 | 73.1 | 73.4 | 0.6 | 63.5 | 63.3 | 8.1 |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | D | A | E | E | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.5 |  |  | 26.8 |  |  | 63.1 |  |  | 45.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 89 (49\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.60
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.6\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 23: Chuluota School Rd/Chuluota Rd \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | 个觡 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 29 | 804 | 22 | 3 | 1119 | 3 | 46 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 10 | 81 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3525 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 1786 | 1583 | 1770 | 1615 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.187 |  |  | 0.287 |  |  |  | 0.665 |  | 0.693 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 348 | 3525 | 0 | 535 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 1239 | 1583 | 1291 | 1615 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  | 88 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 32 | 898 | 0 | 3 | 1219 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 20 | 99 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 135.0 |  | 11.0 | 134.0 |  | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 139.0 | 131.0 |  | 137.0 | 130.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.77 | 0.73 |  | 0.76 | 0.72 |  |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.10 | 0.35 |  | 0.01 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.29 |  |
| Control Delay | 3.5 | 3.8 |  | 4.0 | 11.3 |  |  | 70.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 16.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 3.5 | 3.8 |  | 4.0 | 11.3 |  |  | 70.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 16.9 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | A | B |  |  | E | A | E | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 3.8 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 65.5 |  |  | 25.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.48
Intersection Signal Delay： 10.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization 47．3\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：26：CR 13 \＆SR 50


## P.M. Peak - Synchro Output

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 个个个 | F | \％ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 9 | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 67 | 1236 | 255 | 221 | 1018 | 76 | 186 | 89 | 363 | 392 | 256 | 52 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3115 | 0 | 3433 | 1814 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3115 | 0 | 3433 | 1814 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 277 |  |  | 73 |  | 279 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 73 | 1343 | 277 | 240 | 1107 | 83 | 202 | 492 | 0 | 426 | 335 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 20.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 38.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 34.0 | 44.0 |  | 36.0 | 46.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 15.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 33.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 29.0 | 39.0 |  | 31.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.16 | 0.22 |  | 0.17 | 0.23 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.83 | 0.40 | 0.74 | 0.52 | 0.12 | 0.71 | 0.55 |  | 0.72 | 0.80 |  |
| Control Delay | 91.1 | 62.7 | 6.1 | 67.8 | 61.4 | 26.2 | 86.2 | 28.7 |  | 78.2 | 80.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 91.1 | 62.7 | 6.1 | 67.8 | 61.4 | 26.2 | 86.2 | 28.7 |  | 78.2 | 80.1 |  |
| LOS | F | E | A | E | E | C | F | C |  | E | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 54.6 |  |  | 60.5 |  |  | 45.4 |  |  | 79.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 71 （39\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay： 59.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79．7\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：3：Woodbury Rd \＆SR 50


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  | 个个¢ | \％ | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 1919 | 0 | 0 | 1753 | 52 | 437 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 2086 | 0 | 0 | 1905 | 57 | 475 |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Total Split（s） | 120.0 |  |  | 120.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 115.0 |  |  | 115.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.64 |  |  | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.64 |  |  | 0.59 | 0.05 | 0.97 |
| Control Delay | 4.5 |  |  | 5.1 | 44.4 | 91.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.5 |  |  | 5.1 | 44.4 | 91.7 |
| LOS | A |  |  | A | D | F |
| Approach Delay | 4.5 |  |  | 5.1 | 86.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A | F |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 82 （ $46 \%$ ），Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.97
Intersection Signal Delay： 14.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．5\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：6：SR 408 Off Ramp \＆SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | tttt |  | \% | ¢个¢ |  |  | ¢ |  | \% | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 359 | 2139 | 59 | 7 | 1323 | 60 | 25 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 6 | 176 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 6382 | 0 | 1770 | 5055 | 0 | 0 | 1762 | 0 | 1770 | 1593 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.545 |  | 0.683 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 6382 | 0 | 1770 | 5055 | 0 | 0 | 980 | 0 | 1272 | 1593 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 8 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 191 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 390 | 2389 | 0 | 8 | 1503 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 20 | 198 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 69.0 | 139.0 |  | 9.0 | 79.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 64.0 | 134.0 |  | 4.0 | 74.0 |  |  | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.74 |  | 0.02 | 0.41 |  |  | 0.15 |  | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.50 |  | 0.21 | 0.72 |  |  | 0.42 |  | 0.11 | 0.49 |  |
| Control Delay | 73.9 | 6.9 |  | 76.1 | 24.1 |  |  | 70.2 |  | 67.9 | 13.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 73.9 | 6.9 |  | 76.1 | 24.1 |  |  | 70.2 |  | 67.9 | 13.6 |  |
| LOS | E | A |  | E | C |  |  | E |  | E | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.3 |  |  | 24.4 |  |  | 70.2 |  |  | 18.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: $104(58 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72
Intersection Signal Delay: 19.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.0\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 9: Bonneville Dr \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7\% | 个个4 | 7 | \% | ¢4¢ | 7 | \% | ¢ |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 337 | 1575 | 72 | 38 | 1186 | 109 | 100 | 73 | 31 | 107 | 51 | 293 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1690 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 1504 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.996 |  |  | 0.978 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1690 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 1504 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 73 |  |  | 103 |  | 9 |  |  | 13 | 235 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10\% |  |  |  |  | 26\% |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 366 | 1712 | 78 | 41 | 1289 | 118 | 98 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 235 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Total Split (s) | 34.0 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 14.0 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 29.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 | 9.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  | 43.0 | 43.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  |  | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.72 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.71 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.63 | 0.44 |
| Control Delay | 83.5 | 23.1 | 3.7 | 116.8 | 51.5 | 12.3 | 78.6 | 76.5 |  |  | 66.1 | 8.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 83.5 | 23.1 | 3.7 | 116.8 | 51.5 | 12.3 | 78.6 | 76.5 |  |  | 66.1 | 8.4 |
| LOS | F | C | A | F | D | B | E | E |  |  | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 32.6 |  |  | 50.2 |  |  | 77.4 |  |  | 38.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 91 ( $51 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:EBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72
Intersection Signal Delay: 41.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.6\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 12: Bridgeway Blvd/Lake Pickett Rd \& SR 50


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * | 个个¢ |  | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 102 | 1616 | 21 | 6 | 1303 | 40 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 56 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 5075 | 0 | 1770 | 5065 | 0 | 0 | 1771 | 0 | 0 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.827 |  |  | 0.744 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 5075 | 0 | 1770 | 5065 | 0 | 0 | 1519 | 0 | 0 | 1386 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 73 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 111 | 1780 | 0 | 7 | 1459 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 61 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 138.0 |  | 13.0 | 110.0 |  | 29.0 | 29.0 |  | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 36.0 | 133.0 |  | 8.0 | 105.0 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.20 | 0.74 |  | 0.04 | 0.58 |  |  | 0.13 |  |  | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.47 |  | 0.09 | 0.49 |  |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.22 |
| Control Delay | 49.6 | 15.6 |  | 88.5 | 11.6 |  |  | 64.8 |  |  | 71.7 | 10.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 49.6 | 15.6 |  | 88.5 | 11.6 |  |  | 64.8 |  |  | 71.7 | 10.7 |
| LOS | D | B |  | F | B |  |  | E |  |  | E | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.6 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 64.8 |  |  | 30.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 72 (40\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.49

Intersection Signal Delay: 15.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.2\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 7: Pebble Beach Blvd \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | ¢个¢ |  | \% | ${ }^{4}$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| Volume (vph) | 61 | 995 | 441 | 262 | 778 | 54 | 375 | 53 | 348 | 59 | 56 | 38 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 5034 | 0 | 1681 | 1706 | 1583 | 0 | 1816 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.081 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.964 |  |  | 0.975 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 151 | 5034 | 0 | 1681 | 1706 | 1583 | 0 | 1816 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 300 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 370 |  |  | 103 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 66 | 1082 | 479 | 285 | 905 | 0 | 233 | 233 | 378 | 0 | 125 | 41 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| Total Split (s) | 18.0 | 74.0 | 74.0 | 39.0 | 95.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.0 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 108.0 | 90.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.60 | 0.50 |  | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.80 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 0.36 |  | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.61 |  | 0.62 | 0.15 |
| Control Delay | 112.3 | 79.7 | 41.7 | 67.5 | 13.6 |  | 76.9 | 76.2 | 10.4 |  | 90.7 | 1.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 112.3 | 79.7 | 41.7 | 67.5 | 13.6 |  | 76.9 | 76.2 | 10.4 |  | 90.7 | 1.2 |
| LOS | F | E | D | E | B |  | E | E | B |  | F | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 69.8 |  |  | 26.5 |  |  | 46.9 |  |  | 68.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 108 ( $60 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:EBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80
Intersection Signal Delay: 51.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 73.0\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 17: Avalon Park Blvd/Pilgrim St \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | 7 | ${ }^{4}$ | 7 | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 207 | 813 | 79 | 30 | 721 | 273 | 102 | 104 | 25 | 537 | 80 | 144 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1681 | 1763 | 1583 | 1681 | 1706 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.150 |  |  | 0.285 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.996 |  | 0.950 | 0.964 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 279 | 3539 | 1583 | 531 | 3539 | 1583 | 1681 | 1763 | 1583 | 1681 | 1706 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 81 |  |  | 276 |  |  | 103 |  |  | 105 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10\% |  |  | 43\% |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 225 | 884 | 86 | 33 | 784 | 297 | 100 | 124 | 27 | 333 | 338 | 157 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | , |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| Total Split (s) | 32.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 | 9.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 90.0 | 81.0 | 81.0 | 62.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.69 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 30.6 | 30.3 | 2.4 | 47.5 | 84.8 | 31.2 | 82.5 | 86.1 | 0.7 | 63.8 | 63.7 | 18.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.6 | 30.3 | 2.4 | 47.5 | 84.8 | 31.2 | 82.5 | 86.1 | 0.7 | 63.8 | 63.7 | 18.4 |
| LOS | C | C | A | D | F | C | F | F | A | E | E | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 28.3 |  |  | 69.4 |  |  | 75.5 |  |  | 55.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 96 ( $53 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69
Intersection Signal Delay: 51.9
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.5\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 23: Chuluota School Rd/Chuluota Rd \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | 个t |  | \% | $\uparrow{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F | 7 | F |  |
| Volume (vph) | 106 | 1128 | 46 | 12 | 1129 | 2 | 42 | 25 | 10 | 20 | 14 | 70 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 1805 | 1583 | 1770 | 1630 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.162 |  |  | 0.199 |  |  |  | 0.727 |  | 0.673 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 302 | 3518 | 0 | 371 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 1354 | 1583 | 1254 | 1630 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 |  | 76 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 115 | 1276 | 0 | 13 | 1229 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 11 | 22 | 91 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 136.0 |  | 11.0 | 122.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 142.0 | 131.0 |  | 123.0 | 117.0 |  |  | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.79 | 0.73 |  | 0.68 | 0.65 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.50 |  | 0.04 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.29 |  |
| Control Delay | 2.0 | 8.5 |  | 5.4 | 18.0 |  |  | 73.2 | 0.2 | 67.2 | 20.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 2.0 | 8.5 |  | 5.4 | 18.0 |  |  | 73.2 | 0.2 | 67.2 | 20.1 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | A | B |  |  | E | A | E | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.0 |  |  | 17.8 |  |  | 63.6 |  |  | 29.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: $59(33 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and $6:$ WBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.53
Intersection Signal Delay: 14.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.0\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 26: CR 13 \& SR 50


## Appendix D

Synchro Level of Service Output - Future Conditions

## CDM <br> smith

No-Build 2025
AM Peak - Synchro Output

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% ${ }^{*}$ | 个4¢ | 7 | 7\% | ¢4¢ | 7 | 7 | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \%* | F |  |
| Volume (vph) | 340 | 2030 | 230 | 430 | 2235 | 460 | 280 | 280 | 350 | 325 | 300 | 220 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 625 |  | 675 | 700 |  | 300 | 500 |  | 250 | 390 |  | 250 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3245 | 0 | 3433 | 1744 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3245 | 0 | 3433 | 1744 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 175 |  |  | 201 |  | 171 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1500 |  |  | 1390 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 22.7 |  |  | 21.1 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 358 | 2137 | 242 | 453 | 2353 | 484 | 295 | 663 | 0 | 342 | 548 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{ov}$ | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 71.0 | 31.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 54.0 |  | 30.0 | 53.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 16.0 | 66.0 | 97.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 97.7 | 26.0 | 51.3 |  | 22.7 | 48.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.37 | 0.54 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.28 |  | 0.13 | 0.27 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 1.17 | 1.15 | 0.26 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 0.51 | 1.16 | 0.63 |  | 0.79 | 1.14 |  |
| Control Delay | 173.6 | 122.8 | 6.8 | 149.0 | 130.6 | 23.7 | 169.1 | 44.3 |  | 89.9 | 141.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 173.6 | 122.8 | 6.8 | 149.0 | 130.6 | 23.7 | 169.1 | 44.3 |  | 89.9 | 141.4 |  |
| LOS | F | F | A | F | F | C | F | D |  | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 119.2 |  |  | 117.4 |  |  | 82.7 |  |  | 121.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | ~258 | ~1079 | 37 | ~329 | $\sim 1245$ | 341 | $\sim 409$ | 275 |  | 204 | $\sim 737$ |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#371 | \#1161 | 88 | m225 | m871 | m216 | \#614 | 352 |  | 262 | \#984 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1420 |  |  | 1310 |  |  | 920 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 625 |  | 675 | 700 |  | 300 | 500 |  |  | 390 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 305 | 1864 | 933 | 381 | 1977 | 968 | 255 | 1046 |  | 476 | 479 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.17 | 1.15 | 0.26 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 0.50 | 1.16 | 0.63 |  | 0.72 | 1.14 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 70 (39\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.19
Intersection Signal Delay: $114.3 \quad$ Intersection LOS: F
Intersection Capacity Utilization 114.3\% ICU Level of Service H

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 3: Woodbury Rd \& SR 50


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 个触 |  |  | 444 | ${ }^{4}{ }^{1 / 2}$ | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 2405 | 0 | 0 | 4475 | 170 | 1015 |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Storage Length（ft） |  | 0 | 0 |  | 300 | 300 |  |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |  |
| Taper Length（ft） |  |  | 25 |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 3170 | 1441 |  |
| Fit Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.988 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 3170 | 1441 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  | 11 | 227 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 45 |  |  | 45 | 30 |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 1390 |  |  | 1100 | 1000 |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 21.1 |  |  | 16.7 | 22.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  | 50\％ |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 2532 | 0 | 0 | 4711 | 713 | 534 |  |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Free |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | Free |  |
| Total Split（s） | 141.0 |  |  | 141.0 | 39.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 136.0 |  |  | 136.0 | 34.0 | 180.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.76 |  |  | 0.76 | 0.19 | 1.00 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.66 |  |  | 1.23 | 1．74dr | 0.37 |  |
| Control Delay | 38.3 |  |  | 126.4 | 154.3 | 0.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 38.3 |  |  | 126.4 | 154.3 | 0.7 |  |
| LOS | D |  |  | F | F | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 38.3 |  |  | 126.4 | 88.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | F | F |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 1057 |  |  | $\sim 2480$ | $\sim 511$ | 0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | m904 |  |  | m256 | \＃645 | 0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 1310 |  |  | 1020 | 920 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 3842 |  |  | 3842 | 607 | 1441 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.66 |  |  | 1.23 | 1.17 | 0.37 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBL and 6：，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 94.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：F |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 110．4\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service H |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 10／30／2015 2045 No Build AM OPK |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 3 |

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
dr Defacto Right Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a right lane.
Splits and Phases: 6: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50


17：Avalon Park Blvd／Pilgrim St \＆SR 50

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个蚔 | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 个蚔 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 35 | 2060 | 615 | 240 | 2265 | 50 | 745 | 60 | 295 | 70 | 65 | 60 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 600 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1697 | 1583 | 1770 | 1729 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.959 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1697 | 1583 | 1770 | 1729 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 647 |  |  | 109 |  |  | 152 |  | 20 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 2625 |  |  | 1010 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 302 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 39.8 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 6.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 37 | 2168 | 647 | 253 | 2384 | 53 | 423 | 424 | 311 | 74 | 131 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 19.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 3.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 12.0 | 87.0 | 87.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.28 | 0.98 | 0.62 | 1.11 | 0.97 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.54 | 0.84 | 1.25 |  |
| Control Delay | 321.3 | 65.6 | 4.8 | 164.1 | 57.4 | 0.2 | 77.2 | 76.4 | 30.1 | 141.2 | 221.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 321.3 | 65.6 | 4.8 | 164.1 | 57.4 | 0.2 | 77.2 | 76.4 | 30.1 | 141.2 | 221.1 |  |
| LOS | F | E | A | F | E | A | E | E | C | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 55.1 |  |  | 66.3 |  |  | 64.3 |  |  | 192.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | $\sim 54$ | 923 | 0 | ～174 | 993 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 159 | 88 | ～168 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃145 | \＃1037 | 81 | \＃275 | \＃1072 | 0 | \＃693 | \＃690 | 266 | \＃193 | \＃324 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 2545 |  |  | 930 |  |  | 920 |  |  | 222 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 600 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 29 | 2203 | 1052 | 228 | 2457 | 821 | 494 | 499 | 573 | 88 | 105 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 1.28 | 0.98 | 0.62 | 1.11 | 0.97 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.54 | 0.84 | 1.25 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type： <br> Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.28

Intersection Signal Delay： 65.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 99．7\％
Intersection LOS：E
ICU Level of Service F

Analysis Period（min） 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 17: Avalon Park Blvd/Pilgrim St \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊＊ | 个4＊ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4＊ | 「 | ＊ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{1 *}$ | 4 | \％ |
| Volume（vph） | 440 | 1360 | 155 | 45 | 1555 | 345 | 110 | 85 | 50 | 285 | 145 | 535 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 600 |  | 350 | 545 |  | 300 | 350 |  | 350 | 250 |  | 250 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 163 |  |  | 246 |  |  | 152 |  |  | 67 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1175 |  |  | 1645 |  |  | 500 |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 17.8 |  |  | 24.9 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 463 | 1432 | 163 | 47 | 1637 | 363 | 116 | 89 | 53 | 300 | 153 | 563 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 53.0 | 108.0 | 108.0 | 17.0 | 72.0 | 72.0 | 24.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 29.0 | 31.0 | 53.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 37.8 | 93.2 | 93.2 | 8.8 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 17.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 18.8 | 20.8 | 65.8 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.40 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.87 | 0.49 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.17 | 0.77 | 0.65 | 0.84 |
| Control Delay | 60.4 | 23.1 | 2.7 | 99.1 | 55.4 | 15.3 | 90.1 | 78.2 | 1.1 | 86.3 | 84.6 | 52.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 60.4 | 23.1 | 2.7 | 99.1 | 55.4 | 15.3 | 90.1 | 78.2 | 1.1 | 86.3 | 84.6 | 52.0 |
| LOS | E | C | A | F | E | B | F | E | A | F | F | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 29.9 |  |  | 49.3 |  |  | 67.7 |  |  | 67.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 241 | 360 | 0 | 53 | 623 | 94 | 129 | 96 | 0 | 172 | 167 | 514 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 306 | 409 | 36 | 104 | 734 | 205 | \＃226 | 165 | 0 | 235 | 260 | 688 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1095 |  |  | 1565 |  |  | 420 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 600 |  | 350 | 545 |  | 300 | 350 |  | 350 | 250 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 967 | 3147 | 1041 | 108 | 2025 | 778 | 184 | 216 | 318 | 462 | 274 | 750 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.44 | 0.81 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.17 | 0.65 | 0.56 | 0.75 |

Intersection Summary
Area Type：Other
Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 165.2
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.87
Intersection Signal Delay： 46.1
Intersection LOS：D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86．8\％ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period（min） 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 23: Chuluota School Rd/Chuluota Rd \& SR 50


No-Build 2025

## PM Peak - Synchro Output

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊＊ | 4虫 | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | ＊＊ | 个4＊ | 「 | ＊ | 性 |  | ${ }^{1 *}$ | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 220 | 2265 | 280 | 350 | 1880 | 325 | 230 | 300 | 430 | 460 | 280 | 340 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 625 |  | 675 | 700 |  | 300 | 500 |  | 250 | 390 |  | 250 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3228 | 0 | 3433 | 1710 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3228 | 0 | 3433 | 1710 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 249 |  |  | 171 |  | 119 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1500 |  |  | 1390 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 22.7 |  |  | 21.1 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 232 | 2384 | 295 | 368 | 1979 | 342 | 242 | 769 | 0 | 484 | 653 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pm＋ov | Prot | NA | $p m+o v$ | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 21.0 | 81.0 | 34.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 |  | 34.0 | 59.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 10.0 | 70.0 | 95.0 | 16.0 | 76.0 | 109.1 | 20.0 | 45.9 |  | 28.1 | 54.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 0.42 | 0.61 | 0.11 | 0.26 |  | 0.16 | 0.30 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.22 | 1.21 | 0.31 | 1.21 | 0.92 | 0.33 | 1.23 | 0．92dr |  | 0.90 | 1.22 |  |
| Control Delay | 202.3 | 144.0 | 5.0 | 161.2 | 34.8 | 11.2 | 202.5 | 63.3 |  | 94.9 | 161.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 202.3 | 144.0 | 5.0 | 161.2 | 34.8 | 11.2 | 202.5 | 63.3 |  | 94.9 | 161.7 |  |
| LOS | F | F | A | F | C | B | F | E |  | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 134.6 |  |  | 49.1 |  |  | 96.6 |  |  | 133.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | ～172 | ～1249 | 26 | ～269 | 899 | 157 | ～351 | 398 |  | 292 | ～912 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃270 | \＃1325 | 80 | m\＃225 | m756 | m128 | \＃542 | 486 |  | \＃383 | \＃1169 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1420 |  |  | 1310 |  |  | 920 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 625 |  | 675 | 700 |  | 300 | 500 |  |  | 390 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 190 | 1977 | 953 | 305 | 2147 | 1033 | 196 | 911 |  | 553 | 537 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 1.22 | 1.23 | 0.31 | 1.21 | 0.92 | 0.33 | 1.23 | 0.84 |  | 0.88 | 1.22 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 70 （39\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.23
Intersection Signal Delay： $99.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS：F
Intersection Capacity Utilization 118．7\％ICU Level of Service H

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
dr Defacto Right Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a right lane.
Splits and Phases: $\quad 3:$ Woodbury Rd \& SR 50


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 个触 |  |  | 4种 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{1}$ | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 2955 | 0 | 0 | 3420 | 150 | 1520 |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Storage Length（ft） |  | 0 | 0 |  | 300 | 300 |  |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |  |
| Taper Length（ft） |  |  | 25 |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 3137 | 1441 |  |
| Fit Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 3137 | 1441 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  | 1 | 354 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 45 |  |  | 45 | 30 |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 1390 |  |  | 1100 | 1000 |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 21.1 |  |  | 16.7 | 22.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  | 50\％ |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 3111 | 0 | 0 | 3600 | 958 | 800 |  |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Free |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | Free |  |
| Total Split（s） | 120.0 |  |  | 120.0 | 60.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 115.0 |  |  | 115.0 | 55.0 | 180.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.64 |  |  | 0.64 | 0.31 | 1.00 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.96 |  |  | 1.11 | 1．65dr | 0.56 |  |
| Control Delay | 58.5 |  |  | 67.7 | 89.9 | 1.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 2.8 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 61.3 |  |  | 67.7 | 89.9 | 1.6 |  |
| LOS | E |  |  | E | F | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 61.3 |  |  | 67.7 | 49.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | E | D |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 1256 |  |  | $\sim 1751$ | 586 | 0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | m1088 |  |  | m243 | \＃741 | 0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 1310 |  |  | 1020 | 920 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 3248 |  |  | 3248 | 959 | 1441 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 6 |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 90 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 25 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.99 |  |  | 1.11 | 1.00 | 0.56 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBL and 6：，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 61.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 94．8\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 10／30／2015 2025 No Build PM OPK |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 3 |

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
dr Defacto Right Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a right lane.
Splits and Phases: 6: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50


17：Avalon Park Blvd／Pilgrim St \＆SR 50

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 4虫 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个虫 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 60 | 2265 | 745 | 295 | 2060 | 70 | 615 | 65 | 240 | 50 | 60 | 35 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 600 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ ft ） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1701 | 1583 | 1770 | 1758 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.961 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1701 | 1583 | 1770 | 1758 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 754 |  |  | 109 |  |  | 147 |  | 12 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 2625 |  |  | 1010 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 302 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 39.8 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 6.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 63 | 2384 | 784 | 311 | 2168 | 74 | 356 | 359 | 253 | 53 | 100 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 19.0 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 24.0 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 10.6 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 17.0 | 91.4 | 91.4 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.61 | 0.99 | 0.68 | 0.96 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 1.01 |  |
| Control Delay | 106.2 | 63.3 | 5.8 | 120.0 | 42.0 | 1.1 | 98.8 | 97.8 | 29.3 | 110.6 | 163.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 106.2 | 63.3 | 5.8 | 120.0 | 42.0 | 1.1 | 98.8 | 97.8 | 29.3 | 110.6 | 163.2 |  |
| LOS | F | E | A | F | D | A | F | F | C | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 50.2 |  |  | 50.3 |  |  | 80.3 |  |  | 145.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 74 | 1016 | 19 | 192 | 805 | 0 | 438 | 442 | 109 | 63 | ～107 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 131 | \＃1135 | 123 | \＃295 | 869 | 8 | \＃654 | \＃654 | 210 | \＃127 | \＃250 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 2545 |  |  | 930 |  |  | 920 |  |  | 222 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 600 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 118 | 2401 | 1145 | 324 | 2582 | 857 | 382 | 387 | 474 | 88 | 99 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.99 | 0.68 | 0.96 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 1.01 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.01

Intersection Signal Delay： 56.6
Intersection Capacity Utilization 95．1\％
Intersection LOS：E
ICU Level of Service F

Analysis Period（min） 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 17: Avalon Park Blvd/Pilgrim St \& SR 50

| $*_{02}$ | $\downarrow{ }^{66}$ | $\checkmark 63$ | $\rightarrow 04$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 s | 16 s | ${ }^{24}$ | 92 s |  |
|  |  |  | $\leftarrow_{08}$ |  |
|  |  | 19 s | 97 s |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*} 1$ | 个个个 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 个个个 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 4 | \％ |
| Volume（vph） | 535 | 1555 | 110 | 50 | 1360 | 285 | 155 | 145 | 45 | 345 | 85 | 440 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 600 |  | 350 | 545 |  | 300 | 350 |  | 350 | 250 |  | 250 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 116 |  |  | 225 |  |  | 152 |  |  | 77 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1175 |  |  | 1645 |  |  | 500 |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 17.8 |  |  | 24.9 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 563 | 1637 | 116 | 53 | 1432 | 300 | 163 | 153 | 47 | 363 | 89 | 463 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 47.0 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 18.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 33.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 34.0 | 32.0 | 47.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 33.1 | 82.7 | 82.7 | 9.4 | 55.7 | 55.7 | 26.3 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 22.3 | 20.3 | 60.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.37 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.81 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.83 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.13 | 0.78 | 0.39 | 0.73 |
| Control Delay | 73.2 | 31.9 | 3.9 | 97.7 | 55.1 | 13.2 | 75.9 | 76.7 | 0.7 | 81.6 | 73.4 | 44.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 73.2 | 31.9 | 3.9 | 97.7 | 55.1 | 13.2 | 75.9 | 76.7 | 0.7 | 81.6 | 73.4 | 44.5 |
| LOS | E | C | A | F | E | B | E | E | A | F | E | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 40.5 |  |  | 49.3 |  |  | 66.5 |  |  | 62.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 313 | 490 | 0 | 59 | 527 | 57 | 174 | 163 | 0 | 206 | 93 | 382 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 394 | 565 | 36 | 115 | 634 | 152 | 273 | 260 | 0 | 274 | 159 | 523 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1095 |  |  | 1565 |  |  | 420 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 600 |  | 350 | 545 |  | 300 | 350 |  | 350 | 250 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 847 | 2825 | 931 | 120 | 1914 | 736 | 283 | 276 | 363 | 572 | 287 | 700 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.44 | 0.75 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.13 | 0.63 | 0.31 | 0.66 |

Intersection Summary
Area Type：Other
Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 163.8
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay： 48.8
Intersection LOS：D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82．3\％ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases: 23: Chuluota School Rd/Chuluota Rd \& SR 50


## Build 2025

## AM Peak - Synchro Output



Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:NBSB, Start of Green, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52

| Intersection Signal Delay: 5.1 | Intersection LOS: A |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 43.2\% | ICU Level of Service A |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Woodbury \& SR 408 Off Ramp



Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:NBSB, Start of Green, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52

| Intersection Signal Delay: 19.0 | Intersection LOS: B |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 43.2\% | ICU Level of Service A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Woodbury Rd/Woodbury \& SR 408 On Ramp


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ |  | 「 | \％ |  | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 个4 | 「 | ＊ | 性 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 205 | 0 | 385 | 125 | 0 | 10 | 585 | 505 | 80 | 5 | 400 | 310 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 250 |  | 400 | 250 |  | 0 | 600 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Fit Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 129 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 211 |  |  | 269 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 714 |  |  | 762 |  |  | 660 |  |  | 506 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 16.2 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 11.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 216 | 0 | 405 | 132 | 0 | 11 | 616 | 532 | 84 | 5 | 421 | 326 |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | pt＋ov | Prot |  | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 |  | 45 | 3 |  | 81 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 |  | 45 | 3 |  | 81 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.0 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 12.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 40.0 |  |  | 31.0 |  |  | 49.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 | 12.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 26．7\％ |  |  | 20．7\％ |  |  | 32．7\％ | 56．0\％ | 56．0\％ | 8．0\％ | 31．3\％ | 31．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  |  | None |  |  | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 25.5 |  | 76.4 | 16.5 |  | 29.8 | 33.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 5.7 | 61.6 | 61.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.17 |  | 0.51 | 0.11 |  | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.04 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.72 |  | 0.47 | 0.68 |  | 0.03 | 0.80 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.40 |
| Control Delay | 72.5 |  | 15.8 | 81.0 |  | 0.1 | 63.2 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 72.0 | 33.4 | 9.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 72.5 |  | 15.8 | 81.0 |  | 0.1 | 63.2 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 72.0 | 33.4 | 9.6 |
| LOS | E |  | B | F |  | A | E | B | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.5 |  |  | 23.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 202 |  | 179 | 126 |  | 0 | 296 | 82 | 0 | 5 | 135 | 32 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 284 |  | 174 | 194 |  | 0 | 344 | 196 | 0 | 20 | 234 | 138 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 634 |  |  | 682 |  |  | 580 |  |  | 426 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 250 |  | 400 | 250 |  |  | 600 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 100 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 377 |  | 931 | 271 |  | 408 | 938 | 2302 | 1103 | 67 | 1452 | 808 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Lane Group | $\emptyset 4 \quad \emptyset 8$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanẽ Configurations |  |  |
| Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  |  |
| Fit Permitted |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 12.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 15\% | 9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |



Splits and Phases: $\quad 3$ : Avalon Park \& SR 408 Extension Ramps


| Lane Group $\quad$ a4 $\quad ø 8$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\prime$ |  |  | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% 1 |  |  |  | * |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1770 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1770 | 0 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 432 | 524 |  | 456 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 9.8 | 11.9 |  | 10.4 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 253 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot |  |  |  | Prot |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 60.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 66.7\% |  |  |  | 33.3\% |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 55.0 |  |  |  | 25.0 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.61 |  |  |  | 0.28 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |
| Control Delay | 7.5 |  |  |  | 23.8 |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Delay | 7.5 |  |  |  | 23.8 |  |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 23.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 28 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 43 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 352 | 444 |  | 376 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 2097 |  |  |  | 491 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2: and 6:SBL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 6/26/2 OPK | $2025 \text { AI }$ |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 9 |

Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.12
Intersection Signal Delay: $7.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 17.7\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 4: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& to Chuluota


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 个4 |  |  |  |  |  | \＄ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 10 | 1245 | 30 | 190 | 1540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 300 | 900 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1750 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.984 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1750 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 737 |  |  | 1151 |  |  | 664 |  |  | 401 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 10.1 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 9.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 11 | 1311 | 32 | 200 | 1621 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  |  |  |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 23.0 | 111.0 | 111.0 | 25.0 | 113.0 |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 15．3\％ | 74．0\％ | 74．0\％ | 16．7\％ | 75．3\％ |  |  |  |  | 9．3\％ | 9．3\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 16.0 | 104.0 | 104.0 | 18.0 | 106.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.49 | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Control Delay | 61.2 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 61.2 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| LOS | E | B | A | E | B |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.3 |  |  | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 10 | 304 | 0 | 95 | 347 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 31 | 356 | 0 | 139 | 375 |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 657 |  |  | 1071 |  |  | 584 |  |  | 321 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  | 300 | 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 188 | 2453 | 1122 | 411 | 2500 |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.49 | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type： | her |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NWT and 6:SET, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65

| Intersection Signal Delay: 15.6 | Intersection LOS: B |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.7\% | ICU Level of Service C |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 5: SR 408 Extension On Ramp \& SR 50


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\cdots$ |  | ＊ | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | 个个 |  |  | 个4 | ＊ | 「「「 |
| Volume（vph） | 1245 | 0 | 0 | 1710 | 20 | 125 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| Link Speed（mph） | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 1151 |  |  | 925 | 636 |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 15.7 |  |  | 12.6 | 14.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 1311 | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 21 | 132 |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 20.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 125.0 |  |  | 125.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 83．3\％ |  |  | 83．3\％ | 16．7\％ | 16．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 0.5 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 121.0 |  |  | 121.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.81 |  |  | 0.81 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.46 |  |  | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.26 |
| Control Delay | 5.5 |  |  | 6.9 | 57.3 | 10.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.5 |  |  | 6.9 | 57.3 | 10.0 |
| LOS | A |  |  | A | E | B |
| Approach Delay | 5.5 |  |  | 6.9 | 16.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 373 |  |  | 308 | 18 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 437 |  |  | 356 | 46 | 35 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 1071 |  |  | 845 | 556 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 2854 |  |  | 2854 | 247 | 503 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.46 |  |  | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.26 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NEL and 6：，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.8
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.3\%
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 6: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个4¢ | 7 | 7\％ | ¢4¢ | 7 | 7 | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 315 | 2025 | 225 | 215 | 2140 | 225 | 315 | 325 | 180 | 160 | 360 | 230 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 550 |  | 550 | 450 |  | 150 | 450 |  | O | 400 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3352 | 0 | 1770 | 3334 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3352 | 0 | 1770 | 3334 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 55 |  |  | 91 |  | 52 |  |  | 67 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 901 |  |  | 1164 |  |  | 915 |  |  | 681 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.7 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 332 | 2132 | 237 | 226 | 2253 | 237 | 332 | 531 | 0 | 168 | 621 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 6 | 67 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 23.0 | 89.0 |  | 18.0 | 84.0 |  | 39.0 | 42.0 |  | 31.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 17.0 | 83.0 | 122.0 | 12.0 | 78.0 | 109.0 | 33.0 | 36.0 |  | 25.0 | 28.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.46 | 0.68 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.20 |  | 0.14 | 0.16 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 1.02 | 0.91 | 0.22 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 0.24 | 1.02 | 0.75 |  | 0.69 | 1.08 |  |
| Control Delay | 133.3 | 51.9 | 8.7 | 138.4 | 74.6 | 10.3 | 125.9 | 68.2 |  | 89.0 | 121.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 133.3 | 51.9 | 8.7 | 138.4 | 74.6 | 10.3 | 125.9 | 68.2 |  | 89.0 | 121.7 |  |
| LOS | F | D | A | F | E | B | F | E |  | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 58.1 |  |  | 74.3 |  |  | 90.4 |  |  | 114.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | $\sim 213$ | 850 | 75 | 140 | ～1031 | 73 | $\sim 415$ | 285 |  | 192 | －392 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃323 | 916 | 114 | \＃237 | \＃1110 | 122 | \＃628 | 358 |  | 283 | \＃526 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 821 |  |  | 1084 |  |  | 835 |  |  | 601 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 550 |  | 550 | 450 |  | 150 | 450 |  |  | 400 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 324 | 2344 | 1090 | 228 | 2203 | 994 | 324 | 712 |  | 245 | 575 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 1.02 | 0.91 | 0.22 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 0.24 | 1.02 | 0.75 |  | 0.69 | 1.08 |  |

Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.08
Intersection Signal Delay： 74.6
Intersection Capacity Utilization 105．1\％ICU Level of Service G

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 101: Woodbury \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 快 |  |  | 率 | \％ | 「＂ |
| Volume（vph） | 2015 | 0 | 0 | 3090 | 170 | 505 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 824 |  |  | 895 | 538 |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 18.7 |  |  | 20.3 | 12.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 2121 | 0 | 0 | 3253 | 179 | 532 |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.0 |  |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 134.0 |  |  | 134.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 74．4\％ |  |  | 74．4\％ | 25．6\％ | 25．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 128.0 |  |  | 128.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.71 |  |  | 0.71 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.59 |  |  | 0.90 | 0.46 | 0.82 |
| Control Delay | 13.7 |  |  | 25.4 | 65.0 | 74.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 13.7 |  |  | 25.4 | 65.0 | 74.2 |
| LOS | B |  |  | C | E | E |
| Approach Delay | 13.7 |  |  | 25.4 | 71.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | C | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 426 |  |  | 1057 | 185 | 325 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 459 |  |  | 1106 | 270 | 409 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 744 |  |  | 815 | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 3616 |  |  | 3616 | 393 | 645 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.59 |  |  | 0.90 | 0.46 | 0.82 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBWB，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay: 26.8 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.1\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 102: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 蚔 | 「 | 7＊ | 个种 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow \hat{t}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 45 | 1395 | 410 | 240 | 1755 | 60 | 460 | 55 | 205 | 75 | 65 | 60 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 250 |  | 500 | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1702 | 1583 | 0 | 3319 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.962 |  |  | 0.982 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1702 | 1583 | 0 | 3319 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 261 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 216 |  | 29 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1099 |  |  | 1266 |  |  | 987 |  |  | 623 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 25.0 |  |  | 28.8 |  |  | 22.4 |  |  | 14.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 47 | 1468 | 432 | 253 | 1847 | 63 | 271 | 271 | 216 | 0 | 210 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 28 | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 8.0 | 20.0 |  | 8.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 14.0 | 82.0 |  | 26.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 7．8\％ | 45．6\％ |  | 14．4\％ | 52．2\％ | 52．2\％ | 27．8\％ | 27．8\％ | 27．8\％ | 12．2\％ | 12．2\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 10.0 | 78.0 | 124.0 | 22.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 |  | 18.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.43 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.10 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.67 | 0.37 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.38 |  | 0.59 |  |
| Control Delay | 99.0 | 42.5 | 3.0 | 81.5 | 37.4 | 6.7 | 67.1 | 66.7 | 7.7 |  | 73.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 99.0 | 42.5 | 3.0 | 81.5 | 37.4 | 6.7 | 67.1 | 66.7 | 7.7 |  | 73.8 |  |
| LOS | F | D | A | F | D | A | E | E | A |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 35.1 |  |  | 41.7 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  | 73.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 55 | 504 | 32 | 148 | 620 | 5 | 302 | 302 | 0 |  | 109 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 104 | 557 | 53 | 200 | 674 | 33 | 417 | 416 | 72 |  | 158 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1019 |  |  | 1186 |  |  | 907 |  |  | 543 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 250 |  | 500 | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 98 | 2203 | 1171 | 419 | 2542 | 819 | 429 | 434 | 565 |  | 358 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.67 | 0.37 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.38 |  | 0.59 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type： | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73

| Intersection Signal Delay: 41.7 | Intersection LOS: D |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.7\% | ICU Level of Service C |
| Analysis Period $(\min ) 15$ |  |

Splits and Phases: 103: Avalon Park Blvd/Pilgrim St \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1}$ | 个坐年 | 「 | ${ }^{*} 1$ | 个禹 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 个4 | \％ |
| Volume（vph） | 240 | 1230 | 130 | 95 | 1500 | 270 | 105 | 345 | 75 | 225 | 425 | 415 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3444 | 0 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3444 | 0 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 102 |  |  | 148 |  | 14 |  |  |  | 67 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 688 |  |  | 752 |  |  | 780 |  |  | 580 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.1 |  |  | 17.7 |  |  | 13.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 253 | 1295 | 137 | 100 | 1579 | 284 | 111 | 442 | 0 | 237 | 447 | 437 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pt＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 45 | 3 | 8 | 81 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 67 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 23.0 |  | 11.0 | 23.0 |  | 11.0 | 23.0 |  | 11.0 | 23.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 27.0 | 86.0 |  | 16.0 | 75.0 |  | 26.0 | 53.0 |  | 25.0 | 52.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 15．0\％ | 47．8\％ |  | 8．9\％ | 41．7\％ |  | 14．4\％ | 29．4\％ |  | 13．9\％ | 28．9\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 20.0 | 79.0 | 105.0 | 9.0 | 68.0 | 93.0 | 20.0 | 47.0 |  | 19.0 | 46.0 | 73.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.38 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.26 |  | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.41 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.82 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 0.49 |  | 0.65 | 0.49 | 0.64 |
| Control Delay | 86.0 | 39.3 | 5.3 | 97.8 | 55.0 | 12.4 | 87.9 | 56.5 |  | 86.6 | 59.3 | 41.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 86.0 | 39.3 | 5.3 | 97.8 | 55.0 | 12.4 | 87.9 | 56.5 |  | 86.6 | 59.3 | 41.0 |
| LOS | F | D | A | F | D | B | F | E |  | F | E | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 43.6 |  |  | 51.0 |  |  | 62.8 |  |  | 57.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 150 | 420 | 17 | 60 | 617 | 86 | 127 | 227 |  | 141 | 239 | 355 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 203 | 468 | 51 | 96 | 679 | 152 | 201 | 287 |  | 192 | 300 | 487 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 608 |  |  | 672 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 500 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  |  | 300 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 381 | 2231 | 965 | 171 | 1921 | 889 | 196 | 909 |  | 362 | 904 | 681 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.82 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 0.49 |  | 0.65 | 0.49 | 0.64 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82

| Intersection Signal Delay: 51.3 | Intersection LOS: D |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $76.3 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period $(\min ) 15$ |  |

Splits and Phases: 104: Chuluota Rd \& SR 50


## Build 2025

## PM Peak - Synchro Output

|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\varnothing 1$ | $\emptyset 2$ | $ø 6$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  | 个个¢ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 40 | 105 | 720 | 0 | 0 | 1070 |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 400 | 0 |  | 300 | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 5085 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 5085 |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 30 |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Distance (t) | 878 |  | 175 |  |  | 388 |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 20.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 42 | 111 | 758 | 0 | 0 | 1126 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 | 26 |  |  | 26 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  | 9.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  | 50.0 | 70.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 23.3\% | 23.3\% |  |  |  |  | 42\% | 58\% | 18\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Act Effict Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 87.0 |  |  | 87.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.72 |  |  | 0.72 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.30 |  |  | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay | 41.4 | 9.5 | 0.3 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay | 44.0 | 9.5 | 0.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | D | A | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 18.9 |  | 0.5 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 27 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 99 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) | 60 | 49 | 0 |  |  | 118 |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 798 |  | 95 |  |  | 308 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 339 | 393 | 2565 |  |  | 3686 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 918 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 226 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 627 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 6/26/2 OPK | $2025 \text { PN }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 1 |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:NBSB, Start of Green, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52

| Intersection Signal Delay: 5.0 | Intersection LOS: A |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 36.8\% | ICU Level of Service A |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Woodbury \& SR 408 Off Ramp


2：Woodbury Rd／Woodbury \＆SR 408 On Ramp

|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $ø 6$ | $\emptyset 8$ |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 个个 | 「 | ＊ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |
| Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 720 | 65 | 155 | 955 |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 | 0 |  | 300 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 880 |  | 590 |  |  | 175 |  |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 20.0 |  | 13.4 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 758 | 68 | 163 | 1005 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 | 9.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  | 70.0 | 70.0 | 50.0 | 70.0 | 22.0 | 28.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  | 58．3\％ | 58．3\％ | 41．7\％ | 58．3\％ | 18\％ | 23\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 45.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  |  | 0.40 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.52 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  | 16.8 | 3.3 | 39.2 | 13.8 |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.3 | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  | 16.8 | 3.3 | 86.5 | 14.2 |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  | B | A | F | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 24.3 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） |  |  | 172 | 0 | 112 | 260 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） |  |  | 217 | 21 | 181 | 320 |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 800 |  | 510 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  |  | 1916 | 888 | 663 | 1916 |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 505 | 421 |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  |  | 0.40 | 0.08 | 1.03 | 0.67 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 6／26／2 OPK | $025 \mathrm{PI}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 3 |

## Lanes, Volumes, Timings

2: Woodbury Rd/Woodbury \& SR 408 On Ramp
Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:NBSB, Start of Green, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52

| Intersection Signal Delay: 20.7 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $36.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Woodbury Rd/Woodbury \& SR 408 On Ramp


|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $t$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | 7 | \% |  | 7 | \% | 个 $\uparrow$ | 7 | * | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 310 | 0 | 585 | 80 | 0 | 5 | 385 | 400 | 125 | 10 | 505 | 205 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 250 |  | 400 | 250 |  | 0 | 600 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 71 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 211 |  |  | 269 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 714 |  |  | 762 |  |  | 660 |  |  | 506 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 16.2 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 11.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 326 | 0 | 616 | 84 | 0 | 5 | 405 | 421 | 132 | 11 | 532 | 216 |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | pt+ov | Prot |  | pt+ov | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 |  | 45 | 3 |  | 81 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | , |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 |  | 45 | 3 |  | 81 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 12.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 53.0 |  |  | 23.0 |  |  | 36.0 | 71.0 | 71.0 | 12.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.3\% |  |  | 15.3\% |  |  | 24.0\% | 47.3\% | 47.3\% | 8.0\% | 31.3\% | 31.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  |  | None |  |  | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.7 |  | 83.8 | 12.0 |  | 25.4 | 24.4 | 80.5 | 80.5 | 6.4 | 54.2 | 54.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.30 |  | 0.56 | 0.08 |  | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.62 |  | 0.67 | 0.60 |  | 0.01 | 0.73 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 50.8 |  | 23.3 | 83.5 |  | 0.0 | 67.1 | 21.0 | 0.3 | 73.2 | 39.5 | 2.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.8 |  | 23.3 | 83.5 |  | 0.0 | 67.1 | 21.0 | 0.3 | 73.2 | 39.5 | 2.5 |
| LOS | D |  | C | F |  | A | E | C | A | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.6 |  |  | 29.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 265 |  | 360 | 81 |  | 0 | 196 | 102 | 0 | 11 | 208 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 395 |  | 386 | 138 |  | 0 | 243 | 183 | 0 | 33 | 301 | 24 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 634 |  |  | 682 |  |  | 580 |  |  | 426 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 400 | 250 |  |  | 600 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 100 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 557 |  | 956 | 177 |  | 384 | 652 | 1899 | 947 | 74 | 1277 | 743 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Lane Group | $\emptyset 4 \quad \emptyset 8$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanẽ Configurations |  |  |
| Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  |  |
| Fit Permitted |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 12.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 44.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 29\% | 9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |



| Lane Group $\quad$ a4 $\quad ø 8$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |



## Lanes, Volumes, Timings

4: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& to Chuluota
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.17
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.1 Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 21.2\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 4: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& to Chuluota


|  | $\checkmark$ | k | $\lambda$ | $\cdots$ | k | $\checkmark$ | \％ | $\nearrow$ | T | 4 | $\lambda$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | 「 | 7＊ | 个4 |  |  |  |  |  | \＄ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 10 | 1520 | 20 | 125 | 1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 300 | 900 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1750 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.984 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1750 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 737 |  |  | 1151 |  |  | 664 |  |  | 401 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 10.1 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 9.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 11 | 1600 | 21 | 132 | 1342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  |  |  |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 23.0 | 104.0 | 104.0 | 32.0 | 113.0 |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 15．3\％ | 69．3\％ | 69．3\％ | 21．3\％ | 75．3\％ |  |  |  |  | 9．3\％ | 9．3\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 16.0 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 25.0 | 106.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Control Delay | 61.2 | 19.2 | 0.1 | 42.0 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 61.2 | 19.2 | 0.1 | 42.0 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| LOS | E | B | A | D | B |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.2 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 10 | 502 | 0 | 58 | 290 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 31 | 582 | 0 | 92 | 398 |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 657 |  |  | 1071 |  |  | 584 |  |  | 321 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  | 300 | 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 188 | 2288 | 1069 | 572 | 2500 |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type： | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Lanes, Volumes, Timings

5: SR 408 Extension On Ramp \& SR 50
Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NWT and 6:SET, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.70

| Intersection Signal Delay: 17.7 | Intersection LOS: B |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.4\% | ICU Level of Service C |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 5: SR 408 Extension On Ramp \& SR 50


## Lanes，Volumes，Timings

6：SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \＆SR 50

|  | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | 3 | $\rho$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | 个4 |  |  | 性 | ＊ | 「＂ |
| Volume（vph） | 1520 | 0 | 0 | 1370 | 30 | 190 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| Link Speed（mph） | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 1151 |  |  | 925 | 636 |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 15.7 |  |  | 12.6 | 14.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 1600 | 0 | 0 | 1442 | 32 | 200 |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 20.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 104.0 |  |  | 104.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 69．3\％ |  |  | 69．3\％ | 30．7\％ | 30．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 0.5 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.67 |  |  | 0.67 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.68 |  |  | 0.61 | 0.06 | 0.24 |
| Control Delay | 26.4 |  |  | 15.5 | 40.2 | 26.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 26.4 |  |  | 15.5 | 40.2 | 26.2 |
| LOS | C |  |  | B | D | C |
| Approach Delay | 26.4 |  |  | 15.5 | 28.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 823 |  |  | 391 | 23 | 52 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 947 |  |  | 456 | 52 | 90 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 1071 |  |  | 845 | 556 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 2359 |  |  | 2359 | 495 | 835 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.68 |  |  | 0.61 | 0.06 | 0.24 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NEL and 6：，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.7 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.3\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 6: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& SR 50

| $\emptyset 2(R)$ | $\rightarrow 04$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 46 s | 104 s |
|  | ${ }_{\emptyset 8}$ |
|  | 104 s |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7\％ | 缶个 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 鞉 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | 7 | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 230 | 2140 | 315 | 180 | 2025 | 160 | 225 | 360 | 215 | 225 | 325 | 370 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 550 |  | 550 | 450 |  | 150 | 450 |  | 0 | 400 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3341 | 0 | 1770 | 3256 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3341 | 0 | 1770 | 3256 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 78 |  |  | 55 |  | 60 |  |  | 128 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 901 |  |  | 1164 |  |  | 915 |  |  | 681 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.7 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 242 | 2253 | 332 | 189 | 2132 | 168 | 237 | 605 | 0 | 237 | 731 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 6 | 67 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 19.0 | 91.0 |  | 17.0 | 89.0 |  | 32.0 | 39.0 |  | 33.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 13.0 | 85.0 | 117.0 | 11.0 | 83.0 | 116.0 | 26.0 | 33.0 |  | 27.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.18 |  | 0.15 | 0.19 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.31 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.16 | 0.93 | 0.92 |  | 0.89 | 1.02 |  |
| Control Delay | 133.0 | 53.8 | 11.1 | 123.5 | 51.9 | 8.7 | 115.2 | 83.8 |  | 107.7 | 95.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 133.0 | 53.8 | 11.1 | 123.5 | 51.9 | 8.7 | 115.2 | 83.8 |  | 107.7 | 95.8 |  |
| LOS | F | D | B | F | D | A | F | F |  | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 55.5 |  |  | 54.4 |  |  | 92.7 |  |  | 98.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 150 | 916 | 123 | 116 | 850 | 49 | 281 | 341 |  | 279 | $\sim 410$ |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃246 | 983 | 179 | \＃196 | 916 | 84 | \＃458 | \＃452 |  | \＃446 | \＃547 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 821 |  |  | 1084 |  |  | 835 |  |  | 601 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 550 |  | 550 | 450 |  | 150 | 450 |  |  | 400 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 247 | 2401 | 1056 | 209 | 2344 | 1039 | 255 | 661 |  | 265 | 718 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.31 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.16 | 0.93 | 0.92 |  | 0.89 | 1.02 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBT，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Pretimed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 65.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 99．8\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 101: Woodbury \& SR 50


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个乐个 |  |  | 个坐个 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「＂ |
| Volume（vph） | 2480 | 0 | 0 | 2520 | 150 | 680 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 824 |  |  | 895 | 538 |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 18.7 |  |  | 20.3 | 12.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 2611 | 0 | 0 | 2653 | 158 | 716 |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 22.0 |  |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 117.0 |  |  | 117.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 65．0\％ |  |  | 65．0\％ | 35．0\％ | 35．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 111.0 |  |  | 111.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.62 |  |  | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.83 |  |  | 0.85 | 0.28 | 0.81 |
| Control Delay | 30.3 |  |  | 31.0 | 47.9 | 64.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.3 |  |  | 31.0 | 47.9 | 64.5 |
| LOS | C |  |  | C | D | E |
| Approach Delay | 30.3 |  |  | 31.0 | 61.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | C | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 860 |  |  | 889 | 141 | 442 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 914 |  |  | 945 | 209 | 534 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 744 |  |  | 815 | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 3135 |  |  | 3135 | 560 | 885 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.83 |  |  | 0.85 | 0.28 | 0.81 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBWB，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $35.1 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.7\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 102: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50


|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ | v | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 4虫 | 「 | \％＊ | 44ヶ | 「 | \％ | ＊ | 「 |  | ${ }_{\text {f }}{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 60 | 1755 | 60 | 205 | 1395 | 75 | 410 | 65 | 240 | 60 | 55 | 45 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 250 |  | 500 | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1708 | 1583 | 0 | 3330 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.965 |  |  | 0.982 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1708 | 1583 | 0 | 3330 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 63 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 194 |  | 25 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1099 |  |  | 1266 |  |  | 987 |  |  | 623 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 25.0 |  |  | 28.8 |  |  | 22.4 |  |  | 14.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 63 | 1847 | 63 | 216 | 1468 | 79 | 246 | 254 | 253 | 0 | 168 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 28 | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 8.0 | 20.0 |  | 8.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 17.0 | 91.0 |  | 24.0 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．4\％ | 50．6\％ |  | 13．3\％ | 54．4\％ | 54．4\％ | 26．7\％ | 26．7\％ | 26．7\％ | 9．4\％ | 9．4\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 13.0 | 87.0 | 131.0 | 20.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 |  | 13.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.73 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 |  | 0.07 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.47 |  | 0.64 |  |
| Control Delay | 94.3 | 40.2 | 0.8 | 82.3 | 29.9 | 5.9 | 67.3 | 67.6 | 17.4 |  | 80.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 94.3 | 40.2 | 0.8 | 82.3 | 29.9 | 5.9 | 67.3 | 67.6 | 17.4 |  | 80.6 |  |
| LOS | F | D | A | F | C | A | E | E | B |  | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 40.6 |  |  | 35.2 |  |  | 50.6 |  |  | 80.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 73 | 642 | 0 | 127 | 420 | 6 | 273 | 283 | 55 |  | 87 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 130 | 698 | 7 | 175 | 464 | 36 | 383 | 394 | 149 |  | 133 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1019 |  |  | 1186 |  |  | 907 |  |  | 543 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 250 |  | 500 | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 127 | 2457 | 1169 | 381 | 2655 | 859 | 410 | 417 | 533 |  | 263 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.47 |  | 0.64 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type： | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75

| Intersection Signal Delay: 41.6 | Intersection LOS: D |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $69.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service C |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |

Splits and Phases: 103: Avalon Park Blvd/Pilgrim St \& SR 50


|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | 7 | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $>$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1 / 4}$ | 4蚔 | 「 | \％ 71 | 蚔4 | 「 | \％ | 个 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | 7＊ | 44 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 415 | 1500 | 105 | 75 | 1230 | 225 | 130 | 425 | 95 | 270 | 345 | 240 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3444 | 0 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3444 | 0 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 111 |  |  | 139 |  | 15 |  |  |  | 155 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 688 |  |  | 752 |  |  | 780 |  |  | 580 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.1 |  |  | 17.7 |  |  | 13.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 437 | 1579 | 111 | 79 | 1295 | 237 | 137 | 547 | 0 | 284 | 363 | 253 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pt＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 45 | 3 | 8 | 81 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 67 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 23.0 |  | 11.0 | 23.0 |  | 11.0 | 23.0 |  | 11.0 | 23.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 35.0 | 74.0 |  | 18.0 | 57.0 |  | 40.0 | 62.0 |  | 26.0 | 48.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 19．4\％ | 41．1\％ |  | 10．0\％ | 31．7\％ |  | 22．2\％ | 34．4\％ |  | 14．4\％ | 26．7\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 28.0 | 67.0 | 107.0 | 11.0 | 50.0 | 76.0 | 34.0 | 56.0 |  | 20.0 | 42.0 | 77.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.31 |  | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.43 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.92 | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.51 |  | 0.75 | 0.44 | 0.33 |
| Control Delay | 86.7 | 56.3 | 2.6 | 86.7 | 73.8 | 14.8 | 68.5 | 51.2 |  | 90.4 | 60.9 | 13.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 86.7 | 56.3 | 2.6 | 86.7 | 73.8 | 14.8 | 68.5 | 51.2 |  | 90.4 | 60.9 | 13.8 |
| LOS | F | E | A | F | E | B | E | D |  | F | E | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 59.7 |  |  | 65.7 |  |  | 54.6 |  |  | 57.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 261 | 623 | 0 | 47 | 548 | 72 | 144 | 272 |  | 170 | 195 | 71 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 328 | 685 | 29 | 78 | 612 | 142 | 221 | 335 |  | 226 | 250 | 144 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 608 |  |  | 672 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 500 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  |  | 300 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 534 | 1892 | 986 | 209 | 1412 | 748 | 334 | 1081 |  | 381 | 825 | 765 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.92 | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.51 |  | 0.75 | 0.44 | 0.33 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type： | ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92

| Intersection Signal Delay: 60.4 | Intersection LOS: E |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utiization 79.8\% | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |



No-Build 2045

## AM Peak - Synchro Output

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7* | ¢ $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | \% ${ }^{17}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | M ${ }^{14}$ | F |  |
| Volume (vph) | 380 | 2370 | 240 | 440 | 2280 | 470 | 290 | 300 | 360 | 335 | 325 | 240 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 625 |  | 675 | 700 |  | 300 | 500 |  | 250 | 390 |  | 250 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3249 | 0 | 3433 | 1744 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3249 | 0 | 3433 | 1744 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 152 |  |  | 168 |  | 163 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 1500 |  |  | 1390 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 22.7 |  |  | 21.1 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 400 | 2495 | 253 | 463 | 2400 | 495 | 305 | 695 | 0 | 353 | 595 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 74.0 | 30.0 | 23.0 | 76.0 | 31.0 | 30.0 | 52.0 |  | 31.0 | 53.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 16.0 | 69.0 | 99.0 | 18.0 | 71.0 | 99.4 | 25.0 | 49.6 |  | 23.4 | 48.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.38 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.28 |  | 0.13 | 0.27 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 1.31 | 1.28 | 0.27 | 1.35 | 1.20 | 0.52 | 1.24 | 0.69 |  | 0.79 | 1.24 |  |
| Control Delay | 220.0 | 174.3 | 8.8 | 214.1 | 129.0 | 24.6 | 198.6 | 48.3 |  | 89.2 | 175.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 220.0 | 174.3 | 8.8 | 214.1 | 129.0 | 24.6 | 198.6 | 48.3 |  | 89.2 | 175.9 |  |
| LOS | F | F | A | F | F | C | F | D |  | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 166.8 |  |  | 125.3 |  |  | 94.1 |  |  | 143.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | ~311 | ~1361 | 56 | ~365 | $\sim 1276$ | 408 | $\sim 445$ | 306 |  | 210 | $\sim 853$ |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#427 | \#1434 | 111 | m196 | m767 | m197 | \#653 | 387 |  | 268 | \#1105 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1420 |  |  | 1310 |  |  | 920 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 625 |  | 675 | 700 |  | 300 | 500 |  |  | 390 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 305 | 1949 | 939 | 343 | 2005 | 969 | 245 | 1013 |  | 495 | 479 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.31 | 1.28 | 0.27 | 1.35 | 1.20 | 0.51 | 1.24 | 0.69 |  | 0.71 | 1.24 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 70 (39\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.35
Intersection Signal Delay: $139.1 \quad$ Intersection LOS: F
Intersection Capacity Utilization 122.8\% ICU Level of Service H

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 1: Woodbury Rd \& SR 50



Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
dr Defacto Right Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a right lane.
Splits and Phases: 2: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50

| -02(R) | $\rightarrow 04$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 s | 128 s |  |
|  | $\leftarrow_{08}$ |  |
|  | 128 s |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | 个个¢ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | F |  |
| Volume (vph) | 35 | 2390 | 775 | 300 | 2720 | 50 | 940 | 60 | 370 | 70 | 80 | 60 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 600 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1744 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.958 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1744 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 790 |  |  | 109 |  |  | 152 |  | 16 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2625 |  |  | 1010 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 302 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 39.8 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 6.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 37 | 2516 | 816 | 316 | 2863 | 53 | 524 | 528 | 389 | 74 | 147 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 11.0 | 88.0 | 88.0 | 22.0 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 4.0 | 81.0 | 81.0 | 15.0 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.08 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.95 | 1.10 | 0.71 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.06 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 1.12 |  |
| Control Delay | 210.3 | 98.0 | 6.3 | 155.7 | 93.5 | 0.1 | 194.5 | 193.3 | 49.7 | 104.8 | 177.3 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 210.3 | 98.0 | 6.3 | 155.7 | 93.5 | 0.1 | 194.5 | 193.3 | 49.7 | 104.8 | 177.3 |  |
| LOS | F | F | A | F | F | A | F | F | D | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 77.0 |  |  | 98.0 |  |  | 155.0 |  |  | 153.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 45 | ~1228 | 17 | ~218 | $\sim 1400$ | 0 | $\sim 820$ | $\sim 826$ | 275 | 87 | ~181 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#133 | \#1301 | 128 | \#326 | \#1461 | 0 | \#1074 | \#1081 | 418 | \#157 | \#342 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 2545 |  |  | 930 |  |  | 920 |  |  | 222 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( f ) | 600 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 39 | 2288 | 1146 | 286 | 2599 | 862 | 410 | 414 | 501 | 118 | 131 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.95 | 1.10 | 0.71 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.06 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 1.12 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.28
Intersection Signal Delay: 100.9
Intersection Capacity Utilization 114.7\%
Intersection LOS: F

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 3: Avalon Park Blva/Pilgrim St \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 71 | 率 | 「 | \％ | 蚔 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7＊ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume（vph） | 520 | 1490 | 160 | 45 | 1710 | 410 | 110 | 100 | 50 | 335 | 150 | 635 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 600 |  | 350 | 545 |  | 300 | 350 |  | 350 | 250 |  | 250 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 168 |  |  | 271 |  |  | 152 |  |  | 67 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1175 |  |  | 1645 |  |  | 500 |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 17.8 |  |  | 24.9 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 547 | 1568 | 168 | 47 | 1800 | 432 | 116 | 105 | 53 | 353 | 158 | 668 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 57.0 | 114.0 | 114.0 | 17.0 | 74.0 | 74.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 57.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 48.4 | 109.3 | 109.3 | 8.9 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 75.9 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.42 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 0.54 | 0.95 | 0.57 | 0.74 | 0.64 | 0.19 | 0.91 | 0.75 | 0.95 |
| Control Delay | 60.2 | 21.4 | 2.3 | 106.0 | 66.6 | 19.0 | 106.7 | 97.8 | 1.5 | 105.4 | 99.2 | 67.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 60.2 | 21.4 | 2.3 | 106.0 | 66.6 | 19.0 | 106.7 | 97.8 | 1.5 | 105.4 | 99.2 | 67.7 |
| LOS | E | C | A | F | E | B | F | F | A | F | F | E |
| Approach Delay |  | 29.3 |  |  | 58.4 |  |  | 82.9 |  |  | 83.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 293 | 398 | 0 | 56 | 772 | 152 | 138 | 124 | 0 | 218 | 186 | 703 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 358 | 437 | 35 | 105 | \＃872 | 272 | \＃243 | 197 | 0 | \＃309 | \＃291 | \＃974 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1095 |  |  | 1565 |  |  | 420 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 600 |  | 350 | 545 |  | 300 | 350 |  | 350 | 250 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 954 | 3089 | 1027 | 98 | 1894 | 759 | 157 | 165 | 279 | 401 | 217 | 719 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.95 | 0.57 | 0.74 | 0.64 | 0.19 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.93 |

Intersection Summary
Area Type：Other
Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 179.9
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.95
Intersection Signal Delay： 53.3
Intersection LOS：D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 96．0\％ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period（min） 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Chuluota School Rd/Chuluota Rd \& SR 50


No-Build 2045

## PM Peak - Synchro Output

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1}$ | 个个¢ | 7 | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 240 | 2130 | 290 | 360 | 2480 | 335 | 240 | 325 | 440 | 470 | 300 | 380 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 625 |  | 675 | 700 |  | 300 | 500 |  | 250 | 390 |  | 250 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3235 | 0 | 3433 | 1706 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3235 | 0 | 3433 | 1706 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 240 |  |  | 155 |  | 140 |  |  | 37 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（f） |  | 1500 |  |  | 1390 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 22.7 |  |  | 21.1 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 253 | 2242 | 305 | 379 | 2611 | 353 | 253 | 805 | 0 | 495 | 716 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pm＋ov | Prot | NA | pm＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 73.0 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 81.0 | 33.0 | 22.0 | 51.0 |  | 33.0 | 62.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 10.0 | 68.0 | 90.0 | 18.0 | 76.0 | 108.6 | 17.0 | 46.4 |  | 27.6 | 57.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 0.60 | 0.09 | 0.26 |  | 0.15 | 0.32 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.33 | 1.17 | 0.33 | 1.10 | 1.22 | 0.35 | 1.51 | 0．91dr |  | 0.94 | 1.27 |  |
| Control Delay | 239.3 | 129.7 | 6.8 | 150.2 | 145.7 | 10.3 | 308.9 | 62.6 |  | 101.1 | 179.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 239.3 | 129.7 | 6.8 | 150.2 | 145.7 | 10.3 | 308.9 | 62.6 |  | 101.1 | 179.6 |  |
| LOS | F | F | A | F | F | B | F | E |  | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 126.2 |  |  | 131.9 |  |  | 121.5 |  |  | 147.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | ～198 | $\sim 1147$ | 40 | ～261 | $\sim 1376$ | 107 | $\sim 414$ | 410 |  | 302 | $\sim 1032$ |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃299 | \＃1227 | 103 | \＃376 | \＃1446 | 171 | \＃610 | 500 |  | \＃410 | \＃1294 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1420 |  |  | 1310 |  |  | 920 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 625 |  | 675 | 700 |  | 300 | 500 |  |  | 390 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 190 | 1921 | 911 | 343 | 2147 | 1019 | 167 | 936 |  | 534 | 565 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 1.33 | 1.17 | 0.33 | 1.10 | 1.22 | 0.35 | 1.51 | 0.86 |  | 0.93 | 1.27 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.51
Intersection Signal Delay： $131.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS：F
Intersection Capacity Utilization 123．8\％ICU Level of Service H

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
dr Defacto Right Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a right lane.
Splits and Phases: 1: Woodbury Rd \& SR 50


~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
dr Defacto Right Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a right lane.
Splits and Phases: 2: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | 个个个 | \％ | \％ 17 | 个个¢ | 7 | ＊ | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \％ | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 60 | 2720 | 940 | 370 | 2390 | 70 | 775 | 80 | 300 | 50 | 60 | 35 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 600 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1701 | 1583 | 1770 | 1758 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.961 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1701 | 1583 | 1770 | 1758 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 823 |  |  | 109 |  |  | 152 |  | 12 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 2625 |  |  | 1010 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 302 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 39.8 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 6.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 63 | 2863 | 989 | 389 | 2516 | 74 | 449 | 451 | 316 | 53 | 100 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 24.0 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 8.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 17.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.81 | 1.19 | 0.84 | 1.20 | 0.95 | 0.08 | 1.07 | 1.06 | 0.62 | 1.08 | 1.67 |  |
| Control Delay | 140.5 | 132.5 | 13.8 | 180.4 | 49.6 | 1.0 | 125.0 | 122.7 | 36.1 | 227.2 | 401.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 140.5 | 132.5 | 13.8 | 180.4 | 49.6 | 1.0 | 125.0 | 122.7 | 36.1 | 227.2 | 401.1 |  |
| LOS | F | F | B | F | D | A | F | F | D | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 102.6 |  |  | 65.5 |  |  | 101.1 |  |  | 340.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 75 | ～1489 | 183 | ～286 | 1013 | 0 | $\sim 614$ | $\sim 613$ | 176 | ～70 | ～157 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃170 | \＃1549 | 444 | \＃402 | 1080 | 8 | \＃862 | \＃857 | 292 | \＃175 | \＃298 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 2545 |  |  | 930 |  |  | 920 |  |  | 222 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 600 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 300 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 78 | 2401 | 1181 | 324 | 2655 | 878 | 420 | 425 | 509 | 49 | 60 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.81 | 1.19 | 0.84 | 1.20 | 0.95 | 0.08 | 1.07 | 1.06 | 0.62 | 1.08 | 1.67 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type： <br> Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.67

Intersection Signal Delay： 93.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 110．8\％
Intersection LOS：F
ICU Level of Service H

Analysis Period（min） 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 3: Avalon Park Blvd/Pilgrim St \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个种 | 「 | \％ | 蚔 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7 *}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 635 | 1710 | 110 | 50 | 1490 | 335 | 160 | 150 | 45 | 410 | 100 | 520 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 600 |  | 350 | 545 |  | 300 | 350 |  | 350 | 250 |  | 250 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 109 |  |  | 242 |  |  | 152 |  |  | 87 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1175 |  |  | 1645 |  |  | 500 |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 17.8 |  |  | 24.9 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 668 | 1800 | 116 | 53 | 1568 | 353 | 168 | 158 | 47 | 432 | 105 | 547 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 47.0 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 18.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 36.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 34.0 | 29.0 | 47.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 37.9 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 9.6 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 29.1 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 25.3 | 20.3 | 65.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.22 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.37 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.90 | 0.68 | 0.13 | 0.55 | 0.90 | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.87 | 0.49 | 0.85 |
| Control Delay | 82.8 | 33.9 | 4.7 | 102.9 | 63.6 | 16.6 | 77.1 | 83.9 | 0.8 | 92.0 | 81.4 | 55.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 82.8 | 33.9 | 4.7 | 102.9 | 63.6 | 16.6 | 77.1 | 83.9 | 0.8 | 92.0 | 81.4 | 55.6 |
| LOS | F | C | A | F | E | B | E | F | A | F | F | E |
| Approach Delay |  | 45.2 |  |  | 56.3 |  |  | 70.4 |  |  | 72.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 397 | 594 | 4 | 62 | 652 | 99 | 187 | 180 | 0 | 260 | 117 | 507 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃492 | 649 | 41 | 115 | 716 | 204 | 276 | 267 | 0 | \＃339 | 187 | 685 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1095 |  |  | 1565 |  |  | 420 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 600 |  | 350 | 545 |  | 300 | 350 |  | 350 | 250 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 786 | 2642 | 875 | 111 | 1776 | 710 | 294 | 256 | 348 | 530 | 234 | 663 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.68 | 0.13 | 0.48 | 0.88 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.82 | 0.45 | 0.83 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type：Other
Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 175.1
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.90

Intersection Signal Delay： 55.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 89．8\％
Intersection LOS：E
ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period（min） 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Chuluota School Rd/Chuluota Rd \& SR 50


## Build 2045

## AM Peak - Synchro Output

|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $\emptyset 1$ | $ø 2$ | ø6 |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 | 个4 |  |  | 个虫 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume（vph） | 120 | 285 | 1145 | 0 | 0 | 1070 |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 400 | 0 |  | 300 | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1583 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 5085 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 1583 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 5085 |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 878 |  | 175 |  |  | 388 |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 20.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 126 | 300 | 1205 | 0 | 0 | 1126 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 | 26 |  |  | 26 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 26.0 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  | 39.0 | 81.0 | 13.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 21.0 | 21.0 | 89.0 |  |  | 89.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.41 | 0.79 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay | 48.6 | 42.4 | 0.5 |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 30.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay | 78.8 | 42.4 | 0.6 |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | E | D | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 53.1 |  | 0.6 |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 88 | 130 | 0 |  |  | 91 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 149 | \＃265 | 0 |  |  | 109 |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 798 |  | 95 |  |  | 308 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 309 | 382 | 2624 |  |  | 3771 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 265 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 176 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 853 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.95 | 0.79 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 6：NBSB，Start of Green，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Pretimed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 10.7 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 57．6\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 6／26／2017 OPK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 1 |

Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Woodbury \& SR 408 Off Ramp


|  | $\dagger$ | 4 |  | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $ø 6$ | $\emptyset 8$ |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 个4 | 「 | ＊ | 个4 |  |  |  |
| Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 1145 | 80 | 190 | 1000 |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 | 0 |  | 300 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 880 |  | 590 |  |  | 175 |  |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 20.0 |  | 13.4 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 1205 | 84 | 200 | 1053 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  | 81.0 | 81.0 | 39.0 | 81.0 | 13.0 | 26.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  |  | 76.0 | 76.0 | 34.0 | 76.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.28 | 0.63 |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  |  | 0.54 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.47 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  | 13.3 | 1.9 | 47.3 | 9.7 |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  | 13.3 | 1.9 | 117.3 | 10.0 |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  | B | A | F | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 27.2 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） |  |  | 253 | 0 | 143 | 243 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） |  |  | 309 | 18 | 221 | 295 |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 800 |  | 510 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  |  | 2241 | 1033 | 501 | 2241 |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 354 | 571 |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  |  | 0.54 | 0.08 | 1.36 | 0.63 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 6：NBSB，Start of Green，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Pretimed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 19.8 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 57．6\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 6／26／2017 OPK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 3 |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Woodbury Rd/Woodbury \& SR 408 On Ramp


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | 7 | * |  | F | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 235 | 0 | 450 | 225 | 0 | 20 | 670 | 675 | 150 | 15 | 415 | 355 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 250 |  | 400 | 250 |  | 0 | 600 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 58 |  |  | 95 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 244 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 714 |  |  | 762 |  |  | 660 |  |  | 506 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 16.2 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 11.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 247 | 0 | 474 | 237 | 0 | 21 | 705 | 711 | 158 | 16 | 437 | 374 |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | pt+ov | Prot |  | pt+ov | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 |  | 45 | 3 |  | 81 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 |  |  | 48.0 |  |  | 44.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 14.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 29.2 |  | 84.6 | 25.5 |  | 42.9 | 37.7 | 87.1 | 87.1 | 6.9 | 50.9 | 50.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 |  | 0.56 | 0.17 |  | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.72 |  | 0.52 | 0.79 |  | 0.04 | 0.82 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.54 |
| Control Delay | 69.2 |  | 17.1 | 77.6 |  | 0.1 | 60.9 | 19.4 | 3.7 | 73.7 | 41.1 | 18.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 69.2 |  | 17.1 | 77.6 |  | 0.1 | 60.9 | 19.4 | 3.7 | 73.7 | 41.1 | 18.5 |
| LOS | E |  | B | E |  | A | E | B | A | E | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.4 |  |  | 31.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 235 |  | 222 | 225 |  | 0 | 337 | 209 | 2 | 15 | 173 | 99 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 324 |  | 234 | 305 |  | 0 | 387 | 291 | 43 | 41 | 256 | 238 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 634 |  |  | 682 |  |  | 580 |  |  | 426 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 400 | 250 |  |  | 600 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 100 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 377 |  | 932 | 472 |  | 555 | 894 | 2055 | 983 | 83 | 1200 | 698 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.66 |  | 0.51 | 0.50 |  | 0.04 | 0.79 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.54 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay: 37.6
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.1\%
ICU Level of Service C

| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lané Configurations |
| Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Storage Length (ft) |
| Storage Lanes |
| Taper Length (ft) |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| FIt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (mph) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Total Split (s) |
| Total Lost Time (s) |
| Act Effct Green (s) |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |
| v/c Ratio |
| Control Delay |
| Queue Delay |
| Total Delay |
| LOS |
| Approach Delay |
| Approach LOS |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |
| Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |
| Storage Cap Reductn |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: $\quad$ : Avalon Park \& SR 408 Extension Ramps



Splits and Phases: 4: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& to Chuluota


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | \% ${ }^{14}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 10 | 1260 | 85 | 485 | 1595 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 300 | 900 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1750 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.984 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1750 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 737 |  |  | 1151 |  |  | 664 |  |  | 401 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 10.1 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 9.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 11 | 1326 | 89 | 511 | 1679 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  |  |  |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 44.0 | 112.0 |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 16.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 | 37.0 | 105.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.25 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.60 | 0.68 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |
| Control Delay | 61.2 | 25.3 | 0.8 | 45.6 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 58.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 61.2 | 25.3 | 0.8 | 45.6 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 58.8 |  |
| LOS | E | C | A | D | B |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 24.1 |  |  | 22.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 58.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 10 | 465 | 0 | 231 | 474 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 31 | 543 | 8 | 293 | 584 |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 657 |  |  | 1071 |  |  | 584 |  |  | 321 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  | 300 | 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 188 | 1981 | 944 | 846 | 2477 |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.60 | 0.68 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWT and 6:SET, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.6
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.5\%
ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 5: SR 408 Extension On Ramp \& SR 50



Splits and Phases: 6: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7\％ | 个个4 | 7 | 7\％ | ¢4个 | 7 | \％ | 个t |  | \％ | 中 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 345 | 2120 | 240 | 235 | 2175 | 250 | 345 | 350 | 195 | 175 | 375 | 255 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 550 |  | 550 | 450 |  | 150 | 450 |  | 0 | 400 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3348 | 0 | 1770 | 3323 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3348 | 0 | 1770 | 3323 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 48 |  |  | 109 |  | 54 |  |  | 78 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 901 |  |  | 1164 |  |  | 915 |  |  | 681 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.7 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 363 | 2232 | 253 | 247 | 2289 | 263 | 363 | 573 | 0 | 184 | 663 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pm＋ov | Prot | NA | pm＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 3 | ， |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 23.0 | 90.0 | 39.0 | 17.0 | 84.0 | 28.0 | 39.0 | 45.0 |  | 28.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 18.0 | 84.0 | 124.0 | 12.0 | 78.0 | 107.0 | 34.0 | 39.0 |  | 23.0 | 28.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.59 | 0.19 | 0.22 |  | 0.13 | 0.16 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.06 | 0.94 | 0.23 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 0.27 | 1.09 | 0.75 |  | 0.81 | 1.14 |  |
| Control Delay | 138.6 | 54.6 | 8.8 | 157.5 | 79.1 | 10.6 | 139.4 | 66.3 |  | 102.5 | 138.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 138.6 | 54.6 | 8.8 | 157.5 | 79.1 | 10.6 | 139.4 | 66.3 |  | 102.5 | 138.6 |  |
| LOS | F | D | A | F | E | B | F | E |  | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 61.3 |  |  | 79.6 |  |  | 94.7 |  |  | 130.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ ft ） | $\sim 241$ | 911 | 82 | ～167 | $\sim 1063$ | 81 | $\sim 479$ | 307 |  | 215 | $\sim 436$ |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃354 | 978 | 123 | \＃268 | \＃1142 | 134 | \＃699 | 381 |  | \＃350 | \＃571 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 821 |  |  | 1084 |  |  | 835 |  |  | 601 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 550 |  | 550 | 450 |  | 150 | 450 |  |  | 400 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 343 | 2373 | 1105 | 228 | 2203 | 985 | 334 | 767 |  | 226 | 582 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 1.06 | 0.94 | 0.23 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 0.27 | 1.09 | 0.75 |  | 0.81 | 1.14 |  |

Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.14
Intersection Signal Delay： 80.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 107．9\％ICU Level of Service G

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 101: Woodbury \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 个触 |  |  | 坐虾 | ${ }^{7}$ | F＇ |
| Volume（vph） | 2100 | 0 | 0 | 3235 | 180 | 620 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 824 |  |  | 895 | 538 |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 18.7 |  |  | 20.3 | 12.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 3405 | 189 | 653 |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 132.0 |  |  | 132.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 126.0 |  |  | 126.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.70 |  |  | 0.70 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.62 |  |  | 0.96 | 0.46 | 0.97 |
| Control Delay | 15.3 |  |  | 32.7 | 63.5 | 93.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 15.3 |  |  | 32.7 | 63.5 | 93.6 |
| LOS | B |  |  | C | E | F |
| Approach Delay | 15.3 |  |  | 32.7 | 86.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | C | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 477 |  |  | 1259 | 194 | 425 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 513 |  |  | 1312 | 281 | \＃573 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 744 |  |  | 815 | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 3559 |  |  | 3559 | 413 | 670 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.62 |  |  | 0.96 | 0.46 | 0.97 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type：$\quad$ Other
Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （ $0 \%$ ），Referenced to phase 2：EBWB，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.97
Intersection Signal Delay： 33.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82．5\％ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period（min） 15
\＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．
SR 408 Extension 6／26／2017

Splits and Phases: 102: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50

| $\Psi_{02(R)}$ | $\mathbf{4}_{64}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 132 s |  | 48 s |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \％ 1 | 个个¢ | $\overline{7}$ | ＊ | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 50 | 1395 | 460 | 265 | 1865 | 65 | 560 | 60 | 250 | 80 | 70 | 60 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 250 |  | 500 | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1701 | 1583 | 0 | 3323 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.961 |  |  | 0.981 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1701 | 1583 | 0 | 3323 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 202 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 220 |  | 26 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1099 |  |  | 1266 |  |  | 987 |  |  | 623 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 25.0 |  |  | 28.8 |  |  | 22.4 |  |  | 14.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 53 | 1468 | 484 | 279 | 1963 | 68 | 324 | 328 | 263 | 0 | 221 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 28 | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 16.0 | 82.0 |  | 25.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.0 | 78.0 | 127.0 | 21.0 | 87.0 | 87.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 |  | 16.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.71 | 0.12 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 0.09 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.67 | 0.41 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.45 |  | 0.69 |  |
| Control Delay | 93.4 | 42.5 | 4.0 | 86.5 | 42.2 | 7.9 | 68.8 | 68.7 | 12.8 |  | 82.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 93.4 | 42.5 | 4.0 | 86.5 | 42.2 | 7.9 | 68.8 | 68.7 | 12.8 |  | 82.2 |  |
| LOS | F | D | A | F | D | A | E | E | B |  | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.5 |  |  | 46.6 |  |  | 52.7 |  |  | 82.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 61 | 504 | 55 | 166 | 707 | 8 | 366 | 371 | 38 |  | 120 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 114 | 557 | 83 | 221 | 767 | 38 | 495 | 502 | 126 |  | 171 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1019 |  |  | 1186 |  |  | 907 |  |  | 543 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 250 |  | 500 | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 118 | 2203 | 1176 | 400 | 2457 | 793 | 457 | 463 | 591 |  | 319 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.67 | 0.41 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.45 |  | 0.69 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.80
Intersection Signal Delay： 44.6
Intersection Capacity Utilization 76．0\％ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ 1 | 个个¢ | 7 | \％ | 个4¢ | 7 | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| Volume（vph） | 260 | 1240 | 260 | 185 | 1515 | 300 | 210 | 560 | 150 | 250 | 685 | 440 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3426 | 0 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3426 | 0 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 61 |  |  | 97 |  | 19 |  |  |  | 97 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 688 |  |  | 752 |  |  | 780 |  |  | 580 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.1 |  |  | 17.7 |  |  | 13.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 274 | 1305 | 274 | 195 | 1595 | 316 | 221 | 747 | 0 | 263 | 721 | 463 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pt}+\mathrm{v}$ | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pt}+\mathrm{ov}$ |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 45 | 3 | 8 | 81 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 67 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 24.0 | 71.0 |  | 23.0 | 70.0 |  | 35.0 | 60.0 |  | 26.0 | 51.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Efftt Green（s） | 17.0 | 64.0 | 99.0 | 16.0 | 63.0 | 89.0 | 29.0 | 54.0 |  | 20.0 | 45.0 | 69.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.30 |  | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.38 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.31 | 0.64 | 0.90 | 0.38 | 0.78 | 0.72 |  | 0.69 | 0.82 | 0.70 |
| Control Delay | 102.5 | 53.1 | 17.6 | 89.4 | 63.1 | 20.4 | 91.0 | 59.3 |  | 87.2 | 72.2 | 42.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 102.5 | 53.1 | 17.6 | 89.4 | 63.1 | 20.4 | 91.0 | 59.3 |  | 87.2 | 72.2 | 42.6 |
| LOS | F | D | B | F | E | C | F | E |  | F | E | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 55.1 |  |  | 59.1 |  |  | 66.5 |  |  | 65.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 167 | 491 | 131 | 117 | 656 | 157 | 254 | 407 |  | 157 | 426 | 371 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃244 | 547 | 195 | 164 | 721 | 236 | \＃378 | 486 |  | 210 | 508 | 515 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 608 |  |  | 672 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 500 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  |  | 300 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 324 | 1808 | 898 | 305 | 1779 | 831 | 285 | 1041 |  | 381 | 884 | 666 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.31 | 0.64 | 0.90 | 0.38 | 0.78 | 0.72 |  | 0.69 | 0.82 | 0.70 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay： 60.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization 88．9\％ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 104: Chuluota Rd \& SR 50

| ${ }_{01}$ | $\dagger_{\text {g2 (R) }}$ | $\checkmark 63$ | $\rightarrow 4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 s |  | 23 s | 71 s |  |
| 边 05 | $\not \square_{06}$ | ${ }^{1 / 87}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ |  |
| 35 s | 51 s | 24 s | 70 s |  |

## Build 2045

## PM Peak - Synchro Output

|  | 7 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | $ø 1$ | $ø 2$ | ø6 |  |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | 「 | 个4 |  |  | 个虫 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume（vph） | 80 | 190 | 880 | 0 | 0 | 1430 |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 400 | 0 |  | 300 | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1583 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 5085 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 1583 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 5085 |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 878 |  | 175 |  |  | 388 |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 20.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 84 | 200 | 926 | 0 | 0 | 1505 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 | 26 |  |  | 26 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  | 50.0 | 70.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 23.0 | 23.0 | 87.0 |  |  | 87.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.72 |  |  | 0.72 |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay | 43.5 | 8.7 | 0.4 |  |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay | 55.4 | 8.7 | 0.5 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | E | A | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 22.5 |  | 0.5 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 56 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 146 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 104 | 63 | 0 |  |  | 171 |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 798 |  | 95 |  |  | 308 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 339 | 465 | 2565 |  |  | 3686 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 592 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 226 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 868 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.43 | 0.47 |  |  | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 6：NBSB，Start of Green，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Pretimed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 6.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 48．4\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 6／26／2017 OPK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 1 |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Woodbury \& SR 408 Off Ramp


|  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | ø6 | $\emptyset 8$ |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 个4 |  |  |  |
| Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 880 | 120 | 285 | 1225 |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 | 0 |  | 300 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  | 126 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 880 |  | 590 |  |  | 175 |  |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 20.0 |  | 13.4 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 926 | 126 | 300 | 1289 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  | 70.0 | 70.0 | 50.0 | 70.0 | 22.0 | 28.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 45.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  |  | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.67 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  | 18.1 | 2.6 | 42.9 | 16.9 |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  | 18.1 | 2.6 | 106.3 | 17.0 |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  | B | A | F | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 33.9 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） |  |  | 224 | 0 | 220 | 380 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） |  |  | 278 | 28 | 323 | 457 |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 800 |  | 510 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） |  |  | 1916 | 915 | 663 | 1916 |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 445 | 77 |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio |  |  | 0.48 | 0.14 | 1.38 | 0.70 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 6：NBSB，Start of Green，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Pretimed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 26.9 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 48．4\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 Extension 6／26／2017 OPK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 8 Report Page 3 |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Woodbury Rd/Woodbury \& SR 408 On Ramp


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | F' | 7 |  | F' | 7 | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 335 | 0 | 670 | 150 | 0 | 15 | 450 | 415 | 225 | 20 | 675 | 235 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 250 |  | 400 | 250 |  | 0 | 600 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 1770 | 0 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 36 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 211 |  |  | 269 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 714 |  |  | 762 |  |  | 660 |  |  | 506 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 16.2 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 11.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 353 | 0 | 705 | 158 | 0 | 16 | 474 | 437 | 237 | 21 | 711 | 247 |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | pt+ov | Prot |  | pt+ov | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 |  | 45 | 3 |  | 81 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split (s) | 51.0 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 34.0 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 14.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 46.3 |  | 90.3 | 17.3 |  | 33.2 | 26.1 | 72.7 | 72.7 | 6.6 | 47.7 | 47.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.31 |  | 0.60 | 0.12 |  | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.65 |  | 0.73 | 0.77 |  | 0.03 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.63 | 0.36 |
| Control Delay | 52.0 |  | 24.4 | 88.5 |  | 0.1 | 69.6 | 25.1 | 5.7 | 78.1 | 48.0 | 4.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.0 |  | 24.4 | 88.5 |  | 0.1 | 69.6 | 25.1 | 5.7 | 78.1 | 48.0 | 4.7 |
| LOS | D |  | C | F |  | A | E | C | A | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.5 |  |  | 37.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 277 |  | 424 | 151 |  | 0 | 229 | 143 | 15 | 20 | 322 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 428 |  | 538 | 231 |  | 0 | 297 | 195 | 72 | 51 | 410 | 51 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 634 |  |  | 682 |  |  |  | 580 |  |  | 426 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 250 |  | 400 | 250 |  |  | 600 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 100 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 555 |  | 979 | 236 |  | 473 | 624 | 1715 | 876 | 79 | 1125 | 686 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.64 |  | 0.72 | 0.67 |  | 0.03 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.63 | 0.36 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79
Intersection Signal Delay: 39.2
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.8\% ICU Level of Service D

| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lané Configurations |
| Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Storage Length (ft) |
| Storage Lanes |
| Taper Length (ft) |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| FIt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (mph) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Total Split (s) |
| Total Lost Time (s) |
| Act Effct Green (s) |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |
| v/c Ratio |
| Control Delay |
| Queue Delay |
| Total Delay |
| LOS |
| Approach Delay |
| Approach LOS |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |
| Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |
| Storage Cap Reductn |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: $\quad 3:$ Avalon Park \& SR 408 Extension Ramps



Splits and Phases: 4: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& to Chuluota


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% 1 | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 10 | 1540 | 55 | 325 | 1345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 300 | 900 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1750 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.984 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1750 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 737 |  |  | 1151 |  |  | 664 |  |  | 401 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 10.1 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 9.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 11 | 1621 | 58 | 342 | 1416 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |  |  |  |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 104.0 | 104.0 | 32.0 | 113.0 |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 16.0 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 25.0 | 106.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 0.57 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Control Delay | 61.2 | 19.5 | 0.1 | 48.4 | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 61.2 | 19.5 | 0.1 | 48.4 | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| LOS | E | B | A | D | B |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.1 |  |  | 21.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 10 | 514 | 0 | 163 | 368 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 31 | 596 | 0 | 217 | 478 |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 657 |  |  | 1071 |  |  | 584 |  |  | 321 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  | 300 | 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 188 | 2288 | 1069 | 572 | 2500 |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 0.57 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWT and 6:SET, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.8
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 72.7\% ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 5: SR 408 Extension On Ramp \& SR 50



Splits and Phases: 6: SR 408 Extension Off Ramp \& SR 50

|  | $\rightarrow 04$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 s | 104 s |  |
|  | $\leftarrow_{68}$ |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ 1 | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \％ | 个个¢ | 7 | \％ | 个t |  | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 255 | 2175 | 345 | 195 | 2120 | 175 | 250 | 375 | 235 | 250 | 350 | 380 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 550 |  | 550 | 450 |  | 150 | 450 |  | 0 | 400 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3334 | 0 | 1770 | 3263 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3334 | 0 | 1770 | 3263 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 64 |  |  | 55 |  | 66 |  |  | 123 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 901 |  |  | 1164 |  |  | 915 |  |  | 681 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.7 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Trafic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 268 | 2289 | 363 | 205 | 2232 | 184 | 263 | 642 | 0 | 263 | 768 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pt}+\mathrm{v}$ | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | ， | 23 | ， | 6 | 67 |  | ， |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 20.0 | 91.0 |  | 17.0 | 88.0 |  | 32.0 | 39.0 |  | 33.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.0 | 85.0 | 117.0 | 11.0 | 82.0 | 115.0 | 26.0 | 33.0 |  | 27.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.18 |  | 0.15 | 0.19 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.35 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.18 | 1.03 | 0.97 |  | 0.99 | 1.07 |  |
| Control Delay | 135.9 | 55.8 | 12.4 | 138.9 | 59.2 | 9.5 | 136.6 | 91.6 |  | 127.3 | 110.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 135.9 | 55.8 | 12.4 | 138.9 | 59.2 | 9.5 | 136.6 | 91.6 |  | 127.3 | 110.4 |  |
| LOS | F | E | B | F | E | A | F | F |  | F | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 57.8 |  |  | 62.0 |  |  | 104.7 |  |  | 114.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | $\sim 167$ | 942 | 150 | 127 | 931 | 58 | ～331 | 365 |  | 316 | $\sim 462$ |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃271 | 1010 | 212 | \＃219 | \＃1005 | 96 | \＃529 | \＃496 |  | \＃517 | \＃602 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 821 |  |  | 1084 |  |  | 835 |  |  | 601 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 550 |  | 550 | 450 |  | 150 | 450 |  |  | 400 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 267 | 2401 | 1051 | 209 | 2316 | 1031 | 255 | 665 |  | 265 | 716 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.35 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.18 | 1.03 | 0.97 |  | 0.99 | 1.07 |  |

Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.07
Intersection Signal Delay： 72.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 104．0\％ICU Level of Service G

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 101: Woodbury \& SR 50


| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 个触 |  |  | 个个4 | \％ | 「＂ |
| Volume（vph） | 2480 | 0 | 0 | 2720 | 155 | 755 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 0 | 0 | 5085 | 1770 | 2787 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 824 |  |  | 895 | 538 |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 18.7 |  |  | 20.3 | 12.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 2611 | 0 | 0 | 2863 | 163 | 795 |
| Turn Type | NA |  |  | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 117.0 |  |  | 117.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 111.0 |  |  | 111.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.62 |  |  | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.83 |  |  | 0.91 | 0.29 | 0.90 |
| Control Delay | 30.3 |  |  | 36.0 | 48.1 | 72.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.3 |  |  | 36.0 | 48.1 | 72.1 |
| LOS | C |  |  | D | D | E |
| Approach Delay | 30.3 |  |  | 36.0 | 68.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | D | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 860 |  |  | 1051 | 146 | 510 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 914 |  |  | 1109 | 216 | \＃635 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） | 744 |  |  | 815 | 458 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 3135 |  |  | 3135 | 560 | 885 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.83 |  |  | 0.91 | 0.29 | 0.90 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type：$\quad$ Other
Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBWB，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.91

```
Intersection Signal Delay： 38.4
Intersection LOS：D
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 84．3\％ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period（min） 15
\＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．
SR 408 Extension 6／26／2017

Splits and Phases: 102: SR 408 Off Ramp \& SR 50

| $\Psi_{02}(\mathrm{R})$ | $\mathrm{r}_{04}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 117 s |  | 63 s |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \% ${ }^{17}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 60 | 1865 | 560 | 250 | 1395 | 80 | 460 | 70 | 265 | 65 | 60 | 50 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 250 |  | 500 | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | , |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1706 | 1583 | 0 | 3326 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.964 |  |  | 0.982 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1681 | 1706 | 1583 | 0 | 3326 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 202 |  |  | 72 |  |  | 194 |  | 26 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (f) |  | 1099 |  |  | 1266 |  |  | 987 |  |  | 623 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 25.0 |  |  | 28.8 |  |  | 22.4 |  |  | 14.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 63 | 1963 | 589 | 263 | 1468 | 84 | 276 | 282 | 279 | 0 | 184 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt+ov | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 28 | 1 | 6 |  | - | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 17.0 | 91.0 |  | 24.0 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 13.0 | 87.0 | 130.0 | 20.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 14.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.72 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 |  | 0.08 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.53 |  | 0.65 |  |
| Control Delay | 94.3 | 42.2 | 4.8 | 87.2 | 29.9 | 5.8 | 72.5 | 72.6 | 21.8 |  | 80.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 94.3 | 42.2 | 4.8 | 87.2 | 29.9 | 5.8 | 72.5 | 72.6 | 21.8 |  | 80.6 |  |
| LOS | F | D | A | F | C | A | E | E | C |  | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 35.1 |  |  | 37.1 |  |  | 55.6 |  |  | 80.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | 73 | 707 | 81 | 157 | 420 | 7 | 315 | 323 | 84 |  | 97 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 130 | 767 | 118 | 210 | 464 | 37 | 435 | 444 | 188 |  | 145 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1019 |  |  | 1186 |  |  | 907 |  |  | 543 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 500 | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 127 | 2457 | 1199 | 381 | 2655 | 861 | 401 | 407 | 525 |  | 282 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.53 |  | 0.65 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 180
Actuated Cycle Length: 180
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80
Intersection Signal Delay: 40.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 74.4\%
ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个禹年 | 「 | ${ }^{*} 1$ |  | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 个4 | F＇ |
| Volume（vph） | 440 | 1515 | 210 | 150 | 1240 | 250 | 260 | 685 | 185 | 300 | 560 | 260 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3426 | 0 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 3426 | 0 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 61 |  |  | 139 |  | 20 |  |  |  | 97 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 688 |  |  | 752 |  |  | 780 |  |  | 580 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.1 |  |  | 17.7 |  |  | 13.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 463 | 1595 | 221 | 158 | 1305 | 263 | 274 | 916 | 0 | 316 | 589 | 274 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pt＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 45 | 3 | 8 | 81 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 67 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 35.0 | 74.0 |  | 18.0 | 57.0 |  | 40.0 | 62.0 |  | 26.0 | 48.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 28.0 | 67.0 | 107.0 | 11.0 | 50.0 | 76.0 | 34.0 | 56.0 |  | 20.0 | 42.0 | 77.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.31 |  | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.43 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.23 | 0.76 | 0.92 | 0.35 | 0.82 | 0.85 |  | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay | 91.0 | 56.8 | 12.7 | 104.9 | 74.6 | 17.2 | 89.8 | 65.4 |  | 96.5 | 69.1 | 23.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 91.0 | 56.8 | 12.7 | 104.9 | 74.6 | 17.2 | 89.8 | 65.4 |  | 96.5 | 69.1 | 23.5 |
| LOS | F | E | B | F | E | B | F | E |  | F | E | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 59.5 |  |  | 68.6 |  |  | 71.1 |  |  | 65.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 279 | 632 | 84 | 96 | 553 | 94 | 315 | 526 |  | 191 | 341 | 140 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃368 | 695 | 132 | \＃151 | \＃619 | 171 | \＃462 | 618 |  | \＃266 | 414 | 220 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 608 |  |  | 672 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 500 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  |  | 300 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 534 | 1892 | 965 | 209 | 1412 | 748 | 334 | 1079 |  | 381 | 825 | 732 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.23 | 0.76 | 0.92 | 0.35 | 0.82 | 0.85 |  | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.37 |

Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 180
Actuated Cycle Length： 180
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT，Start of Green
Control Type：Pretimed
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.92
Intersection Signal Delay： 65.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91．6\％ICU Level of Service F

Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 104: Chuluota Rd \& SR 50


## APPENDIX F - CONCEPT PLANS

## CONCEPT PLANS

INDEX OF ROADWAY PLANS sheet description
KEY SHEET

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { REY SHEEI } \\
2.4 & \text { PROJECT LAYOUT } \\
5-11 & \text { TYPICAL SECTIONS }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
5.11 & \text { TYPICAL SECTIO } \\
12.53 & \text { PLAN SHEETS }
\end{array}
$$

SR 408 EASTERN EXTENSION ORANGE COUNTY (75008)


## ROADWAY PLANS

 ENGINEER OF RECORDC. BRIAN FULLER, P.E. 49542
METRIC ENGINEERING, IN METRIC ENGINEERING, INC.
615 CRESCENT EXECUTIVE CT. SUITE 524 LAKE MARY, FLORIDA 32746 TELL ( 407 )
FAX. (407) $644-1898$
64376

VENDOR NO:: F.59-1685550
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION 2294
CFX PROJECT MANAGER Jonathan williamson, aic

GOVERNING STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS
Florida Department of Transportation, July 2018 Standard Specifications
for Road and Bridge Construction at the following website:
http://www.fdot.gov/programmanagement/Implemented/SpecBooks

## governing standard plans

Forida Department of Transportation, FY2018-19 Standard Plans for Road and Florida Department of Transportation, FY2018-19 Standard
Bridge Construction and applicable Interim Revisions (IRs).

Standard Plans for Road Construction and associated lRs are avainable at the
Applicable IRs: IR536-001-01, IR521-001-01
Component.






TYPICAL SECTION
STA $358+41.08$ SR 408
STA 358+41.08 TO STA 731+27.29

CURRENT YEAR $=2017$ AADT $=N / A$
ESTIMATED OPENING YEAR $=2025$ AADT $=8,600-20,500$
ESTIMATED DESIGN YEAR $=2045$ AADT $=13,300-35,500$
$K=9 \% \quad D=60 \% T=2 \%$ (24 HOUR)
DESIGN SPEED $=65-70 \mathrm{MPH}$

| REVISIONS |  |  |  | C. BRIAN FULLER, P.E. <br> P.E. LICENSE NUMBER 49524 METRIC ENGINEERING, INC. 615 CRESCENT EXECUTIVE CT, SUITE 524 LAKE MARY, FLORIDA 32746 CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION: 2294 | CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESS WAY AUTHORITY |  |  | TYPIICAL SECTION | SHEETNo. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DESCRIPTION | DATE | DESCRIPTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ROAD NO. | COUNTY | FInANCIAL PROJECT ID |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 408 | ORANGE | 408254 |  | 5 |



TYPICAL SECTION
(SINGLE LANE RAMP)

## new construction

DESIGN SPEED
DIRECTIONAL RAMP $=50 \mathrm{MPH}$
LOOP RAMP $=30 \mathrm{MPH}$


(TWO LANE RAMP)
new construction

DESIGN SPEED $=50 \mathrm{MPH}$

| DATE | DESCRIPTION | ONS | DESCRIPTION | ```C. BRIAN FULLER, P.E. P.E. LICENSE NUMBER 49524 METRIC ENGINEERING, INC. 615 CRESCENT EXECUTIVE CT, SUITE 524 LAKE MARY, FLORIDA 32746 CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION: 2294``` | CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITTY |  |  | TYPICCAL SECTIION | $\begin{gathered} \text { SHEET } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ROAD No. | COUNTY | FINANCIAL PROJECT ID |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 408 | orange | 408254 |  | 7 |





TYPICAL SECTION
STA 3000+00.00 TO STA 3019+03.14

| DATE | DESCRIPTION | ONS | DESCRIPTION | C. BRIAN FULLER, P.E. <br> P.E. LICENSE NUMBER 49524 <br> METRIC ENGINEERING, INC. <br> 615 CRESCENT EXECUTIVE CT, SUITE 524 <br> LAKE MARY, FLORIDA 32746 <br> CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION: 2294 | CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITTY |  |  | TYPICCAL SECTIION | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SHEET } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ROAD No. | COUNTY | FINANCIAL PROJECT ID |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 408 | orange | 408254 |  | 9 |



| Rever REVISIONS |  |  |  | C. BRIAN FULLER, P.E. <br> P.E. LICENSE NUMBER 49524 METRIC ENGINEERING, INC. <br> 615 CRESCENT EXECUTIVE CT, SUITE 524 <br> LAKE MARY, FLORIDA 32746 <br> CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION: 2294 | CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY |  |  | TYPICCAL SECTION | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { SHEET } \\ \text { NO. } \end{array} \\ \hline 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DESCRIPTION | DATE | DESCRIPTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ROAD No. | COUNTY | FINANCIAL PROJECT ID |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 408 | orange | 408254 |  |  |



TYPICAL SECTION
SR 50 (4-LANE)
STA 5001+14.28 TO STA 5031+00.00












































## TYPICAL SECTION PACKAGE

## $C E \mathbb{N T R A L} F L O R I D A$ EXPRESSWAY

## TYPICAL SECTIION PACKAGE

ORANGE COUNTY (75008160)
STATE ROAD NO. 408
SR 408 EASTERN EXTENSION PD\&E STUDY
(FROM CURRENT EASTERN TERMINUS NEAR WOODBURY ROAD TO SR 50, NEAR SR 520)

CFX PROJECT NO. 408-254


PROIECT LOCATION MAP

## PROJECT IDENTIFICATION



PROJECT CONTROLS


LIST ANY POTENTIAL EXCEPTIONS AND VARIATIONS RELATED TO TYPICAL SECTION ELEMENTS:


LIST OTHER INFORMATION PERTINENT TO DESIGN OF PROJECT:
INTERCHANGES - CHALLENGER PARKWAY, AVALON PARK, CR 419, SR 50

## PROJECT IDENTIFICATION




PROPOSED ROADWAY TYPICAL SECTION



| CFX PROJECT NO. _408-254 <br> SECTION No. 75008160 <br>  | PROJECT IDENTIFICATION fEDERAL AID PROJECT No. N/A RoAD DESIGNATION SR 408 |  | COUNTY NAME ORANGE LIMITS/MILEPOST N/A WOODBURY ROAD TO SR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROPOSED ROADWAY TYPICAL SECTION |  |  |  |  |
| TYPICAL SECTION(SINGLE LANE RAMP) |  |  |  |  |
|  | CFX CONCURREN |  | CFX APPROVAL |  |
|  |  | Date |  |  |



и6р:8LOZ:8I $\angle 0^{-}$LOOч
PROJECT IDENTIFICATION
PROPOSED ROADWAY TYPICAL SECTION
SR 408 EB OVER CHALLENGER PARKWAY RAMPS DESIGN SPEED $=65 \mathrm{MPH}$

| $\begin{gathered} P . E . \\ 524 \end{gathered}$ | CFX CONCURRENCE |  | CFX APPROVAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 19 / 18$ | Jonathan Williamson, AICP CFX Project Manager | Date | Glenn M. Pressimone, PE CFX Director of Engineering | Date |

11:01:33 AM U:\PROJECTS \SR 408 PD CE_CF <br>408254 \roadway TYYDRDOO1_07.18.2018.dgn





11:01:35 AM U:\PROJECTS \SR 408 PD\&E_CF $\backslash 408254$ rooadway \TYPDRDO1_07.18.2018.dgn

## PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

PROPOSED ROADWAY TYPICAL SECTION

11:01:35 AM U:\PROJECTS $\backslash S R 408$ PD\&E_CFX\408254 4 roadway $\backslash T Y P D R D O 1 \_07.18 .2018 . d g n$

## APPENDIX G - COORDINATION

# Meeting Minutes for Access Management Meeting for SR 50 <br> Mesing Man 

| CFX Project No．： | 408－254 |
| :--- | :--- |
| County： | Orange（75008160） |
| State Road： | SR 408 |
| Location： | District 5 Headquarters |

CFX Project No．：
County：
State Road：
Location：

District 5 Headquarters

DESIGN \＆SURVEY FAX：
（4ロ7）644－1921
TRAFFIC DPS \＆ITS FAX：
（4ロ7）644－2376
WWW．METRICENG．CDM

The following are minutes of the meeting held on Friday，March 9， 2018 on the above referenced project．

## Attendees：

Suraj Pamulapati，FDOT<br>Brian Fuller，Metric<br>Michael Sanders，FDOT

The meeting began with Mr．Fuller providing a brief overview of the project description utilizing the current roll plot of the project．and current project schedule．A public hearing for SR 408 is schedule for April 2018．It was also noted that FDOT is just starting their PD\＆E project for SR 50 adjacent to the SR 408 PD\＆E．
－Project Overview－The SR 408 PD\＆E project is for the extension on SR 408 to the east from its current terminus to a proposed connection to SR 50 west of SR 520. Improvement to SR 50 were required due to the need for dual left turn lanes from WB SR 50 onto WB SR 408．The existing 20－ft median would not support dual lefts．As part of the improvements to SR 50 several existing median openings were proposed to be closed．
－Discussion－Mr．Pamulapati referenced the previous access management study that was prepared for SR 50 in 2016．The proposed connection point to SR 50 was in－line with the FDOT＇s access management study location for a full median opening．In addition，the median openings proposed to be closed as part of the improvement to SR 50 were also shown to be closed in the access management study．Based on this information there was no objection to the current concept．

Action Item－Mr．Fuller provided a pdf and associated CADD files of the overall roll plot of the proposed SR 408 concept utilized during the meeting to Mr．Pamulapati and Mr． Sanders．Sent out on March 12， 2018.

Action Item－Mr．Pamulapati provided a pdf of the latest access management study on SR 50．Sent out on March 09， 2018.

Please contact Brian Fuller at（407）644－1898 if there are any changes or additions to the minutes．
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## 1. Introduction/Project Description

This section of SR 50 is located in Orange County, Florida (Roadway ID 75060000). It is classified as an Urban Principal Arterial that is part of the State Highway System. The west end of the project ties into another widening project, currently under design, that begins at Avalon Park Boulevard/Pilgrim Street and ends east of the intersection with Chuluota Road (CR 419)/East River Falcons Way (Financial Project ID 239203-7-32-01). The project extends east approximately 3.10 miles to SR 520, where the roadway section transitions to match the existing four-lane divided roadway. The existing roadway is a rural fourlane divided roadway with 12 -foot travel lanes and 4 -foot paved outside shoulders. The existing right-ofway width is 200 feet. Figure 1 shows the project location map.

The project includes adding lanes and reconstructing SR 50 to an urban six-lane divided highway. Two typical sections were selected for this project: an Urban section from the beginning of the project limits to east of Old Cheney Highway, and a High Speed Urban section from east of Old Cheney Highway to the end of the project limits. The Urban Typical Section consists of three 11-foot travel lanes, a 7-foot bike lane, and a 5-foot sidewalk in each direction of travel, separated by a 32-foot raised median. The High Speed Urban Typical Section provides three 12-foot travel lanes, a 7 -foot bike lane, and a 5 -foot sidewalk in each direction, separated by a 32 -foot raised median that includes a 6.5 -foot inside shoulder. The Design Speed for the project mainline for horizontal and vertical geometry is 45 miles per hour (mph) between Chuluota Road (CR 419) to Old Cheney Highway and 50 mph from Old Cheney Highway to SR 520.

Arcadis U.S., Inc. was retained by Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Five to complete an Access Management Report for this roadway widening project. This Access Management Report will identify the locations and designs of the median openings to be applied for this project.

## 2. Methodology

Administrative Rule Chapter 14-97 defined in the FDOT's Median Handbook establishes the seven classifications for state highways that contain separation standards for access features. Medians and median openings are regulated through the requirement for a restrictive median in certain classes. For those classes, spacings between median openings are regulated.

The Access Management Standards and the spacing criteria are shown in Figure 2. The access management classification for the project corridor is Class 3. Access Class 3 corresponds to roadways that are controlled access facilities where direct access to abutting land is controlled to maximize the operation of the through traffic movement. The land use adjacent to these roadways is generally not extensively developed and/or the probability of significant land use change exists. Under access management Class 3, directional median openings are allowed at $1 / 4$ mile ( $1,320-\mathrm{ft}$ ) spacing and full median opening at $1 / 2$ mile ( $2,640-\mathrm{ft}$ ).

A change in the current access management class is not anticipated at this time for this project, given the generally rural and high speed nature of this roadway alignment. The proposed median spacings on this project will not place a median opening in the close proximity of traffic queues from a signalized intersection as this would increase the number of conflict points and the potential for crashes.


| Class | Medians | Median Openings |  | Signal | Connection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full | Directional |  | More than 45 mph Posted Speed | 45 mph and less Posted Speed |
| 2 | Restrictive w/Service Roads | 2,640 | 1,320 | 2,640 | 1,320 | 660 |
| 3 | Restrictive | 2,640 | 1,320 | 2,640 | 660 | 440 |
| 4 | Non-Restrictive |  |  | 2,640 | 660 | 440 |
| 5 | Restrictive | at re, 640 <br> $\substack{\text { aterttran } 45 \text { mph } \\ \text { Posted } \\ \text { Speed }}$ | 660 |  | 440 | 245 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,320 \\ \text { At 45 mph or less } \\ \text { Posted Speed } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,320 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { At 45 mph or less } \\ \text { Posted Speed } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 6 | Non-Restrictive |  |  | 1,320 | 440 | 245 |
| 7 | Both Median Types | 660 | 330 | 1,320 | 125 | 125 |

Figure 2: Access Management Standards from Rule 14-97
Chuluota Road (CR 419)/East River Falcons Way and CR 13 are the two signalized intersections along SR 50 in the project study area. Therefore, the two signalized intersections and SR 520 towards the end of the project are considered anchor points for determining appropriate spacing under current conditions. These anchor points can also be valid reference points as and when this corridor develops and transitions to a future access Class 5, where adjacent land use has been extensively developed and where the probability of major land use change is not high.

A "Project Traffic for PD\&E and Design, Design Traffic/ESAL Forecasts" Technical Memorandum was prepared by the Department (Attachment A) and was provided to the Design team. This technical memorandum contained four-hour turning movement counts at seven locations along SR 50: Shepard Road, approximately 1,760' east of Chuluota Road (CR 419); Belvedere Road/3rd Street, approximately 5,990' east of Chuluota Road (CR 419); CR 13, approximately 7,890' east of Chuluota Road (CR 419); Massachusetts St/7th St, approximately 930' east of CR 13; Berkeley Street, approximately 1,580' east of CR 13; Claredon Street, approximately $1,880^{\prime}$ east of CR 13 ; and Exeter Street, approximately 2,970' east of CR 13.

These seven full median openings are considered candidates for modification. Turning movement counts (TMC) were conducted between the hours of 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM to incorporate AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes.

A median access management plan was developed for the corridor using the information obtained from field observations, traffic count data, crash data, access management spacing requirements and the Orange County 2010 - 2030 Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map (Attachment B).

## 3. Existing Access Management Conditions

A total of 23 full median openings exist under the current conditions along SR 50 including the Chuluota Road (CR 419) signalized intersection. The SR 50 corridor is rural in nature, with surrounding land use that primarily consists of rural vacant lands, with residential and commercial properties. The development is more urban in nature with more dense commercial properties and residences near the Chuluota Road (CR 419)/East River Falcons Way and CR 13 signalized intersections. There is a middle
school and a high school near the beginning of the project at the west end of the SR 50 corridor on Chuluota Road (CR 419)/East River Falcons Way.

Table 1 outlines the roadway geometric information summarized from the Straight Line Diagram (SLD) (Attachment C) along the project corridor. Figure 3 provides an aerial view of the existing corridor and surrounding land use along with the relative median opening spacing.

Table 2 provides the median opening locations and types along the study corridor. SR 50 changes from Access Management Class 3 to Class 5 near MP 9.455 ( 7.01 miles to the west of the project limits). To the east, the study segment is Class 3 all the way to the Brevard County line. This is due to the generally rural and high speed nature of this roadway (Refer to SLD in Attachment C).

Table 1: Roadway Geometric Information

|  <br> Project Limits | SR 50 between Chuluota Road (CR 419) / East River Falcons Way and SR 520 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Length | Approximately 3.10 miles |
| Functional <br> Classification | Other Urban Principal Arterial |
| AADT | 25,000 vehicles per day (2015 reported) |
| Number of <br> Lanes | 4 lane Bidirectional Facility |
| Lane Width | 12-ft |
| Shoulder | Outside Shoulders - 4-ft paved and 6-ft vegetation |
| Horizontal <br> Curves | Two: one near CR 13 and other near SR 520 towards the ending of the <br> project limits |
| Median Width <br> \& Type | $19-\mathrm{ft}$ Curb and Vegetation |
| Number of <br> Signalized <br> Intersections | Two: Chuluota Road (CR 419)/East River Falcons Way \& CR 13 |
| Left Turn <br> Treatment | Left turn lanes are in place for both signalized intersections; 1,100' east of <br> Chuluota Road; the eastbound approach of the full median opening 2,420' <br> east of Chuluota Road; the westbound approach to Cox Rd; and the <br> westbound approach to Orlando Speed World Dragway entrance |
| Sidewalks | No sidewalks or bike lanes are present along the study area |
| Lighting | A street lighting system is not in place |
| Posted Speed <br> Limit | 45 mph from Chuluota Road (CR 419) to 2,160' east of CR 13, and 55 mph <br> from 2,160' east of CR 13 to SR 520. |






Table 2: Existing Median Opening Locations and Type

| No. | Median Opening Location | Type | Spacing (ft) | Meets Class 3 Spacing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Chuluota Rd (CR 419)/East River Falcons Way | Full/Signal |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,100 | NO |
| 2 | Old Cheney Hwy | WB Directional |  |  |
|  |  |  | 660 | NO |
| 3 | Shepard Rd | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 660 | NO |
| 4 | Unlimited Used Auto Parts Driveway | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 410 | NO |
| 5 | Cox Rd/Tammy's Cafe Driveway | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,050 | NO |
| 6 | 1,050-ft east of Cox Rd | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,070 | NO |
| 7 | 1,040-ft west of Belvedere Rd/3 ${ }^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{St}$ | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,040 | NO |
| 8 | Belvedere Rd/3 ${ }^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{St}$ | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 720 | NO |
| 9 | 720-ft east of Belvedere Rd/3 ${ }^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{St}$ | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,180 | NO |
| 10 | CR 13 | Full/Signal |  |  |
|  |  |  | 930 | NO |
| 11 | Massachusetts Ave/7 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 650 | NO |
| 12 | Berkeley St/ABC Auto Salvage Driveway | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 300 | NO |
| 13 | Claredon St | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 270 | NO |
| 14 | 270-ft east of Claredon St | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 820 | NO |
| 15 | Exeter St | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 300 | NO |
| 16 | 300-ft east of Exeter St | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,300 | NO |
| 17 | Old Cheney Hwy/Farm and Pet Outlet Driveway | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 380 | NO |
| 18 | Lansing St | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 470 | NO |
| 19 | 470-ft east of Lansing St | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,100 | NO |
| 20 | 330-ft west of Orlando Speed World Dragway | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 330 | NO |
| 21 | Orlando Speed World Dragway | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 740 | NO |
| 22 | 740-ft east of Orlando Speed World Dragway | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 520 | NO |
| 23 | 520-ft west of SR 520 | Full |  |  |
|  |  |  | 680 | NO |
| 24 | SR 520 | Full |  |  |

Figure 4 shows the existing roadway connectivity within the study corridor. The roadway connectivity map shows good connectivity between Chuluota Road (CR 419)/East River Falcons Way and Old Cheney Highway along SR 50 with multiple access points to SR 50 at Shepard Street, Belvedere Road/3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street, CR 13, Massachusetts Street/ $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, Berkeley Street, Claredon Street, Exeter Street and Old Cheney Highway. This indicates that access modification or consolidation would not have any negative impacts on traffic operations along SR 50 within the project study area.

## 4. Existing Turning Movement Count Data

Recent turning movement counts (TMCs) were provided by the Department for seven existing median opening (Full or Signal/Full) intersections. A summary of all traffic count locations and count times is described in Table 3, and all referenced count information is included as Attachment A.

Table 3: Turning Movement Count Data

| Milepost | Intersection | TMC Date | TMC Periods | Peak Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.877 | SR 50 at Shepard Road | $\begin{gathered} \text { 08/11/2015 \& } \\ 08 / 18 / 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7:00-9:00 AM \& } \\ \text { 4:00-6:00 PM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7:00-8:00 AM \& } \\ \text { 4:45-5:45 PM } \end{gathered}$ |
| 17.677 | SR 50 at Belvedere Road / 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ St |  |  |  |
| 18.046 | SR 50 at CR 13 |  |  |  |
| 18.222 | SR 50 at Massachusetts St/7 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St |  |  |  |
| 18.341 | SR 50 at Berkeley Street |  |  |  |
| 18.402 | SR 50 at Claredon Street |  |  |  |
| 18.604 | SR 50 at Exeter Street |  |  |  |

The recommended Design Traffic Factors from the Project Traffic for PD\&E and Design, Design Traffic/ESAL Forecasts Technical Memorandum are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Design Traffic Factors Recommended Values

| Design Traffic Factors | SR 50 <br> (from CR 419 to SR 520) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Peak Hour Factor <br> (K-factor) | $9.5 \%$ |
| Directional Distribution Factor <br> (D-factor) | $52.6 \%$ |
| Daily Truck Percentage <br> $\left(T_{24}\right)$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Peak Hour Truck Percentage <br> $\left(T_{f}-1 / 2\right.$ of $\left.T_{24}\right)$ | $2.8 \%$ |

The existing AM and PM peak hour turning movement counts are shown in Figure 5. The existing AM and PM peak hour counts show very minimal cross street and mainline left turning volumes near six of the seven intersections counted. The signalized intersection of SR 50 and CR 13 showed considerable turning movement volume when compared to other intersections within the study area.



## 5. Existing Crash Data

Crash data was obtained from the FDOT's Safety Office for the latest five year period (2009 to 2013). This data was evaluated within the study area limits of the project along SR 50 between Chuluota Road (CR 419) / East River Falcons Way and SR 520 to quantify the frequency and severity of crashes. The locations of the crashes used for this analysis are shown in Figure 6 and Attachment D provides the crash data used for the analysis.

Over the five-year span, 227 crashes occurred in the approximately 3-mile long segment of SR 50. Of the crashes recorded in this study area, approximately 3 percent resulted in a fatality, 57 percent recorded an injury, and 40 percent only resulted in property damage as summarized in Table 5 . Figure 7 shows a synopsis of the crashes by their severity.

Table 5: Study Area Crash Data Summary

| Year | PDO* $^{*}$ | Injury | Fatality | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 16 | 19 | 0 | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| 2010 | 26 | 34 | 1 | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |
| 2011 | 15 | 32 | 2 | 49 |
| 2012 | 15 | 23 | 1 | 39 |
| 2013 | 20 | 21 | 2 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |
| Total | 92 | $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ |
| Note: <br> *- Property Damage Only |  |  |  |  |

To identify time frames with high frequencies of crashes, a time of the day and day of the week analysis was performed on the crash database as shown in Figures 8 and 9. It is evident from Figure 8 that most crashes occur during the afternoon peak period (4 PM - 7 PM ) and the night off peak period (7 PM -
12 AM). From Figure 9, we see that more crashes occur on Fridays and Saturdays when compared to the other days of the week. The time of day and day of week during which most of the crashes occur suggest incidents may be more prevalent during leisure times. Further investigation revealed approximately eight percent of the crashes within the study area involved alcohol or drugs. Reviewing the crash data in greater detail revealed that 68 percent of the incidents occurred during dark conditions with no street lighting. The poor visibility conditions may be attributed to the high percentage of crashes during the off peak and dark night times. Further evaluation will be required to determine if more adequate lighting is needed to improve safety along this roadway corridor.

The collision types within the study area were evaluated to understand the most predominant crash types and the causes for these particular crash types. Figures 10 and 11 illustrate the predominant crash types and the various contributing causes for these crashes. Nearly 83 percent of the incidents along SR 50 were the result of either rear end ( 47 percent) or angle collisions ( 36 percent) (refer Figure 10). This is because of the presence of many median openings along the corridor that do not meet the current access management regulations that cause stop and go conditions, where vehicles stop to make turns at these median openings. An effective access management plan that consolidates redundant access provisions will be evaluated in this study to improve safety along the project study area.



## ORANGE COUNTY

# Meeting Minutes for Typical Section Coordination with Orange County 

CFX Project No.: SR 408 Eastern Extension, 408-254
County Roads:
Location:
Woodbury, Avalon and CR 419
Orange County Public Works

The following are minutes to the meeting held on Wednesday, March 21, 2018.

## Attendees:

Ghulam Qadir, Orange County Public Works<br>Raymond Williams, Orange County Public Works<br>Mark Massaro, Orange County Public Works<br>Renzo Nastasi, Orange County Transportation Planning<br>Brian Sanders, Orange County Transportation Planning<br>Chandra Raman, Metric Engineering, Inc.<br>William Sloup, Metric Engineering, Inc

The meeting began with Mr. Sloup providing a brief project overview of the project using the current roll plot of the project. The focus then went to Woodbury Rd, Avalon Blvd, and CR 419 Extension.

- Woodbury Rd at SR 408:

New access is proposed at Woodbury Rd as a partial diamond interchange with ramps to and from the east. This includes a new 4 -lane Woodbury Bridge to overpass SR 408. The proposed typical section is an urban 4-lane curb and gutter, 22 feet raised median with sidewalks along both sides. Renzo Nastasi commented that the improvement shown as a new interchange should also include the 4-laning of Woodbury Road approximately 1 mile to the north up to SR 50 . Mr. Nastasi noted that Orange County plans to begin a PD\&E Study to widen Woodbury Rd from Lake Underhill to SR 50 . He requests that a 4lane Woodbury Rd between the new interchange and SR 50 be added to the SR 408 project concept plan and shown at the April 26, 2018 public hearing as part of this project. Mr. Sloup pointed out the CFX Study only addressed improvements shown on the roll plot. Mr. Nastasi understands that CFX will be asking the Board at the May 10, 2018 Board Meeting to adopt the findings of the study and asked if a request will also be made to the Board to authorize moving forward to the next phase. Mr. Sloup responded that is not known at this time because the study results are still not final. Mr. Nastasi wants to meet with CFX when this is known and prior to the Board Meeting.

## - Avalon Park Blvd:

New access is proposed at Avalon Park Blvd as a Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) that provides full access in all directions. With the tight constraints of this location, the proximity to SR 50 and entrance roads to multiple subdivisions, close coordination with the County will be expected. There is concern that access to and from these subdivisions may be impacted in a negative manner. All plan reviews by the County should be addressed to Brian Sanders, Orange County Transportation Planning.

- CR 419 Extension (Chuluota Rd):

An extension of CR 419 is proposed south of SR 50 down along the west side of the East River High School and connects to SR 408 with a full directional interchange. The proposed typical section is an urban 4-lane curb and gutter, 22 feet raised median with sidewalks along both sides. Mr. Nastasi noted the County is planning to begin a PD\&E Study to widen CR 419 (Chuluota Rd) from SR 50 to Lake Pickett Rd. Both, Mr. Massaro and Mr. Nastasi want this road to have roadway lighting and remain under the jurisdiction of CFX. Mr. Nastasi said a concern mentioned by Commissioner Bonilla was lack of proper student pedestrian features at the intersection of SR 50 .

Action Item - Arrange a meeting between the Orange County Staff and CFX sometime prior to the hearing.

Action Item - Send Brian Sanders a KMZ file of the proposed alternative.

Please contact William Sloup at (407) 644-1898 if there are any changes or additions to the minutes.

## APPENDIX H - COST

## SUMMARY

ESTIMATED PROBABLE PROJECT COST

## SR 408 EASTERN EXTENSION PD\&E STUDY

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING LAST UPDATED 2/1/2018

PROJECT CENTERLINE MILES:
NUMBER OF BRIDGES:

| MAINLINE ROADWAY - SEGMENT 1 | $\$ 85,331,691$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| SR 408/CHALLENGER PKWY/ SR 50 INTERCHANGE | $\$ 15,162,454$ |
| SR 408 AND WOODBURY INTERCHANGE | $\$ 23,281,435$ |
| SR 408 AND AVALON PARK BOULEVARD SEGMENT 1 INTERI | $\$ 6,403,597$ |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 0 , 1 7 9 , 1 7 7}$ |
| ENGINEERING / ADMINISTRATION / LEGAL (24\%) | $\$ 31,243,003$ |
| RIGHT - OF - WAY | $\$ 91,300,000$ |
| MITIGATION* <br> $*$ See aatached Environmental Mitigation Costs and Permiting Fees for more details |  |
| TOLL COLLECTION EQUIPMENT | 6 LANES @ |
| GRAND TOTAL PROJECT COST | $\$ 6,196,058$ |



SR 408 Eastern Extension - Segment 1

Quick Facts
Segment 1- construction from Begin project west of Woodbury Avenue to west half of Avalon Park Boulevard

## Quick Facts

SR 408 Mainline - 300' Right-of-way with four 12' travel lanes and a 64' median

SR 408 Mainline Typical Section

## ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST MAINLINE ROADWAY - SEGMENT 1

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING


| NOISE WALLS (AVERAGE 20 FT HEIGHT) ADDITIONAL RETAINED EARTH WALL (NEAR BRIDGEWAY NEIGHBORHOOD) (15') | $\begin{aligned} & 12,400 \\ & 12,580 \end{aligned}$ | LF SF | $\$ 520$ <br> $\$ 35$ | \$6,448,000\|| |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$60,339,546 |
| EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) |  |  |  | \$301,698 |
| MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (1\%) |  |  |  | \$603,395 |
| MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | \$5,732,257 |
| SUB-TOTAL ROADWAY |  |  |  | \$46,571,533 |
| ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | \$9,314,307 |
| SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES |  |  |  | \$20,405,363 |
| BRIDGE CONTINGENCY (10\%) |  |  |  | \$2,040,536 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$78,331,739 |
| AESTHETICS CONTINGENCY (3\%) |  |  |  | \$2,349,952 |
| RELOCATE UTILITIES |  |  |  | \$4,100,000 |
| ALLOWANCE FOR DISPUTES REVIEW BOARD |  |  |  | \$50,000 |
| WORK ORDER ALLOWANCE |  |  |  | \$500,000 |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) |  |  | \$85,331,691 |  |

* Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items
** Note: Includes all areas needed guardrail + shoulder gutter along mainline


## ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST SR 408/CHALLENGER PKWY/ SR 50 INTERCHANGE

prepared by metric engineering

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ** RAMPS ${ }^{\text {** }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ONE LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* <br> TWO LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* <br> THREE LANE RAMPS <br> TYPICAL 1 LANE ON-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED <br> TYPICAL 1 LANE OFF-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED <br> TYPICAL 2 LANE OFF-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED <br> TYPICAL 2 LANE ON-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED | $\begin{array}{r} 1.396 \\ 1.136 \\ 0.190 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | MI MI MI EA EA EA EA | $\$ 1,275,368$ $\$ 1,742,399$ $\$ 2,319,091$ $\$ 225,841$ $\$ 133,040$ $\$ 437,159$ $\$ 406,191$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,780,414 \\ \$ 1,979,365 \\ \$ 440,627 \\ \$ 225,841 \\ \$ 266,081 \\ \$ 437,159 \\ \$ 406,191 \end{array}$ |
| ** ADDITIONAL ITEMS ** |  |  |  |  |
| OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (1 SIDE, 200' SPACING) OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (2 SIDES, 200' SPACING) EMBANKMENT <br> MULTIPOST SIGNS <br> ITS EQUIPMENT / DEVICES PER INTERCHANGE (CCTV, TMS, ETC.) | $\begin{array}{r} 2.722 \\ 0.379 \\ 414,208.000 \\ 8 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MI} \\ & \mathrm{MI} \\ & \mathrm{CY} \\ & \mathrm{EA} \\ & \text { INT } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 280,500$ $\$ 561,000$ $\$ 8$ $\$ 5,500$ $\$ 330,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 763,521 \\ \$ 212,619 \\ \$ 3,313,664 \\ \$ 44,000 \\ \$ 660,000 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL <br> EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) <br> MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (10\%) <br> MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \hline \$ 10,529,482 \\ \$ 52,647 \\ \$ 1,052,948 \\ \$ 1,000,301 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL ROADWAY <br> ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,635,379 \\ \$ 2,527,076 \end{array}$ |

TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST)
\$15,162,454

* Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items


## ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST SR 408 AND WOODBURY INTERCHANGE

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ** RAMPS ** |  |  |  |  |
| ONE LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* <br> TWO LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* <br> TYPICAL 1 LANE OFF-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED | 0.946 0.114 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ml} \\ & \mathrm{Ml} \\ & \mathrm{EA} \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1,275,368$ $\$ 1,742,399$ $\$ 133,040$ | $\$ 1,206,498$ $\$ 198,633$ $\$ 133,040$ |
| ** BRIDGES ** |  |  |  |  |
| BRIDGE 1A |  |  |  |  |
| Woodbury Road over SR 408 (209x102.5) | 21,423 | SF | \$125 | \$2,677,813 |
| Demolish Existing bridge | 8,400 | SF | \$60 | \$504,000 |
| Prestressed Concrete Florida I Beams; Straddle and Pile Bents $\quad$ 年 |  |  |  |  |
| EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 1.000 | EA | \$351,519\|| | \$351,519 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 3,130 | SF | \$35 | \$109,550 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) | 3,130 | SF | \$35 | \$109,550 |
| BRIDGE 1 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 EB on Ramp over SR 408 EB Off Ramp (35.67X470) | 16,763 | SF | \$180 | \$3,017,340 |
| Curved Steel Plate Girders; Multicolumn and Pile Bents |  |  |  |  |
| EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 1.000 | EA | \$311,019\|| | \$311,019 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 2,243 | SF | \$35 | \$78,496 |
| BRIDGE 4 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 WB Off Ramp over SR 408 EB On/Off Ramps (29.67x197) | 5,845 | SF | \$170 | \$993,650 |
| Steel Plate Girders; Pile Bents |  |  |  |  |
| EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 1.000 | EA | \$311,019\|| | \$311,019 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 2,288 | SF | \$35 | \$80,072 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) | 2,288 | SF | \$35 | \$80,072 |
| BRIDGE 5 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 WB Off Ramp over SR 408 WB On/Off Ramps ( $38.67 \times 347$ ) | 13,417 | SF | \$125 | \$1,677,125 |
| Prestressed Concrete Florida I Beams; Straddle and Pile Bents |  |  |  |  |
| EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 1.000 | EA | \$311,019\|| | \$311,019 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 2,754 | SF | \$35 | \$96,406 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) | 2,754 | SF | \$35 | \$96,406 |
| ** ARTERIAL ROADS ${ }^{* *}$ |  |  |  |  |
| WOODBURY TYPICAL SECTION | 0.515 | MI | \$5,247,381 | \$2,702,401 |
| MEDIAN CROSSOVER - NEW CONSTRUCTION | 2 | EA | \$8,444 | \$16,887 |
| DEMOLISH EXISTING ARTERIAL ROAD | 0.515 | MI | \$209,733 | \$108,012 |
| ** INTERSECTION SIGNALIZATION ** |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNALIZATION PER INTERCHANGE | 2 | EA | \$132,150 | \$264,300 |
| ** ADDITIONAL ITEMS ** |  |  |  |  |
| OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (1 SIDE, 200' SPACING) | 1.060 | MI | \$280,500 | \$297,330 |
| OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (2 SIDES, 200' SPACING) | 0.606 | MI | \$561,000 | \$339,966 |
| EMBANKMENT | 63,111.000 | CY | \$8 | \$504,888 |
| MULTIPOST SIGNS | 2 | EA | \$5,500 | \$11,000 |
| ITS EQUIPMENT / DEVICES PER INTERCHANGE (CCTV, TMS, ETC.) | 1 | INT | \$330,000 | \$330,000 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$16,918,014 |
| EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) |  |  |  | \$84,590 |
| MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (10\%) |  |  |  | \$1,691,801 |
| MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | \$1,607,211 |
| SUB-TOTAL ROADWAY |  |  |  | \$9,496,560 |
| ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | \$1,899,312 |
| SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES |  |  |  | \$10,805,057 |
| BRIDGE CONTINGENCY (10\%) |  |  |  | \$1,080,506 |

* Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items

ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST
SR 408 AND AVALON PARK BOULEVARD SEGMENT 1 INTERCHANGE
prepared by metric engineering

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ** RAMPS ** |  |  |  |  |
| ONE LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* <br> TWO LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* <br> TYPICAL 1 LANE ON-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED <br> TYPICAL 1 LANE OFF-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED | $\begin{array}{r} 0.510 \\ 0.380 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | MI <br> MI <br> EA <br> EA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,275,368 \\ \$ 1,742,399 \\ \$ 225,841 \\ \$ 133,040 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 650,438 \\ & \$ 662,112 \\ & \$ 225,841 \\ & \$ 133,040 \end{aligned}$ |
| ** ARTERIAL ROADS ** |  |  |  |  |
| AVALON PARK BOULEVARD TYPICAL SECTION MEDIAN CROSSOVER - NEW CONSTRUCTION ADDITIONAL LANE (NEW CONSTRUCTION) - CLOSED DRAINAGE, 2' EXCAVATION DEMOLISH EXISTING ARTERIAL ROAD | $\begin{array}{r} 0.234 \\ 2 \\ 0.335 \\ 0.234 \end{array}$ | MI EA MI MI | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,372,318 \\ \$ 8,444 \\ \$ 402,827 \\ \$ 341,092 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,023,122 \\ \$ 16,887 \\ \$ 134,947 \\ \$ 79,816 \end{array}$ |
| ** INTERSECTION SIGNALIZATION ** |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNALIZATION PER INTERCHANGE | 2 | EA | \$132,150 | \$264,300 |
| ** ADDITIONAL ITEMS ** |  |  |  |  |
| EMBANKMENT <br> OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (1 SIDE, 200' SPACING) OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (2 SIDES, 200' SPACING) MULTIPOST SIGNS <br> ITS EQUIPMENT / DEVICES PER INTERCHANGE (CCTV, TMS, ETC.) | $\begin{array}{r} 47,796.000 \\ 0.610 \\ 0.606 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | CY <br> MI <br> MI <br> EA <br> INT | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8 \\ \$ 280,500 \\ \$ 561,000 \\ \$ 5,500 \\ \$ 330,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 382,368 \\ \$ 171,105 \\ \$ 339,966 \\ \$ 33,000 \\ \$ 330,000 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL <br> EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) <br> MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (10\%) <br> MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,446,942 \\ \$ 22,235 \\ \$ 444,694 \\ \$ 422,460 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL <br> ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,336,331 \\ & \$ 1,067,266 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) |  |  |  | \$6,403,597 |

* Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items


## SUMMARY

ESTIMATED PROBABLE PROJECT COST SR 408 EASTERN EXTENSION PD\&E STUDY

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING LAST UPDATED 2/1/2018

PROJECT CENTERLINE MILES:

| MAINLINE ROADWAY - SEGMENT 2 | $\$ 135,065,822$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| AVALON PARK BOULEVARD EAST EXTENSION SEGMENT 2 I | $\$ 2,653,987$ |  |
| CHULUOTA ROAD EXTENSION SEGMENT 2 INTERCHANGE | $\$ 11,692,326$ |  |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) |  |  |
| ENGINEERING / ADMINISTRATION / LEGAL (24\%) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 9 , 4 1 2 , 1 3 4 ~}$ |  |
| RIGHT - OF - WAY | $\$ 35,858,912$ |  |
| MITIGATION* |  |  |
| *See antached Environmental Mitigation Costs and Permiting Fees for more details | $\$ 64,300,000$ |  |
| TOLL COLLECTION EQUIPMENT | 6 LANES @ | $\$ 210,000$ |

GRAND TOTAL PROJECT COST \$254,703,978

Quick Facts


Segment 2 - construction of eastern half of Avalon Park Boulevard to western half of Chuluota Road Extension


SR 408 Mainline and Chuluota Road Extension Typical Sections

Quick Facts
SR 408 Mainline - 300' Right-ofway with four 12 ' travel lanes and a 64' median

Chuluota Road Extension - 102' Right-of-way with 11' travel lanes and a 22 ' median

## ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST MAINLINE ROADWAY - SEGMENT 2

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ** EXPRESSWAYS ${ }^{\text {** }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| MAINLINE ROADWAY TYPICAL - SEGMENT 2 * | 1.104 | MI | \$4,278,872 | \$4,723,875 |
| ** BRIDGES ** |  |  |  |  |
| BRIDGE 14 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 WB Over Avalon Park Blvd (50.67x230) | 11,653 | SF | \$170 | \$1,981,010 |
| EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE)** | 1.000 | EA | \$910,950 | \$910,950 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 2,111 | SF | \$35 | \$73,876 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) | 2,111 | SF | \$35 | \$73,876 |
| BRIDGE 15 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 EB Over Avalon Park Blvd (50.67x230) | 11,653 | SF | \$170 | \$1,981,010 |
| Steel Plate Girders; Pile Bents |  |  |  |  |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 2,111 | SF | \$35 | \$73,876 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) | 2,111 | SF | \$35 | \$73,876 |
| BRIDGE 16 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 WB Over Econlockhachee River ( $51.55 \times 3808$ ) | 196,302 | SF | \$180 | \$35,334,360 |
| Steel Plate Girders \& Prestressed Concrete I Beams; Hammerhead, Pile Bents |  |  |  |  |
| BRIDGE 17 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 EB Over Econlockhatchee River ( $45.74 \times 3835$ ) <br> Steel Plate Girders \& Prestressed Concrete I Beams; Hammerhead, Pile Bents | 175,412 | SF | \$180 | \$31,574,160 |
| ** ADDITIONAL ITEMS ** |  |  |  |  |
| OVERHEAD TRUSS SIGNS | 1 | EA | \$250,000 | \$250,000 |
| OVERHEAD CANTILEVER SIGNS |  | EA | \$80,000 | \$0 |
| MULTIPOST SIGNS | 2 | EA | \$5,500 | \$11,000 |
| FIBER OPTIC NETWORK (FON) (CONDUIT, 72 WIRE, PULL BOXES, SPLICE, ETC.) | 2.120 | MI | \$350,000 | \$742,000 |
| DYNAMIC MESSAGE SIGNS | 2 | EA | \$250,000 | \$500,000 |
| RETENTION PONDS | 38.18 | AC | \$162,165 | \$6,191,450 |
| CD-4 2-8'X4'X456' CBC | 1 | EA | \$1,165,000 | \$1,165,000 |
| CD-5 2-72"X374' RCP | 374.00 | LF | \$350 | \$130,900 |
| CD-6 2-72"X427' RCP | 427.00 | LF | \$350 | \$149,450 |
| MAINLINE TOLL GANTRY (2 LANE, 2 TRUSSES AND EQUIP. BLDG) | 1 | EA | \$1,750,000 | \$1,750,000 |
| EMBANKMENT | 1,172,555 | CY | \$8 | \$9,380,440 |
| NOISE WALLS (AVERAGE 20 FT HEIGHT) | 12,450 | LF | \$520 | \$6,474,000 |
| TYPICAL 30' RAD. CUL-DE-SAC (Caudle St \& Colonial Drive) | 2 | EA | \$23,470 | \$46,941 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$103,545,109 |
| EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) |  |  |  | \$517,726 |
| MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (1\%) |  |  |  | \$1,035,451 |
| MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | \$9,836,785 |
| SUB-TOTAL ROADWAY |  |  |  | \$41,693,077 |
| ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | \$8,338,615 |
| SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES |  |  |  | \$73,241,994 |
| BRIDGE CONTINGENCY (10\%) |  |  |  | \$7,324,199 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$130,597,885 |
| AESTHETICS CONTINGENCY (3\%) |  |  |  | \$3,917,937 |
| RELOCATE UTILITIES |  |  |  | \$0 |
| ALLOWANCE FOR DISPUTES REVIEW BOARD |  |  |  | \$50,000 |
| WORK ORDER ALLOWANCE |  |  |  | \$500,000 |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) |  |  |  | 35,065,822 |

** Note: Includes all areas needed guardrail + shoulder gutter along mainline

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ** RAMPS ** |  |  |  |  |
| ONE LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* <br> TWO LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* <br> TYPICAL 1 LANE ON-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED <br> TYPICAL 1 LANE OFF-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED | $\begin{array}{r} 0.510 \\ 0.224 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | MI <br> MI <br> EA <br> EA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,000 \\ \$ 1,743,250 \\ \$ 225,841 \\ \$ 133,040 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,060 \\ \$ 390,488 \\ \$ 225,841 \\ \$ 133,040 \end{array}$ |
| ** ARTERIAL ROADS ** |  |  |  |  |
| EMBANKMENT <br> RETAINED EARTH WALL | $\begin{array}{r} 38333.000 \\ 8200.000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CY } \\ & \text { SF } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8 \\ \$ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 306,664 \\ & \$ 287,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| ** INTERSECTION SIGNALIZATION ** |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNALIZATION PER INTERCHANGE | 1.000 | EA | \$248,860 | \$248,860 |
| ** ADDITIONAL ITEMS ** |  |  |  |  |
| OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (1 SIDE, 200' SPACING) OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (2 SIDES, 200' SPACING) MULTIPOST SIGNS | $\begin{array}{r} 0.734 \\ 0.322 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | MI <br> MI <br> EA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 280,500 \\ \$ 561,000 \\ \$ 5,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 205,887 \\ \$ 180,642 \\ \$ 11,000 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL \$1,992,482 |  |  |  |  |
| EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) |  |  |  | \$9,962 |
| MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (1\%) |  |  |  | \$19,925 |
| MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | \$189,286 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$2,211,656 |
| ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | \$442,331 |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) |  |  |  | \$2,653,987 |

Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items

## ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST CHULUOTA ROAD EXTENSION SEGMENT 2 INTERCHANGE

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{* *}$ RAMPS ${ }^{\text {** }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ONE LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* | 0.380 | MI | \$1,275,368 | \$484,640 |
| TWO LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* | 0.370 | MI | \$1,743,250 | \$645,002 |
| TYPICAL 1 LANE ON-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED | 1 | EA | \$225,841 | \$225,841 |
| TYPICAL 1 LANE OFF-RAMP TAPER W/GORE - MAINLINE UNCHANGED | 1 | EA | \$133,040 | \$133,040 |
| ** BRIDGES ** |  |  |  |  |
| BRIDGE 18 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 WB On ramp over Lockwood Dr (29.67x91) | 2,700 | SF | \$120 | \$324,000 |
| Prestressed Concrete Florida I Beams; Pile Bents |  |  |  |  |
| EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 1.000 | EA | \$378,879\|| | \$378,879 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 2,111 | SF | \$35 | \$73,876 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) | 2,111 | SF | \$35 | \$73,876 |
| BRIDGE 21 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 408 EB Off Ramp Over Lockwood Dr (29.67x169) | 5,014 | SF | \$120 | \$601,680 |
| Prestressed Concrete Florida I Beams; Pile Bents |  |  |  |  |
| EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 1.000 | EA | \$378,879\|| | \$378,879 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) | 2,111 | SF | \$35 | \$73,876 |
| RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) | 2,111 | SF | \$35 | \$73,876 |


| ** ARTERIAL ROADS ** |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHULUOTA RD EXTENSION TYPICAL SECTION | 0.700 | MI | \$4,372,318 | \$3,060,623 |
| ACCESS STREETS TYPICAL SECTION | 0.495 | MI | \$1,616,363 | \$800,100 |
| DEMOLISH EXISTING ARTERIAL ROAD | 0.234 | MI | \$209,733 | \$49,078 |
| EMBANKMENT | 127667.000 | CY | \$8 | \$1,021,336 |
| CD-7 2-48"X129' RCP | 129.00 | LF | \$200 | \$25,800 |
| ** INTERSECTION SIGNALIZATION ** |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNALIZATION PER INTERCHANGE | 1 | EA | \$132,150 | \$132,150 |
| ** ADDITIONAL ITEMS ** |  |  |  |  |
| OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (1 SIDE, 200' SPACING) | 0.750 | MI | \$280,500 | \$210,375 |
| OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (2 SIDES, 200' SPACING) | 0.265 | MI | \$561,000 | \$148,665 |
| MULTIPOST SIGNS | 2 | EA | \$5,500 | \$11,000 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$8,926,592 |
| EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) |  |  |  | \$44,633 |
| MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (1\%) |  |  |  | \$89,266 |
| MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | \$848,026 |
| SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES |  |  |  | \$1,978,942 |
| BRIDGE CONTINGENCY (10\%) |  |  |  | \$197,894 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$7,929,575 |
| ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | \$1,585,915 |

TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST)
\$11,692,326
*Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items

## SUMMARY

ESTIMATED PROBABLE PROJECT COST SR 408 EASTERN EXTENSION PD\&E STUDY

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING LAST UPDATED 2/1/2018

| MAINLINE ROADWAY - SEGMENT 3 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| CHULUOTA ROAD EXTENSION SEGMENT 3 INTERCHANGE | $\$ 75,214,737$ |  |
| SR 408 AND SR 50 INTERCHANGE | $\$ 6,836,834$ |  |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) | $\$ 8,656,660$ |  |
| ENGINEERING / ADMINISTRATION / LEGAL (24\%) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 0 , 7 0 8 , 2 3 1}$ |  |
| RIGHT - OF - WAY | 155.0 ACRES | $\$ 21,769,975$ |
| MITIGATION* |  |  |
| *See antached Environmental Mitigation Costs and Permiting Fees for more details | $\$ 44,400,000$ |  |
| TOLL COLLECTION EQUIPMENT | 6 LANES @ | $\$ 210,000$ |
| GRAND TOTAL PROJECT COST | $\$ 5,227,912$ |  |



Quick Facts
Segment 3- construction from the east half of Chuluota Road Extension to End of Project limit at SR 50.

Quick Facts


SR 408 Mainline - 300'
Right-of-way with four 12' travel lanes and a 64' median

SR 408 Mainline Typical Section

## ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

MAINLINE ROADWAY - SEGMENT 3
prepared by metric engineering


| OVERHEAD CANTILEVER SIGNS | 6 | EA | \$80,000 | \$480,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MULTIPOST SIGNS | 3 | EA | \$5,500 | \$16,500 |
| FIBER OPTIC NETWORK (FON) (CONDUIT, 72 WIRE, PULL BOXES, SPLICE, ETC.) | 3.030 | MI | \$350,000 | \$1,060,500 |
| DYNAMIC MESSAGE SIGNS | 1 | EA | \$250,000 | \$250,000 |
| RETENTION PONDS | 28.82 | AC | \$162,165 | \$4,673,588 |
| CD-8 1-10'x5'x447' CBC | 1.00 | EA | \$668,300 | \$668,300 |
| CD-9 1-72"X300' RCP | 300.00 | LF | \$350 | \$105,000 |
| CD-10 2-6'X4'X310' CBC | 1.00 | EA | \$618,450 | \$618,450 |
| CD-11 2-24"X395' RCP | 395.00 | LF | \$80 | \$31,600 |
| CD-12 2-8'X4'X522' CBC | 1.00 | EA | \$1,300,000 | \$1,300,000 |
| CD-13 1-48"X325' RCP | 325.00 | LF | \$200 | \$65,000 |
| MAINLINE TOLL GANTRY (2 LANE, 2 TRUSSES AND EQUIP. BLDG) | 1 | EA | \$1,750,000 | \$1,750,000 |
| EMBANKMENT | 1,612,909 | CY | \$8 | \$12,903,272 |
| TYPICAL 30' RAD. CUL-DE-SAC (Pine Isle Dr) | 1 | EA | \$23,470 | \$23,470 |
| NOISE WALLS (AVERAGE 20 FT HEIGHT) | 4,400 | LF | \$520 | \$2,288,000 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$51,404,031 |
| EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) |  |  |  | \$257,020 |
| MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (1\%) |  |  |  | \$514,040 |
| MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | \$4,883,383 |
| SUB-TOTAL ROADWAY |  |  |  | \$42,254,773 |
| ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | \$8,450,955 |
| SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES |  |  |  | \$16,185,205 |
| BRIDGE CONTINGENCY (10\%) |  |  |  | \$1,618,521 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  | \$68,509,453 |
| AESTHETICS CONTINGENCY (3\%) |  |  |  | \$2,055,284 |
| RELOCATE UTILITIES |  |  |  | \$4,100,000 |
| ALLOWANCE FOR DISPUTES REVIEW BOARD |  |  |  | \$50,000 |
| WORK ORDER ALLOWANCE |  |  |  | \$500,000 |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) |  |  |  | 5,214,737 |

*Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items
** Note: Includes all areas needed guardrail + shoulder gutter along mainline

## ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST CHULUOTA ROAD EXTENSION SEGMENT 3 INTERCHANGE

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ** RAMPS ${ }^{\text {** }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ONE LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* | 0.951 | MI | \$1,743,250 | \$1,657,830 |
| ** BRIDGES ** |  |  |  |  |
| BRIDGE 22 <br> SR 408 WB over SR 408 On/Off Ramps Chuluota Rd (CR 419) )(44.67×121) <br> Prestressed Concrete Florida I Beam; Pile Bents <br> EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE) <br> RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) <br> RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) <br> BRIDGE 23 <br> SR 408 EB over SR 408 On/Off Ramps Chuluota Rd (CR 419)(44.67x122) <br> Prestressed Concrete Florida I Beam; Pile Bents <br> EXTRA MATERIAL - ELEVATED ROADWAY (BEGIN BRIDGE) <br> RETAINED EARTH WALL (BEGIN BRIDGE) <br> RETAINED EARTH WALL (END BRIDGE) | $\begin{aligned} & 5,405 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 2,441 \\ & 2,441 \\ & \\ & 5,449 \\ & \\ & 1.000 \\ & 2,441 \\ & 2,441 \end{aligned}$ | SF <br> EA <br> SF <br> SF <br> SF <br> EA <br> SF <br> SF | $\$ 120$ $\$ 375,519$ $\$ 35$ $\$ 35$ $\$ 120$ $\$ 375,519$ $\$ 35$ $\$ 35$ | $\$ 648,600$ $\$ 375,519$ $\$ 85,426$ $\$ 85,426$ $\$ 653,880$ $\$ 375,519$ $\$ 85,426$ $\$ 85,426$ |
| ** INTERSECTION SIGNALIZATION ** |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNALIZATION PER INTERCHANGE | 1 | EA | \$248,860 | \$248,860 |
| ** ADDITIONAL ITEMS ** |  |  |  |  |
| EMBANKMENT <br> OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (1 SIDE, 200' SPACING) OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (2 SIDES, 200' SPACING) MULTIPOST SIGNS <br> ITS EQUIPMENT / DEVICES PER INTERCHANGE (CCTV, TMS, ETC.) | $\begin{array}{r} 35,778.000 \\ 0.951 \\ 0.208 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | CY <br> MI <br> MI <br> EA <br> INT | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8 \\ \$ 280,500 \\ \$ 561,000 \\ \$ 5,500 \\ \$ 330,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 286,224 \\ \$ 266,756 \\ \$ 116,688 \\ \$ 11,000 \\ \$ 330,000 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL <br> EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) <br> MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (1\%) <br> MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,312,580 \\ \$ 26,563 \\ \$ 53,126 \\ \$ 504,695 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES <br> BRIDGE CONTINGENCY (10\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,395,222 \\ \$ 239,522 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL <br> ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,501,742 \\ \$ 700,348 \end{array}$ |

TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST)
\$6,836,834
*Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items

## ESTIMATED PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST SR 408 AND SR 50 INTERCHANGE

PREPARED BY METRIC ENGINEERING

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ** RAMPS ${ }^{\text {** }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| TWO LANE RAMPS (OPEN DRAINAGE)* THREE LANE RAMPS | $\begin{aligned} & 1.064 \\ & 0.190 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MI} \\ & \mathrm{MI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,742,399 \\ & \$ 2,319,091 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,853,913 \\ \$ 440,627 \end{array}$ |
| ${ }^{* *}$ ARTERIAL ROADS ${ }^{\text {** }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| SR 50 TYPICAL SECTION DEMOLISH EXISTING ARTERIAL ROAD | $\begin{aligned} & 0.534 \\ & 0.534 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MI} \\ & \mathrm{MI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,616,363 \\ \$ 209,733 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 863,138 \\ & \$ 111,997 \end{aligned}$ |
| ** INTERSECTION SIGNALIZATION ** |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNALIZATION PER INTERCHANGE | 1 | EA | \$193,150 | \$193,150 |
| ** ADDITIONAL ITEMS ** |  |  |  |  |
| OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (1 SIDE, 200' SPACING) OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (2 SIDES, 200' SPACING) EMBANKMENT <br> OVERHEAD LIGHTING (INCLUDES WIRING) (2 SIDES, 200' SPACING) MULTIPOST SIGNS <br> ITS EQUIPMENT / DEVICES PER INTERCHANGE (CCTV, TMS, ETC.) | $\begin{array}{r} 1.064 \\ 0.436 \\ 217,333.000 \\ 0.737 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | MI <br> MI <br> CY <br> MI <br> EA <br> INT | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 280,500 \\ \$ 561,000 \\ \$ 8 \\ \$ 561,000 \\ \$ 5,500 \\ \$ 330,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 298,452 \\ \$ 244,596 \\ \$ 1,738,664 \\ \$ 413,457 \\ \$ 11,000 \\ \$ 330,000 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL <br> EROSION CONTROL / TEMPORARY DRAINAGE (0.5\%) <br> MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (1\%) <br> MOBILIZATION (9.5\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \hline \$ 6,498,994 \\ \$ 32,495 \\ \$ 64,990 \\ \$ 617,404 \end{array}$ |
| SUB-TOTAL <br> ROADWAY CONTINGENCY (20\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,213,883 \\ & \$ 1,442,777 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL (2018 CONSTRUCTION COST) |  |  |  | \$8,656,660 |

*Note: For embankment costs see Additional Items

## ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION COSTS AND PERMITING FEES

## Gopher Tortoise Mitigation/Permitting

Estimate up to 80 GT (all in Segment 3)
Permit Fee to FWC (Segment 3)- \$23,381
Recipient site fee and costs- \$1,300 per GT- $80 \times \$ 1,300=\mathbf{\$ 1 0 4 , 0 0 0}$ (Segment 3)
Total GT Mitigation Cost= \$23,381 + \$104,000 = \$127,381 (Segment 3)

## Wetland Mitigation for Recommended Alternative

Total wetland impacts from the Recommended Alternative $=61.1$ acres (using rounded figures for each wetland assessment area). For wetland mitigation cost calculations 62 acres of wetland impacts was assumed.

Wetland Impacts and Mitigation Costs for Recommended Alternative

| Segment | Rounded Wetland Impacts for <br> Recommended Alternative <br> (acres) | Wetland Mitigation Credit Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 28 | $\$ 3,024,000$ |
| 2 | 19 | $\$ 2,052,000$ |
| 3 | 15 | $\$ 1,620,000$ |
| TOTAL | 62 | $\$ 6,696,000$ |

Cost per wetland credit for SJRWMD (includes Orange County and Federal WRAP credits)- \$120,000
0.9 total delta $=0.7$ (to account for mainline) +0.2 (secondary impacts)

Segment 1-28 acres $\times 0.9=25.2 \times \$ 120,000=\$ 3,024,000$
Segment 2-19 acres X 0.9= $17.1 \times \$ 120,000=\$ 2,052,000$
Segment 3-15 acres $\times 0.9=13.5 \times \$ 120,000=\$ \mathbf{1 , 6 2 0}, 000$
TOTAL=\$6,696,000

## Wetland Mitigation For Recommended Ponds

Total pond wetland impacts = 11.4 acres
Wetland Impacts and Mitigation Costs for Recommended Ponds

| Segment | Rounded Wetland Impacts for <br> Recommended Ponds <br> (acres) | Wetland Mitigation Credit Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.3 | $\$ 356,400$ |
| 2 | 1.9 | $\$ 205,200$ |
| 3 | 6.2 | $\$ 669,600$ |
| TOTAL | 11.4 | $\$ 1,231,200$ |

Cost per wetland credit for SJRWMD (includes Orange County and Federal WRAP credits)- \$120,000 0.9 total delta $=0.7$ (to account for mainline) +0.2 (secondary impacts)

Segment 1-3.3 acres X 0.9= 2.97 credits necessary X $\$ 120,000=\$ 356,400$
Segment 2-1.9 acres X $0.9=1.71$ credits necessary $X \$ 120,000=\$ 205,200$
Segment 3-6.2 acres X 0.9=5.58 credits necessary X \$120,000=\$669,600

Recommended Pond Wetland Impacts by FLUCCS Code

|  | Pond <br> Name | 6210: CYPRESS | 6300: WETLAND FORESTED MIXED | 6440: EMERGENT AQUATIC VEGETATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6170: MIXED } \\ & \text { WETLAND } \\ & \text { HARDWOODS } \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Impacts by acre |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Pond 1A |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
|  | Pond 1B |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | Pond 1C |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
|  | Pond 2B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond 3A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond 4A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond $5 B^{* *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond 6B |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| 2 | Pond 9B* |  |  |  | 0.7 |  |
|  | Pond 10B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pond } \\ & \text { 11A1 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond <br> 11A2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond <br> 11A3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond 11A4 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pond } \\ & \text { 11B1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Pond 11C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pond } \\ & \text { 11C3* } \end{aligned}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pond } \\ & \text { 11C4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond 12A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond 13B*** | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.1 |
|  | Pond 14A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pond 15A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M-1 <br> (Existing, Modified) |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | TOTAL | 4.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 5.4 |

[^1]
## RHPZ Mitigation for Recommended Alternative

18 total acres of RHPZ impacts (17 acres wetlands +1 acre vegetated uplands)
Cost per RHPZ credit for SJRWMD- \$120,000
Segment 1- Zero RHPZ impacts
Segment 2-14 acres X $0.9=12.6 \times \$ 120,000=\$ 1,512,000$
Segment 3-4 acres $\times 0.9=3.6 \times \$ 120,000=\$ 432,000$
TOTAL=
\$1,944,000

## RHPZ Mitigation for Recommended Ponds

Two recommended ponds (9B and 11C3) would impact a total of 4.7 acres of the SJRWMD RHPZ:
Pond 9B (segment 2)- 0.7 acres of impacts to Mixed Wetland Hardwoods (FLUCCS 6170)
Pond 11C3 (segment 3)- 4 acres of impacts to Cypress (FLUCCS 6210)

## Cost per RHPZ credit for SJRWMD- $\$ 120,000$

Segment 1- Zero RHPZ impacts
Segment 2- 0.7 acres X \$120,000= \$84,000
Segment 3-4 acres $X \$ 120,000=\$ 480,000$
TOTAL= $\$ 564,000$

## Permitting Fees

If the project is phased, separate permits (and associated permit fees) may be needed to cover each phase. Also, permitting through FDEP can probably be considered for Segment 2 since the project crosses the Econlockhatchee River.

Orange County permit fee- $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 4 5 8}$
SJRWMD permit fee- $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 , 0 0 0}$ (this is likely a worst-case scenario cost)

## EASEMENT IMPACT FEES

The table below lists impacts to SJRWMD easements and Orange County GREEN Places from the Recommended Alternative. The recommended alternative would impact SJRWMD regulatory easements (but not any SJRWMD conservation easements) and two Orange County GREEN Places.

Recommended ponds would impact SJRWMD regulatory and conservation easements, but no Orange County GREEN Places.

Recommended Alternative Impacts to SJRWMD Easements and Orange County GREEN Places

| Easement Type | Parcel Number | Approximate <br> Acres of Impact (Rec. Alt) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment 1 |  |  |
| SJRWMD Conservation Easement | - | - |
| SJRWMD Regulatory Easement | 31-22-23-9462-00-006 <br> 31-22-23-0891-00-006 <br> 31-22-24-0000-00-049 <br> 31-22-24-8971-00-002 <br> 31-22-24-9064-02-007 <br> 31-22-24-9064-18-005 <br> 31-22-24-9064-02-006 <br> 31-22-24-9064-02-006 <br> 31-22-24-9064-02-007 <br> 31-22-24-9064-02-006 <br> 31-22-24-9064-02-006 <br> 31-22-24-9064-03-009 <br> 31-22-24-9064-02-006 | 21.9 |
| Orange County Green PLACES | - | - |
| Segment 2 |  |  |
| SJRWMD Conservation Easement | - | - |
| SJRWMD Regulatory Easement | - | - |
| Orange County Green PLACES | 19-22-32-7876-05-170 (Nunnally Evans) | 2.61 |
| Segment 3 |  |  |
| SJRWMD Conservation Easement | - | - |
| SJRWMD Regulatory Easement | $\begin{aligned} & 32-22-28-0000-00-008 \\ & 32-22-28-0000-00-008 \end{aligned}$ | 12.4 |
| Orange County Green PLACES | 29-22-32-7882-00-280 (Sunflower) | 0.07 |

## Orange County Conservation Easement Impact Fees

Segment 1- None
Segment 2- Evans Property Processing Fee - 2.61 acres = \$1,273
Segment 3- Sunflower Property Processing Fee - 0.07 acres = \$1,273

## SJRWMD Easement Impacts from Recommended Alternative

Approximately 34.3 acres of direct impacts to existing SJRWMD regulatory easements are anticipated:
$34.3 \times 0.9=30.87$ credits $\times \$ 120,000=\$ 3,704,400$ Total
Segment 1-21.9 acres $\times 0.9=19.71 \times \$ 120,000=\mathbf{\$ 2 , 3 6 5 , 2 0 0}$
Segment 2-Zero
Segment 3-12.4 acres $\times 0.9=11.16 \times \$ 120,000=\$ 1, \mathbf{3 3 9}, \mathbf{2 0 0}$
Note- No direct impacts to SJRWMD Conservation easements are anticipated under the recommended alternative

## SJRWMD Easement Impacts from Recommended Ponds

Two recommended ponds, 5B (segment 1) and 13B (segment 3), would impact SJRWMD easements for a total cost of $\$ 972,000$.

Segment 1- Pond 5B: 4 acres Regulatory Easement impacts
4 acres X $0.9=3.6$ credits $X \$ 120,000=\$ 432,000$
Segment 2- Zero
Segment 3- Pond 13B: 5 acres Conservation Easement impacts
5 acres X $0.9=4.5$ credits $\mathrm{X} \$ 120,000=\$ 540,000$
TOTAL $\mathbf{\$ 9 7 2 , 0 0 0}$

Please note, as requested, acreages of impacts from the recommended alternative and ponds were rounded and are approximations that will be further refined during the design phase. Also, RHPZ is described by the SJRWMD in text but no GIS or mapping data is provided for calculating impact. Impacts to the RHPZ are estimated based on the location of the Econlockhatchee River provided by the USGS. Final total impacts to RHPZ will require delineation of the river/tributary channel edge and associated wetland limits.

## APPENDIX I - PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT
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Environmental Advisory Group (EAG) Meeting \#4<br>CFX Administration Building Ibis Conference Room<br>4974 ORL Tower Road, Orlando, Florida 32807<br>Tuesday, January 10, 2017-9:30 AM

Follow up required: Charles Lee from the Audubon Society could not attend today's meeting but has requested the meeting materials be forwarded to him for written comment. Gabriela Garcia, P.E. sent the information on Friday, January 13, 2017. Catherine Owen will forward information regarding the ACE process to Will Sloup, P.E. and Gabriela Garcia, P.E. with Metric Engineering. Mr. Myers to check whether or not there are any easements purchased with Florida Forever funds and provide his finding to Mr. Linares.

The fourth Environmental Advisory Group (EAG) meeting was held to provide an opportunity for stakeholder, agency and public participation, which is a key element of the Project Development and Environment Study phase.

A total of 15 persons attended including team members. Full list of attendees is noted on Sign in Sheet attached. Glenn Pressimone, CFX Director of Engineering attended as well as Brian Hutchings, CFX Senior Communications Specialist. CFX Public Information Representative Eileen LeSeur (QCA) and Nicole Gough (Dewberry) were present as well. Metric Senior Project Engineer Robert Linares. P.E. and Project Manager Will Sloup, P.E., attended and were supported by staff members Gabriela Garcia, P.E. and Robert Myers, as well as Public Information Officer, Valerie Tutor with Media Relations Group. Terry Zable with Atkins facilitated the meeting on behalf of CFX.

## 1. Introductions/Welcome

Mr. Terry Zable welcomed the meeting's returning and new participants. The participants were thanked for their time and willingness to serve once again. Mr. Zable asked that CFX staff introduce themselves, followed by the study team and then the meeting participants themselves.
2. Staff Presentation and Status Update

- Will Sloup, P.E. with Metric Engineering, gave a Power Point presentation to the EAG regarding the history, overview of the status of the alternatives discussed in July 2016, an introduction to the expanded PD\&E study and the area it will cover as well as the 5 corridor alternatives currently identified.

MEETING NOTES

## Project Development and Environment Study SR 408 East Extension from SR 50 to SR 50/SR 520 Intersection

## 3. Discussion and Comments - Members Offered the Following Comments and Questions

- Brian Barnett with the Florida Fish \& Wildlife, stated that Corridors 1 and 2 are very indirect and he is concerned about the floodplain impacts associated with these corridors as they follow a tributary of the Econ River ("the Econ"). He also stated that Corridor 5 has a lot of impacts to floodplains and conservation easements in segment 3.
- Marge Holt with Sierra Club, wanted to know why this extended study was being undertaken. She said that Orange County Mayor Theresa Jacobs indicated that FDOT Turnpike was going to be developing this road now. Will Sloup, P.E. answered that it was not conclusive yet as to what the Turnpike is doing, if they are addressing the same purpose and need as our study, what funding is available, etc. Turnpike is advertising for a PD\&E Study and Design for a roadway they are calling Colonial Parkway. The Request for Proposal was advertised on January 9, 2017. There is no funding at this time for construction. Mr. Sloup stated that since it is unclear as to what FDOT Turnpike will accomplish, CFX has decided to extend this study so we will have it done just in case we need to move forward.
- Ms. Holt asked if Corridor 4 crosses the Econ. New crossings of the river are what concerns her as well moving to the north in proximity of Lake Pickett Road. Robert Linares, P.E. with Metric Engineering, added that all the corridors will cross the Econ at some point.
- Mr. Linares told the group that CFX agrees if FDOT Turnpike goes forward with an alignment that meets the purpose and need and funds it through construction, then CFX would not build this. However, if the Turnpike's financial models show it is not feasible and we have to step back in, we will have this study already done as an alternative. Mr. Linares additionally stated that the study team had been coordinating regularly with FDOT District 5's design program managers working on the SR 50 projects that were in design. However, the study team has been told that FDOT has stopped those projects.
- Catherine Owen with FDOT D5 Environmental, concurred that it is too early to tell what the direction will be in regards to projects being done among agencies.
- Mr. Barnett noted that all of the corridors (1-5) have environmental impacts. Corridors 1 and 2 seem to have floodplain impacts that are troubling.
- Terry Zable with Atkins, asked if anyone had comments about the intersections/interchanges locations.
- Dennis Weatherford with Orange County Environmental, asked if Corridors 4 and 5 would tie into a future CR 419 Chuluota Road extension or another corridor alignment. Mr. Sloup remarked that they could if Orange County does extend that road. Mr. Weatherford further commented that any of these corridors will be a hard sell with the public and agencies due to the environmental issues - such as crossings, the waterway, wetlands and wildlife impacts.
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- Ms. Owen asked if the team has looked into the socio-economic part of the study as it pertains to these 5 corridors. Mr. Sloup responded that they have started that part of the study and agreed that some of the corridors are better than others in that respect.
- Mr. Linares asked if there were any other environmental concerns other than crossing the Econ.
- Mr. Barnett commented that to avoid most residential impacts you would impact areas of natural habitat instead. Rob Myers with Metric Engineering, agreed there are many conservation easements that the study team is trying to "weave through" where we can. He further stated that the two issues he has heard so far today are the Econ crossing and floodplain concerns.
- Mr. Barnett asked if there were any scrub habitat. Mr. Myers responded that there were none that had been identified at this time.
- Ms. Holt brought up the potential of the crested carcara to be in the area east of Chuluota. Mr. Myers agreed that they could be found in the study locations since they can nest in any open area.
- Mr. Barnett commented that Corridor 5 looks like it goes through a floodplain. Mr. Linares acknowledged that Corridor 5 has several challenges.
- Mr. Barnett wanted to know how close we would be able to get to SR 50 with Corridor 4 or any of the others. Mr. Sloup responded that if we came too close we would have traffic operations challenges at Avalon, for example, and other SR 50 intersections. Mr. Myers stated that we would have to be approximately 500-600 feet away from SR 50 at a minimum.
- Mr. Barnett further commented that all the corridors look like they go through established neighborhoods. Mr. Myers acknowledged that there are some large socio-economic impacts to consider. Mr. Linares said that some sections would no doubt be elevated in order to avoid dividing neighborhoods.
- Mr. Weatherford noted that if FDOT Turnpike goes forward with their plans, then none of these would likely be considered. He asked whether or not there would be a chance FDOT would allow CFX to use the right-of-way if they do not go forward as planned.
- Mr. Glenn Pressimone, CFX Director of Engineering, answered that if the Colonial Parkway builds anything less than an expressway, CFX may move forward with this project in order to meet the vision of providing an expressway east to I-95. However, if the Turnpike does go forward with their project as an expressway, then CFX would not move forward with any project. CFX wants to continue this study in order to be prepared regardless of the outcome of the Turnpike project.
- Mr. Barnett asked if an environmental screening tool has been used for this study and if it brought up any red flags. Mr. Myers responded that a tool has been used and at this time nothing has stood out other than the items discussed already such as the Econ crossing, floodplain, small conservation
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easements and some gopher tortoise areas. Mr. Myers further noted that there is a pathway to abandon the easements, if necessary, that would require a vote from the SJRWMD governing board.

- Ms. Owen noted that the study so far seems to have narrowed it down in regards to species such as scrub jay, tortoises and caracara. It looks like it is not a problem.
- Ms. Owen offered some insight from the Southport Connector PD\&E Study that used the Alternative Corridor Evaluation Process (ACE). It involved multiple agencies (FHWA, FDOT Central Office and District Five, etc.). She commented that what this study team is doing seems very much like an ACE. Amy Sirmans with FDOT District Five, was the project manager for the other study and Ms. Owen offered to follow up with her to forward some information to the study team for their perusal.
- Mr. Sloup asked the group if anyone felt there were any positives for going north of SR 50 or south of SR 50.
- Ms. Holt felt that the north corridors do not seem to impact the Econ as much but there are other impacts. She noted that many of the groups fighting the crossing of the Econ live in the vicinity of Corridors 1 and 2 . They will find it hard to support these new crossings.
- Mr. Linares commented that the corridors are being evaluated as 400 feet wide, however the alternatives would be closer to approximately 200 feet wide when the team starts to narrow it down. He also noted that any of these corridors would require crossing the Econ, but what it will look like and how it will be treated will be determined later as the team gets closer to an alternative.
- Ms. Owen asked how the study team envisioned crossing the Econ from a structures standpoint. Mr. Linares replied that there were many options for what type of structure and it would depend on a variety of factors that will become clearer as we advance through the study.
- Mr. Barnett said that if he had to pick one of the corridors now, he would choose Corridor 4. It seems to have the least issues although it still has quite a few problems with it.
- Mr. Myers pointed out that there is an existing crossing at Lake Pickett Road and Corridors 1 or 2 could conceivably "hug" that. He added that Corridor 4 could be viable if you can come near the crossing or go out and use the old abandoned crossing.
- Mr. Barnett asked if the canopy was still open at the old crossing. Mr. Myers answered that it was and that you can still see the crossing clearly as it has not been completely naturalized. Mr. Sloup noted that there is a dirt road that leads to this crossing on the east side and people frequent the area.
- Ms. Holt said that residents in the area of corridor 1 and 2 will not be happy with these corridors. She stated that it would be preferable to stay as near an existing river crossing as possible.
- Ms. Holt stated that she is concerned about the southern corridors and a future connection to the planned Deseret Ranch Development. She is concerned these corridors could result in an increase
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in development especially in environmentally protected areas. She stated that for the Wekiva Parkway specific access restrictions were put in place in order to discourage future development. She requested that access restriction be considered for this project.

- Mr. Barnett observed that if he were driving SR 408 he would not want to go as far out of his way as would be required with Corridor 1. Mr. Linares agreed that the study data shows that corridors attract less traffic the further you go away from SR 50.
- Mr. Pressimone noted that Corridor 5 is actually the original proposed SR 408 route when it was first envisioned in its entirety by CFX. However, in the ensuing years development occurred in that vicinity so it was not pursued further.
- Mr. Sloup confirmed that the travel demand for this extension is now up to SR 520. In the future, the next step would be to take it out to l-95.
- Mr. Linares wondered if there are any easements that were purchased with Florida Forever funds. Mr. Myers stated that none came up in his search but he will double check.
- Mr. Barnett asked what Mitigation Banks cover the study area, such as East Florida Mitigation Bank and several others. Mr. Myers stated that the team was looking into those at this time.
- Ms. Holt reminded the team that the Econ is a "nested basin" so the protection zone for the main river is $1100^{\prime}$ and tributaries are 550'.
- Ms. Holt further asked how soon would CFX or the study team know what the FDOT Turnpike plans to do. Mr. Pressimone responded that the Turnpike would have a consultant under contract in September of 2017 to begin their study and we will be finishing up ours by then. Mr. Linares estimated it would be 2 to $21 / 2$ years before FDOT Turnpike would have the study completed and the final recommendation determined. Mr. Pressimone told the group that CFX plans on keeping in close touch with FDOT Turnpike on this issue.
- Ms. Holt asked when this current study would be done. Mr. Sloup responded that it is scheduled to be completed by October 2017. He stressed that it would just be the PD\&E Study that would be completed. Not design, right-of-way acquisition or construction.
- Mr. Pressimone informed the team that the CFX Work Plan did have funding for $15 \%$ design assuming we would have one solution. However, when the study is done we may go on hold - or take it to $15 \%$ "Line and Grade" - it will depend on what the CFX Board wants to do at the time of the completion of the study.
- Mr. Sloup stated that, when this study is done, the team will have identified an alternative within the SR 50 corridor and an alternative outside of SR 50 for the Board to review.
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- Mr. Myers explained to the group that the team can move the corridors around a bit and make changes or different combinations. Mr. Sloup suggested that Old Cheney Highway could be the control point.
- James Hollingshead with St. Johns River Water Management District, remarked that if the old crossing had been blocked off it would have a canopy by now. As a Hydrologist, he is interested in storm water harvesting. He stated that there could be an opportunity for that in this project. He noted several successful recent projects that included storm water harvesting. One of the projects involved both Altamonte and Apopka and eliminated the need for them to be in the Wekiva River as well as eliminated the need to build a large retention pond.
- Mr. Myers asked if there were any available projects like that in the vicinity of the study area. Mr. Hollingshead answered that the easterly wastewater treatment plant at Innovation Way was probably the closest. He noted there was a gated community off of Chuluota that he did not know what they were using for irrigation but they may be an opportunity. He also noted that Corridor 1 looks like it may have significant storage potential and Corridor 4 looks to have the least impact all around. Mr . Hollingshead will take this information back to others at St. Johns Water Management District for their comments as they were not able to attend today.
- Mr. Hollingshead further stated that there seems to be a bigger local opportunity to decrease the volume of storm water going into the Econ. You may solve Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) issues using storm water and provide irrigation for communities.
- Ms. Owen asked what sub-consultant was doing the cultural resources study. Mr. Myers answered that it was a company called SEARCH. Ms. Owen was familiar with that company.


## 4. Next Steps

Ms. Tutor reviewed the key points made by the EAG members today. She also informed the EAG members of the upcoming Public Meeting to be held on February 16, 2017 from 5 PM to 7 PM at the Eastpoint Fellowship Church.

Mr. Zable closed the meeting by thanking the members for their participation and comments and urged the members to attend a Public Hearing if held.

Meeting adjourned at 11:05 AM.
See Additional Comments on the next page, provided by Dennis Weatherford, Orange County Environmental, as an addendum to this document.
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Orange County Environmental Division Comments for EAG:
Hand delivered letter dated Feb.16, 2017.
Subject: Comments on the SR 408 PD \& E Study- Corridor Alternatives Orange County Environmental Protection Division.

Dear Ms. Tutor: The Orange County Environmental Protection Division (EPD) is in receipt of the documents showing the proposed SR 408 PD\&E STUDY- Eastern Extension Corridor Alternatives. I have been attending the PD\&E meetings that are being held by the Central Florida Expressway Authority to gather input on the proposals from various stakeholders. EPD is offering the following comments regarding the corridor alternatives:

1. The environmental and socio-economic impacts of all of the proposed alternatives are significant. If the Turnpike Authority proceeds with the Colonial Parkway project along the SR 50 alignment, then the need for the 408 eastern extension may not be justified. If the Turnpike does not use the SR 50 alignment for their project, we suggest that alternative be considered as it seems to be the least disruptive to the environment and communities.
2. The Corridor Evaluation Summary and the map depicting the 5 alternatives do not address the impacts to Orange County owned preservation areas. The areas that could be potentially impacted by one or more of the alternatives are: Ken Bosserman Econlockhatchee River Preserve, Nunnally and Evans Parcels, Sunflower Trail Parcel, Long Branch (both state and County owned portions) and Pine Lily Preserve. Orange County has invested significant resources in order to acquire and maintain these environmentally sensitive lands. Mitigation will be required for any impact to wetlands on the above listed properties associated with any of the proposed corridors. If you need further information on the location or status of these properties, please contact Beth Jackson at 407-836-1481.
3. Required stormwater treatment areas should not be located on any of the above listed properties and any regulatory easements that could be potentially impacted.
4. Stormwater systems should be designed to provide treatment of runoff which exceeds St. Johns River Water Management (SJRWMD) standards.
5. Incorporate low impact development stormwater treatment designs that provide habitat for wildlife such as constructed wetland systems.
6. This project is located on the Econlockhatchee River Basin which is a nested basin. Any wetland and cumulative impacts will need to be mitigated for within the basin.
7. The Econlockhatchee River is an Outstanding Florida Waterway and any proposed construction cannot degrade the water quality of that waterbody.
8. No surface waters or wetlands should be utilized for the treatment of stormwater runoff.
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9. Wetland impacts associated with roadway construction should be avoided and or minimized to the greatest extent possible.
10. Mitigation for wetland/surface water impacts that occur within Orange County should be located in Orange County, in the same hydrologic basin as the impacts. Please coordinate with the Orange County EPD for potential mitigation options.
11. Demonstrate that the ongoing and future planned land management activities on any of the preserved environmentally sensitive areas will not be impeded by any of the proposed alignments.
12. Lighting and noise impacts to the wetlands or surface waters adjacent to the proposed Corridor Alternative should incorporate dark sky lighting and noise abatement measures to reduce adverse impacts to wildlife.
13. The design shall include provisions for wildlife connectivity across or under roadways that traverse wetland systems and associated buffers. Fragmentation of any wildlife corridors should be minimized and designed to allow for unimpeded passage of wildlife and maintain hydrology. Additionally, field fencing to prohibit the movement of wildlife across the roadway should be installed.
14. Bridge ecological design considerations: Any crossings of the Econlockhatchee River or it named or unnamed tributaries should be bridged. Minimize or eliminate pilings in the river with the longest spans possible. Earthen embankments should not be built in the 100 year flood plain, however, if necessary then compliance with all flood compensating storage regulations will be required. These design measures should serve to maintain existing habitat connectivity, hydrologic flow considerations and function to minimize harm to the resources of the basin. The roadway agreement will need to define construction, operational and maintenance costs and shall also include expenses of ecological considerations of this unique location. For example, some bridge roadway agreement concerns would likely include long term erosion of bridge support pilings, river embankment erosion, channelization, high water conditions (storms and hurricanes) and river channel movement. This path would likely be deemed a coastal evacuation route so design needs to consider severe storm conditions.

If you have any questions or comments please contact me at 407-836-1404 (dennis.weatherford@ocfl.net).
Sincerely, Dennis Weatherford, P.E., LEED AP
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Project Advisory Group (PAG) Meeting \#4 CFX Administration Building Ibis Conference Room 4974 ORL Tower Road, Orlando, Florida 32807 Tuesday, January 10, 2017 -1:30 PM - 3:30 PM

## Follow up required: Renzo Nastasi, with Orange County Transportation Planning, has asked for a copy of the EAG meeting notes.

The fourth Project Advisory Group (PAG) meeting was held to provide an opportunity for stakeholder, agency and public participation, which is a key element of the Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) Study phase.

A total of 21 persons attended including team members. Full list of attendees are noted on the Sign in Sheet attached. CFX's Director of Engineering, Glenn Pressimone and Eileen LeSuer, CFX's Public Information Representative (QCA) were in attendance, as well as QCA Senior Associate Kelda Senior and Dewberry Associate Vice President, Keith Jackson. Metric Engineering's Senior Project Engineer Robert Linares and Project Manager William Sloup attended and were supported by Metric staff member Gabriela Garcia and Media Relations Group's Public Involvement Consultant Valerie Tutor, who facilitated the meeting.

## 1. Introductions/Welcome

Ms. Tutor welcomed the meeting's returning and new participants. The participants were thanked for their time and willingness to serve once again. Ms. Tutor asked that the study team introduce themselves, followed by CFX staff and then the meeting participants themselves.

## 2. Staff Presentation and Status Update

- Mr. Sloup gave a Power Point presentation to the PAG regarding the history, overview of the status of the alternatives discussed in July 2016, an introduction to the expanded PD\&E study and the area it will cover as well as the 5 corridor alternatives currently identified.


## 3. Discussion and Comments - Members Offered the Following Comments and Questions

- Tim McKinney, with United Global Outreach, asked why we were not looking at the corridors we originally started with when conducting the study. Ms. Garcia, stated that 2 of these corridors are very similar; Mr. Sloup, explained that the team didn't analyze them as their main purpose was to stay closer to SR 50 and the original corridors were more far-reaching.
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- Dwight Saathoff with Project Finance and Development, LLC, stated that his understanding of why this study is being extended is to prepare in case Florida's Turnpike Enterprise (FTE) doesn't move forward with their plan. Mr. Sloup concurred and added that another consideration would be to ensure that it meets the project purpose and need as defined by CFX.
- Mr. Saathoff asked what happens if the FTE decides it is not financially feasible for them to move forward. Mr. Sloup explained that that is the reason why we [the Team] are evaluating alternate corridors a half mile on either side of $\operatorname{SR} 50$, generally speaking.
- Frank Consoli with Seminole County Public Works, asked if there were any consideration for transit. Mr. Sloup replied that it is a consideration and a part of our purpose and need statement to provide opportunities for "rapid transit."
- Tiffany Homler, representing Lynx, mentioned that CFX is developing a transit policy and wondered if this team had seen a draft yet. Mr. Pressimone said that the report has just been finished for this and a presentation to the CFX Board is scheduled for February.
- Ron Toporek with OUC, asked if the study team had considered the All Aboard Florida impacts, if any. He further asked if the team had done any in-depth evaluations of the 5 corridors presented. Mr. Linares responded that the study team provided the group with tables summarizing the analysis of the 12 corridors. The tables show only the magnitude of impacts and does not yet rank the corridors. This will be done after the advisory and public meetings.
- It was asked if the east end of Corridors 4 and 5 would continue east of where they are shown ending. Mr. Linares responded that at this time the study area terminates at SR 520.
- Mr. McKinney asked how the team determined Corridor 2. Mr. Sloup explained that Corridor 2 follows a Progress Energy transmission line.
- R. J. Mueller with FixMyRoad.com, said that Corridor 5 looks like it will be going through a lot of wetlands. Corridor 4 looks like the least destructive and involves the least floodplain. Mr. Linares remarked that the map shows a $400^{\prime}$ corridor now and that will be narrowed down to a 300 ' alignment.
- Mr. Mueller also asked about the consideration that is being placed on crossing the Econ River. He thought there was a restriction on the number of times it can be crossed. Renzo Nastasi with Orange County Transportation Planning, replied that there are no restrictions being placed like that but that there are a lot of criteria any crossing would have to meet.
- Maria Teimouri from the University of Central Florida (UCF), remarked that the crossing by Corridor 4 seemed to be the least impactful.
- Mr. Saathoff asked how the team defined all the study criteria such as environmental/socioeconomic/engineering and how they are quantified. Ms. Garcia explained the quantitative process
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and pointed to the handout in the packet given to the PAG members. Mr. Linares further explained that the corridors are broken into segments so that the team can take parts of each if necessary to determine the best alternative.

- Mr. Saathoff asked what the next step will be for the study team regarding the evaluation. Mr. Linares explained that the various corridors and segments are weighted and scored on various criteria and then a more detailed evaluation would take place to select the appropriate corridor. He added that once a corridor is selected, then the team begins to investigate what alignments are possible and what that would look like, etc. Corridor 4 has been commented on frequently as seeming to have more possibilities, but it has its own challenges as well.
- Marcos Bastian with Orange County Transportation Planning, pointed to Corridor 1 which seems to skirt existing housing and is closer to UCF. He commented that it seems to be a "non-starter" due to the public sentiment in that area. He further commented that while some criteria are easy to weigh and evaluate, public sentiment can throw it all off.
- Ms. Garcia and Mr. Linares both replied that Corridors $1 \& 2$ have lots of wetlands and a tributary of the Econ River is located in that vicinity which would require more crossings.
- Mr. Toporek asked if the PAG members were to assume that the study team had done their best to find the least impactful area in choosing these 5 corridors to study. Ms. Garcia answered that yes, these were the corridors identified that had the least impacts and would potentially meet the purpose and need.
- Mr. Saathoff asked if right of way costs are taken into account when analyzing the corridors. Mr. Linares replied that they were taken into account, as well as environmental mitigation and other costs.
- Mr. Mueller remarked that Corridors 1 and 2 will no doubt have strong opposition from the public.
- Mr. Saathoff commented that it seemed there would be operational issues the closer you get to SR 50 and some of the corridors seem to create isolated strips of land that would not be desirable.
- Mr. McKinney said that Corridor 1 was not workable He thought that a few of the options in Corridor 4 and the end of Corridor 2 might work since they could impact some property that is currently blighted.
- Mr. Saathoff asked if we were reasonably sure these corridors would all meet the purpose of relieving traffic off of SR 50.
- Mr. Toporek asked how do the 5 corridors compare with what we came up with before which was the co-location with SR 50. That seems the best option. Mr. Linares said yes, the SR 50 alternative that was developed in this study was superior to these corridors for many reasons but it was also expensive. It is also off the table at this time due to FDOT right of way issues.
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- Hugh Harling with East Central Florida Regional Planning Council, commented that the majority of traffic along CR 419 is coming from the north and Seminole County and traffic on Avalon is coming from the south and the communities. If you pick up those two areas of traffic, then you could get a tremendous amount of ridership. Mr. Linares said there is an option for the extension of CR 419/Chuluota Road to extend south to the new SR 408.
- Ms. Homler asked if the previous information from the study is on the CFX website. Mr. Sloup confirmed that this information was available on the website.
- Mr. Harling asked what the status was of Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) projects on SR 50. Mr. Linares said that the widening of SR 50 to Avalon was currently finishing as well as the bridge replacement project over the Econlockhatchee. He added that there were two other widening projects in design but they have been stopped.
- Mr. Harling also asked about the split regarding traffic coming from the east and whether or not it comes from SR 50 or SR 520. Mr. Linares said that data showed the traffic is mainly coming from SR 520.
- Mr. McKinney said that there were plans to develop the existing park and ride lot west of CR 419 to a bus depot for the school buses. And added that it would be an improvement to the current situation.
- Mr. Mueller asked if there could be a corridor that integrates Corridor 3 and 4. The corridor could take part of Corridor 4 and then cross SR 50 and combine with Corridor 3 at that point. He asked if the study team had thought of that and he believed it could pick up a lot of traffic and ridership.
- Mr. McKinney said it might make sense to look at that and it would be about as far north as the public might be willing to go.
- Mr. Linares agreed that was a possibility that the study team would take a look at in their analysis.
- Mr. Saathoff asked if it was determined that CFX could not legally use the right-of-way along SR 50. Mr. Pressimone answered that FDOT has taken a legal position, but CFX has not determined the legality at this point.
- Mr. McKinney asked if the study team could add the Corridor $4 / 3$ option just discussed prior to the public meeting in February. Mr. Sloup advised that the study team would do that.
- Mr. Nastasi commented that placement of an interchange easternmost on Corridor 3 poses a challenge. He feels that the $4 / 3$ option has major challenges to it and that any interchange north of SR 50 would be a problem. He added that if it facilitates traffic coming south from Seminole County and Orange County, then Orange County would have to make improvements to CR 419/Chuluota Road north of SR 50 and on other roads due to the increased demand. This would make it controversial.
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- Laura Carter with the Space Coast TPO, remarked that it seemed that the extension of SR 408 would have regional impacts that need to be addressed. Mr. Sloup responded by saying that the extension itself supports regional traffic trips.
- Ms. Carter commented that the issue for the Space Coast TPO has been the traffic from SR 520 going up to UCF.
- Ms. Homler added that the Lynx study follows SR 50 to Alafaya.
- Mr. Consoli asked if there would be something going NB up to Challenger and UCF in this scenario. Mr. Linares answered that there would be an interchange developed to address that.
- Keith Caskey with MetroPlan Orlando, said that the 2040 Transportation Plan contains this corridor.
- Ms. Carter asked if the study addressed the number of lanes on SR 50. Mr. Sloup says that the study assumes SR 50 as 6 lanes out to SR 520.
- Mr. Saathoff asked what the objective of this roadway was (SR 408 Eastern Extension) from a public standpoint. He feels high priorities are:
- People coming from east to west
- People going to and from UCF
- Avalon coming up to SR 50 and then west to work
- Traffic relief around UCF \& McCulloch
- Mr. Mueller stated that Seminole County would probably like Corridor 1.
- Mr. Consoli with Seminole County said that might not necessarily be the one the County would prefer. It invites more development that they may or may not want.
- In addition, Mr. Mueller pointed out the issue of connectivity and capacity west of the Econ River.
- Mr. Saathoff asked the team to comment on the possible merits of Corridor 5. He added that it is not obvious that it serves any purpose except the Avalon area. Mr. Toporek further added that the EAG and PAG have given the study team their feedback and he would like the team to tell the PAG what they think are the best features of the various routes.
- Mr. Linares responded in detail. He mentioned that the first exercise for the team in the study is to determine if and where they can weave it through for the least impacts. He explained that this is just a "first look." He added that:
- It would be hard to imagine at least the initial portion of Corridor 5 moving forward.
- Corridor 4 seems to have the least impacts from a "first look" view, i.e. conservation and not as many neighborhoods, etc.
- We have received good feedback especially regarding Corridor 4 Segment 2 in Bithlo.
- Corridor 3 is a pretty straight alignment and has tremendous impacts
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- Corridor 2 went north to minimize impacts but it has environmental impacts that are a challenge.
- Option 1 has less impacts to CR 419 and it does a pretty good job of addressing movement of traffic
- None of the Options (1 through 5) are perfect and all have impacts
- Connectivity at Challenger and Alfaya are critical
- Mr. Nastasi asked if the team was assuming six lanes in their model, regarding the widening of SR 50 to CR 419 or SR 520. Mr. Linares answered the team was assuming the 6 -laning out to SR 520. Action: Mr. Nastasi requested a copy of the EAG minutes/notes when we have them approved.
- Mr. Nastasi further commented that the widening of SR 50 might relieve traffic somewhat for now. Mr. Linares answered that the team was running models for 2025, 2035 and 2045.
- Mr. Toporek asked if there were any plans to widen SR 50 to more than 6 lanes. Mr. Nastasi said that 6 lanes is as wide as it is going to be. There are no plans to widen it any further.
- Mr. Bastian said that in looking at the corridors all the way to Avalon the assumption may be the road would be elevated in that area. Mr. Linares replied that the corridors will go through the same analysis as we did in the past. The team will look at all options including elevated or at grade. Whatever we do, we will look for a wall or embankment sections where we can.
- Mr. Harling concluded with the comment that sea level rise needs to be considered. Further, anything south of SR 50 such as Corridor 4 or 5 should also include a consideration for adjacent corridors that will serve Brevard and Osceola Counties.

Mr. Bobby Beagles from the Orange County Farm Bureau, asked for a meeting with Metric Engineering prior to the PAG to discuss these corridors since he was unable to attend today. He met with Mr. Sloup and provided feedback and comments, one of which included the fact that some versions of Corridor 4 seemed to have the least impacts at this time.

## 4. Next Steps

The study team will proceed with the analysis incorporating the feedback and input from the EAG and the PAG members. A Public Alternative Corridor Workshop will be held on February 16, 2017 from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. at Eastpointe Fellowship Church. All PAG team members are encouraged to attend.

Meeting adjourned at 3:15 p.m.

## CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

# ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY GROUP <br> MEETING NO. 5 

J une 1, 2017, 9:30 a.m. CFX Administration Building, Pelican Conference Room

## AGENDA

1. Introductions
a. Central Florida Expressway Authority Study Team
b. EAG Member Introductions
2. Discussion of Action Items from previous EAG
3. Presentation
a. Status Update
b. Recommended Corridor
c. Alternative under development
d. Next Steps
i. Evaluation of alternative
ii. Preparation of Reports
iii. Refinement of alternative
4. General Discussion/ Comments
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Environmental Advisory Group (EAG) Meeting<br>\#5 CFX Administration Building<br>Pelican Conference Room<br>4974 ORL Tower Road, Orlando, Florida 32807<br>Thursday, June 1, 2017 -9:30 AM to 11:30 AM

Follow up required: \#1- Rob Myers, Metric Engineering, will compile a list/map of all important conservation easements \& confirm them with SJRWMD, Orange County \& other agencies. He will contact SJRWMD Land Acquisition Department. Mark Von Canal, of SJRWMD, will assist Rob with this. \#2 - Metric will get the EAG team members a draft of the Natural Resource Evaluation Report (NRE) for review prior to the next EAG meeting. \#3 - EAG Members who did not receive or respond to the Advance Notification were to let Will Sloup or Rob Myers know. They will email another copy of the AN to the member so they can respond. Responses must be emailed to Will Sloup, Metric Engineering so it can be included in the NRE.

The fifth Environmental Advisory Group (EAG) meeting was held to provide an opportunity for stakeholder, agency and public participation, which is a key element of the Project Development and Environment Study phase.

A total of 18 persons attended including team members. Additionally, three members of the public were present as observers. A full list of attendees is noted on the attached Sign in Sheet. Glenn Pressimone, CFX Director of Engineering, attended as did Brian Hutchings, CFX Senior Communications Specialist. Jonathan Williamson, Project Manager (Dewberry) was present as well. Metric Project Manager Will Sloup, P.E., attended and was supported by staff members Gabriela Garcia, P.E. and Robert Myers, as well as Public Information Officer Valerie Tutor with Media Relations Group. Nicole Gough with Dewberry facilitated the meeting on behalf of CFX.

## 1. Introductions/Welcome

Ms. Nicole Gough, Dewberry, has assumed co-facilitator duties and welcomed the meeting's returning and new participants. The participants were thanked for their time and willingness to serve once again. Ms. Gough asked that CFX staff introduce themselves, followed by the study team and then the meeting participants themselves.
2. Staff Presentation and Status Update

- Will Sloup, P.E. with Metric Engineering, gave a Power Point presentation to the EAG that summarized the history of the study, reviewed the 5 corridor alternatives, the evaluation done thus far and introduced the preferred corridor (Corridor 4) and the alignment within that corridor that is being developed and further studied by the team.
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## 3. Discussion and Comments - Members Offered the Following Comments and Questions

- David Eunice of St. John's River Water Management District (SJRWMD) commented that he assumed the study team was addressing the impacts to wetlands and the Econlockhatchee River ("the Econ") as well as secondary impacts. He mentioned that encroachment onto conservation easements throughout the study area, such as the Dietrich ranch as well as other public lands, need to be addressed. He reminded the team that the Econ is a Florida Outstanding Water.
- Mark Von Canal, of SJRWMD, introduced himself as new to the group and asked if storm water harvesting had been discussed. Rob Myers, Metric Engineering, said that it had early on but he was not aware of opportunities in proximity to the current alignment. He stated he would certainly be willing to investigate that if Mr. Von Canal or any other EAG member knew of any that might work.
- James Hollingshead, SJRWMD, replied that if there were plans to landscape the extension at interchanges and provide irrigation for that landscaping, there is an opportunity to use storm water instead of ground water. Mr. Myers and Gabriela Garcia, Metric Engineering, acknowledged that and stated they would add it to the study document.
- Dennis Weatherford, Orange County Environmental, asked if this alignment being shown would use the old crossing of the Econ that is on Old Cheney. Mr. Myers said yes and indicated where it was on the map on display.
- Marge Holt, Sierra Club, noted that they are concerned with all the alternatives that have been discussed recently. The Sierra Club is not in favor of any of these. The impacts to conservation easements and wildlife corridors are big issues. She stated that Mayor Jacobs recently seemed to prefer the Turnpike's efforts along SR 50. She asked for CFX and the Turnpike to work together on this.
- Mr. Myers responded that he is open to a discussion about specific issues such a wildlife corridors. These items are of concern. He explained that to minimize the impacts, the alignment proposes to bridge the entire floodplain of the Econ and thus will serve as a wildlife corridor. He discussed that currently, the biggest barrier for wildlife in the area is exiting SR 50 and there are very few wildlife crossing locations. Part of the evaluation is where to include bridge crossings to maintain continuity for wetlands as well as wildlife corridors.
- Brian Barnett, Fish and Wildlife Commission, asked if the alignment shown was included in the matrix. Ms. Garcia answered that Corridor 4 is a 400' wide corridor and the alignment that is shown was developed within that corridor focusing on minimizing impacts within the corridor. She further stated that the study team is moving forward with creating environmental documents for the alignment as well as the traffic analysis. The team has adjusted the alignment in several places and will continue to do so after the results of the analysis is complete.
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- Mr. Barnett asked if the team has done a mitigation proposal yet. Mr. Myers answered they have not but they have started developing a mitigation strategy \& are working with a drainage engineer as well as addressing hydrological connectivity.
- Mr. Barnett asked if the easements would be mitigated on a one to one ratio. Mr. Myers replied that there are 2 types of easements that have slightly different processes for releasing them. He commented there had been a recent rule change that references a board vote.
- Mr. Von Canal agreed and said the process evaluates things like purpose, amount, ecological value, etc. Mr. Myers stated that the property owner must be the one to petition the board. Nicole Gough, Dewberry, explained it is a permitting process.
- Mr. Eunice commented that the Econ is a nested basin and there is only one bank that serves the area for SJRWMD. Mr. Myers said they would explore possible mitigation options during the study.
- Mr. Barnett inquired if this alignment would be going through public lands. Ms. Garcia said that it would be going through some county-owned lands.
- Mr. Barnett then asked if the mitigation and easement process might work to also fulfill some of the "wish list" for conservation management. Mr. Myers answered that the study team will explore all options conceptually during this study and coordinate with land managers as necessary. Mr. Barnett mentioned that sometimes it assists in public land management and not just protecting land in perpetuity.
- Ms. Holt asked if there is a display or list of conservation and public lands that might be impacted. Mr. Myers stated that they are included in the handout that was provided. He asked the group if they notice the team is missing an easement for conservation to let them know. Mr. Von Canal agreed that there are rare instances where things are not mapped correctly and one can be left off. Ms. Holt asked if the team could provide a list of names of impacted easements. Mr. Myers mentioned that the handout included the names of the Orange County green places however, they did not have the names of all the lands. Ms. Garcia pointed out the ones that are likely to be impacted by the project including a SJRWMD easement near Avalon. Mr. Eunice said those easements are dedicated to SJRWMD but are owned by the developer.
- SJRWMD and Mr. Myers noted that the Econ is in a Riparian Habitat Protection Zone, requiring additional mitigation for impacts.
- ACTION: Rob Myers, Metric Engineering, will compile a list/map of all important conservation easements and confirm with SJRWMD, Orange County and others. He will contact SJRWMD Land Acquisition Department. Mr. Von Canal offered to assist in this.
- Mr. Barnett asked about the Turnpike study possibly competing with the CFX study. Mr. Sloup replied that the results of the Turnpike study will show conflicts by proposing redundant roadway systems. Their study has not started yet. He further clarified that the SR 408 Eastern Extension is
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a regional connector road with future expansion to $\mathrm{I}-95$ and there is a clear purpose and need for this project

- Mr. Barnett said he thought this study was looking at a 4-lane toll road and thought FDOT was focusing on two lanes. Mr. Sloup replied that the improvements that were in design for SR 50 by FDOT have been stopped. It is not known what the Turnpike will recommend after their study.
- Mr. Barnett asked if the study team would be using all the potential pond locations shown. Ms. Garcia said they would not be using them all and would narrow them down further. Mr. Barnett encouraged the team to use disturbed areas first which Mr. Myers replied that several existing ponds are being evaluated. There is a concern regarding staging and construction impacts since there are little to no existing disturbed areas near this new alignment.
- Stefanie Jansson, Brevard County Natural Resources, wanted to know when CFX planned on extending SR 408 to Brevard County.
- Glenn Pressimone, CFX Director of Engineering, explained that this study continues the work done by the East Central Florida Regional Task Force and is the first phase. If the CFX Board chooses to advance this project, CFX will determine when the next phase can be funded. However, there is no current funding in place. That is well into the future and depends in large part on the Deseret Ranch.
- Mr. Weatherford wanted to know where the interchanges are being proposed on this alignment. Ms. Garcia pointed out that there are four (4) interchanges: The interchange at SR 50 where SR 408 currently terminates; Avalon Blvd.; West of East River High School to line up with CR 419; and an interchange at SR 50 just north of the SR 520 intersection where SR 408 would end.
- Ms. Holt asked about residential and social impacts and if they have been considered. Ms. Garcia replied that it is a big part of the study and something the team is evaluating closely and trying to avoid or minimize impacts as much as possible. Ms. Garcia discussed the areas where there are some impacts and noted that one of the goals was to not divide communities and disrupt neighborhoods.
- Mr. Myers further stated that the study team has found a series of trade- offs between residential impacts and wetland or other impacts and continues to evaluate them. The team is considering ways to minimize impacts using culverts, access bridges, etc.
- Ms. Holt asked about wildlife and what plans the team had to minimize impacts on them. Mr. Myers noted that the bridge spanning the Econ allows plenty of room for wildlife travel/crossing beneath it and the bridges will be high enough for large mammals to use this corridor as well. Mr. Sloup added that the team will be studying this aspect further now that a specific alignment has been identified.
- Mr. Barnett asked if the PD\&E study will compare this alternative to the No Build. Ms. Garcia confirmed the "No Build" is always an option.
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- Mr. Barnett asked what type of report would discuss wetland and wildlife impacts. Mr. Myers replied it is called a "Natural Resources Evaluation Report". Mr. Barnett said that the EAG members would like to have an opportunity to review and comment on the NRE.
- ACTION: Mr. Myers said that he would get the EAG members a draft that they can comment on prior to the next EAG meeting. Mr. Barnett further stated he would be happy to review anything else the team might want to send.
- Michael Jones, Orange Audubon Society, commented that the Florida native plants guidelines will be important.
- Ms. Holt cautioned about nitrification and the related impacts to the environment when landscaping. She asked that landscaping and products used (fertilizer, weed killer, etc) be environmentally friendly or to plant native species that require low maintenance.
- Mr. Barnett asked about Breeding Birds Survey Blocks. Mr. Myers has not reviewed the survey block data.


## 4. Next Steps

Ms. Tutor reviewed the key points made by the EAG members today. She also informed the EAG members of the upcoming Public Alternative Workshop to be held on June 8, 2017 from 5 PM to 7 PM at the Corner Lake Middle School.

Ms. Gough and Ms. Tutor closed the meeting by thanking the members for their participation and comments and urged the members to attend the Public Meeting if they are available.

Meeting adjourned at 11:05 AM and a member of the public was present and asked to speak.
Ms. Sue Dietrich,Mr. Fred Dietrich (brother), and Ms. Nancy Prine were present to observe the meeting. Ms. Dietrich filled out a speaker card and requested to speak. She spoke to the EAG about her family's property and ranch which will be directly impacted by Corridor 4 . Their land is in a conservation easement and home to several endangered species. She and Mr. Dietrich asked to study team to consider realigning the route and avoid their property. They were told when they put the land into the conservation easement the property would be protected from development and things like this project.

Mr. Myers met with the Dietrichs after the meeting and will coordinate with them to visit the property to evaluate it and the species found there.

## PROJECT ADVISORY GROUP 5

# CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY 

# PROJ ECT ADVISORY GROUP MEETING NO. 5 

June 1, 2017, 1:30 p.m.<br>CFX Administration Building, Pelican Conference Room

## AGENDA

1. Introductions
a. Central Florida Expressway Authority Study Team
b. PAG Member Introductions
2. Discussion of Action Items from previous PAG
3. Presentation
a. Status Update
b. Recommended Corridor
c. Alternative under development
d. Next Steps
i. Evaluation of alternative
ii. Preparation of Reports
iii. Refinement of alternative
4. General Discussion/ Comments
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Project Advisory Group (PAG) Meeting \#5 CFX Administration Building Pelican Conference Room 4974 ORL Tower Road, Orlando, Florida 32807 Thursday, June 1, 2017 -1:30 PM - 3:30 PM

Action: \#1-Renzo Nastasi, with Orange County Transportation Planning, has asked for a copy of the EAG meeting notes from today. \#2 - Mr. Caskey will contact Mr. Sloup in the next few months to coordinate and schedule a future presentation to MetroPlan Orlando.

The fifth Project Advisory Group (PAG) meeting was held to provide an opportunity for stakeholder, agency and public participation, which is a key element of the Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) Study phase.

A total of 19 persons attended including team members. Full list of attendees are noted on the Sign in Sheet attached. CFX's Director of Engineering, Glenn Pressimone and Jonathan Williamson, Project Manager (Dewberry) were in attendance. Metric Engineering's Project Manager William Sloup attended and were supported by Metric staff member Gabriela Garcia and Media Relations Group's Public Involvement Consultant Valerie Tutor, who facilitated the meeting.

## 1. Introductions/Welcome

Ms. Tutor welcomed the meeting's returning and new participants. The participants were thanked for their time and willingness to serve once again. Ms. Tutor asked that the study team introduce themselves, followed by CFX staff and then the meeting participants themselves. There was one observer present representing Commissioner Emily Bonilla.

## 2. Staff Presentation and Status Update

- Will Sloup, P.E. with Metric Engineering, gave a Power Point presentation to the PAG that touched on the history of the study, reviewing the 13 corridor alternatives that were evaluated, the evaluation done thus far and introduced the preferred corridor which is Corridor 4 and the alignment within that corridor that is being developed and further studied by the team.

3. Discussion and Comments - Members Offered the Following Comments and Questions

- Bobby Beagles, Florida Farm Bureau and Town of Christmas, asked if this Corridor would be using the Old Cheney crossing that is still there. Will Sloup, Metric Engineering, replied that this corridor will use that crossing which received positive remarks from the EAG.
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- Mr. Beagles then asked if there was any way this alignment could miss the Dietrich Ranch, especially Mr. Dietrich's house. Mr. Sloup and Gabriela Garcia, Metric Engineering, noted that Mr. Dietrich had been an observer at the EAG meeting and this issue was discussed. The study team will work to determine what options exist. A portion of Mr. Dietrich's ranch is under a conservation easement.
- Mr. Beagles additionally pointed out that Corridor 4 still does not solve the problem of the SR 50/SR 520 intersection. This has been brought forward as an issue in the 2008 Concept Study and in the 2001 Task Force recommendations and it is still not solved. FDOT needs to rebuild this intersection. Mr. Beagles stated he agrees with Corridor 4 but it doesn't solve the Brevard - Orange County evacuation problems.
- Tim McKinney, United Global Outreach, informed the study team that Commissioner Bonilla has been working with Habitat for Humanity to begin building 8 homes that will be impacted by the alignment shown. They are breaking ground very soon. He also stated there is a medical clinic at Lansing near the end of the project that would be impacted as well. The clinic is currently in a trailer; however, they are receiving grants to construct a large clinic. Ms. Garcia noted this information and thanked Mr. McKinney for bringing this to the attention of the study team.
- It was asked why Corridor 5 was not selected, at least parts of it. Mr. Sloup and Ms. Garcia replied that this corridor had several environmental issues including conservation lands, wetlands and the Long Branch tributary to the Econlockhatchee River.
- Dwight Saathoff, Project Finance and Development LLC, expressed his opinion that the study team had done a good job in determining the most efficient corridor.
- R.J. Mueller, of FixMyRoad.com, noted that connectivity was rated a 10 with this corridor and he wondered how it rated a 10. Ms. Garcia explained how the ranking occurred and taking into account its proximity to SR 50. Mr. Mueller agreed with Mr. Beagles that the "bottleneck" at SR 50 and SR 520 needs to be considered.
- Georganne Gillette with Space Coast TPO remarked that this alignment makes sense and is close enough to SR 50.
- Mr. Mueller asked if traffic going to UCF headed westbound where SR 408 ends at Challenger will be able to easily access Challenger to head to UCF. Ms. Garcia replied that the interchange being considered would allow that movement so drivers can get to the UCF campus.
- Renzo Nastasi, Orange County Transportation Planning, remarked that Corridor 4 appears to be the most efficient. He noted that Woodbury is scheduled for widening from SR 50 to Lake Underhill and the study team should take that into account. He further asked to be sent copies of the EAG notes when approved.
- Frank Consoli, Seminole County Public Works, commented that this seems to be a good alignment to provide connectivity to CR 419.
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- Mr. Beagles inquired as to the feedback and reaction from the EAG team members earlier that morning. Ms. Garcia stated that the Audubon Society and Sierra Club had taken a position not in favor of any of the corridors and supported co-location with SR 50 . Other comments were generally positive and informative for moving forward.
- Mr. McKinney asked if CFX would wait for the Turnpike study to be completed. Mr. Pressimone replied that this study would be complete in September-October when the Turnpike's study will just be beginning. It is our obligation to take the findings from this study to the CFX Board and they will give the staff direction. CFX does not know what the outcome will be. Comments by FDOT District Five seem to indicate they may require that the Turnpike alignment be an elevated section for the entire project limits including through Bithlo. If so, that would make that alignment very costly and not financially feasible.
- Mr. McKinney commented that if the SR 50 option eventually returned to CFX that they would not want to recommend an alignment that splits Bithlo. Mr. Pressimone stated that the impacts to Bithlo were the reason many of the other options being studied were eliminated.
- Ron Toporek of OUC said that Corridor 4 was a good option but asked if the team had considered presenting both 4 and 4.2 to the public. He suggested that the public may not be receptive to seeing that there is one choice only \& they have no other options for input. Even though 4.2 may not be the best option, he feels it is important to give the public a choice. He thinks if they were to see the data as the team has, they would also agree the corridor 4 is best. Mr. McKinney also said he agreed with this point.
- Ms. Garcia stated that she agreed with that statement. The public will be presented and shown all the previous corridors at this meeting as well. The community has had an opportunity to comment on several options prior to this meeting. Corridor 4 is the recommended corridor moving forward. However, what is being presented is not the recommended alternative and is by no means set in stone yet.
- Mr. Saathoff wondered if the general public were aware of what mitigation can entail and that often it is a positive with more land being protected than prior to the project. For that reason he also thinks that this is better than doing an expressway along SR 50 . He asked if there is something we could do to educate the public better.
- Hugh Harling with East Central Florida Regional Planning Council asked how long the bridge crossing is projected to be. Ms. Garcia said it would be approximately 0.25 miles and will serve as a wildlife corridor.
- Mr. Toporek clarified his concerns regarding giving the public a choice. He said he thought giving them a choice would encourage interaction.
- Mr. McKinney remarked that the crossing at Old Cheney is currently used as a party spot for many locals. He hoped that the future bridge design would help discourage such use.
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- Maria Teimouri from the University of Central Florida (UCF), remarked that the alignment being studied supports those coming and going to UCF.
- Keith Caskey, MetroPlan, requested that the study team be available to present to MetroPlan in the future. It would probably be January - February 2018. Mr. Sloup pointed out that the study would be completed by then and CFX would have a recommended alternative at that time. Action: Mr. Caskey will contact Mr. Sloup in the last few months to coordinate and schedule this.
- Sean Ells, representing Columnar Development, asked why the public and others think it is a good idea to add a limited access tolled expressway along SR 50. He speculated that it would "break" SR 50 by making it a nightmare for the community and traveling public during construction and creating this huge roadway afterwards that diminishes community cohesion. He stated that he feels corridor 4 is a better option to using SR 50 . Mr. Sloup replied that it was a consideration that the study team felt seemed to make Corridor 4 an even better option than co-location with SR 50.


## 4. Next Steps

The study team will proceed with the analysis incorporating the comments and discussion points from the EAG and PAG members. An Alternative Public Workshop will be held on June 8, 2017 from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. at Corner Lake Middle School. All PAG team members are encouraged to attend.

The next PAG is proposed for the latter part of August. A specific date will be forthcoming.
Meeting adjourned at $3: 10$ p.m.
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Environmental Advisory Group (EAG) Meeting \#6<br>CFX Administration Building<br>Pelican Conference Room<br>4974 ORL Tower Road, Orlando, Florida 32807<br>Tuesday, October 10, 2017 - 9:30 AM to 11:30 AM

## Follow up required: EAG members will be notified when the study documents are ready for review and comment.

The sixth Environmental Advisory Group (EAG) meeting was held to provide an opportunity for stakeholder, agency and public participation, which is a key element of the Project Development and Environment Study phase.

A total of 15 persons attended including team members. A full list of attendees is noted on the Sign-In Sheet attached. Jonathan Williamson, Project Manager (Dewberry) attended for CFX. Metric Senior Project Engineer Robert Linares. P.E. and Project Manager Will Sloup, P.E., attended and were supported by staff members Gabriela Garcia, P.E. and Robert Myers, Environmental Specialist, as well as Public Information Officer, Valerie Tutor with Media Relations Group. Nicole Gough with Dewberry opened the meeting on behalf of CFX.

1. Introductions/Welcome

Ms. Nicole Gough, Dewberry, welcomed the meeting's returning and new participants. The participants were thanked for their time and willingness to serve once again. Ms. Gough asked that staff introduce themselves, followed by the study team and then the meeting participants themselves.

## 2. Staff Presentation and Status Update

- Will Sloup, P.E. with Metric Engineering, gave a Power Point presentation to the EAG reviewing the purpose \& need, the 5 corridor alternatives considered, followed by selection of Corridor 4 as the preferred corridor and the preliminary alignment within that corridor. He updated the group on the latest information and refinements to the alignment and presented on the recommended alternative. He outlined current and next steps for the study team.


## 3. Discussion and Comments - Members Offered the Following Comments and Questions

- Charles Lee, of the Florida Audubon Society, asked if the Purpose and Need of this study would be affected by the Turnpike's Colonial Parkway project if it were to be built along SR 50 as anticipated. Robert Linares, Metric Engineering, replied that it would be difficult to assess since the PD\&E study for that project is just beginning and we have no idea what the scope is for that project and what their study outcome will be. Mr. Lee then asked if Metric Engineering would be adding a note in our study
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documents regarding the Colonial Parkway project and the possibility that their results may alter our conclusions. Will Sloup, Metric Engineering, reminded the group that the CFX study is the first step in the future I-95 connection. Mr. Linares stated the study document would include a notation about the Colonial Parkway and other potential projects.

- Mr. Lee speculated that CFX would have to purchase the Deerwood Mobile Home Park in its entirety even though the current alignment impacts only a portion of it. The argument could be made by the property owner that it should be $100 \%$ take. Mr. Linares said that there is an option for a bridge to span Deerwood to ensure connectivity.
- Mr. Lee asked who was the owner of the conservation easement near Deerwood impacted by the new interchange at Avalon Park Blvd. Rob Myers, Metric Engineering, said that it was a SJRWMD easement \& the HOA is the owner. Ms. Gough explained it was part of the mitigation of the housing development. Mr. Lee commented that there would be mitigation credits, of course, for the footprint impacts but there may be more needed for secondary impacts related to cutting the easement in half.
- David Eunice, SJRWMD, replied that CFX would probably be required to mitigate twice for the wetlands as the lands were originally purchased for mitigation purposes. Mr. Lee agreed that there may be a double impact in places since some of these were previously set aside.
- Mr. Lee referred to the brownfield near the eastern end of the project and said that even if the alignment misses it, it doesn't mean it would be out of the influence of the site. Any dewatering during construction will likely cause impacts. Mr. Myers agreed and noted that the study team is flagging this area for further study in later phases and has given it the highest risk rating.
- Mr. Eunice asked if the 59 acres of wetland impacts shown was just direct impacts. Mr. Myers said it is just direct impacts based on the project footprint, and noted that it does not distinguish areas where wetlands would be bridged but not necessarily dredged or filled. He also noted that the 59 acres does not include secondary impacts. Mr. Eunice asked if the 14 acres were uplands and wetlands. Mr. Myers replied it is just uplands. Mr. Myers also explained that the RHPZ is not mapped by the SJRWMD but is instead described in text and is based on the extent of the river channel and adjacent wetlands.
- Cammie Dewey, SJRWMD, suggested the team note that this part of the Econlockhatchee is designated as Sovereign Submerged Land.
- Mr. Lee said he thought that the Dietrich land was not a regulatory exchange easement but a purchased easement through one of the SJRWMD programs, possibly Preservation 2000. If that is the case and there is a compensation option, then you would need to obtain $2 / 3$ vote of the governing board.
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- Dave Herbster, Department of Environmental Protection, asked if the costs shown are in today's dollars or future dollars. Mr. Sloup confirmed it is in today's dollars as well as impacts to properties assumes existing land uses.
- Mr. Lee referred to the proposed bridge alignment over the Econlockhatchee River. He stated that the current alignment shown may be able to be adjusted to have less impacts to environmental lands. Mr. Lee stated that an ideal crossing would not necessarily be at the exact location of the old crossing, but instead where the river's floodplain has the narrowest floodplain. He suggested curving it a little more north a few hundred feet to minimize the impacts. He also suggested that the interchange at CR 419 might be better if it were further east moving it as much as possible away from the Econlockhatchee. He also stated that restoring the old crossing area as part of mitigation would be a net benefit to the project. Mr. Linares said the study team can consider these suggestions, but will need to see how that works with the design speed of the alignment as well as minimizing impacts to East River High School. This will be looked at in more detail.
- Dennis Weatherford, Orange County Environmental, said that they have done several studies in the brownfield property and haven't found a lot of contaminants such as solvents, petroleum, etc. Orange County has found contaminants from around the residences in the area that seems to be coming from the septic systems that have not been properly maintained. The brownfield used to be A-Z Recycling and there was a lot of vegetative waste, construction debris, wires, fences, etc. Groundwater testing does not indicate high contamination levels. Mr. Myers stated that this area is given the high-risk rating so that the next phase of the project will do further study.
- Mr. Weatherford also noted that the alignment seems to be cutting into an Orange County conservation easement (Sunflower). Mr. Myers confirmed that the proposed alignment clips the corner of the property with the required border width ( 300 -foot R/W).
- Brian Barnett, Fish and Wildlife Commission, said his comment is that he hopes the Turnpike project is successful and will eliminate the need for this one. He prefers the collocated corridor concept. Mr. Lee agreed.
- Mr. Eunice asked about wildlife crossings around the tributaries. Mr. Myers inquired what SJRWMD would be looking for regarding the crossings. There are possibilities such as a dry shelf within a large culvert. The team is open to suggestions and agree the bigger and more open they are, the better. Catherine Owens, FDOT EMO D5, stated that FDOT has guidelines that they must use for these. Mr. Myers indicated the proposed project provides a great wildlife crossing in the new proposed bridge over the Econlockhatchee River. Additionally, other tributaries will either be bridged, or a culvert will be installed where required for further wildlife crossing opportunities. SR 50 to the north serves as an existing wildlife barrier.
- Marge Holt, Sierra Club, echoed the sentiment that the Turnpike's SR 50 route is the best. She doesn't see anything overwhelming in the Purpose and Need that the Turnpike project couldn't meet. Mr. Linares said that the costs and financial feasibility of the Colonial Parkway will be a big part of what they are able to construct.
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- Mr. Herbster commented that the land costs in the future may be way too high to make either project feasible.
- Ms. Dewey asked if there would be the ability to consider storm water harvesting and include that potential in the study documents. Mr. Myers replied that this is being discussed and that the study team has a meeting planned with SJRWMD to explore this further.
- Mr. Herbster asked that the team think about multi-modal options such as accommodating for bicycles. He recommended CFX consider a shared use path and/or hanging paths on the side or under bridges.
- Ms. Tutor concluded the meeting by thanking the EAG members, on behalf of the study team and CFX, for their participation and their time taken to serve on this advisory group.


## 4. Next Steps

Completed portions of the study documents are being reviewed between Metric and Dewberry, the CFX General Engineering Consultant. When the documents are ready for comment by the EAG members, Valerie Tutor will send an email to them with details as to how to obtain them.

This is the last EAG meeting for this study. The Public Hearing has been pushed back to Spring of 2018, possibly April. The EAG members will be notified of the hearing date and location when it has been finalized and the notifications prepared.

Meeting adjourned at 11:15 A.M.

## PROJECT ADVISORY GROUP 6
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Project Advisory Group (PAG) Meeting \#6<br>CFX Administration Building<br>Pelican Conference Room<br>4974 ORL Tower Road, Orlando, Florida 32807<br>Tuesday, October 10, 2017 -1:30 PM - 3:30 PM

Action: \#1-Mr. Caskey will email the PAG team the PowerPoint presentation that Commissioner Bonilla will be showing at the next MetroPlan Board Meeting. \#2- Valerie Tutor, MRG, will notify the PAG members with the date of the Public Hearing as soon as it becomes known.

The sixth Project Advisory Group (PAG) meeting was held to provide an opportunity for stakeholder, agency and public participation, which is a key element of the Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) Study phase.

A total of 23 persons attended including team members. Full list of attendees is noted on the Sign in Sheet attached. CFX's Director of Engineering, Glenn Pressimone and Jonathan Williamson, Project Manager (Dewberry) were in attendance. Additionally, Emily Brown, CFX's Community Affairs Manager was also present. Metric Senior Project Engineer Robert Linares. P.E. and Project Manager Will Sloup, P.E., attended and were supported by staff members Gabriela Garcia, P.E., Robert Myers, Environmental Specialist and Media Relations Group's Public Involvement Consultant Valerie Tutor, who facilitated the meeting.

## 1. Introductions/Welcome

Ms. Tutor welcomed the meeting's returning and new participants. The participants were thanked for their time and willingness to serve once again. Ms. Tutor asked that the study team introduce themselves, followed by CFX staff and then the meeting participants themselves.

## 2. Staff Presentation and Status Update

- Will Sloup, P.E. with Metric Engineering, gave a Power Point presentation to the PAG reviewing the purpose \& need, the 5 corridor alternatives considered, followed by selection of Corridor 4 as the preferred corridor and the preliminary alignment within that corridor. He updated the group on the latest information and refinements to the alignment and presented on the recommended alternative. He outlined current and next steps for the study team.
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## 3. Discussion and Comments - Members Offered the Following Comments and Questions

- Bobby Beagles, Florida Farm Bureau and Town of Christmas, asked what the Orange County School Board said about the planned bus depot (around CR 419 area). Mr. Sloup replied that the team had met with them about it and incorporated their planned improvements into our study. They have indicated they have put their plans on hold for now due to the Florida's Turnpike Colonial Parkway study.
- Mr. Beagles asked if the alignment missed the Dietrich's property. Rob Myers, Metric Engineering, said that the alignment will miss his house, but it will impact a portion of the land.
- Keith Caskey of MetroPlan Orlando indicated that Commissioner Bonilla will be speaking in opposition to this project at the next MetroPlan Board meeting. Action: Keith will send Commissioner Bonilla's PowerPoint Presentation to the PAG and the study team.
- Amy Sirmans, representing FDOT District Five, asked if CFX has funded a design phase for this project. Glenn Pressimone, CFX Engineering, replied that it is only funded for $15 \%$ line and grade in the work plan. The full design is not funded at this time, but the work plan is updated annually so that could change.
- Renzo Nastasi with Orange County, suggested that the study show Woodbury Road widened all the way to SR 50 and beyond as that is what is planned. Widening Woodbury Road has been added to the Orange County CIP.
- Tim McKinney, United Global Outreach, asked when the study results will be presented to the CFX Board. Mr. Sloup replied that the team would be bringing it to the CFX Board about one month prior to the Public Hearing which is planned for the Spring of 2018 now. The CFX Board will give further direction to staff as to what happens next with the project. The Board could instruct CFX to move forward with the project or stand by as the Colonial Parkway project progresses or drop it from further consideration.
- Mr. McKinney says there is concern in the community as lawyers are sending out letters saying their property is going to be taken any day now. He suggested CFX and the team find a way to let the public know the correct information. He also asked if it was still planned to be done in (3) sections. Mr. Pressimone said the CFX Board would decide that. The CFX Board will also consider the goal of regional connectivity as outlined by the Governor's Task Force in their decision-making process.
- Mr. McKinney asked when this project would be constructed so that he can let the community know. Mr. Pressimone responded that in a perfect world, if everything fell into place, the project could be constructed in 5-6 years.
- Mr. McKinney asked about the medical clinic which is the only one that serves the community there. Mr. Sloup stated that the team is aware of the clinic and has developed alternatives to avoid it, as shown on the roll plot at the meeting.
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- Mr. Nastasi said that Orange County has received several comments regarding adding a pedestrian overpass crossing SR 50 near CR 419/ Chuluota Road.
- Don Whyte, Deseret Citrus and Cattle Company, said he is concerned about the eastern terminus of the expressway. There are a lot of major roads that feed into an at-grade intersection to get onto the SR 408 extension and he hopes that this will be fixed at some point. Mr. Robert Linares, Metric Engineering, explained that the project currently is evaluating for traffic in the year 2045 and that horizon year is all that is called for in the study. However, CFX's plan is for a SR 408 extension further east. At a future time, it is possible that direct connections may be required.
- Hugh Harling, East Central Florida Regional Planning Council, commented that this seems to be a good layout. He hopes it will take into consideration the groundwater table. The hurricane has put a lot of water along the corridor and it is not draining well now. Mr. Linares acknowledged that this was a good point and said the base would need to be 2-3 feet above high water, so the amount of fill could be substantial. The study team is taking this into account.
- Dwight Saathoff, Project Finance and Development LLC, asked what phase of the project is property identified for purchase and that process started. Mr. Pressimone and Mr. Linares both commented on this and stated that right of way acquisition usually starts at about $60 \%$ design with willing sellers. Mr. Sloup mentioned that once the drainage is designed, which is around $60 \%$, you can usually have a good idea of what properties will need to be purchased. Mr. Pressimone explained that it is possible that right of way agents for CFX could start conversations with willing sellers earlier in the design phase. The fastest scenario could see the design phase beginning around the end of 2018 and CFX able to do preliminary acquisition around the fall of 2019.
- Maria Teimouri, with University of Central Florida, asked what do we do to give back to the community we are impacting. Are there any beautification plans we can add, etc? Mr. Linares replied that the study alignment will provide opportunities for landscaping and aesthetic features to bridge structures.
- Mr. McKinney commented that on an FDOT project they are making retention ponds more "parklike." Mr. Pressimone said that the character of a limited access toll road is different, and ponds usually are a part of CFX right of way and not a public place. These types of things will need to be discussed during the design phase. CFX can and does invest in landscaping their projects especially around the interchange and pond areas. They take pride in this and it is usually about $1-2 \%$ of the construction costs.
- Ms. Sirmans commented that FDOT has a new policy to not make big ugly rectangular retention ponds.
- Mr. Beagles pointed out that the recent hurricane evacuation proved the need to build this road to help move and evacuate people.
- Mr. Saathoff said that he thought CFX roadways were more functional and aesthetically pleasing than others.
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- Laura Carter, Space Coast TPO, mentioned that this adds another east-west roadway other than SR 50 and SR 528 and gives people moving between Brevard and Orange counties another option.
- Ron Toporek, representing OUC, said he would hate to see the PAG back here in 10 years still talking about this roadway. His opinion is that the justification for the roadway is clear, but the concern is that with two agencies involved, FDOT and CFX, who will decide which projects gets built. Mr. Pressimone again stated that this would be up to the CFX Board if they want to move forward or wait for the Colonial Parkway study to be finished. This becomes a political discussion at some point. Mr. Linares mentioned that cost will be a crucial factor in this, both from CFX and FDOT standpoints.
- Mr. Beagles asked when the study team might determine the date for the Public Hearing. Mr. Linares said that the study team is finalizing documents for review and we should be closer to a date in a few months. Valerie Tutor, Media Relations Group, said she would notify the team in a Save the Date email as soon as the date is scheduled.
- Sean Ells, representing Columnar Development, said based on the cost in the presentation, that seemed to come to $\$ 35 \mathrm{M}$ a mile. Is that normal? Mr. Linares commented that there are a lot of bridge crossings in this alignment which adds to the costs. The original alignment that was colocated with SR 50 would have cost $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ a mile or more.
- Frank Consoli, Seminole County Public Works, commented that this seems to be a good alignment and he mentioned when he was with the City of Orlando, they did sidewalk widening underneath the expressways where possible to tie into trails.
- Mr. McKinney stated that if the team sends him a project flyer or fact sheet he can post it on the community Facebook page.
- Ms. Tutor concluded the meeting by thanking the PAG members, on behalf of the study team and CFX, for their participation and their time taken to serve on this advisory group.


## 4. Next Steps

The study documents are being finalized for review by Dewberry, the CFX consultant. Review of some portions of the documents is already in process.

This is the last PAG meeting for this study. The Public Hearing has been pushed back to Spring of 2018, possibly April. The PAG members will be notified of the hearing date and location when it has been finalized and the notifications prepared.

Meeting adjourned at 3:20 p.m.

## CORRIDOR MEETING

www.CFXway.com/408study

## PD\&E STUDY OVERVIEW

In May 2015, the Central Florida Expressway Authority (CFX) began a Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) Study for the proposed SR 408 (Spessard L. Holland East-West Expressway) Eastern Extension from the SR 50 interchange to the SR 50/SR 520 Intersection in East Orange County.

The objective of this study is to help CFX reach a decision on the type, design and location of the potential eastern extension of SR 408. All factors related to the design and location of the proposed expressway must be considered. These include transportation needs, social impacts, economic factors, environmental impacts, engineering analysis and right-of-way requirements.

## PUBLG CORRDOR MEETMG

CFX invites you to an Alternative Corridor Public Workshop regarding the potential eastern extension of SR 408 from the State Road 50 interchange to the SR 50/ State Road 520 intersection in east Orange County. The purpose of this Public Workshop is to provide the public with an opportunity to review and comment on the corridor alternatives developed for the project. Representatives from the PD\&E Study team will be present to answer your questions concerning the presentation, display boards and the alternatives evaluation process and results.

The meeting will be held on Thursday, February 16, 2017, at the Eastpoint Fellowship Church, located at 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32828. We will provide an overview of the project, the status of the study and the opportunity for you to ask questions and provide input. The meeting will be held in an open house format from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. Participants will be able to review project information and discuss the project with project staff during the meeting. Your attendance is encouraged and appreciated.

Newsletter 3, January 2017

## PROJECT UPDATE

The results of the PD\&E Study indicated that the optimal location for the eastern extension of the SR 408 is along the existing SR 50 corridor. From the existing SR 50/SR 408 interchange to Avalon Park Boulevard, the results of the study recommended SR 408 be elevated with the SR 408 eastbound traffic located south of SR 50 and the westbound SR 408 located north of SR 50. East of Avalon Park Boulevard, SR 408 would be located in the median of SR 50 , with SR 50 functioning as a local frontage road. This alternative will also feature new interchanges at Avalon Park Boulevard and at Chuluota Road. However, in May 2016 the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) notified CFX that there are issues with CFX utilizing the FDOT right-of-way for the SR 408 extension. Thus CFX has expanded the PD\&E study area in order to develop a new transportation corridor that will address the transportation needs while minimizing impacts to the natural, physical and cultural environments. We invite you to assist CFX in the evaluation of these corridors by providing comments to our engineering team.

## PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

One of the most important aspects of a PD\&E Study is public involvement. Your input is important to the success of the project. Information received as a result of this public meeting, along with detailed analyses of the corridors, will form the basis for the range of alternatives to be further refined, evaluated and documented in the PD\&E Study.

Opportunities for you to provide your input will be available throughout the study public meetings, small group meetings, and the project website www.CFXway.com/408study.


## ALTERNATIVE CORRIDORS

A multi-phase corridor development, evaluation and selection process will be used to properly assess all alternative corridors for the proposed eastern extension of SR 408. The corridors are being evaluated in terms of compliance with the Purpose and Need, environmental impacts, socio-economic impacts, engineering considerations. An important component of the evaluation are the public comments received at this meeting and throughout the study period. Currently, five main corridors and 7 additional combinations are being evaluated and are shown below.


PROJECT SCHEDULE

| MONTH | 2016 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | s | $\bigcirc$ | N | D | J | F | M | A |  | M | J | $J$ | A | s | 0 |
| BEGIN STUDY | (0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR PUBLIC MEETING |  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALTERNATIVES PUBLIC WORKSHOP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC HEARING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| CORRIDOR EVALUATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STUDY COMPLETION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PROJECT CONTACT
For project information, to provide comments regarding the study or to request a meeting with your group, please contact:

## Valerie Tutor, Public Information Officer

Phone: 941-504-9440, Email: 408study@CFXway.com
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|  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |
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| SR 408 PD\&E STUDY <br> EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254 |  |  | GENERAL PUB | native Gorridor Public Workshop ruary 16, 2017 \| 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833 <br> LIC SIGN-IN SHEET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | organlzation | ADDRESS | Phone number | EMAlL |
| mitzy Roberts |  | 17554 Candel Rd | 3213397759 | Mitzy Roberts eyahoo, con |
| Cyril Brown |  | 17535 Caudel Rd | 4075682063 | $c_{y}$, brownlse yahoe.com |
| Monie Buchanan |  | 27365 tanNer ro | 407-574-9583 | keepersplacelivered |
| PhilP UNSER |  | 2835 BALLARY AVE | 4076944644 | UNSER@ENRTNLIOK, NE. |
| Jeffrey fisha |  | 2127 Colonial Waods Blud | 407-620-396 | Jfisher elameresital. con |
| Janak Patel |  | 12801 ECalonval Dr. | 17407-282-9910 | X97.3xp@smailicom |
| thold Eb- |  | 106 \$towcester St | 4079539421 |  |
| Willam Pons |  | $185011^{\text {th }}$ Ove, Brthlo | 407-565-6/12 | badbill 10 e MIT. net |
| BonNiel ancesporf |  | 1105 BuIST ST 32828 | 321-804-4549 |  |
| Robent Gondek |  | 1474 Caudle 5 T | 4,07-568.5418 |  |
| $m_{r} \text { Lopez }$ |  | 2319 lothat. | $N / A$ |  |

Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway
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GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET
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Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway
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Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833
GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET



Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 pm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


| EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254 |  |  | Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursiay, February 16, 2017 \| 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET |  |
| NAME | ORGANIZATION | ADDRESS | PHONE NUMBER | EMAIL |
| Donna Tlcenurst |  | 17813 Golden Lect Lu, On1 32820 | 401-234-254) | dsticein7leyahoukom |
| CHUCK Tieraturs |  | 17813 GOLDON COAF LN. 328280 | 4017600256 | ea-ticehurstayohoo. |
| Maksoor kriunasa | HANSON/FD OT | 700 Na Maition AvC. Mant Lon EL 32751 | 4079255997 | mkhuwaja Phonsoninc.con |
| $L \mathrm{Lida}$ D=Angelo |  | 780 Luckuwo DR 32833 | 3216424125 | orlando deangeio@gmail.com linda. deangelo e conca stanet |
| Pamela Sible |  | 16011 OU $1^{32 f 3>}$ Cherses Itm | 40.432 .1645 | Siblejoli®Aace |
| STEPHEN HOMGOOD |  | 14152 SPQDE SCT ORLANDO,FL 32Z26 | 4016403745 | SHOPGOODT02@ man.com |
| Sara Hurtado |  | 2154 Colonime woods Bivis Orepando el 32826 | $(407) 2491349$ |  |
| Trata tortiver |  | 16302 HAtMiliton Di. ARLAOdofle 32833 | 407.777 .8262 | Sirerrackectaince GMAIL com |
| Robat Restrepu |  | 1927 cristall. Cr orlaiduflizez8 | 7608890400 | rubert restreporstatucican |
| Kunt Garber |  |  |  | Kgarbero fortarilam. com |
| Deve Alumanas |  | Hin\% Blawmong Prue 2 |  |  |

Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833
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Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET
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GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Eastpoint Fellowship Church

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop


Alternative Corridor Public Workshop Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church 15060 Old Cheney Highway Orlando, Florida 32833

ㄷLECTED OFFICIALS \& STAFF SIGN-IN


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 pm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Kathleen Miller |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 17160 Long Boat Lane or 32820 |  |
| Phone Number: $407-575-0635$ | Email: Kathy miller 09@gmail.com |
| comment: <br> I reside at 17160 Long Boat Lane. I would <br> like the 408 extension to come down 50 or \#5 $\#$. <br> Jam strongly against Corridor 1 as my <br> family home will be severely impacted. |  |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  |
| Phone Number: | Email: |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 pm to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment:
Ir reside at the address above. I would lite
408 extention to come down Highway 50


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager 482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


| comment: I reside at 3045 Amalfi Drive Orlando. PL |
| :--- |
| 32820 . I would like the 408 extension to come down |
| Hwy 50. I am STRONGLY AGANST CORRIDORS 1 a 3 as |
| my family home will be severly impacted. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
$\square$
CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 pm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000
lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
 Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| name: Carrie Kalish |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 17449 Bella Nova Dr |  |
| Phone Number: 407923 4449 | Email: Ouyjnkj oatt.net |

comment: I live at R449 Bella Nova Dr Orlando Fl 32820.
I would like the 408 extension to come down Hwy 50
Eam strongly opposed to corridor $1+3$ as my family home will be negatively effected. My home value will
go down + I will no longer nave the peace + quiet
I moved out here for!

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

FLORIDA
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000
lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 pm to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000
lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: ViJAy NAIR |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: 3033 Amalfi Dr. Orlande RE 3280 |  |
| Phone Number: 9177091547 | Email: VNeVNAR.ORG. |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com SR 408 PD\&E $\mathcal{E}$
CAStren Extenson roms bo o
Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address: $14228 \text { Thauh }$ | $l($ |  |
| Phone Number: 4/07-421-7921 | Email: |  |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Sloup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 pm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Soup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Anna Vreuls |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 3141 Amalfi Dr. Orlando, fl 32820 |  |
| Phone Number: 407-76l-67ll | Email: abatt83@ bellsouth.net |
| comment: I reside at 3141 Amalf: Dr, I would |  |
| like the 408 extension to come down Hwy 50. |  |
| I am strongly against Corridors 1 a 2 as my |  |
| family home will be severely impacted. |  |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: ELLVAN |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: 1649 SherMan |  |  |
| St Orlando FI | 32828 |  |
| Phone Number: $(4077)$ | $218-9476$ | Email: |

comment: I live in the path of one of your planned routes. I see that all routes have homes in the way. I think and say take the blue path. Lets face it, the blue path has less homes in the ways It is also in the middle of all who will use the extension. Blue path does have powerlines, but it is easy to move them, not tones of residents.

|  |
| :--- |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Dante Payne |
| :--- |
| Address: 3114 San Leo dr |
| Phone Number: $407-722-2714$ |


| Comment: I reside at 3114 san ceo dr orlando, fl 32820 |
| :--- |
| I would like the 408 extension to come down limy |
| ho. I am strongly against corridors $1+2$ a 5 my family |
| home will be severely impacted, |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
 Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| name: Dianna Bash |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 17419 Bella Klode Dr. Orlando |  |
| Phone Number: $706-506-3293$ | Email: diana Qe-keurthside.con |


| comment: I Reside at the alone address. Iwowld |
| :--- |
| like the tor extension to go down Hwy so. |
| I am Strongly against Corridors I t 2 as |
| my family home will be severely impacted! |
| Please consider the Ley option! |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
AURGGRE:
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

## Comment Sheet

Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

## Name:



Address:

$$
14513 \mathrm{San} \text { Lorenzo Dr Orindo FL } 32820
$$

Phone Number:

$$
407-484-7233
$$

Email:


## Comment:

I strongly disagree with the proposed corridor 1 ad corridor 2 |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 pm to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment:
Need to keep to original zoning. This is not sustainable + will harm cen
during water

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| name: Natalia Kapsalis |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 1532 Algonkin loop |  |
| Phone number: | Email: natalia, Kapsalisegmail |

comment: Why are all these plans being looked at When they have so much impact to so mary families \& businesses? FDot + CFX should be able to come to an agreement to split the costs and split the profits: everyone wins. Corporate greed of these two entities will negatively import too many. All traffic ends@ Avalon Park Bird. Why all the way to 520??
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Marcia Ballentine |
| :--- |
| Address: 3320 Lukas cove |
| Phone Number: $407-461.4643$ |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Richard Diaz |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 1767 Laligue Lane, Grl.FL 32828 |  |
| Phone Number:4074035980 | Email: richdiaz1@yahoo.com |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


| Comment: |
| :--- |
| I RESIDE AT 17522 BELA NOVA DRIVE. I WOULD LIKE THE |
| 408 EXTENSION TO COME DOWN HIGIWAY 50. I AM STRONGLY |
| OPPOSED TO CORRIDORS 1,2 \&, 3 AS MY FAMILY HOME WILL |
| BE SEVERLY IMPACTED. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
William Stoup, PE
CENTRAL
FLORIDA
Project Manager
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
EXPRESSWAY
482 South Keller Road
AUTHORITY
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000

615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

## Comment Sheet

Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment:

I straggly disagree with the propased route corridor I end corridor $\mathcal{D}$

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

[^2]
## William Stoup, PE

Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833




Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Elizabeth Hernandez |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 14826 Fabergé Dr 32828 |  |
| Phone Number: 407-736-9738 | Email: LizHernandez1022 egmail |



Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Bettie Cooper |
| :--- |
| Address: 3009 Amalfi Dr. Orlando, Fl 32820 |
| Phone Number: $407.625-5549$ |
| Email: Bettie Goldorhotrail. |
| comment: I would like the toss extension |
| to come down Hwy 50 . I am ExTREMELY |
| opposed to corridor 1 and strongly against |
| corridor 2 as my family hone will be |
| Sever ely impacted (My brand new home!). |
| Corridor 4 seems like the best option |
| if this expansion must happen! |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
 Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 p.m to 7 pm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Rodrick Keith Stubis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: $3006 \quad 501$ Leo Pr. 32820 |  |
| Phone Number: $407-462-6918$ | Email: Stubh532 e bellsouthoret |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com
www.CFXway.com/408study

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 pm to 7 pm Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL $\quad$ Project Manager
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 pm to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Joselyn Rivera |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 3228 San Leo Dr |  |
| Phone Number: $813-263-8141$ | Email: jrivera03089 yahoo.com |


| comment: preside at 3228 san leo Dr. I would |
| :--- |
| like the 408 extension to come down Hwy 50 . |
| I am strongly against $12^{2}$ as my family |
| will be severely impacted. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: Emily Stetther (Gerber) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 3008 Amalfi Dr. Orlando, FL 32820 |  |
| Phone Number: $561-3850872$ | Email: Gerberemmy ©aol.com |


| comment: I own $\$$ reside at 3008 Amalfi Dr. I would |
| :--- |
| like the 408 extension to come down Hwy 50. |
| I am strongly against corridors $/ \not \equiv 2$ |
| as my family property will be negatively |
| and severely impacted. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


| comment I reside at 3228 San Leo Dr Orlando |
| :--- |
| I would like the 408 extension to come |
| down Hwy 50 . I am strongly against |
| corridors $1+2$ as my family home |
| will be severely impacted. |
| Numis O. Rivers |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


| comment: I Live at 3228 san Leo Dr |
| :--- |
| I would like for the 408 extension |
| to go thru Highway 50 |
| I am strongly against $1 \frac{1}{2} 2$. |
| as my home will be severly |
| Impacted. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

comment: Correibde 3 Has the Most Significant Impact On Mu
Home.\& Affrear The Most Decurion Pacers. This Study Is decades
Behind The Capacity Mses fore tate Area \& Further Delay buy The GAATE (F TURNAKE) CONDVCTING THERR OUN STVDY NOW WIL ONLY Furethere dean a solution to Tie traffic Problem That Plagues The EAst ORlando CommuniTy. While Corridors this the Moss Enniranimar ital Impact, It has TAte least Socio-ECONOMIC Impact. CFX \&FL dot Muss Work TOGeTHER TO ExPEDITE A DECISION ANA A SOUNTION TO THE EVER-GROWNG TRAFFIC WDES ENCumbering 6. ORANGE COUNAY.

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: SANDY MATHHEWN |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 2236 S.Tanner Rd. |  |
| Phone Number: $487-408-7118$ | Email: |

${ }^{\text {comenem a a straight line above } 50 \text { seems do }}$ be the most economical route. Io goes to $330+95$ - Straitly at. Why donned lope all ow- the curch properties. The in pro of the Grow a Ny bolt propity will be enough. I don't think we should be worrying about U.B.F. Traffic. Corridor 4 \& Corriden 5 are the best kiTs. They will pick up the Desereft Projects that are coming
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

SR 408 PD\&E STUDY
EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

## Comment Sheet

Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833
name: William Pons
Address: $1850115^{\text {th }}$ Ave. 32833 S, Bithlo (next to CR13)
Phone Number: 407.568-6112
Email: bad bill 10

Comment: The alternate corridors as presented tonite are worthless! All 5 corridors wipe out peoples homes ! Corridors 2+4 go thru south Bithlo and eliminate many residents homes! These are low income people, retired on Social security and will have no place to go: They will probably become homeless because they can't afford to buy a new home@ the Grow development or other developments I also urforturataly live right at the edge of corridor $\# 2$ : If this is picked I will become homeless too, since I live on 5.5. and a few investments and no pension !!

What Orange County needs to do is expand SR $\# 50$ to
Six lanes! This will impact no residents or buscriess since a right of way for 6 lanes is already provided! 408 PD $+E$ people should be ashamed to try and destroy peoples lives for a Toll road expansion to collect more money !! Expand SR 50 !!!
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

## Lance Decuir, PE, AICP

Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000
lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

[^3]Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: RICHAKD D BAXPEK |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: 6715 WHISPERING PINES AV OKCBROO FL |  |
| Phone Number: $407-547-6748$ | Email: RB32714G YClov. GR |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

FL ORIDA 482 South Keller Road
expressway Orlando, Florida 32810
AUTHORITY (407) 690-5000

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: John E. Facet |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 20706 Nettleton Street, Orlando, FL 32833 |  |
| Phone Number: $407-247-4403$ | Email: flzoologisto gmail.com. |



Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| name: Michael Infinger |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 1154 Cherry Valley Wall, Orlando, Fl 32828 |  |
| phone number: $954-292-1470$ | email: fsu_infingere yahoocom |



Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

comment: What happened to the option of going over orly 50. My Grandparents purchased the land along Old Cheney and sherman St for our church in 1959 and built in 1960. Now can there even be an option to take this away. What ever happened to saint fohns Water Management. These areas are all protected by it.

My address is 16167 Sunflower TH. and the i would affect me also.
Dat the's Mew Hoad on HWy 50 to teston the impact!!!
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

|  | Lance Decuir, PE, AICP | William Slop, PE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CENTRAL | Project Manager | Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering |
| FLORIDA | 482 South Keller Road | 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 |
| EXPRESSWAY | Orlando, Florida 32810 | Lake Mary, FL 32746 |
| AUTHORITY | (407)690-5000 | (407)644-1898 |
|  | lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com | william.sloup@metriceng.com |
| www.CFXway.com/408study |  |  |

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

 best option for all parties involved. My father built our house from the ground up, and the work and value of our homeland is irreplacepsik. Corrid or 2 would also significantly impact the environment near theriver and countess species of endangered animals. This decision

Would negatively effect too many individuals with no where to go.
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA

AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 pm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: DAN MORRISON |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: 824 | $R_{\text {IVES }}$ CT | ORNIS |
| FL 32828 |  |  |
| Phone Number: 5053017929 | Email: dan Odmorrisoicon |  |

comment: Route \#5 through Waterford would destroy quality of life for residents whose houses are not directly impacted. We bought where we bought specifically due to relative location of the 408 , airports, and dump. I have lived next to an interstate before and the constant noise is horriblest Moving wouldn't be realistic once the plan is released and property values are impacted.
I recommend rate 2,4
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 pm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 pm to 7 pom. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA

AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
www.CFXway.com/408study Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: $\sqrt{2}$ joseph Brennan |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 13507 LAthers Court |  |
| Phone Number: | Email: |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA

AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000
lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 p.m.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: RichaRd WIGHTMAN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: 3344 LUKAS CV OnLAMDVFL 32820 |  |
| Phone Number: $407-568-3057$ | Email: RIChWSREAOL.Cum |

Comment:
"dam very much spool to to coo vern 1 route. Happens cocudor 4 is the leif chive thew me should solnh ti th stat th renegstudb. This no rt beeps the haptic localized to a main eunice. Th These either impart the may pergeiten n import rand anear.

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
 Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager 482 South Keller Road Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017|5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810
(407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
william.sloup@metriceng.com Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm.
Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
 Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL Pr ace Decuir, PE, AICP
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternative Corridor Public Workshop | Thursday, February 16, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Eastpoint Fellowship Church | 15060 Old Cheney Highway, Orlando, Florida 32833

| Name: John Stanley |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 3152 North Tarner Rel. |  |
| Phone Number: $407-247-3047$ | Email: Johnny stanley 150. yahoo com |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Lance Decuir, PE, AICP
Project Manager
482 South Keller Road
Orlando, Florida 32810 (407) 690-5000 lance.decuir@atkinsglobal.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

February 16, 2017
Hand Delivered
Ms. Valerie Tutor
Public Information Officer
Media Relations Group
Subject: Comments on the SR 408 PD\&E Study - Corridor Alternatives Orange County Environmental Protection Division

Dear Ms. Tutor:

The Orange County Environmental Protection Division (EPD) is in receipt of the documents showing the proposed SR 408 PD\&E STUDY - Eastern Extension Corridor Alternatives. I have been attending the PD\&E meetings that are being held by the Central Florida Expressway Authority to gather input on the proposals from various stakeholders.

EPD is offering the following comments regarding the corridor alternatives:

1. The environmental and socio-economic impacts of all of the proposed alternatives are significant. If the Turnpike Authority proceeds with the Colonial Parkway project along the SR 50 alignment then the need for the 408 eastern extension may not be justified. If the Turnpike does not use the SR 50 alignment for their project we would suggest that alternative be considered as it seems to be the least disruptive to the environment and communities.
2. The Corridor Evaluation Summary and the map depicting the 5 alternatives do not address the impacts to Orange County owned preservation areas. The areas that could be potentially impacted by one or more of the alternatives are: Ken Bosserman Econlockhatchee River Preserve, Nunnally and Evans Parcels, Sunflower Trail Parcel, Long Branch (both State and County owned portions) and Pine Lily Preserve. Orange County has invested significant resources in order to acquire and maintain these environmentally sensitive lands. Mitigation will be required for any impact to wetlands on the above listed properties associated with any of the proposed corridors. If you need further information on the location or status of these properties please contact Beth Jackson at 407-836-1481.
3. Required stormwater treatment areas should not be located on any of the above listed properties and any regulatory easements that could be potentially impacted.
4. Stormwater systems should be designed to provide treatment of runoff which exceeds St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) standards.
5. Incorporate low impact development stormwater treatment designs that provide habitat for wildlife such as constructed wetland systems.
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6. This project is located in the Econlockhatchee River Basin which is a nested basin. Any wetland and cumulative impacts will need to be mitigated for within the basin.
7. The Econlockhatchee River is an Outstanding Florida Waterway and any proposed construction cannot degrade the water quality of that waterbody.
8. No surface waters or wetlands should be utilized for the treatment of stormwater runoff.
9. Wetland impacts associated with roadway construction should be avoided and or minimized to the greatest extent possible.
10. Mitigation for wetland/surface water impacts that occur within Orange County should be located in Orange County, in the same hydrologic basin as the impacts. Please coordinate with the Orange County Environmental Protection Division for potential mitigation options.
11. Demonstrate that the ongoing and future planned land management activities on any of the preserved environmentally sensitive areas will not be impeded by any of the proposed alignments.
12. Lighting and noise impacts to the wetlands or surface waters adjacent to the proposed Corridor Alternative should incorporate dark sky lighting and noise abatement measures to reduce adverse impacts to wildlife.
13. The design shall include provisions for wildlife connectivity across or under roadways that traverse wetland systems and associated buffers. Fragmentation of any wildlife corridors should be minimized and designed to allow for unimpeded passage of wildlife and maintain hydrology. Additionally, field fencing to prohibit the movement of wildlife across the roadway should be installed.
14. Bridge ecological design considerations: Any crossings of the Econlockhatchee River or it named or unnamed tributaries should be bridged. Minimize or eliminate pilings in the river with the longest spans possible. Earthen embankments should not be built in the 100 year flood plain, however, if necessary then compliance with all flood compensating storage regulations will be required. These design measures should serve to maintain existing habitat connectivity, hydrologic flow considerations and function to minimize harm to the resources of the basin. The roadway agreement will need to define construction, operational and maintenance costs and shall also include expenses of ecological considerations of this unique location. For example, some bridge roadway agreement concerns would likely include long term erosion of bridge support pilings, river embankment erosion, channelization, high water conditions (storms and hurricanes) and river channel
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movement. This path would likely be deemed a coastal evacuation route so design needs to consider severe storm conditions.

If you have any questions or comments please contact me at 407-836-1404 (dennis.weatherford @ocfl.net).

Sincerely,


DW: mg

Tina Authier
16302 hamilton Dr.
ORLANdO, FL 32833
I oppose All alternative routes for the EXTENSION OF THE $408 /$ EAST-WEST EXPRESSWAY? OTMERTHAN ALONG EACH SIDE OF COLONIAL DR.

Proposed Routes 2,4, And 5 will Run direct? OVER M? PROPERT?. I DO not Feel that TRAFFIC On HWY 50 IS SO BAD TWAT THIS EXTENSION OF THE 408 IS NECESSAR?.

EAST ORLAOAD IS PERFECT THE WAY IT IS. we do not need MORE HOUSING-DEVELOPEMEATS, OR EXPASISAN OF THE 408 !

WHAK ABOST ALL THE WILdLIFE? STOP TAKING AwAY, And Büldingon, Envireonmentially PTOTECTED AR SI FINd AN AITERNATINE WAT TO MAkE DONE? FOR THE COUNTY, WITHOUT TAKING -AWAY MORE LAAD OUR wIld LIFE SO DESTERATELY needs!

So SAd apo
Sinai M. Cather
$407-312-0159$

Expanding 408 is Needed
however the green line is Not passible and the Blue line is way to close to Au Alow Park The red line will go right through" "The Grow" where i plan ON moving toll!
The purple line is the best option.

To whom it may concern, I reside at -

2051 Osprey woods Circle orlando, FL 32820

I Would like the 408 extention to come down $\delta R$ Hwy. 50. I am STRONGLY AGAINST corridors 1 and 3 as my
family home will be severely impacted!
Thank you for your time.
sincerely,
Greta Olson gretaolson10@gmail.con 651-247-4764

Tisis makno me sick Th. The smar, fracticm of what romcins in nature in Orangz Courty willbe lost.

Whan this sprawl and! It qquplockslikz wz errehoadio for umbar sprawl tron county bordin to county bordser.

IIna... Hownthe histery Comtor takn picturs of $c a t+1 z$, an orayzz troz, and a pasturz to documonx what a lanzly plarz O-argaCourty usid to be!
wy Kzsp
13344 hake Tumbarery Circls Orlande, NTeride 3 2f2d

Jonathan Meyers
14512 San Lorenzo Dr．
Orlando，Fl 32920
1 reside at the above address ⿳一由八丨 1 would like the 408 extension to come down HWY 50.

I am strongly against Corridors $1 \leqslant 2$ as my family home will be severly impacted．

Pleaser put politics aside + work with 700 a use fiy 50 corrider a not discupt + destroy peoplis landes a homes), Road gaves to 520 already. Work tog ther Picase!

Prtrucia Dacing
1203 (h Jowne or
Qul 7132825
Qul $7132825^{8}$
Cirobp Bowhe
14300 Abintor t teifit A

$$
\text { Qul } 7132828
$$

Keisha Reynolds

- I reside at 17443 Bella Nova Dr. 32820 in Mandalay Enclave.
- I would like the 408 extention to come down Hwy 50.
* I am "Strongly Against" Corridors 1 i 3 as those tula options severdy impact my family home.

Comment Sleet
Ses wate to say ure ofpose
Fiemone a Awesn top
KATNUTX1@HOTMAK.Con?

Robert Spiteri
13731 Sunshowers $C R$
Orlande fL 32828

Orlandorobol e yahoo.com

$$
407-446-4826
$$

I feel we should pressure FDOT to allow the expressway to use their right-of-way.

If that is not an option then they should expand 50 with express lanes versus impacting neighborhoods. with expressway.

1 RESIDE AT 17509 BELA NOVA DR.
1 WOULD LIKE THE 408 EXTENSION TO COME DOWN HWY 50. 1 AM STRONGLY AGAINST CORRIDOR $1 \neq 3$ AS MY
FAMILY tome will be severely impacted.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{17 y^{2}}{e e^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Terry Reynolds
I reside at 17443 Bella Nova Dr 32820 . I would like the 408 extension to come down Hwy 50. I am strongly Against Corridors $1 \& 3$ as my family home will be severely impacted

Toup Rufer
$3240 \operatorname{san} 200$ Dr 32820 803-818-0903. devgrufer@gnarl-com

F resble ì Mardalay (surs San Leo) and would Itke to see the yos estensitar cone lewn Hew 5 so.

I am strangly agadust corridars $1+2$ ws ny farity have will be senereh impacted as well as the stmosphere and lecation I ehase to live.

A planned (formal)
announced times would be much better with the hall "open house" format that you selected for this meeting. Tooloud, not enough access to mops.

Robert Restrepo
1527 Cristalli Court, Orlando FL 32828
760-889-0405 Robert vestrepo@ yahoo.com
comment:
I reside at 1527 cristalli court and strongly disagree with cooridor 4 and recommend utilization of the SR 50.

DONALD + MYRA WATSON 18428 nth Ave.

WE BELEANE HIGH WAT 50 SHOULD BE G LANES FROM YO8 to 5 20 . WILL BE PAID FOR THRU STATE MOWER THIS IS A PRNATE FIRM MAXING PROFIT BUT TURNING LIVES AND FAMILIES UPSIDE DOWN. WHF $I$ CANINE HWY 50 THERE IS NO PACT TO COMMDNITNS. WE WILL FIGHT THIS PROCESS TO THE END

When thin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 16815 \text { Reave dd } \\
& \text { Ordento the } 32822
\end{aligned}
$$

Af don typ Destroying all the country area Wont want $2+4+5$ Area' any where near ut, Leave our Quit neiborhoodis alone. ©verutheing you touch you destroy the beauts curt here.

Comment
Corrider five (5) is a no brainer its' straight benefits the developer mont it foes thu (acres stor road) and miss my house
Corridor $\frac{3}{}$ goes neut $\vec{B}$ my house Corvider 2 a bis loop?
Corridor I Too long and out of the Wan for most people in the area.

Rownolu Uentwa Moore
I live at 17509 Bella Nova Drive in Orlendle. Please put the 408 extension south of 50 .
This will impact the fewest
homes and home values,
I am against 1 and 3 ?


Comments
Tames Ry/ser - 40フ-306-9162 13224 eld Dock Rd - Bridgewotep 1202 Cherry valley Way Bridge water
I own a homes in Bridge water And I Am $100 \%$ AgAinst this. Both of my houses will Lose a lot of value, especially 1202 cherry UAlley which is in the extreme Nortwest of Bridgewater, Even if the Road doesily yo through there it will still create a lot of Noise. I wort be able to sell my house. Nobody wants to live alongside an expressway. Remember by the people of the people For the people

We the People do vat wont this a!

COMUENT CARDD
I reside at 3454 Curinglabowr, ardo Fe 32820. I would like the 408 extension to come down मhy 50 I am strongly against Comidas/ 23 as my tanily home will be severely impacted.


Kotharine Da senais

$$
407-803-2499
$$

dagenaisfanily o gmail.om

COMMENT CARD
I reside at 3027 Amalfi br. I would like the 408 extension to come down twy.50. I am strongly against Comidas $1=3$ as my family home will be severely impacted.

Golseely gill belly

Comment care

I reside at 14536 SAN Lofenizo Drve In ORANDO. I WOULD UKE THE 408 ExTOUSION to come down hwV 50 . I AM STRENEH AEAINST COPRDORS $1+3$ AS MY HOME WILL BE SEVERELY MPALEED!

THANKS -
Alenstyple
ADAM AVGIA

$$
407-758-7050
$$

I BELIZE NOT MUCH THOUGHT WAS DONE WHEN CORRIDOR 3 AND CORRIDOR 5 WAS DEVELOPED. TO MANY DWELLINGS AND HOMES WILL BE COST. WHEN LESS EVASIVE ROUTES ARE AVAILABLE.

CORRIDOR 4 IN MY OPINION WOULD BE THE BEST OPTION EFFECTING THE LEAST AMOUNT OF DISTRESS TO THE PEOPLE LIVING IN THE AREA.

I UNDERSTAND THE REQUIREMENTS AND THE NESSESSITY FUR ROAD IMPROVEMENT, (BUT WITH THE LEAST EFFECT ON THE PEOPLE.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { STEPHEN HOPGOOD } \\
& 14152 \text { SPENDER COURT } \\
& \text { ORLANDO, FL. } 32826
\end{aligned}
$$

Comment Car

I reside at 14536 san lorenzo Dr in orlando. 1 would life the 408
extension to come down Hwy 50 . 1
am strongly against corridors $1+3$ as my family nome will be sever impacted!
sincerely,
Nivolyyala

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nicole Ayala } \\
& 306-682-9388
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$

th, My name is Judy Chubb and my husband's name is Kat Chubb, owner of Karl's Nursery of Orlando, Inc. Que home and business are both located @ 606 Lockwood Dr, Orlando, FL 32803, south of Hoary 50,
$\frac{\text { De have already been through the }}{408 \text { takin our property on Dias P }}$ $\frac{408 \text { taking our property on than Rd. }}{28 \text { years ago. }}$ 28 years ago.
Please, Please, Please!! We don th $\mathrm{u}^{x}$ to lose our próperty and house AGAINI I think it mint be Corridor 5 that our house is impacted by. This extension would rot only impact our business bout our everyday quality of life and well being. De really need this extension?

Do not bo East of the Econ or you will have a fight from - the entire community.

We will Allow you to Go on the fath sine of so behind the Volkswagen Win Dixie \& Commercial Businesses AND vet to OID CHeney if END AT AUAIUN Paik BlVD!
wo NPPD for enst-west to bo ANY Further East?

Poor PANNing is All 1 SER

ISAAC MARTINEZ
3132 SAN LEO DR
ORLANDO, FL 32820
I AM A RESIDENT OF MANDALAY AND I AM FARM ACAINST CORRIDOR \#1.

THANKS


Kelly Semral
3111 Amalfi Dr.
Orlando 32820
$407 \quad 233.5375$
I an strongly apposed fo the alternatie wo 8 routs The 408 extension should ane down Hay 50.
I am strongly opposed to Alternative routes / corridors 1 ar $2 . \mathrm{my}^{2}$ tome is negatives impictil by corriclos late

Heather Rufer
3240 San Leo D-
803-818-0944 hoofer egmail.com
I own ' live in Mandalay at 3240 San leo. I'm strongly against the building of the corridor option 13 option 2 as it will be a negative impact to my family as well as to the community


$$
2-16-17
$$

There is not a good reason to extend the 408 to 520 or I-95?

6 hare SR 50 to 520 a I- 95 when needed.

The 408 is such a cash cour for the express way Autfortes? ${ }^{43,000.00}$ is what you pay ba palm trees?

I believe the authority just look for reasons to spend wareys Reduce the tall s or apply the excess to property taxes instead to reduce them? Stop looking how to spend all your excessmoney?

Richard Wright
863 Hamilton Dr

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Orlando, FL } 32833 \\
& 407-342-8378 \\
& \text { yawright } 530 \text { juno.com }
\end{aligned}
$$

Th luHm सT NAY Concrea
Rinanis Ale Candnoanno Srouck.
15264 EAST COLONLAL prine
orlano, fl 328a6
401-275-0705
SCOTTES RINALDIS con
WWW. RINALDIS.Com
ROBGET Rinalsi
scon HuDson
Rinatis Ar gondmonint sqrouich pBA hlez Heatly AEanst THE FAAST HIGAWRY 50 ALTIRNATIVE projhct fmpacting the businesses, job rglocatoms, TOB LOSS, AND OTtIAR DISRUPTIONS TO NOT ONCy THE ounares But The Employerss, fumploytuds FAmuRS, PATRONS, SUCRUFRES AND MORE.
Randesis al Isvory sinsiture to Tite Environment fe QuAlity and it Es Obvious that THESf AUTERNATMES


RASpheturuys
Rinacisis AC
15264 if Caronime DRuts
P15

Lanita Meyers
14512 San Lorenzo Dr.
Orlando, Fl 32820

1 reside at the above address would like the 408 extension to come down HWY 50,

I am strongly against Corildors $1 \leqslant 2$ as my family home will be severely impacted.

Comusat Calla
I reside at 3454 Cuening caks Way, whando, te 32820. I would like the 408 extensian to come dam Hny 50 I am strongly against Coridas $1 \times 2$ as my tanily home will be severaly impicted.


I Jerme Ua,ish, own a hone cot 17449 Bella Nova Dr in Th Madalay Enckve subdivisin. As such. I am offossid to Tha Possibility of Colr. Jon it of the paoposed 408 axtension. I Know the losicic choze of usin, the SR50 corridor K nelds the Qapprovac of FLDOT, which should happa.

Ireside at 3159 Amalfidrine brando R 32820
I believe using Hignoray 50 is the best option. I am opposed to options 1 and 2 as tray will negatively effect my family.

Robert Reined Rome R.

2/16/17 408 Expansion
My name is Jennifer Sher man and I live at 17528 Bela NOVA D, 32820 and I strongly oppose the building of Corridor I for the 408 extention My property value will fall + I didn't move east of the Econ to have a view of the expressway!

- George Reynolds

I reside at 17443 Bella Nova Dr 32820 . I would like the 408 extension to come down Hwy 50. I am strongly Against Corridors $1 \& 3$ as my family home will be severely impacted.

Comments

I RESIDE AT 3105 AMALFI DRIVE.
I WOULD LIKE THE 408 EXTENSION TO COME DOWN HWY 50. I AM STRONGLY AGANST CORRIDORS I AND 2 AS MY FAMILY HOME WILL BE SEVERELY II PACkED.

We would Operfer either the purple or Blue Roads. It cook like it would not imper The Majority of Homes intersecting them
comment Sheet

CORRIDOR (1) IS LOMEOR BUT SEEMS TO BE THB LBSS COSTLY AS fane AS LIVIME/OCCURIED PROPLERTY.
corrione (5) Srems to bis ThB Sharizs)
But the məST COSTLY AS FRR AS Proptarty is coneckrrizo -
IT ALSO AVOIDES ThE "S" TuRN USED IN OPTION/CORRIDER (4)

Bil With TE

$$
\text { ERUD,TE } 5 \text { \& COMCBST - NET }
$$

I reside at 2051 Osprey Woods Circe, in the cypress lakes Community. I would like the 408 extension to come down the middle of SR 50. I am STRONGLY AGAINST Corridors $1+3$ as $m y$ family's home would be severely impacted.

Thank you for your time oconsidemtion!

- T. Olson

1 Reside at the above address $⿳ 亠 丷 厂 彡$ I would like The 408 extension to come down Hwy 50， 1 am strongly against Corridors $1 \frac{1}{4} 2$ as my family home will be severely impacted．

I live in Fairways (country (Tub and I am adamantly opposed to uption 3 as it would impact our developement. As a 55 community many of our residents have been in the community for $15-20$ t years and their rent is locked in at a low rate under FL 123 . Even if they recieved fairmarket value for their property they would not be able to relocate to a similar situation

I am also concerned that this option would cut us off from Rte 50 and Emergency Services.
If option Jor especially 2 are considered I would like to know what the noise impacts would be.

Tharlotk Grabowsti
2084 Pebble Beach Br. 32826

$$
315-254-8571
$$

Apple194@gmail.com.

To whom It may concern,
Thank you for the information provided at the meeting tonight. I am here representing the waterford Lakes subdivision of Jade Forest, which would be adversely affected by corridor $\$ 5$. My address is 14237 Lake underhill ed. I have been a waterford Lakes resident since 2002 . I feel that corrdeer t' 5 would have the greatest impact on the most amount of homes. I feel that this would be the worst option af all of the proposed corridors. This wound adversely affect a lout of young families, as the corndar goes over ~143 higher priced homes.

It is my hope that the option to widen 50 comes to fruition, rather Than impactiting so many people. However, should this not happen, I am strongly against the cornider 5 option.
sincerely.
M23
Lala underhil Marcy Frederizo/Jade Rd. 401-923-7947 forest

Vincent Barnes 15624 Sarcee Court Orlarid, FL 32828 ( 407 ) 668-2740 v507@ bellsouth.net

1. From the time when the study completion is done, how long would it be when any ground breaking would begin
2. If your property already borders a conservation area, how would that be addressed, because it didn't show clearly on your poster board maps

Nancy Bailes
Home 20821 fort Christmas Rd Christmas 32708

1) 1832016 th Ave Orlando 32833
2) 1830616 th Ave
3) 18290.17 th Ave
4) 18303 17th Ave "1

5J 18507 Belvedere Rd orlando 32820
b) 351 Exeter Rd Orlando 32800

Ithome never once received a notification of any of these

$c 407485-8349$

FRAnd Ahtawso (BRidecware)
13738 old Doenkd
Onhando if 32828

$$
407-222-7521
$$

Conidur 3+4 woold be kest choice.

SiemaClubGented fh Graup
marjovie Hoht
8502 Aluerlon Ave
ORLANDO, FL 30817
marjoriehofte earthlink. net

$$
407-6>9-6759
$$

The proposed alignments impact the social-economic and enuirommetat fabric of east ORange CO.

CEX should shelve or stopo this pargect, We suppoited CFKalegnment weithin SRSO now, but find blese new allernotues unaceytakle. The Suiv Club suppats FDOT/Jurpite Enttopase perject - 4 toll lanes in The cetter of SR SO,

The Extension Shoull Go ony to avalow Blud FE 50 No Nrel Beynd That It Ever Dowe Latey corriDo $4+5$ Are the ony possitble Router Nothing Noth of E.50 Willim LuTz 2618 S. Trumer Rel
Whitz e courram

PHIL HOEFMXN 17420 BELLA NOUR DR. I AM OPPOSED TO GORRIISR \#3. IT WILL FARM MY EAMLLY BEIHF.

$$
2\|18\| 7
$$

Jom fagean-horfinan

OPPOSED TO OPNON \# 3

PHIL HOFFMAN 17420 BELLA NOLA ORIHE I LUE AT 17420 BELLA NOUA DRIVE AM PPPOSED TO CORRIDOR \#4. 7.16117 4

Somis facan- Hocioman
17420 BELA NOVA DR ORMANDO $2-16-17$
OPPOSE TO \#5
Mivan Jancon Hoffom

## Forthcoming Development Impacts You, Your Home, and Your Community

A group of East Orlando citizens have filed a lawsuit against Orange County regarding a text amendment that was passed allowing high density development ( 6 homes per 1 acre in the Lake Pickett Area). The hope is that the citizens will be able to overturn the County's approval of this text amendment.

The citizens are not against development! The citizens are against the County putting high density development in an area that cannot accommodate the increase in population (Imagine a minimum of 40,000 additional cars on our local roads (e.g. Colonial, Alafaya, Tanner, etc.)

The text amendment requires new roads, Hwy extensions, schools, police, fire, public transportation, water \& sewer, and other community services. All of this results in increased expense (taxes) for citizens.
Due to the need for new roads some citizens may lose their homes and/or businesses to eminent domain or have their properties devalued because of their home's proximity to new high volume roads/Hwy.

## Please donate $\mathbf{\$ 1 0}, \mathbf{\$ 2 0}, \mathbf{\$ 3 0} \ldots$..to help fund this community fight! All donations are used towards the legal fees. https://www.gofundme.com/save-natural-florida.




## SIGN THE PETITION

SaveOrangeCounty.org


## SIGN THE PETITION

SaveOrangeCounty.org
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| name | organzation | ADDRESS | PHONE NUMBER | EMAIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uolanda Lopernena |  | 538 Story Partin RD |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| NAME | organlzation | ADDRESS | PHONE NUMBER | EMALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dan Wates |  | Tuf - AtEnLend CT | 3212973073 | Dan. Wattssegmanl. |
|  |  |  |  | com |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Alternatives Public Workshop

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternatives Public Workshop

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternatives Public Workshop Thursday, June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Corner Lake Middle School 1700 Chuluota Road

GENERAL PUBLIC SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternatives Public Workshop Thursday, June 8, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 ppm. Corner Lake Middle School 1700 Chuluota Road

MEDIA/ELECTED OFFICIALS SIGN-IN SHEET


Alternatives Public Workshop Thursday, June 8, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School 1700 Chuluota Road

STAFF/CONSULTANTS SIGN-IN SHEET


STAFF/CONSULTANTS SIGN-IN SHEET


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

## Comment Sheet

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Morlolle |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  |
| Phone Number: |  |

Comment:

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

## CENTRAL

FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: | Barry Boder\| |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 13853 Sunshowers |
| Phone Number: Orlando AL |  |
| $321-662-4823$ | Email: blbode 1 e yahoo. com |

Comment:
I would be directly affected if ourridur 5 is
selected. I had my home custom built in 1999 and
have spent many years to improve my home. After
seeing your likely choice of corridor 4 it appears
to be the least destructive to communities and wildlife.
Please try to keep as many homes from being lost as possible.

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
Expressway AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: | Manadeth Lamb |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | I 360 Cadence 5 t. | Orlando 32820 |
| Phone Number: 3214137122 | Email: AlohaLambs@hotmail.can |  |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820
 Project Identification Number. 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| name: JanetVanderWeide |
| :--- |
| address: 1049 Drift Creek Cove |
| phone Number: $407-800-9797$ email: Janetannvanderwejidee |
| comment: |
| Ism concerned about the noise pollution |
| that this will cause for the Bridgewater |
| residents. Also, it seems ti 4 will remove |
| my grocery store and dentist. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
I: XPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

## Comment Sheet

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

Name: Bi, Whet

## Address:

Phone Number:
Email: FRODTVSS@COMOAKT.NI

Comment:
I THOUSLT ThAT CORRIGOR I uns CONSIDEPEO fiAst SA II COULD PLII AII TAE EXISTING \& FLTHRE PROWUCTED MEW LAKE PICKETT TKAFFIC NGUY FRCOM RT5O ANABA.
 TRAVEL OM FT 50 (EFT OMTELK PICKET RD (AN-LUANE GTREET)OR LEFT MATO



COREIDCR 4 ONLY SEEMSTRBZTHE ChEAPEST 4 ShaN35T RouT FROM POMCTS "A"Tヒ" $\beta^{\prime \prime}$

- IT KEERES TRATFir thtichmar JysT5ms Focusbo in ONB AREA \& DOESNT ADNRES KNOWM FUTURES TRAFFIC MEEDS

CORTEIOOR F IMTEGDUCES THE NORTHSRN AREA WITM A MAJOR HIGALUA SYSTEM - A NEW HIGUYLWAY SYST区M INTO AN AREA THAT 15 GKOMM L


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESWAY
AUTHORITY

## Jonathan Williamson, AICP

CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Sloup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


| Comment: |
| :--- |
| The road should run further South and there |
| Should be an exit deeper in Avalon. Theses nothing |
| North of 50 . Less built up. |
| Why put a road next to a road? |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Javier Trizarry |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| address: 175 S. $5^{\text {th }}$ st. Orlando FL 32833 |  |
| Phone Number: $407-574-55 l 00$ | Email: jirizarryaprehotmil.cont |

comment: The Community Loesint need this expressway. We live in Peace with no tracie, country living, my suggestion is move the project Fou milos south, in thislay the community of Bithlo won'A be ap-fected. We weed and want to keep our peace with no voices. Thank you.
Pr

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Lillian Santiago |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 19003 Lansing st 32833 |  |
| Phone Number: | Email: lilliansantiagolopez eva yahod.Com |


| comment: I don't owe my property and its a |
| :--- |
| quiet, rural area that I chose to live in. |
| I don't want to have to sell it and move |
| to the city or a bad area. I like where |
| I live and I'm happy there. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: DAISy Morales |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 2832 Mac MurRay Crime |  |
| Phone Number: $\vdots$ | Email: |


| comment: Why is this meeting misleading |
| :--- |
| Cause I was under the impression |
| That the people would speak and |
| hear comments, It feel. Wis |
| Lead. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

Comment: Want to continue to be ap dated on

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 pm to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

comment:

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017| 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: | Tanayserfer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 14427 Lake Linderhull Roll |
| Phone Number: 4072759908 | Email: nancy swifre cfurr.cfu |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop |June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: $\operatorname{Brad}$ Rasher |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 526 Sot cont Row |  |
| Phone Number: 4075757310 | Email: |

comment Do Not take my Land it's all wath I halve besids my family and 土 see that thay will take up Land fo my animals and my centry wIll Began oecus of the car Bin from the mishit in the ait Pleas Do Not.
 men y and ont wo ok hike a fool 1


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898 william.sloup@metriceng.com
house, but who knows if this corridor would be the final one. I feel sick for some of my neighbors, especially. those that have had their land in their family since the 1800's.
\#t 4 When we got wiped out 29 yrs . ago by the 408 my husband + Thought we were moving to a nice quiet street and country environment. Lockwood Dr. where we live has been that type of setting and why would we wart bur quality of life to change? We ore both retirement age, but still working. At the end of the day we like coming home to our quiet it acres $\rightarrow$ a beautiful relaxing home. Do people's lives really matter?

## Comment Sheet

# Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. <br> Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820 

Name:
William Pons
Address: 18501 ( $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave Bithlo

Phone Number: $407-568-6112$
Email: bad bill io (a) ATT. net
comment: This whole project is a disgrace!! If there is a traffic problem it should be addressed by expanding $\overline{54.50}$ from Avalon to 520 to 6 lanes! This alternate presently has the right of way with no impact to people's residences, (and, lives, wild life, eff.

I know there is a turf fight between FDOT \& CFX but this is effecting many peoples lives and should not be a polictical event!

Also if this project is approved (hop enever) the alternate corridor 4-5 which will run below the town of Bithlo is a much better route than corridor 4 which runs thru Bithlo

Any problem with land rights should be resolved before destroying peoples I ives and the quality of life of the people of East Orange Cty!!?

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

## CENTRAL

FLORIDA
expressway AUTHORITY

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

## Comment Sheet

# Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820 

Name: Willaim Pons
Address: $1850115^{\text {th }}$ Ave Bithlo

Phone Number: $402-568-6 / 12$
Email: hadbill 10 @ATT .net

Comment: This whole project is a disgrace! ! Corridor 4 runs 2 short Bithlo blocks from my residence of 27 years I don't know if the right of way will eliminate my house, but if not, I will have a 4 or 6 lane hiway with 18 wheelers running by at 4 o'clock in the mooing! Now I can ride my bike down CR13 at nit and see deer, wild turkeys, owls, the moon, etc. With this new development I can now see and hear 10,000 cars, trucks + buses! What a wonderful new world for East Orange Cty. And all of this is so CFX can destroy our lifestyle, our lives and or our residences, so Rick Scott and Orange City can incourage more people form Mich, NJ \& NY to move to FI,
Also Corridor 4-5 can at least eliminate the impact to the Bithlo village and would be a better alternate to Bithlo residents! I know there are some state and county park land that has to be addressed: but I recommend that you resolve that: Corridor 4-5

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017| 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: PATRICIA LEWIS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 21603 JINGLE RD, CHRISTMAS |  |
| Phone Number: 321-303-6158 | Email: lewis5088@bellsouth. Ref |


| comment: Corridor 4 seems to le the lest choice. Ot |
| :--- |
| affects the least homes while still reaching |
| enough residents/druirs to support the |
| roadway, Thank you |
| Mon |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017| 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: heap Brown |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 1661016 th AVe erlande, FL 32833 |  |
| Phone Number: | Email: |



Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Comment:
Thank you for moving away from cordon
S which would eliminate several long standing
Communities. Even better would be looking at whether this expansion is necessary at all on whether we are
encomaging growth that the area Cannot the long term (schools, water, ever) if it is teemed necessary, then double stacking above Highway 50 should be considered
to displace as Few people as posithe,
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| name: Ere Robinson |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 2053 Hawks Landing Dr 32820 |  |
| Phone Number: | email: eric. robinson outlook. com |
| comment: |  |
| The proposed round about near woodbuny |  |
| road is a poor design and should be |  |
| revisted. Commuters to UCF and Research |  |
| Park would be effected as the current |  |
| expressway into that area would be bottle |  |
| necked at the round about exit. |  |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Norma Lófcz |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 19003 Lansing St. $(32833)$ |  |
| Phone Number: 407-255--0832 | email: irislupeznorma @ yahoo conn |



Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: JAnet Reed |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 1048 CANDView CT |  |
| Phone Number: 407-496-9541 | Email: <br> Onlyplayjaz2@ yahoo.com |

Comment:


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop |June 8, 2017 | 5 pm to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820
Name:
Comment:

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 pm. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Richard (V)right |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 863 Hamill 1 ton Dr |  |
| Phone Number: | Email: |

Comment:
Stop with the Smoke a Mirrors? Finish 6 laming SR S0 To 520 or To I 95?

Put an overpass over Avalon Blue a 419 a SR 5
Stop destroying our neigh bor hoods with your
uneeded projects.

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 pm to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Seth whitatier |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 1320 cupid Are Christmas F/ 32709 |  |
| Phone Number: <br> 4074826071 | Email: <br> Suitchgresswe Aol. com |
| comment: I Strongly feel that you need to runs <br> the EW Expressway down st rd 50 |  |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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Public participation is encouraged. \&hould you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Sloup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 Lake Mary, FL 32746

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: ELVAN NORMAN |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: 1649 Sherman St ORlando Fl | 32828 |  |
| Phone Number: $(407)$ | $218-9476$ | Email: |

Comment:
I myself, and many, many others beleive that you should stick to the original plan of straight down the side of se. 50. The state already owes the property next to it where the power lines are. Why run it through the middle of neerwood, when you can go across the front of it and effect less people. It only makes sence to stick to the original path. It would impact less people. ?
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| name: Michele Guimond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 13719 Sunshowers Cir 32828 |  |
| Phone Number: | email: ontheCourt@CfI rr.com |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
william.sloup@metriceng.com
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Soup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898 william.sloup@metriceng.com
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Soup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: $C A S f(C) P(A)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  |
| Phone Number: | Email: |

Comment:

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


| comment: STA Chs |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

The Worll is not To Destroll. if on Norto Bo That Dathis Don't T REAP on Me!, Tals yur Butt BKab Waryon cam (nIm
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Comment Sheet
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com
comittie but were all close and people including myself Don need our houses and children Homes taken for a Highway interpass that is Highly unlikely that we want our Homes taken. How about
you imaging your Homes beingtallen away and legacys to and for your Children a thigh wall ing er leessticto is Not the futer our Children and our childrens, children Do not need their Legacts taken anymore than you wouloht warlyour's their are Disabled People and takes their Homes and their are familys barly making By and their are multiple Disibiliyed people and agoicultured areas. that are highly treated. your Destroying wildlife Héfuges and endangered species ttromes. By law you can tor take land that belongs to endanger. Species. its inhuman to Destroy Peoples future
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Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
[eXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 ppm to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820
Name: $\operatorname{shonc|}$ Address:


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898 william.sloup@metriceng.com

Stop the Lake Pickett de velopment stop all rezoning east of the Big Econ.
The impact to the environment pollution to the econ, loss of protected wildlife.
Think Big Picture
back cattle, horse forms,
Agni- Communitées. in the east corridor.
People are escaping the downside of living behind a shopping place. Do you study the degigation of a community due to stripping the land and plastering stripmalls.

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898 william.sloup@metriceng.com
www.CFXway.com/408study

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| name: Gena Tildes - parent of High oast River |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adudents |

comment of you go with the Chuluoto ustinsion,
that will come to the light at 50 where Cast River Sigh is, PLEASE do sonething with that liglut so more traffic con got through. Pig now topific blocks so tying to got in a out of the high school. Adding traffic at this exit traffic on Chuluota back y ape alias light at so to get to the school.
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

## Comment Sheet

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

Name:

Address:

Phone Number:
Email:


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

## CENTRAL

FLORIDA
EXPRILSSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Sloup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: DARREN SMITH |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 1126 LANDALE COURT |  |
| Phone Number: 4079286255 | Email: darren. semiudspring.com |

comment: Ourall, the current path seems to be the best ophoni minimal loss of homes and efficient use of exishig conservation areas. The alternate woodsory interchange appears to be more reasonable with entry/ exit points. Honer, the roundabout phon nor th of the woadbry sencichange is consing. Any other ophous void not be cost-effective.

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
 Project Identification Number: 408-254

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  |
| Phone Number: | Email: |


| comment: |
| :--- |
| Mobile Home residents a Alafaya |
| Palms are at risk of loosing their |
| homes if corridor of alternative is |
| Passed. Risidats arent informed of |
| proposed Changes. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com
www.CFXway. com/408study

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| name: Yalexia Rodriguez |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| address: 13631 Brigham Yours Pr 0.1 fl 32826 |  |
| Phone Number: 3214244893 | email: |



Comment Sheet
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
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comment: Nontre|

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: <br> Jhon Doe |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address: |  |
| Phone Number: | Email: |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Sloup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


| comment this Land is ours Donit-take |
| :--- |
| our tomes there are Disabled |
| Homes who have multiple Disabled |
| People in them. |
|  |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


| comment: I Like the proposed path |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |
|  |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 pm.

are familys land. Like the Florid a cager and mandy more animals like egrales
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, $2017 \mid 5$ ppm to 7 p.m

 on my hoers, all The poor peapró Dive Project Identification Number: 408-254
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
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Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

Comment:

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Sloup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820


Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

|  | Jonathan Williamson, AICP | William Slop, PE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CENTRAL | CFX Project Manager - Dewberry | Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering |
| FLORIDA | 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 | 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 |
| EXPRESsWAY | Orlando Florida 32803 | Lake Mary, FL 32746 |
| AUTHORITY | (407) 843-5120 | (407) 644-1898 |
|  | jwilliamson@dewberry.com | william.sloup@metriceng.com |
| www.CFXway.com/408study |  |  |
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comment:

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820
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name:/Llefor1 Non
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and clorit come back lave our point
of town alone Fit own olome


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, $2017 \mid 5$ p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Eric Cress |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 21117 | Ft. Christmas e (1) | Rd. | Christmas 32709 |
| Phone Number: |  | Email: |  |  |



Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: E. C. |  | Page (2) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 21117 | FR |  |
| Phone Number: |  |  |  |

comment: and east of the Econlockhatchce river, to as well as beyond the St. Johns river. We the residents in this area do not want more development,
population expansion in low density rural areas, and assured destruction to natural resources, wildlife, and quality of rural life. We know that the CFL
Expressway Authority is under funded and does not have the funds to implement this plan. I personally do
Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:


Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: $\quad E_{1} C$. |  | Page (3) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| address: | 21117 | F.C.R. |  |
| Phone Number: |  |  |  |


| comment: not want to pay more in taxes or tolls |
| :--- |
| for a'service" I do not want or need. I am |
| certain that there are many other residents in this |
| area who feel the same as I. We live here for |
| how this area is, and we stand opposed to the |
| negative effects that expanding the 408 |
| will create. |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
 Project Identification Number: 408-254
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William SToup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803 (407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

Comment Sheet
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Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Russell Lowers |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 160 Becora ave Merritt Island Fl 32953 |  |
| Phone Number: $321-759-6022$ | Email: russelldowers@gmail.com |

Comment:
I find the choice you have made to be a very costly way to rove a bunch of traffic that 528 already provides for. I would (wee line)
think that Oviedo would, and more general public would benefit by putting in your option \#1. or northernmost choice. I know everyone has avested interest in the road you are pitting in bit my interest is move biology based. I am a wildlife biologist and am concerned that this will fragment many animals from being able to trover north and South along your roadway. It will not only be a danger to the animals bot will more than likely hort and/or kill hurnang. With ky degree and backround I believe if you would either incorporate your road with Hwy 50 that is already there or move it to the north where it can be useful to more people! Thank you for listening hope you marque the right choice

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 p.m. Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: | CARL GIBLIN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| address: 13807 MAGNOUA GLEN CIRCLE |  |
| ORLANdO FL 32838 |  |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL Jonathan Williamson, AICP
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Sloup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017|5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: Lynden Johrion |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: 10553 Daring Ave |  |
| Phone Number: 3212441141 | Email: |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering 615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524 Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 644-1898 william.sloup@metriceng.com
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(407) $644-1898$ william.sloup@metriceng.com
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
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AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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| Name: Rodger B. Worn |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: $18390 \quad 17^{\text {th }}$ Av Orlando El 32833 |  |
| Phone Number: $407-766-5551$ | Email:Doris Born Adrian <br> K Ghelp@att.Net |

Comment: $\qquad$ dome house 10 years ago at a cost of $\$ 450,000$ so I would have a safe handicapped home for the rest of my life. If my home is taken it will affect My living conditions and end my tortoise breeding. the only form of income that I can have beyond Social Security.

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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comment:

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
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Comment:

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY
AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry
800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000
Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120
jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
, (407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Comment Sheet
Alternatives Public Workshop | June 8, 2017 | 5 p.m to 7 ppm.
Corner Lake Middle School | 1700 Chuluota Road, Orlando, Florida 32820

| Name: BRYAN YoUNG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| address: 1531 LALIQUR LN |
| Phone Number: 407-674-8087 Email: BY I GATORQ gmarl.corn |



Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:
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|  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Public participation is encouraged. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

CENTRAL
FLORIDA
EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Jonathan Williamson, AICP
CFX Project Manager - Dewberry 800 N. Magnolia Ave. Ste 1000 Orlando Florida 32803
(407) 843-5120 jwilliamson@dewberry.com

William Stoup, PE
Consultant Project Manager - Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898
william.sloup@metriceng.com

Carol M. Needham<br>Francis D.Davis<br>808 Lockwood Drive<br>Orlando, Florida 32833<br>Carolneedham1034@gmail.com

June 8, 2017
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:
We write to share our comments regarding the proposed 408 extension in and through East Orange County.

We are the homeowners of 808 Lockwood Drive. We strongly OPPOSE the extension to be constructed at all as we believe it will open up East Orange County and beyond to more development and construction.

Most disheartening is that two routes directly impact our property. We are completely devastated over this.

We request that you look at routing the proposed extension over/along SR 50/East Colonial as opposed to the alternate routes requiring the taking of homes and properties. Proceeding along SR50 appears to be much more cost effective, will be much less damaging to the sensitive wildlife in the area, and will save the homes and quality of life we enjoy so much here in East Orange County. We moved here specifically for the privacy, the quiet and the wildlife. Should either of these two routes proposed to run through our property go forward we, and our neighbors, would lose the most important aspects of our lives.

If the 408 extension must go forward through East Orange County, please, consider running the extension over and/or along SR 50.


Carol M. Needham
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| NAME | organization | ADDRESS | PHONE NUMBER | EMAIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Victor theen |  |  | 407-567-8190 |  |
| Frank Scheovse |  | 14000 Ash Grour G | $(973) 722-5240$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| NAME | ORGANIZATION | ADDRESS | PHONE NUMBER | EMAIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Teri (urtis |  | $2002 \text { Corbett Rd. M1 } 3282$ | 4072476625 | Ter:. Cortis a Occoned |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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Orlando, FL 32833
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## STAFF/CONSULTANTS SIGN-IN SHEET

| HNTALS | NAME | REPRESENTING | PHONE NUMBER | EMAIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Glenn Pressimone | CFX | (407) 690-5321 | glenn.pressimone@cfxway.com |
|  | Angela Melton | CFX |  | angela.melton@cfxway.com |
| Mu | Jonathan Williamson | Dewberry | (321) 354-9614 | jwilliams@ dewberry.com |
|  | Nicole Gough | Dewberry |  | ngough @ dewberry.com |
|  | Keith Jackson | Dewberry | (321) 354-9687 | kjacson@dewberry.com |
|  | Kathy Putnam | QCA | (407) 690-7220 | kathy.putnam@qcausa.com |
|  | Shari Croteau | QCA | (321) 795-0984 | shari.croteau@qcausa.com |
|  | Cliff Davy | QCA |  | cliff.davy@qcausa.com |
| W | William Sloup | Metric | (407) 644-1898 ext 1114 | william.sloup@metriceng.com |
|  | Gabriela Garcia | Metric | (305) 235-5098 ext. 110 | ggarcia@metriceng.com |
|  | Robert Linares | Metric | (305) 235-5098 | robert.linares@metriceng.com |

## PUBLIC HEARING

TOLL
SR 408 PD\&E STUDYミ EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

## STAFF/CONSULTANTS SIGN-IN SHEET

| INITIALS | NAME | REPRESENTING | PHONE NUMBER | EMAIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jazlyn Heywood | Metric | (407) 644-1898 | jazlyn.heywood@metriceng.com |
| $\leftrightarrow \sim$ | Rob Myers | Metric | (850) 872-8044 | rob.meyers@metriceng.com |
| Sis | Stefan Escanes | Metric | (305) 235-5098 | stefan.escanes@metriceng.com |
|  | Paul Carballo | Metric | (305) 235-5098 | paul.carballo @ metriceng.com |
| $12$ | Carlos Rodriguez | Metric | (305) 235-5098 | crodriguez@ metriceng.com |
|  | Valerie Tutor | MRG | 941-504-9440 | vtutor@mrgmiami.com |
|  | Laila Haddad | MRG | 305-254-8598 | Ihahhad@mrgmiami.com |
|  | Paulette Summers | MRG | 305-254-8598 | psummers@mrgmiami.com |
| $M 6$ | Mary Gainor | MRG | 305-254-8598 | mgainor@mrgmiami.com |
|  | Eunice Sanders | MRG | 305-254-8598 | esanders@mrgmiami.com |
| $C B F$ | Brian Fuller | Metric | (407)644.1898 | Of ullarometriceng.com |

# PUBLIC HEARING <br> SR 408 EASTERN EXTENSION PD\&E STUDY 

From SR 50 to the vicinity of the SR 50/SR 520 Intersection

April 26, 2018


## CENTRAL FLORIDA <br> EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

Good evening. The Central Florida Expressway Authority would like to welcome you to the public hearing for the SR 408 Eastern Extension Project Development and Environment, or PD\&E, Study in east Orange County. My name is Will Sloup, I am the project manager with Metric Engineering.

The proposed improvements involve the extension of the SR 408 East-West Expressway from its current end limits at SR 50 to the vicinity of the SR 50 and SR 520 intersection. This hearing is being held to provide you with the opportunity to comment on this project.

Here with me tonight are:

- (name and position of persons sitting next to moderator
- And other representatives of the CFX and consultant project team

At this time, we would like to recognize any federal, state, county, or city officials who may be present tonight. Are there are officials who would like to be recognized?

We will now begin the presentation.

## TONI GHT'S AGENDA

$\square$ Purpose and Format of the Hearing
$\square$ Study Needs and Goals


Recommended Alternative and its Potential Impacts
Public Comment

Tonight's presentation will discuss the purpose of the hearing, the needs and goals of this study as well as the recommended alternative and its potential impacts. You will then have an opportunity to comment on the project.

## TITLE VI

This public hearing is being conducted without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability or family status.
Persons wishing to express their concerns relative to CFX compliance with Title VI may do so by contacting:


Public participation at this hearing is encouraged and solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability, or family status.
Persons wishing to express their concerns about Title VI may do so by contacting CFX. The contact information is also displayed at this hearing.


There are three primary components to tonight's hearing:
First, the open house, which occurred prior to this presentation where you were invited to view the project displays and to speak directly with the project team and provide your comments in writing or to the court reporter;


Second, this presentation, which will explain the project purpose and need, study alternatives, the potential beneficial and adverse social, economic, and environmental impacts upon the community, anticipated costs and proposed methods to mitigate adverse project impacts;


The public hearing also serves as an official forum providing an opportunity for members of the public to express their opinions regarding the project. A formal comment period will follow this presentation, where you will have the opportunity to provide oral statements at the microphone, or you may provide your comments directly to the court reporter or in writing. In addition to the court reporter in the auditorium, a court reporter is available in the cafeteria to document comments.

## WHAT IS A PD\&E STUDY?



The SR 408 Project Development and Environment or PD\&E Study is in the second phase of the project development process where an engineering and environmentally feasible alternative that meets a community's transportation need is determined.


A PD\&E Study has 3 main components, an engineering component which entails the identification and analysis of potential design solutions, an Environmental component which evaluates potential impacts to the natural, social and physical environments, and a Public Involvement component to inform and involve all interested parties in the development of the planned transportation project.


The purpose of the PD\&E Study was to evaluate the potential to extend State Road 408 along a new transportation corridor from its current eastern terminus at SR 50, locally known as East Colonial Drive, to the vicinity of the SR 50 and SR 520 interchange in northeastern Orange County.
The study area was defined approximately half a mile to the north of SR 50 and half a mile to the south of SR 50.


Currently SR 50 is the only existing major east-west facility in the area and it is inadequate to meet the growing transportation needs of the local community including traffic traveling to and from the Orlando and Bithlo and other eastern Orange County Areas.

SR 50 traffic congestion is expected to continue to increase and a future SR 408 Eastern Extension would help alleviate this increase by providing additional east-west capacity within the project area and diverting the through traffic from SR 50 to SR 408, thus improving mobility in the area;


SR 50 is the main evacuation route in the area and the anticipated increased future congestion could seriously jeopardize the effectiveness of coastal evacuation from northern Brevard County. An additional east-west facility provides an additional emergency evacuation option and would greatly improve response and recovery efforts.


A new expressway facility would improve mobility, connectivity and system linkage to existing and future planned facilities; and could also enhance transit service and travel times


The vision of this enhanced east-west corridor has been previously documented dating back to the 1990s with the development of the 2010 Expressway Master plan and more recently with the SR 408 Eastern Extension Concept Development and Evaluation Study completed in 2008 by CFX, which recommended that SR 408 extend eastward from SR 50 to SR 520. Additionally , the recommendations of the East Central Florida Corridor Task Force , which was created on November 1, 2013 by Governor Rick Scott, included an extension of State Road 408 from its current terminus.


The SR 408 Eastern Extension is one piece of Florida's strategic transportation investments to support existing and future growth and create connections between global trade activities, from Orlando International Airport and the University of Central Florida, to Cape Canaveral.

## ALTERNATI VE SELECTI ON PROCESS



A multi-phase alternative development process was followed. Various alternatives were considered including the No-Build alternative, which would utilize only the existing facilities, and several build alternatives. The existing SR 50, when analyzed as the No-Build alternative, is the only major east-west facility in the area and is inadequate in terms of future traffic needs and evacuation and emergency response times. Additionally, it does not provide the desired regional connectivity to I-95 to the east. Thus the No-Build alternative is mostly used as a benchmark condition in order to compare the costs and benefits of implementing the proposed improvements to those incurred by continuing to use the existing facilities.


Alternative corridors were developed following two general guidelines. First, no corridor should infringe on the existing SR 50 right-of-way and second, potential location of future interchanges should be at least 1000 feet away from SR 50 in order to minimize operational issues. Using these guidelines in concert with the stated purpose and need, a total of 14 different corridor options were developed both north and south of existing SR 50. Various opportunities have been afforded to the public and key project stakeholders to view and comment on the corridor analysis.


The corridors were evaluated in terms of how they address the purpose and need of the study as well as their effect with respect to engineering, socio-economic, and environmental issues. They were evaluated against the No-Build option, which as previously stated, would not address the stated project needs. The results of the multiphase analysis, as well as general public consensus, indicated that Alternative 4 is the best corridor choice in terms of providing adequate balance between potential socioeconomic and environmental impacts and benefits.


Several typical section alternatives were considered. The analysis results obtained indicate that a 4 lane expressway with a 300-foot right-of-way is superior due to the fact that it meets all required standards and can accommodate a future 6 lane expansion, if warranted.

## TRAFFIC



The results of the traffic analysis performed for this study indicate that SR 50 will operate at a failing level of service from SR 408 to Tanner Road in the year 2045 even if it is widened to 6 lanes. The extension of the SR 408 is expected to carry approximately 35,000 vehicles per day and is anticipated to divert sufficient traffic from SR 50 so that SR 50 will operate at an acceptable level of service, level of service C, in the year 2045. The SR 408 extension is also anticipated to operate at an acceptable level of service, level of service B, in the year 2045.

## RECOMMENDED ALTERNATI VE



CENTRAL
FLORIDA
AUTHORITY

The recommended alternative for the extension of SR 408 includes a new partial interchange at Woodbury Road with access to and from the east.

The SR 408 extension continues east and provides full access at the SR 50 and Challenger parkway interchange.

The alignment of the new expressway continues eastward south of SR 50 avoiding or minimizing where possible residential, commercial, and environmental impacts and providing several bridges over existing roadways to maintain access.

A new full interchange is proposed at Avalon park boulevard approximately 1,200 feet south of SR 50 in order to optimize traffic operations between SR 50 and the proposed interchange.

As the expressway continues east, the alignment minimizes impacts to the Econlockhatchee River and its floodplain by bridging the entire floodplain and staying as close as possible to the area already disturbed by Old Cheney Highway.

A full interchange and An extension of Chuluota Road is proposed just east of the river.

East of the proposed Chuluota Road interchange, the alignment minimizes environmental impacts as well as avoids dividing communities by bordering the southern limit of the Bithlo community.

The extension of SR 408 is proposed to terminate at SR 50 just north of the SR 520 intersection. The proposed interchange will allow for a future extension further east.


The recommended alternative for the extension of SR 408 includes a new partial interchange at Woodbury Road with access to and from the east.

The SR 408 extension continues east and provides full access at the SR 50 and Challenger parkway interchange.

The alignment of the new expressway continues eastward south of SR 50 avoiding or minimizing where possible residential, commercial, and environmental impacts and providing several bridges over existing roadways to maintain access.

A new full interchange is proposed at Avalon park boulevard approximately 1,200 feet south of SR 50 in order to optimize traffic operations between SR 50 and the proposed interchange.

As the expressway continues east, the alignment minimizes impacts to the Econlockhatchee River and its floodplain by bridging the entire floodplain and staying as close as possible to the area already disturbed by Old Cheney Highway.

A full interchange and An extension of Chuluota Road is proposed just east of the river.

East of the proposed Chuluota Road interchange, the alignment minimizes environmental impacts as well as avoids dividing communities by bordering the southern limit of the Bithlo community.

The extension of SR 408 is proposed to terminate at SR 50 just north of the SR 520 intersection. The proposed interchange will allow for a future extension further east.


A Preliminary Drainage analysis was prepared to determine the type and potential locations for the proposed ponds that will manage the stormwater runoff from the proposed improvements. 22 potential pond sites have been recommended at this time. Impacts to the 100-year floodplain will be mitigated for through the use of swales and additional ponds for floodplain compensation.

## ENVI RONMENTAL ANALYSIS SOCI AL AND ECONOMI C

## Community facilities and services in the area include:

- Community centers, day cares, fires stations, medical facilities, schools, religious centers


## Economic Environment

- Project is expected to enhance the economic conditions of the region


## Land Use

- Impacts proposed to 2 Orange County Green PLACES
- 34 acres (on 13 parcels) of direct impacts to SJ RWMD Regulatory Easements

I mpacts addressed in State Environmental I mpact Report

Existing community facilities such as community centers, day cares, fire stations, medical facilities, schools, religious centers, and others were identified so that impacts could be avoided and minimized. The project would directly impact two properties that are part of the Orange County Green PLACES program as well as approximately 34 acres, across 13 parcels, that are under St. Johns River Water Management District Regulatory Easement. These resources and impacts are described and addressed in the State Environmental Impact Report and associated documents and will be mitigated for through continued coordination and in accordance with state and local requirements.

## RI GHT-OF-WAY I MPACTS

Approximately 359 acres of right-of-way acquisition is anticipated

- \$200 Million in acquisition (estimated)
- 275 parcels impacted
- Recommended alternative results in direct impacts to businesses and residences


As part of this project, right-of-way acquisition of private properties will be required. A CFX right-of-way specialist is here this evening and will be happy to answer your questions and will also furnish you with copies of brochures that describe the CFX property acquisition process.


Within the study area no resources that are eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places were identified. Additionally, no archaeological sites were found during any of the more than 80 shovel tests performed within the proposed area of potential effects.

## ENVI RONMENTAL ANALYSIS

 NATURAL RESOURCES

## Wetlands and Other Surface Waters

- Wetland impacts avoided and minimized
- 61 acres of wetlands impacts to be mitigated
- Econlockhatchee River is an Outstanding Florida Water
- Documented in Natural Resources Evaluation (NRE) report

Biologists performed desktop and field surveys and mapped wetlands throughout the project area, particularly in association with the Econlockhatchee River and its tributaries. Under the recommended alternative there would be approximately 61 acres of impacts to wetlands. Unavoidable impacts to jurisdictional wetlands will be mitigated. Because the Econlockhatchee River is an Outstanding Florida Water, additional treatment of stormwater discharging into the river will be required and implemented as part of this project.

## ENVI RONMENTAL ANALYSIS NATURAL RESOURCES



## Wildlife and Habitats

- No adverse effects to listed species
- 71 acres of impacts to Wood Stork Suitable Foraging Habitat (requires mitigation)
- 18 acres of impacts to Riparian Habitat Protection Zone (requires mitigation)
- Gopher tortoise present (surveys, permitting, and relocation to occur in subsequent project phases)
- Documented in Natural Resources Evaluation (NRE) report

Because avoidance and minimization measures were implemented, no adverse impacts to listed species are anticipated.
The recommended alternative would Impact approximately 71 acres of wood stork suitable foraging habitat and 18 acres of Econlockhatchee River Riparian Habitat Protection Zone, both of which will require mitigation.
Prior to construction a complete survey of gopher tortoise burrows will be required, along with associated permitting and relocation.
The baseline conditions, including species sightings and habitat locations, are provided along with potential impacts in a Natural Resources Evaluation Report.

## ENVI RONMENTAL ANALYSI S PHYSI CAL RESOURCES



## NOISE

Traffic noise levels analyzed for sensitive receptors like houses, pools, playgrounds

- 831 noise sensitive sites identified in study area
- Residential noise levels would range from 45.3 to $75.0 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$
- 347 residences and 3 Special Land Uses will experience increase greater than $15.0 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$

- Noise walls considered throughout the project corridor Documented in Noise Study Report (NSR)

AIR QUALITY
Orange County currently in attainment for criteria air pollutants

A noise study was conducted as part of this PD\&E project and involved identification of noise sensitive receptors including residences, pools, playgrounds, community centers, and other areas. Traffic noise models predict that 347 residences and 3 special land uses (the Waterford Creek Playground, the Bridgewater Recreation Center, and the Deerwood Mobil Home Park) would realize a noise level increase greater than 15 decibels. To reduce noise impacts, noise barriers were considered throughout the project. The noise sensitive receptors and model results are presented in a Noise Study Report and are illustrated on both the plans on display and the project video.

Impacts to Air Quality were also considered during this PD\&E study and included screening for Carbon Monoxide. Orange County is currently in attainment for all criteria air pollutant and no substantial air quality impacts are anticipated as a result of the project.

## ENVI RONMENTAL ANALYSIS PHYSI CAL RESOURCES



## Contamination

- Field investigations and site inspections
- Sites evaluated and rated for contamination risk
- 4 Low-Risk sites (2 proposed for R/W acquisition)
- 13 Medium-Risk sites (2 proposed for R/W acquisition
- 3 High-Risk sites (1 proposed for R/W acquisition)
- Documented in Contamination Screening Evaluation Report (CSER)
- Medium- and High-Risk sites recommended for further evaluation during subsequent project phases

Potential impacts from contamination were analyzed and involved searches of regulatory databases as well as field investigations. Each site of potential contamination was assigned a risk rating.
4 low risk, 13 medium risk, and 3 high risk sites were identified. All medium and high-risk sites are recommended for additional evaluation in subsequent project phases. The location and regulatory history of each site is provided in a Contamination Screening Evaluation Report.


A comprehensive public involvement program was undertaken by the CFX in conjunction with the engineering \& environmental analyses in order to ascertain the most comprehensive solution to providing a new transportation corridor.
Public information meetings began in October 2015 and have continued throughout the study process. Representatives from CFX and the consultant team were available at each meeting to discuss the project and answer questions. The public involvement effort for this project included five scheduled public meetings (including tonight's public hearing), 6 environmental advisory group meetings, 6 project advisory group meetings as well as several meetings with project stakeholders and communities along the project corridor. All input received served as valuable information that was taken into consideration for refinement of the alternatives and the development of the recommended alternative

## RECOMMENDED ALTERNATI VE SEGMENTATI ON

- Segment 1: from SR 408 to Avalon Park Boulevard
- Segment 2: from Avalon Park Blvd to Chuluota Rd
- Segment 3: from Chuluota Road to SR 50


Based on constructability and financial considerations, the project has been divided into three distinct segments. Segment 1 would include the construction of the SR 408 Eastern Extension from the begin project (just west of Woodbury Road) to Avalon Park Boulevard. Segment 2 would extend SR 408 from Avalon Park Boulevard to Chuluota Road and would provide a new Econlockhatchee River crossing, an interchange at Chuluota Road and the proposed Chuluota Road Extension connection to SR 50. Lastly, Segment 3 would extend SR 408 from Chuluota Road to the eastern project terminus including the terminal interchange at SR 50.

## PROJ ECT COST

| COST | SEGMENT 1 | SEGMENT 2 | SEGMENT 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Cost | \$130,179,000 | \$149,412,000 | \$90,708,000 |
| Engineering/ Administration/ Legal (24\%) | \$31,243,000 | \$35,859,000 | \$21,770,000 |
| Right-of-Way | \$91,300,000 | \$64,300,000 | \$44,400,000 |
| Mitigation | \$6,196,000 | \$3,873,000 | \$5,228,000 |
| Toll Collection Equipment | \$1,260,000 | \$1,260,000 | \$1,260,000 |
| Construction Segment Total | \$260,178,000 | \$254,704,000 | \$163,366,000 |
| total cost |  | \$678,248,000 |  |
|  |  |  | CEntral FLORIDA aUTHORITY |

A preliminary cost estimate that includes construction, right-of-way acquisition, mitigation, and other design and administrative fees has been prepared for this project. Segment 1, from SR 408 to Avalon Park Boulevard totals approximately $\$ 260$ million, Segment 2, from Avalon Park Blvd to Chuluota Road totals approximately $\$ 255$ million, and segment 3 from Chuluota Road to SR 50 totals approximately $\$ 163$ million. The total cost for implementation of the project is estimated at $\$ 678.3$ million.

## PROJ ECT DOCUMENTS

- Preliminary Engineering Report (PER)
- State Environmental Impact Report (SEIR)
- Contamination Screening Evaluation Report (CSER)
- Cultural Resources Assessment Survey Report (CRAS)
- National Resources Evaluation (NRE)
- Noise Study Report (NSR)
- Pond Siting Report (PSR)
- Location Hydraulics Repot (LHR)
- Bridge Analysis Report (BAR)

The proposed improvements were documented in the engineering and environmental studies conducted for this project. These documents and preliminary plans showing the proposed improvements are available here tonight for anyone who wishes to examine them. Project information is also available for review on the study website, www.cfxway.com/408study.


Currently no funding has been approved for this project for the next phases including final design, R/W acquisition and construction.
Results of tonight's public hearing will be taken to the Central Florida Expressway Authority Board in May. At that time, the CFX board will determine the next steps of the project.

## WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO PROVI DE YOUR I NPUT!

You can comment several ways:


## William Sloup, PE

Consultant Project Manager- Metric Engineering
615 Crescent Executive Ct, Suite 524
Lake Mary, FL 32746
(407) 644-1898

408Study@CFXway.com



Comments received tonight or postmarked by

There have been various opportunities for the public to provide input on this project. Several public meetings have been held, dating from October 2015 until tonight. We welcome your oral or written comments that will help us make this important decision. At the conclusion of this presentation our personnel will distribute speaker cards to those in the audience who have not received one and would like to make a statement. A court reporter will record your statement and a verbatim transcript will be made of all oral proceedings at this hearing. If you do not wish to speak at the microphone, you may present your comments in writing or directly to the court reporter at the comment table. Every comment method carries equal weight.

Written comments received or postmarked by May 7, 2018 will become a part of the public record for this hearing. All written comments should be mailed to the address shown on the slide or in your handout.

## THANK YOU

The next step is to incorporate your input on this public hearing into our decision-making process. After the comment period closes and your input has been considered, the Final PD\&E documents will be ready for approval. This concludes our presentation. We now offer you the opportunity to make a statement.

## SPEAKER: Moderator

Anyone desiring to make a statement or present written views regarding the location; conceptual design, or social, economic, and environmental effects of the improvements will now have an opportunity to do so. If you are holding a speaker's card, please give it to a member of the project team. If you have not received a speaker's card and wish to speak, please raise your hand so you can receive a card to fill out.

We will now call upon those who have turned in speaker's cards. When you come forward, please state your name and address. If you represent an organization, municipality, or other public body, please provide that information as well. We ask that you limit your input to 3 minutes. If you have additional comments, you may continue after other people have had an opportunity to comment. Please state your name and address at the microphone so the
court reporter will be able to get a complete record of your comments.

## After everyone has been given the opportunity to speak

Does anyone else desire to speak? If so, state your name and address and complete a speaker's card after you've given your statement for the public record.

The verbatim transcript of this hearing's oral proceedings, together with all written material received as part of the hearing record and all studies, displays, and informational material provided at the hearing will be made a part of the project decision-making process and will be available at CFX for public review upon request and on the study website.

Thank you for attending this public hearing and for providing your input into this project. It is now $\qquad$ . I hereby officially close the public hearing for the SR 408 Eastern Extension PD\&E Study. Thank you again and have a good evening.

## PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD

Name: William Pons
No.:

Address: $1850115^{\text {th }}$ Ave Bithlo 32833
Representing: Save East Orange Sty


EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD

Name: Clay Mathews


## PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD


 Representing:

## Sun Cammaties canner of Deerwood

## PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD



Address: 1437 Sherman St.
Representing:

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


No.: $\qquad$
Name:

antes 2816 5. Shive Ava, or land, 32806
nemeses myself

Sou SR 408 POE STUDY
EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD

address 1027 Eaker Dr, Ohtando, Fl 32822
Representing:


## TOLL <br> 408 <br> SR 408 PD\&E STUDY <br> EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION <br> Project Identification Number: 408-254

## PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD



## SR 408 PD\&E STUD

EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD



## TOLL

## SR 408 PD\&E STUDY

EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50ISR 520 INTERSECTION
Project Identification Number: 408-254

## PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD


address IIS' WINDMILL GRove circle
Representing: MyseLF


408
EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

## PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD

No.: 1
Name: la regthonrpsion
Address: 1446 flours Creek' Lane
Representing: $\qquad$

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


Name: Seth whitaker
Address: 10 Seminole Tran. 1
Representing: Switchgrass outfitters \& Dietrich Brothers Inc

TOLL 408

SR 408 PD\&E STUDY EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


## PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD



Representing:


Project Identification Number: 408-254

## PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

## SPEAKER CARD

## Name: Valerie Morales


adders: 1131 Wind mill Grove Cir Representing: Deer word

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


TOLL 408

SR 408 PD\&E STUDY
EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD

Name: Chuck Johnston

No.:


Address: 14265 Acorn Ridge dr.
Representing: Myself

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD

address: 1313 Birch Creek $V_{6}$.


TOLL 408

SR 408 PD\&E STUDY
EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD
No.:
Name: Louis A, Dobles
Address: 1391 CAudle St c
Representing: tome Owned
rout SR 408 PD\&E STUDY EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD

Name:


Address: 849 Lockwood Dr Orlando fL 32833
Representing:

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


Name: Christina George
Address: 14032 SYCAMORE TREE DZ OREANDO FL 32828
Representing: RRMILIES IN DESENCOD

PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


Address: 1265 WINDMIll GROVE CIRClE
Representing: DayGHIER

SR 408 PD\&E STUDY
EASTERN EXTENSION FROM SR 50 TO THE SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION Project Identification Number: 408-254


Mallee $2 x$
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


Representing: MEMSER OF DEERWOOD MHAP

dichitshore usp $\qquad$ Called ax East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833

SPEAKER CARD

Name: Gale Pettite


Address: 1271 Windmill RIDGE LouP
Representing: Deer wood Home Owner


PUBLIC HEARING
Thursday, April 26, 2018, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
East River High School - 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833
SPEAKER CARD


Representing:

| Name | Representing | Address | Email Address | Phone Number | comments/Questions | Date Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gregory Dwayne Thompson, Sr. | Property Owner | 1446 Marsh Creek Lane, Orlando, FL 32828 |  |  |  | 4/16/2018 |
| Loreta Humble | Property Owner | ${ }^{849}$ Lockkood Dr, Orlando, FL 38833 | humble.orerta@gmai.com | 407-47-3656 | I worked with children's that have problems some are loved, if it was not for my home to come home to and relax because of the quite. I would probably die of a heart break. The ride to and from my job is quite and relaxing too. Don't take that away from me. Additional comment: This is God's hand it is beautiful just the way it is. This is just another way for you to take land just like you did to the Indians. Why, why, why I don't want THIS STOP IT | 4/26/2018 |
| Vaness Roman | Property Owner | 1507 Barkwood LIn, Orando, ¢ L 3828 | Shakir7..v®gmai.com | 407-22-1135 | Necesito información en español, ya que mi hogar será impactado por la 408. Me gustaría que me conteste las pregustas, la cual son muchas -English Translation (I need information in Spanish, as my home will be impacted for the 408 project. I would like someone to contact me to answer a lot of my question). | 4/26/2018 |
| Diana Mcallister | Property Owner | 25408 Luke St, Christmas, FL2 23799 |  | 321-302-940 | 1990 started this studies on roads? Then why hasn' Orange County \& State done better road planning before subdivisions \& apartments been allowed to build, poor planning when 50 is still only maior E -W road with all building cutting off better alternatives for roads. Roads 1 st tuilding 2 nd | 4/26/2018 |
| Stacey Hronec | Property Owner | 1313 Birch Creek or, Orlando, Fl32828 | sphrone@gmail.com | 400-758-1053 |  | 4/26/2018 |
| Norma Lopez | Property Owner | 19003 Lansing St, Orinado, FL3 3883 | irisiopezorma@yahoo.com | 407-255-0832 | I'm not selling, My proeerty is all paid for. 'Im happy there. | 4/26/2018 |
| Dale Valente | East River High Shool/Principal | 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833 | 3286@ocps. net | 407-680.8230 | Worried about the entrance to the school, ivits? trafici lights, sidewalks?, families loosing their homes? | 4/26/2018 |
| Jamie Juson |  | 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833 |  |  | Solution for students walking to \& from East River. Sidewalk? Pedestrian crosings? Taffic Lights? | 4/26/2018 |
| Javier lizary | Property Owner |  | jirizarryap@hotmai.com | 787-717-6054 |  | $\frac{4 / 266 / 2018}{4 / 26 / 2018}$ |
| Donni Alvarenga | Property Owner | 19969 Perdido Or, Orlando, F 32828 | adonirei@gmail.com | ${ }^{321-285.6643}$ | Ido not tike or approve of the proposed path for the 408 extension. It will affect the view and noise from my property, and with it, the property value | 4/26/2018 |
| Monica Thompson | Property Owner | 1446 Marsh Creek Lane, Orlando, FL 32828 | pufforife2002@gmail.com | ${ }^{321-21-5-5410}$ | I believe this highway extension will only bring trouble and discomfort and I surely hope the plan will be cancelled. Many people will be displaced and many people affected by this plan do not have resources to re-locate | 4/26/2018 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Peter Parenti }}$ | Property Owner | 927 Jadestone Cir, Orlando, FL 32828 1184 Windmill Grove Circle, Orlando, FL 32828 32828 | pmparenti@outlok.com | 4007-736-8030 <br> $407-4868712$ | Please do a truthful noise study!! Predawn on heavy traffic days. 408 is a bad neighbor!! Maps not to true scale (some text illegible) Through this will not (so far) affect my home, we have to much construction in this area already enough noise being on 14 a miles away from my home is to close. Too much traffic this will only create more traffic more noise, more accidents and a total inconvenience to our neighborhood this quiet area I moved in to was peaceful until all this construction has begun | $\frac{4 / 266 / 2018}{4 / 26 / 2018}$ |


| Name | Representing | Address | Email Address | Phone Number | Comments/Questions | Date Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heather DiSanto |  |  |  |  | What about SR50 expansion? That is not taken into accounts in the study. What about the area reserved for future Orange County School near Waterford Trails? Not realistic information provided. Very one sided. | 4/26/2013 |
| Doris Perrine | Property Owner | 1728 Kingsmill Orive, Orlando, fl38226 | daperine50@msn.com | 570-46-2132 | Glad tose se something done to easy the trafic on 501 All for it! | 4/26/2018 |
| Wayne Videgar | Property Owner | 2081 Warwick Hill Dr | popssid@msn.com | $515-231-5945$ | 1 m glad that you are doing something to ease up the traffic on 5 S50 | 4/26/2018 |
| Lola cheetete | Property Owner | 17412 Wisison Rd | Iolachelette@gmili.com | 407-25-1383 | It's concerining for the senior citizen's don't have money to move elaces to go. It's hard to undestand the maps as to what they are doing | 4/26/2018 |
| Ricardo Juan Mazzoi | Property Owner | 1461 Marsh Creek Ln, Orando, FL32828 | juanmazzoi@gmail.com | 32-279-7899 | 1 agree with the project stuy 408.1 Ijust hope quickly communication with me. | 4/26/2018 |
| Charlene Brandloft | Property Owner | 1624 N 6th St, Orando, , LL 28220 |  | 407-25-0118 | We need to have a meeting with questions and answers. Alot of our elderly cantt make heads or tails out of the maps as to where it is actually going | 4/26/2018 |
| Josette Tevaw | Property Owner | 776 Hamiton Di, Orlando, fl 32883 | tevaw@hotmai.com | 407-56-2252 | This extension is not needed. HWw 50 has already been widened, if f futue growt needs this extension it thould be done down the 50 coridido by Dot | 4/26/2018 |
| Rhonda Philips | Proenty Owner | 15888 Old Cheney Hwy, Orlando, F L38833 |  |  | Do you want to ruin East Orange!!! You never pay attention to us unless you want to steal our land, build Bridges that destroy all our wild life and put house divisions that steal our property and our quiet way of life!!! don't want your stinking road stealing my property! Forget East Go North!! | 4/26/2018 |
| Kay West | Property Owner | 170510 th St, Orando, FL 38820 | kiwestemindspring.com | 407-568-4174 | Please mail meeting notices to evervo one in the area- -ips 388008388833 . We are all affected!! | 4/26/2018 |
| Pavid Mitchell | Property Owner | 1984 Cascade Cove Dr, Orlando, Fl38820 | davemitchellriegmai.com | 312-203-9356 | I support the expressway extension as it ensures that SR-50 will not become over lauded, provides quicker trip into Orlando, and may increase commercial + retail |  |
| Randy Mcclung | Property Owner | 15600 Old Cheney Hwy, Orlando, FL32828 |  | 407-56-0157 | You are taking my 85 year old mother's mobile home park were she has lived for 24 years (Deer Wood) and you are going to build the Expressway so that I will have to stare out my front door at a huge wetland overpass. This stinks its Evil \& all for the people with money and they don't care anything for the poor!!. | 4/26/201 |
| Scott Philips | Property Owner | 158818 Old Cheney Hwy, OrIando, EL38833 | spphilips@hotmail.com | 321-695.6750 | When taking a portions of a property the authority should take it all and factor in moving cost.Additional Comment: FDOT does not own Hwy 50 right of way!! The people of Florida do. There is no reason the corrupt leadership of FDOT can not allow the expressway authority to cross that right of way if that will allow them to build the least xpensive extension of 408 | 4/26/2018 |
| Dr. Danielle Thomas | Property Owner | 12001 Avalon Lake Dr. | hornets33@aol.com |  | Concern regarding the amount of traffic on exit ramp to 408 will create at the end of Avalon Park Blvd. There is already considerable traffic in Avalon and concerned this will add to it. Additionally concerned about noise and security with East River High having the 408 on oneside and Chuluota extenstion on the otherside | 4/26/201 |
| Mark Spontelli | Property Owner | 13219 St. Cole Ct. Orlando, Fl 38228 | mspontelliegmail.com | 40-276-7388 |  strange, its location oddly placed. Iam not in favor of this. Other aternatives in less developed areas must be proposed instead. Additional comment: The 1 stturn on west of project tasses sery near neighborhood Bridgewater. It seems the tur could be pushed northa little, ikely of tighter turn, to help reduce impact to some of the houses on the north-west corner of the neighborhood Bridgewater. Why does the turn pass so cossely to some homes when there's plenty of open space to adisst the turn to? looks unfair to <br>  impact to the existing residents and stores. | 4/26/2018 |
| Caroly Skok | Propery Owner | 620 forestgreen CT. Orando 38388 | carolynfactl\|r.com | 70-883-1665 | Ridiculous! Waste of money. No reason to displace homeowners and further destroy the environment , and create a noise hazard for those close to the road. This will definitely bring down home values. This done all for me sake of collecting tolls. The road from I-95 to Orlando is adequate enough. I have traveled this road many, many times and never had issues! Stop this madness! | 4/26/2018 |
| Chariote Grabowsk | Property Owner | 2084 Pebble Beach Bv, Orlando 32826 | apple194@mmai.com | 305-254.8571 | As a resident of Fairway's Country Club I am concerned mostly about noise. Living in a $55+$ community my rent is locked and tied to inflation so it would be very hard for me to move. Therefore I prefer this CFX option greatly over the FDOT proposal of an elevated roadway along SR50. | 4/26/20 |
| Chares Atmor | Property Owner | 16250 Hamitto Dr. | ormilton@gmail.com | 352-805-7370 |  | 4/26/20 |
| Tina Authier | Propety Owner | 16302 Hamilton Dr. OrIando Fl 3883 | sidetrackedgain@gmili.com | 407-31-0159 | I do not want this in my neighborhood! I moved out here for the peace and quiet of nature and traffic, This will take away both and de-value my property. Make no mistake, all of the wild animals and birds will be effected. Leave this part of Orlando alone! You are taking over all of the rural property left, and trying to replace it with stupid subdivisions. All for the sake of \$\$\$ for the county. Your project is not more important than our property and privacy! | 4/26/2018 |
| Bill White | Property Owner | 1035 Difit creek Cove | erudites@comcast,net | 407-384-88734 | Losing the Winn Dixie Is a loss for the bridgewater area- Can they be relocated to the west- Take a small portion of that wooded area and still provide access from Bridgeway Blvd. Just asking--- | 4/26/2018 |
| Xiomara Cabrora | Property Owner | 1127 Windmill Grove Cir | masdamexiomar@yahoo.com | 407-87.8367 | I'm disable and I'm really worried to lose my home. According to map I will be affected by the noise and the traffic cause there is only one way exit. I'm in favor of doing the 408 . If I'm able to keep the land where my home is and not paid so much rent as I do. by keeping my lot I would be able to pay mortage on land to stay cause I don't have the money to move. | 4/26/2018 |
| William A Rogers | Property Owner | 14127 Hunter Grove Drive | contrabileatt.net | 407-84-0657 | The route of the proposed 408 extension by looking at it. It goes right thru where my residence is. Is this going to be an elevated highway or on the ground. What is projected time of starting. What will happen to us. I'm 80 years old and my wife is 70 with many medical problems we live on a monthly income. Where are we supposed to go. I hope this is thought out before doing | 4/26/2018 |
| No name |  |  |  |  | Two studies being develoloped, both by CFx and the Turnpike are very costly. There entities should come together and find a common ground for solving/improving traffic conditions along SR50 | 4/26/2018 |
| Dwight David Miller | Property Owner | 1022 Windmill Grove CIK (Deerwood) | davmillersoo@yahoo.com | 407-277-5678 | I went through this before when 417 intersected with SR 50 (colonial). I had to move and I couldn't find a mobile home park that would take my mobile home. People who were left in the park, lost most of the value of their mobile home. The expressway can be built at a more southeastern/ east direction. | 4/26/2018 |
| Pedro A Antuna | Property Owner | 15515 Old Cheney Hwy, OrIando, FL38288 | tajan0173@al.com | 321-43-5654 | I would like a full transcript of entire meting mailed to me. If y you have any yuestions contact my niece Marth 321-488-5554. | 4/26/2018 |
| Andre Loar | Property Owner | 14126 Hunter Grove Or | andrewlorf9@gmail.com | 407-493-4141 | In regarding to CFX project \#408-254 lied in the report about red-cockaded woodpecker and burrowing owl being non-effected. I can provide photos past and current around my home which is right in the middle of 408 extension. This is an unacceptable oversight that will not be accepted | 6/20: |
| Alan Astlock | Property Owner | 2727 Lake Pickett Place Chuluota F 27766 | alan@shhlockdecatur.com | 407-808.9413 | Happy tose extension coming to lost orange ety. We also have effected property on Story Partin Road. | 4/26/201 |
| Lois riennan | Property Owner | 18984 Nash Street Orlando f L 32833 | drennal@ocps. net | 321-30-8.8456 | Please keep me updated on project- - Thank you for not going through my house with the 408 . Be safe and take vour time Sincerely Lios Drennan | 4/26/2018 |
| Arman Toreiti | Property Owner | 504 Spring Sland way Orando FL 32838 |  | 321-217-4359 | Excellent Plan. Im mill for it. Wwish you could do The End modified to e either beforre the track or after. The track before the "y" 508520. Thank you ver | 4/26/2018 |
| Steven V. Savchuk | Property Owner | 2009 Braeburan ct. | bumpity_bump@yahoo.com | 610-357-0790 | With the growth of UCF in our area. This is only common sense to create this extension. It is unfortunate that there is a price to pay too: A definite improvement over the elevated idea! | 4/26/2018 |
| Robin Graham | Property Owner | 1228 Windmill Grove Circle orando 3828 | robing.raham@gmail.com | 407-380-9381 | Please explain to me where all of us are supposed to go once you displace us? A whole mobile home park, where are we supposed to go? | 4/26/2018 |
| Joan + John Correau | Property Owner | 860 Chery Valley Way |  |  | Why is this plan even being considered? If there are concerns about the buildup of traffic they should stop building apartments, i.e. on SR50 and woodberry. It is going to take away the quiet and beauty of our community. Stop!! | 4/26/2018 |
| Marsha Suskowitz | Property Owner | 1437 Sheman 5 t. |  | 407-568-1841 |  also be a lot cheaper and will inconvience no one!!! | 4/26/2013 |
| Domimique Burot | Propety Owner | P.O. Box 4414 Winter Park OP 32793 Refernce: 19240 E. Colonial Dr. | greensorando@hotmail.com | 407-67-8700 | I purchased the property of 19240 E. Colonial Dr. to establish/relocate my business. The purchase was performed in 2012 new if the project goes forward for the extension of 408 , I will have to find any piece of property to relocate again. My issue is that I can not afford a similar property as prices for such reach the $\$ 250$ I hope the value of buying my property to have a similar size within 1 mile will be highly considered | 4/26/2018 |
| Lihua Cox | Property Owner | 15136 Old cheney Hwy Orando, fliz288 |  | 724-216-4280 | We recommend officials consider 408 go along 50 . to avoid damages so many residential houses. We work hard whole life. Saved money and built a house. if 408 go through alternative route our house value will go down dramatically. all of our life saving will throw to toilet. please think about your residents, who voted for you to be our official. please do not allow our hard working earning gone just because this 408 . Thank you | 4/26/2018 |


| Name | Representing | Address | Email Address | Phone Number | Comments/Questions | Date Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradey Cox | Property Owner | 15136 Old cheney Hwy Orando, FL |  | 724-757-3024 | My family does not want this project to go as suggested as it will drafty affect my property values. We are spending $\$ 500,000$ of our savings to build our dream house in an areo that was very peaceful. Now with the proposed 408 extension will ruin all we have worked for these 25 years. Please do not do this to our community. Thank you. | 4/26/2018 |
| Al i isanto | Property Owner | 15513 Carina Dr. Orando, FL3 3828 | al_disanto@hotmil.com | 407-322-0777 | What happens to the school scheduled to be built on Perdido/Old Cheney? Will there still be an exit to the Waterford trails community to Old Cheney? Given the projected traffic increase on APB, are there any plans for improvements to the intersection of Colonial /APB? Would APB be closed for any length of time due to the new overpass. | 4/26/2018 |
| Jeff and Sarah Kelly | Property Owner | 15912 Old Cheney Hwy | jellycarenter@aol.com | 407-739-2422 |  | 4/26/2018 |
| Delanie Moreread | Property Owner | 14217 Acorr Ridge Dr. | xholly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Qahoo.com | 407-28-3925 | You guy suckl Single mom losses home. No where else to go can't afford where we are now. Thanks for destroving us! | 4/26/2018 |
| Donna Ginther | Property Owner | $\begin{aligned} & 1945 \text { W CR419 Su 1141-206 Oviedo, FL } \\ & 32766 \end{aligned}$ | donnag@acwncw.com | 407-737-1140 | When you do build, please do so responsibly. Whoever the general contractor is make sure they are dumping all the concrete washout, into an approved container. EPA fines can be $\$ 37,500$ per day if dumping in a pit it tears and poisons our aquifer, we get LEEDs credits for what we recycle Contact Atlantic Concrete washout. | 4/26/2018 |
| Chistina George | Property Owner | 19032 Scyamore Tree Dr. Orlando 38288 | cc2159@ool.com | 400-721-6827 | Task you to not build- concerned for my neighbors and friends who could have nowhere to go. As a mobile home communiti F F Statute Chapter 73 regarding eminent domain has me concerned. Your study advises "accomdate the expected incease in traffic due to population and employment growth." What about those that do live work and play here now? Is this simply put "out with the old and in with the new?" Your study laso has a concern with evacuation. I personally evacuate for every hurricane warning and never have an issue with it. There is a people impact with this extension and please dont please don't turn a blind eye to that. Thank you. No Buíd | 4/26/2018 |
| Carlos Pereda | Property Owner | 19920 Little Manate Ct | Carloshpereda@hotmai.com | 407-802-3132 | Construction of 408 extension through existing neighborhoods not only decreases the property values but also affects the integrity of houses (My home got cracks whenever the space shuttle was launched, imagine when pilons are set on place) If we have to sue, we will to protect our homes and families | 4/26/2018 |
| Louis A . obles | Property Owner | 1391 Caudle St. Orlando, Fl38288 | Iouis@ouisdobles.com | 407-963-0360 |  | 4/26/2018 |
| Ruth Ramcd | Property Owner | 14252 Acorr R Ride obr. |  | ${ }^{321-310-0536}$ | I would love to sel. My house is new Ido not own the lot. Help me get out. It's a great idea!!! | 4/26/2018 |
| No name |  |  |  |  | Stay away fom East Orange County!! |  |
| Anne Marie Ramirez | Property Owner | 14178 Hunter Grover Dr | marie704@yahoo.com | 787-388-429 | Comment is from Owner's child: So my comment is that is isn't fair for us children to play outside it won't be the same the air will be polluted there will be noise and some of our friend might have to move away and some animals and their environment will be hurt we love our neighborhood and we don't want to leave! Additional Comment: I am nine years old and I love my neighborhood with all my heart I grew up there all my heart is in that house I have so many memories there Please don't take my neighborhood away I beg you Imagine you having to move away from your childhood life! Please! | 4/26/2018 |
| Valera Pinkard | Property Owner | 1540 Barkwod L L Orando, FL 32292 | valerapinkard@gmai.com | 407-844-0802 | Comment from Owner: We are a military retirement, just moved, purchased a home in Deerwood cash, and just found out. I am appalled at this atrocity to people, Florida and the environment. This is such a unique area our joy has turned to "concern" are you concerned. We take care of our three grands, we love Deerwood, the people and our home. Comment from Child (Aniyah Nino): I don't like what you guys are doing you don't care about us you care about yourself. | 4/26/2018 |
| Andy Rodriguez | Property Owner | 1564 Barkwood L 382888 Orando fL | wize872@yhoo.com | 407-800-1927 | I am opposed to this! I'm not happy at all. If this highway is build. I will be losing value to my home. What am I to do if I can't sell my house??? Where do myself and my four kids go??? |  |


| Name | Representing | Adderes | Emala Adtress | Phone Number | Commens/austions | Date Recesived |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spenerer Wever | Propert Owner |  | evereriangeenhomalicom | ${ }^{407 / 888.8778}$ | 1. Is there a schedule for construction available? 2. Will Hamilton Drive be paved as a part of this project? 3 . My residence has flooded 3 times in 10 years. Is the new road going to make flooding better or worse? 4. Several of us hunt deer and boar for food. What impact will this project have on deer and boar populations. | $41 / 2680$ |
| Seler | Proeetry wner | 20 Stor Peatin Road 3833 |  | 4007768.5887 | As someone who travels, this road is desparately needed. Although I will be displaced, I cannot imagine living in orange county if the FDOT road along HWAY 50 is used. That would be a nightmare I cant imagine. | $4 / 4662018$ |
| $\frac{\text { Ericteses }}{\substack{\text { Paticicombin }}}$ |  |  | triciek8evano.com |  | Ono |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{172}$ |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Blllv }}^{\text {gnet }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Proeert O Wmer }}$ |  |  | 407-3820.048 40072382818 | Looks like proposal routes is best option and makes sense. My home is located out of the construction zone in the front part of Deerwood MNP-- so I don't lose my home-- However, with this mess you are building through my | $\underbrace{4 / 2018}_{4 / 46502018}$ |
| Kistina Teed and Lisa Nener | Property Ower |  | kmtountregnaicom | 407.4128824 |  | 4/26/2018 |
|  | Property Ower |  | ositbeal.com |  | 18843 Lansing St, Orlando, FL I completely oppose to sell my property. This represents 40 years of hard work without enjoying life. Thinking for my retire years and the payment for my daughter career (16 years old). Rita and Osvaldo Note: Any questions feel free to contact us. Additional Note from Osvaldo: We bought our trailer park in 2015. My wife and I live in N.J. We are senior citizens. This situatin is already "impacting" our lives. We cannot move to Orlando, because we do not know the outcome of this 2015. My wife and I live in N.J. We are senior citizens. This situatin is already "impacting" our lives. We cannot move to Orlando, because we do not know the outcon project. We thought that this park would provide income for us and for my 16 year old daughter career. Osvaldo Betancourt P.S. For anything please contact me. | 4/26/2018 |
| Maria Abud | Property Ower |  | mariabuldeeyshoo.com | ${ }_{6466899310}$ |  | $4 / 2682018$ |
| Carmen Raminea | Property Ower |  | ciloeaseyeyhoo.com | 407.922.246 |  | 4/26/2018 |
| Lusia Molina | Proeerty Owner |  | Uusitriosiegmailcom | 40-218.1905 | Pren | /1/6202018 |
| Vanie tueta | Property Owner |  |  | 321.512.3750 | (tater | ${ }^{4 / 26 / 20018}$ |
| Francs. O.ovis | Property Ower | Sos lockwod dive, Ofrande, fl 3833 |  |  |  | 4/26620] |
| Carom. . .eedram | Property Ower |  | Crorneedham034egnal.com |  | TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN: I write in opposition to the CFX proposed 408 extension corridor 4 in and through East Orange County. I am the homeowner of 808 Lockwood Drive. I reside on property that will be totally destroyed to make way for the 408 extensions if CFX proceeds with corridor 4 . I had intended to grow old here and am sick and heartbroken that the CFX study puts the 408 right through my home and entire property. My neighborhood will be totally transformed into a highway. My neighbors and quiet neighborhood will be gone; sensitive wildlife that I have watched on trail cams of key deer, gopher tortoise, Eagles, Split tail kites, and owls, will be gone; and the Econ will be negatively impacted. I do not want to see this happen. I do not want the 408 through our neighborhood and forests. I ask that the CFX please abate their project and let the FOOT continue with their study of extending the 408 along the State Route 50 ; or alternatively, revisit an alternative route, or simply don't build. Maybe work WITH FDOT to utilize the State Route 50 corridor as was originally preferred.Thank | ${ }^{4 / 262018}$ |
| Boosander | Property Ower |  |  | 407-459.6517 |  | 4/265201 |



| Name | Representing | Addres | Email | Phone Number | Comments/Questions | Date R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elizabeth Carrasquillo | Property Owner |  | revelizabeth@msh.com |  | Love your new plan. That will keep an elevated from in front of my community fairways. | 7/20 |
| Donna Dale | Property Owner |  | rgdaldeiciloud.com |  | Ivote for the CFX plan thinking that would benefit Fairways community the best | 5/6/2018 |
| Vitoria Mattera | Aide for Commissioner Emily Bonilla | Orange County, District 5 | Victoria.Matter@ocfl.net | 407-836-7362 | Memorandum, from Commissioner Emily Bonilla: May 4, 2018 Future Toll Road Projects: After receiving numerous complaints from the residents of Orange County, I have asked for a combined community meeting with the Central Florida Expressway Authority, the Florida Department of Transportation, The Florida Turnpike Enterprise, and myself, but my request has been denied. Please allow the residents the ability to see the results of the studies from both projects by side and provide their comments in an open forum to provide feedback. Thank you | 5/4/2018 |
| Rosemary Wozen | Property Owner |  | rosemarywozeicloud.co |  | Writing you togive my opinion that it would be better to do the CFx way. | 2018 |
| Bobby Beagles | Property Owner |  | brbeagles@mmail.com | 407-568-4628 | Please build the expansion to Hwy 520. As a resident of East Orange County we need a way out and less traffic jams. No one likes to pay tolls but I'll pay them instead of wasting fuel sitting in a traffic jam and a red light. Thank you. | 5/1/2018 |
| Vicki Beagles | Property Owner |  | vibeagle@@mail.com |  | I am very much in favor of the 408 expansion. East Orange Co. is growing but the traffic is almost at a standstill on hwy 50 . We need to keep up with the times and growth. Please extend it to Hwy 520 as another means of evacuation during storms and emergency. Thank you | 5/1/2018 |
| «. Mueller | Property Owner |  | ri@jimueller.net |  | Unfortunately I was unable to attend the community meeting on the 408 expressway due to work commitments but wanted to provide feedback. <br> After reviewing all the alignments and the final pathway the 408 extension would take, I feel the design team found the best possible alternative for the expressway. The pathway has minimal impact to the communities as well as is the least impactful to the environment. The crossing of the Econ is at a spot that has the shortest width and was crossed before at this location and the pathway to the east skirts the wetlands. I don't think there is any better pathway. Also from what I have heard the community meeting brought out those impacted directly who spoke against the expressway but the value to the entire community must be considered. People who are not impacted generally do not attend meetings like this. Whether we like it or not, this area is growing and will continue to grow and there must be more roadway to move traffic. Hwy 50 will be at capacity in a few years and there will need to be an alternative. This roadway is that alternative for day-to-day traffic and let's not forget evacuation in times of hurricanes. Please take into consideration all of the people who this roadway will serve and not just the few that are directly impacted. | 4/28/2018 |
| Osvaldo Betancourt | Property Owner | 18843 Lansing st, Orlando, FL | osribb@aol.com | 201-906-7894 | To whom it may concerns: <br> We are the owners of the Trailer Park located on 18843 Lansing Street Orlando, Florida. We bought this property in 2015. <br> We acknowledge the fact that if SR 408 E is built, it would certainly alleviate the traffic in the area, and it would bring revenue to the State as well. <br>  <br>  like this in Orlando. For us this property is priceless. Please, feel free to contact us at any time. | 4/28/2018 |
| Carol Mincemeyer | Property Owner |  | cmincemeyer4@@gmail.com |  | My husband and I are asking for you to please use the CFX plans in moving forward with this extension. We are Fairways residents and definitely feel that the CFX plan is more feasible for everyone involved and that travel to and from all of these areas. Thank you. | 4/27/2018 |
| Nancy Cruey | Property Owner |  | florida adiuster@live.com |  | 1 mm in favo of thw CFX plan vs the FDOT plan. I Im a resident in the area and will be effected directy by the decision. | 4/27/2018 |
| James MGGrath | Property Owner | 2157 Pebble Beach Blid Orlando FL 32826 (fairways CC) | jpmegrathecflir.com |  | I live in the general area of this project and would like to voice a preference for the CFX proposal that runs south of Hwy 50 to the 520 terminus. Any additional use of Hwy 50 doesn't seem practical at all. This already is a heavily used thoroughfare | 4/27/2018 |
| Thomas Pastore | We The People | Orlando, FL 32828 | wetheepeople@al.com | 407-381-5630 |  | 4/27/2018 |
| David Mitchell | Property Owner | 1984 Cascades Cove Dr Oriando FL 38220 | davemitchellri@gmail.com | 312-203-9356 | I would like to a mend or replace the public comment I made last night at the meeting with the following: I generally support the idea to extend the 408 expressway, but do not support this alignment.TTh 408 extension will alleviate traffic issues in the area and potentially increase commercial and retail opportunities nearby, but the SR 50 right-of-way is the proper place to build <br>  the necessary ight-oo-way arreacy existed to accomplish the objectives. Please defer to the state and allow them to buid the Colonial Parkway project. | 4/27/2018 |
| Mary H Keim | Property Owner | 4726 S Fern Creek Ave, Orlando, FL 32806 | rssmk@@mail.com |  | Dear Mr. Sloup, <br> I am writing to urge the CFX to avoid Public Conservation lands such as Long Branch Preserve, Pine Lily Preserve and Hal Scott Preserve. These should remain as the valuable conservation lands that they are. <br> I also urge CFX to minimize damage to the Econlockhatchee River Swamp and maintain wildlife corridors by elevating the expressway at that location as shown on the Project Alternative shown in the April 2018 Newsletter https://www.cfxway.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/SR408-Eastern-Ext-Study-Newsletter-April-2018-FINAL.pdf. With disappearing natural lands that provide wildlife habitat and protect our water and air, it is vital that we maximize protection of existing lands and corridors between these lands. Thank you for prioritizing habitat protection. | 4/26/2018 |


| Name | Representing | Address | Email Address | Number | mments/Questions | Date Receiv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sim and Joy Lynch | Property Owner | 1957 Wilmington Court, Orlando, FL3 3826 |  |  | To Whom It May Concern: <br> My name is Joy Lynch. My husband Jim and I are residents at the Fairways 55+ Community. We have been reading about the 408 Extension and would like to express our opinions that we would most certainly rather have the State go with the CFX plan instead of the DOT plan. We believe the DOT plan would severely reduce property values and also make it extremely hard to sell homes here in the Fairways. On top of that, it would be an eyesore in front of the community <br> Thank you for your consideration. | 1/26/20 |
| Rex Wheeler | Property Owner | 14325 Lake Underill Road, Orlando, FL 32828 |  |  | What noise study was done and day/time? If the study was done during the Summer (no school) and during middle of the day, that defeats the noise from weekends and weekly early morning and nights. What recourse will our development get for the increase of poor life style quality living in this newly created noisy development of a road extension? | 4/26/2018 |
| Randall S. Snyder | Property Owner | 4726 S Fern Creek Ave, Orlando, FL 32806 |  |  | Dear Mr. William F. Sloup, <br> In constructing the SR 408 Expressway Eastern Extension, I urge the CFX Authority to avoid public lands and to restrict any incursions onto the Econlockhatchee River flood plain such as bridge crossings to flyovers in order to reduce environmental impact. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the project. | 4/26/2018 |
| Thomas Pastore | We The People | Orlando, FL 32828 | wetheepeople@al.com | 407-381-5630 |  | 4/23/2018 |
| Kathy Sasko | Property Owner | 1209 Marsh Creek Lane, Orlando, FL 32828 | kathysask@att.net |  | Dear Mary, Thank you for getting back to me as fast as you did. My full address is 1209 Marsh Creek Lane Orlando, Fl. 32828. The new 408 extension that is going to connect to SR 502. This the new road we are worried about. We are right off of Pel St. and I see there is going to be a new on and off ramp added on Pel St. | 4/19/2018 |
| Thomas Pastore | We The People | Orlando, FL 32828 | wetheepeople@al.com | 407-381-5630 | SR 408 Extension PD\&E I Central Florida Expressway Authority......IS This Needed!! ...EXTENDING SR-408 is only an invitation for more and more Residential / Commercial CONSTRUCTION ASR 408 Eastern Extension PD\& Public Hearing will be held on Thursday, April 26 , 2018 , from $5: 30$ p.m. to $7: 30$ p.m. at East River High School, located 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, FL 32833. The hearing will begin as an open house at $5: 30$ p.m., with a formal presentation at $6: 30$ p.m., followed by a public comment period. <br> The comments / information below was sent to the applicable Commissioners and Mayor of Orange County, and regional Media....*There is No need for an Extension of the SR-408 at this time. All this will signify is that Orange County is "Rolling-out-the-Red-Carpet" (at our expense), for the Continued Destruction of our Needed Wetlands, and the Further Depletion of Central Florida's Resources.....Construction Magnates, (usually from other States), will roll-out the Blueprints of High Density Housing and Repetitive / Carbon-Copy, Commercial ventures, dictating to a Complacent Orange County Commission, ...."What-is-Best-for-our-area"................NO THANKS!!..................Readers of these concerns,.....Please attend!! ...Extending SR-408, is only an enticement / A TOOL, that Contractors / Builder's, will use to draw more and more "NEW" Residents, to live in High Density compacted "Homes", placed on Filled-ln,(and Lost Forever) Wetlands!! .....We-The-People, must finally arise and demand that it is US-The People, that needs representation, as we are the Community. Not the Planners, nor the Commissioners, nor the Contractors with promises of; un-kept, and un-needed "DREAMS". Mother Nature has NOT had anyone at the "Table of Mitigation" for far too long, so we must Represent the needs of Nature, which are ourver needs for our Survival as both Citizens, and as a Community Readers of these concerns Please attend!! | 4/18/2018 |


| Name | Representing | Address | Email Address | Phone Number | Comment/Questions | Date Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thomas Pastore | We The People | Orlando, FL 32828 | etheepeople@al.com | 7-381-5630 |  | 4/17/2018 |
| Robin Pless | Property Owner | 17123 Cypress Presereve Parkway, Orlando, FL 38820 | malaikap@comcast.net |  | To whom it may concern, <br> agree that there is a great need for SR 408 extension. There has been a steady increase of residential and commercial building for the past 10 years in this area <br> in review of your proposals it appears that there will be exits at Chuluota and SR 520 after the extension is completed. Based on the many residents and businesses in Avalon, Chuluota and Lake Pickett communities, and the near future increases expected in these areas there should be more than 2 exits established. <br> The Chuluota and Rt 50 intersection is already very congested. The traffic from the high school and middle school coupled with the residents from the Cypress lakes community, Lake Pickett communities, and Seminole County residents has overwhelmed this area. High School students have a difficult time crossing the streets of this intersection. I agree with the Chuluota exit, but there should also be an exit at Avalon to reduce the amount of people exiting at this one exit. Please take my suggestion into serious consideration. I look forward to getting more information at the next meeting. | 4/17/2018 |
| Joel Lovett | Property Owner | 15608 Old Chenee Hwy, Orlando, FL 32828 | lovet1969@aol.com | 407-947-0300 | Good afternoon Henry, my name is Joel Lovett...i live at 15608 old Cheney hwy. Orlando. I was wondering if you can tell me how much, if any, the project will affect my property... I'm the last house on the south side of the street before the Econ river heading east... You can call or text me at 407-947-0300. Or, of course, email...Thank you for your time. |  |


| CENTRAL <br> FLORIDA <br> FXPRSSWAY <br> AUTHORITY | $\mathbf{4 0 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: |

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE
SR 408 Eastern Extension from State Road 50 to the vicinity of the SR 50/SR 520 Intersection
Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) Study Orange County, Florida Central Florida Expressway Project \# 408-254

The Central Florida Expressway Authority (CFX) will hold a Public Hearing for the State Road 408 Eastern Extension Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) Study. The Public Hearing will be held on Thursday, April 26, 2018, from 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. at East River High School, located at 650 East River Falcons Way, Orlando, Florida 32833. The hearing will begin as an open house at $5: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$., with a formal presentation at $6: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. ., followed by a public comment period The study focuses on alternatives for the proposed extension of SR 408 approximately seven miles from State Road 50 to the vicinity of the SR $50 /$ /SR 520 intersection in East Orange County.

The hearing is being conducted to give interested persons an opportunity to express their views concerning the location, conceptual design, social, economic, and nvironmental effects of the proposed extension. The draft project documents will be available for public review from April 5, 2018, to May 7, 2018, at the following locations
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1 The Proceedings in the Auditorium started at 6:40
2 p.m.:

WILLIAM SLOUP, P.E.: If there's anybody who wishes to make a public comment into the record tonight, please fill out a "I wish to speak" card and so we have that portion of the presentation, we'll pull it up so you can make your comments. So if you want to make a comment tonight, please raise your hand and you can get a card to fill out.

We're going to go ahead and get started.

Good evening. The Central Florida Expressway Authority would like to welcome you to the public hearing for the State Road 408 Eastern Extension Project Development and Environment study, or PD\&E Study in East Orange County. My name is Will Sloup, I work for Metric Engineering, and I'm project manager for the study.

The proposed improvements involve the extension of the State Road 408 East-West Expressway from its current end limits at State Road 50 to the vicinity of the State

Road 50 and Sate Road 520 intersection. This hearing is being held to provide you with the opportunity to comment on this project.

Here with me tonight is Valerie Tutor, who is handing out the cards, and Glenn Pressimone who is the Director of Engineering for the Central Florida Expressway Authority sitting over here on my right.

At this time we would like to recognize any federal, state, county or city officials who are present tonight. Are there any officials who would like to be recognized?

VALERIE TUTOR: Stand up. Okay.
Wait. Wait. You are?
VALERIE TUTOR: This is Jackie. She's here with the Office of Representative Smith.

WILLIAM SLOUP, P.E.: Okay. Thank you.
VALERIE TUTOR: District 49.
WILLIAM SLOUP, P.E.: Are there any other officials like to be recognized?

VALERIE TUTOR: There's somebody.
COMMISSIONER JENNIFER THOMPSON: Orange

County Commissioner Jennifer Thompson.
WILLIAM SLOUP, P.E.: Welcome,
Commissioner. Thank you.
VALERIE TUTOR: We do have a court reporter that's reporting the proceedings so we really need to be able to hear.

WILLIAM SLOUP, P.E.: So with that we'll go ahead and begin the presentation:
(Video Presentation)
Welcome to the public hearing for the State Road 408 Eastern Extension PD\&E Study. Tonight's presentation will discuss purpose of the hearing, the needs and goals of this study as well as the recommended alternative and its potential impacts. You will then have an opportunity to comment on the project.

Public participation at this hearing is encouraged and solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability or family status. Persons wishing to express their concerns about Title VI may do so by contacting CFX. The contact information is also displayed at this hearing.

There are three primary components to tonight's hearing. First, the open house, which occurred prior to this presentation where you were invited to view the project displays and to speak directly with the project team and provide your comments in writing or to the court reporter.

Second, this presentation, which will explain the project purpose and need, study alternatives, the potential beneficial and adverse social, economic and environmental impacts upon the community, anticipated costs and for both methods to mitigate adverse project impacts.

The public hearing also serves as an official forum providing an opportunity for members of the public to express their opinions regarding the project. A formal comment period will follow this presentation where you will have the opportunity to provide oral statements at the microphone or you may provide your comments directly to the court reporter or in writing. In addition to the court reporter in the auditorium, a court reporter is available in
the cafeteria to document comments.
The State Road 408 Project Development and Environment or PD\&E Study is in the second phase of the project development process where an engineering and environmentally feasible alternative that meets the community's transportation need is determined.

A PD\&E Study has 3 main components, an engineering component which entails the identification and analysis of potential design solutions, an Environmental component which evaluates potential impacts to the natural, social and physical environments, and a Public Involvement component to inform and involve all interested parties in the development of the planned transportation project.

The purpose of the PD\&E Study was to evaluate the potential to extend State Road 408 along a new transportation corridor from its current eastern terminus at State Road 50, locally known as East Colonial Drive, to the vicinity of the State Road 50 and State Road 520 interchange in northeastern Orange

County. The study area was defined approximately half a mile to the north of State Road 50 and half a mile to the south of State Road 50.

Currently State Road 50 is the only existing major East-West facility in the area and it is inadequate to meet the growing transportation needs of the local community including traffic traveling to and from Orlando and Bithlo and other eastern Orange County areas.

State Road 50 traffic congestion is expected to continue to increase and a future State Road 408 Eastern Extension would alleviate increase by providing additional East-West capacity within the project area, and diverting the through traffic from State Road 50 to State Road 408, thus improving mobility in the area.

State Road 50 is the main evacuation route in the area and anticipated increased future congestion could seriously jeopardize the effectiveness of coastal evacuation from northern Brevard County. An additional east-west facility provides an additional
emergency evacuation option and would greatly improve response and recovery efforts.

A new expressway facility would improve mobility, connectivity and system linkage to existing and future planned facilities; and could also enhance transit service and travel times.

The vision of this enhanced east-west corridor has been previously documented dating back to the 1990s with the development of the 2010 Expressway Master Plan and more recently with the State Road 408 Eastern Extension Concept Development and Evaluation Study completed in 2008 by CFX, which recommended that State Road 408 extend eastward from State Road 50 to State Road 520. Additionally, the recommendations of the East Central Florida Corridor Traffic Force, which was created on November 1st, 2013 by Governor Rick Scott, included an extension of State Road 408 from its current terminus.

The State Road 408 Eastern Extension is one piece of Florida's strategic
transportation investments to support
existing and future growth and create connections between global trade activities from Orlando International Airport and the University of Central Florida to Cape Canaveral.

A multi-phase alternative development process was followed. Various alternatives were considered including the No-Build alternative, which would utilize only the existing facilities and several build alternatives. The existing State Road 50, when analyzed as the No-Build alternative, is the only major east-west facility in the area and is inadequate in terms of future traffic needs and evacuation in emergency response times. Additionally, it does not provide the desired original connectivity to I-95 to the east. Thus the No-Build alternative it mostly used as a benchmark condition in order to compare the costs and benefits of implementing the proposed improvements to those incurred by continuing to use the existing facilities.

Alternative corridors were developed
following two general guidelines. First, no corridor should infringe on the existing State Road 50 right-of-way, and second, potential location of future interchanges should be at least 1,000 feet away from State Road 50 in order to minimize operational issues. Using these guidelines in concert with the stated purpose and need, a total of 14 different corridor options were developed both north and south of existing State Road 50.

Various opportunities have been afforded to the public and key project stakeholders to view and comment on the corridor analysis.

The corridors were evaluated in terms of how they address the purpose and need of the study as well as their effect with respect to engineering, socioeconomic and environmental issues. They were evaluated against the No-Build option, which as previously stated, would not address the stated project needs. The results of the multiphase analysis, as well as general public consensus, indicated that Alternative

4 is the best corridor choice in terms of providing adequate balance between potential socioeconomic and environmental impacts and benefits.

Several typical section alternatives were considered. Analysis results obtained indicate that a 4-lane expressway with a 300 -foot right-of-way is superior due to the fact that it meets all required standards and can accommodate a future 6 lane expansion if warranted.

The results of the traffic analysis performed for this study indicate that State Road 50 will operate at a failing level of service from State Road 408 to Tanner Road in the year 2045 even if it is widened to 6 lanes. The extension of State Road 408 is expected to carry approximately 35,000 vehicles per day and is anticipated to divert sufficient traffic from State Road 50 so that State Road 50 will operate at an acceptable level of service, level of service C, in the year 2045. The State Road 408 extension is also anticipated to operate in an acceptable level of service, level of
service B, in the year 2045 .
The recommended alternative for the extension of State Road 408 includes a new partial interchange at Woodbury Road with access to and from the east. The State Road 408 extension continues east and provides full access at the State Road 50 and Challenger Parkway interchange. The alignment of the new expressway continues eastward south of State Road 50 avoiding or minimizing where possible residential, commercial and environmental impacts and providing several bridges over existing roadways to maintain access.

A new full interchange is proposed at Avalon Park Boulevard approximately 1,200 feet south of State Road 50 in order to optimize traffic operations between State Road 50 and the proposed interchange.

As the expressway continues east, the alignment minimizes impacts to the Econlockhatchee River and its floodplain by bridging the entire floodplain and staying as close as possible to the area already disturbed by Old Cheney Highway.

A full interchange and an extension of Chuluota Road is proposed just east of the river.

East of the proposed Chuluota Road interchange, the alignment minimizes environmental impacts as well as avoids dividing communities business bordering the southern limit of the Bithlo community.

The extension of State Road 408 is proposed to terminate at State Road 50 just north of the State Road 520 intersection. The proposed interchange will allow for a future extension further east.

A preliminary drainage analysis was prepared to determine the type and potential locations for the proposed ponds that will manage the stormwater runoff from the proposed improvements. 22 potential pond sites have been recommended at this time. Impacts to the 100-year floodplain will be mitigated for through the use of swales and additional ponds for floodplain compensation. Existing community facilities such as community centers, day cares, fire stations, medical facilities, schools,
religious centers and others were identified so that impacts could be avoided and minimized.

The project would directly impact two properties that are part of the Orange County Green Places program as well as approximately 34 acres across 13 parcels that are under St. Johns River Water Management District Regulatory Easement. These resources and impacts are described and addressed in the State Environmental Impact Report and associated documents, and will be mitigated for through continued coordination and in accordance with state and local requirements.

As part of this project, right-of-way acquisition of private properties will be required. A CFX right-of-way specialist is here this evening and will be happy to answer your questions and will also furnish you with copies of brochures that describe the CFX property acquisition process.

Within the study area no resources that are eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places were identified.

Additionally, no archaeological sites were found during any of the more than 80 shovel tests performed within the proposed area of potential effects.

Because avoidance and minimization measures were implemented, no adverse impacts to listed species are anticipated. The recommended alternative would impact approximately 71 acres of wood stork suitable foraging habitat and 18 acres of Econlockhatchee River Riparian Habitat Protection Zone, both of which will require mitigation.

Prior to construction a complete survey of gopher tortoise burrows will be required, along with associated permitting and relocation. The baseline conditions including species sightings and habitat locations are provided along with potential impacts in a Natural Resources Evaluation Report.

A noise study was conducted as part of this PD\&E project and involved identification of noise sensitive receptors including residences, pools, playgrounds,
community centers and other areas. Traffic noise models predict that 347 residents and 3 special land uses (the Waterford Creek Playground, the Bridgewater Recreation Center, and the Deerwood Mobile Home Park) would realize a noise level increase greater than 15 decibels. To reduce noise impacts, noise barriers were considered throughout the project. The noise sensitive receptors and model results are presented in a Noise Study Report and are illustrated on both the plans on display and the project video.

Impacts to Air Quality were also considered during this PD\&E Study and included screening for Carbon Monoxide. Orange County is currently in attainment for all criteria air pollutant and no substantial air quality impacts are anticipated as a result of the project. Potential impacts from contamination were analyzed and involved searches of regulatory databases as well as field investigations. Each site of potential contamination was assigned a risk rating. 4 low risk, 13 medium risk and 3 high-risk
sites were identified. All medium and high-risk sites are recommended for additional evaluation in subsequent project phases. The location and regulatory history of each site is provided in a Contamination Screening Evaluation Report.

A comprehensive public involvement program was undertaken by the CFX in conjunction with the engineering and environmental analyses in order to ascertain the most comprehensive solution to providing a new transportation corridor. Public information meetings began in October 2015 and have continued throughout the study process. Representatives from CFX and the consultant team were available at each meeting to discuss the project and answer questions. The public involvement effort for this project included five scheduled public meetings (including tonight's public hearing), six environmental advisory group meetings, six project advisory group meetings as well as several meetings with project stakeholders and communities along the project corridor. All input received
served as valuable information that was taken into consideration for refinement of the alternatives and the development of the recommended alternative.

Based on constructability and financial considerations, the project has been divided into three distinct segments. Segment 1 would include the construction of the State Road 408 Eastern Extension from the begin project (just west of Woodbury Road) to Avalon Park Boulevard. Segment 2 would extend State Road 408 from Avalon Park Boulevard to Chuluota Road and would provide a new Econlockhatchee River crossing, an interchange at Chuluota Road and the proposed Chuluota Road extension connection to State Road 50. Lastly, Segment 3 would extend State Road 408 from Chuluota Road to the eastern project terminus including the terminal interchange at State Road 50.

A preliminary cost estimate that
includes construction, right-of-way
acquisition, mitigation and other design and administrative fees has been prepared for this project. Segment 1, from State Road

408 to Avalon Park Boulevard totals approximately $\$ 260$ million, Segment 2 from Avalon Park Boulevard to Chuluota Road totals approximately $\$ 255$ million, and Segment 3 from Chuluota to State Road 50 totals approximately $\$ 163$ million. The total cost for implementation of the project is estimated at $\$ 678.3$ million.

The proposed improvements were documented at the engineering and environmental studies conducted for this project. These documents and preliminary plans showing the proposed improvements are available here tonight for anyone who wishes to examine them. Project information is also available to review on the study website www.cfxway.com/408study. Currently no funding has been approved for this project for the next phases including final design, right-of-way acquisition and construction. Results of tonight's public hearing will be taken into the Central Florida Expressway Authority board in May. At that time, the CFX board will determine the next steps of the
project.
There have been various opportunities for the public to provide input on this project. Several public meetings have been held dating from October 2015 until tonight. We welcome your oral or written comments that will help us make this important decision. At the conclusion of this presentation our personnel will distribute speaker cards to those of the audience who have not received one and would like to make a statement. A court reporter will record your statement and a verbatim transcript will be made of all oral proceedings at this hearing. If you do not wish to speak at the microphone, you may present your comments in writing or directly to the court reporter at the comment table. Every comment method carries equal weight.

Comments received or postmarked by May 7 th, 2018 will become a part of the public record for this hearing. All written comments should be mailed to the address shown on the slide or in your handout.

The next step is to incorporate your
input on this public hearing into our decision-making process. After the comment period closes and your input has been considered, the final PD\&E documents will be ready for approval.

This concludes our presentation. We now offer you the opportunity to make a statement.
(End of Video Presentation)
VALERIE TUTOR: Okay. This was going to be your opportunity to make a statement. So let me give you the rundown on this. Did everybody state your name in their speaker cards? If you have not turned in your speaker card, please raise your hand, the ladies will come around and get your speaker cards.

Hold on just a minute. Raise your hands up high. Did you get a speaker card? We need someone over here with a speaker card.

So we'll get you to fill out your speaker cards while other people are speaking. So anyone desiring to make a statement or present written views regarding
the location, the conceptual design, or social, economic and environmental effects of improvements will now have the opportunity to do so.

If you are holding a card, please raise it up. If you still need one, please raise your hand so you can fill it out.

Now This is how we're going to do this. We will -- you will be given three minutes to speak. I will have -- where is Michelle. Michelle is there. Michelle will be up here and she's going to have -- be doing the timing. And when you see the yellow card, that means you have 30 seconds. When she holds up the red card, that means you're done and it's the next person's turn.

We are going to ask that you give your name and your address and you may need to spell your name because the court reporter will be recording all of this and this will be part of the project documentation.

Now, if you do not want to speak or you don't have the time, you can go across to the cafeteria and there's a court reporter there that will write the spoken comments in
the record. If you filled out a comment card, that is also counted. Every one of the comment cards will be transcribed into the record as well as the original copies kept and scanned and will be a part of the record. Okay? So everyone will get a chance.

So as soon as we are ready here. Michelle, are you ready?

If you're a speaker and your name is called, you will come up here to the microphone so you'll speak in the microphone.

Now, I will tell you, this is your public comment. This is not a question and answer period. We're going to ask that you stand here and Glenn Pressimone and William Sloup will receive your comments. But this is for you to comment on what you would like to see for three minutes.

If you have questions that you want answered, you have to go to the cafeteria and there's engineers and other people that will be happy to speak with you one on one. This is just for you to get it in the public
record. Okay? Got it.
I'll call your name. When I call your name, come up. We're going to time them. You have your yellow and red card. If you look over here, she's going to sit there and she will hold up the yellow card when it's 30 seconds and red card means it ends.

The first person that speaks is William Pons. I'm going to give this to the court reporter. Let me turn this around.

WILLIAM PONS: My name is William Pons. I'm a 25 year resident of East Orange County. I have a lot of comments. I'm not sure I can do them all in three minutes. But the desire to expand the 408 Expressway came from Orange County Commissioners led by Ted Edwards on a four to three vote to expand or to develop a Lake Pickett housing development over the protests of many, many, many existing residents. We the people then voted Ted Edwards out of office one month later on November 2006. I think he's now working for the developers as a lawyer.

During the public meeting there was a lot of concern about creating traffic
problems and the destruction of our rural quality of life in Bithlo and East Orange County.

The 408 Expressway group started planning a route to alleviate in my opinion only our coming traffic problems. We had the slide up here that showed a lot of the environmental, but it did not address the destruction of the quality of life for the existing citizens in Bithlo and East Orange County.

Using a biased evaluation, this route has presented basically problems with Route 50. There are many problems with this. I'll only address some. To remove traffic, excess traffic on 50 they plan to start the new road out by Lake Pickett, but instead of moving traffic they dump it right back on 50 at the worst possible location at the Bithlo race track. I don't know if any of these folks have been there at five o'clock on Wednesday, Friday or Saturday, traffic jams from trucks pulling race cars, spectators, et cetera going to the races. The route goes right by 17th Street in Bithlo causing
residents to lose their homesteads to eminent domain. They have to give up their homestead. They might get 50 grand for a single or doublewide trailer. And where do they go. Across the street on 50 you can go to Cypress Lakes or Corner Lakes where the average house is 250,000 . These folks who pay their 50 grand will be a miracle.

These are low-income residents.
There's nothing in this program that even addresses anything other than eminent domain and give a few bucks and kick them out of their house.

But the owners are the lucky residents that get to stay. They get to stay and they are surrounded by a high traffic Route 50 which will probably get expanded to 6 lanes and half a mile or mile to the south they are going to have this 408 Expressway and here is the lucky people in Bithlo stuck between two freeways, noise, destruction of the quality of life, et cetera, but for a few dollars more we have to have more housing developments.

I am done. I'll send a written -- I
have other routes south of the raceway that goes to 520 instead of Route 50.

And one other thing Glenn said that they expand in the future go across 50 and eliminate that bottleneck. The problem is it's been a year and-a-half since we started talking about this, nothing has happened at the housing developments on Lake Pickett. We could be stuck for years while the Mormons figure out when they want to build or get approved for their developments. We've got to start this now.

VALERIE TUTOR: If anybody else, if your speech goes over three minutes, please don't feel bad. This court reporter as well as the one next door, you can read your whole statement into them so we get everything that you have to say. But I have a lot of speaker cards and we do want to give everybody a chance. That's the only reason I'm making it three minute.

The next person is Timothy Sheldon. Remember, say the name and your address when you come up here. Timothy Sheldon, are you still here? He left.

Okay. Clay Matthews, you're next.
Clay Matthews. Do say like your name, address, all that good stuff. Your three minutes doesn't start until after you say that.

CLAY MATHEWS: Well, tough act to follow. My name is Clay Matthews. C-L-A-Y M-A-T-H-E-W-S. 100 North Tampa, Suite 2050, Tampa, Florida, 33609. And I'm an attorney from Smolker Bartlett based out of Tampa Florida, and I and David Smolker and our firm Smolker Bartlett have the pleasure of representing Deerwood Mobile Home Community.

As you can probably tell I'm here to voice our objections to the selected route on behalf of the community. And while our objections to the selected route may be obvious, I'd like to go through and kind of paint a clear picture as the gentleman went before me just did.

As is obvious from the diagram here with the PowerPoint, the selected route currently is going to go through the middle of Deerwood Mobile Home Community bisecting the park in half. The route over which
the park -- it's going to go over the park
-- is going to have to cause the condemnation of many homes forcing a lot of residents who have lived there a long time, families who have lived there a long time to be kicked out of their homes and go somewhere else and they will be forced to relocate.

And our preliminary research indicates that there's not comparable suitable alternative affordable housing for them to relocate to. So not only are we going to have to kick them out of their homes, we don't know where we're going to put them. So that's one big glaring issue obviously, the costly, you know, condemnation of that part of the right-of-way.

Secondly, for the residents that decide to stay for as long as they are going to decide to stay, they are going to have a massive 1,300 foot long across the parcel 400 foot wide high-speed arterial roadway overpass that's going to go over the park which is going to be a nuisance at all times to the residents who decide to stay.

There's going to be noise at all times.
There's going to be vibration from the traffic at all times. And this thing given how massive it is is going to be an eyesore to everyone at the park, whether you're on the northern parcel of the front end or the southern parcel on the back end, you'll be able to see this thing at all times.

So given that -- let me take a step back. Not only is it going to affect the residents who decide to remain at the park, it's also going to adversely affect the most important amenities at the park which are the pool, the clubhouse, the basketball court. Those amenities are going to abut this giant barrier wall that is the overpass at the front of the park. So effectively you're going to destroy the Deerwood Mobile Home Community. And individuals who are going to -- will remain there, they are inevitably probably have to leave because the community won't be livable, and individuals who might have considered living in the affordable housing that's there, they won't want to do it because of the road. So
effectively the whole -- the community is going to be destroyed.

So I'm here to voice our objections to the selected route. I believe there should be a better alternative route and we will fight for that. Thank you.

VALERIE TUTOR: Okay. Sheri Woodward. Did I say that right? You're owner of Deerwood Mobile Home Park.

SHERI WOODWARD: That's right. I'm Sheri Woodward. I live at 9441 Wellington Avenue in Oviedo, Florida. I'm here representing Sun Communities who is the owner of Deerwood.

As Clay said earlier today, my purpose of being here today is to help you understand the impact it's going to have for our community. We have about 1,725 residents that will be severely impacted by this. We have elderly residents in the community that have lived there for most of their life who are going to be impacted and displaced.

As Clay said earlier, there's not enough affordable housing in Central

Florida, period. By disrupting 271 homes, actually 575 total homes in this community, is going to not only adversely impact our residents, it's going to impact the community as a whole, the surrounding community and the ability for our people to be able to have a beautiful home to live in. We take very great pride in our communities and we spend a lot of money to ensure we can provide the best that we can for our communities. So not only are we the landowners, but each one of our residents owns their home individually. So this is going to be a horrible thing for them to be able to find another great place to live. Where are they going to be able to find -- how are they going to get to their pool, to their clubhouse. These are all things that the children use on a daily basis, but they have a playground to play on, where are they going to do this when we have a huge overpass over the top of their head.

So I ask you guys to please consider a different route, and it's our intent to
represent our community firmly that we want you to try to find a different route for our community that we dealer love.

VALERIE TUTOR: Okay. I'm going to not pronounce this name right. Marsha Suskowitz. Marsha, is that you?

MARSHA SUSKOWITZ: Yes.
VALERIE TUTOR: There you are.
MARSHA SUSKOWITZ: I'm Marsha Suskowitz at 1437 Sherman Street. I'm a seventh generation Floridian. This is greatly affecting our property that we have in our family all these years and we're going to be forced to relocate them. Their problem would be alleviated if the lights at Bonneville Drive, that's a big bottleneck on 50 , if they would build an overpass like they did at Semoran Boulevard, that would greatly alleviate the traffic.

Now, we're talking about the year 2045. If they blended the growth of China with birth rates, why don't they just put a cap on the growth of Florida. Stop people moving here.

Anyway, if they would build that
overpass over Bonneville like they did on Semoran, it would greatly improve their situation of the traffic and it would inconvenience no one and it would be a hell of a lot cheaper than what they are proposing. Thank you.

VALERIE TUTOR: Next person, the sound person told me that this microphone gets hot, so step back a little bit. It overtaxes the sound system, so my voice is probably doing that.

Bob Saunders. Bob. Okay. You're representing yourself. Remember, name and address.

BOB SANDERS: Bob Sanders, 2816 South Shine Avenue, Orlando, 32806.

On the matter of the proposed SR 408, it is my opinion that this Expressway extension should not be built at all.

These projects are helping promote it as necessary to alleviate traffic congestion, congestion resulting from development, what is also known as that new extended or expanded roads and highways in fact promote and stimulate more development
and sprawl. This is one reason why these projects aren't endorsed in the first place. So left with a contradiction and following an absurd formula, development plus traffic equal highways equal development plus traffic equal what? More roads and highways? Where does it end? It seems insane or corrupt or both. At best it's irresponsible.

Central Florida is becoming an overcrowded ugly place carved up by so many roads and highways, smothered in concrete, asphalt and sod. This trend threatens our collective quality of life and Florida's unique and beautiful natural heritage. Our limited and fragile water resources are not inexhaustible nor secure from further contamination, degradation and loss.

At some point there has to be a moral and ethical reckoning when short-term profits and economic growth will certainly leave a legacy of agony as a place where people once loved to live becomes a place where many will hate to be.

VALERIE TUTOR: Bob, I'll put this
down, because I don't know how to do this. The next person coming up will be Sally Baptiste. SALLY BAPTISTE: Sally Baptist, 7027 Eaker Drive, Orlando. I was born and raised here in Orange County, so I was here before the Expressway Authority was, and I do remember the original promise of the temporary tolls that nobody wants to admit to now.

We need for the Expressway Authority and those elected to public service to be honest and represent the people for a change. This is not about the people. This is about the special interests, the people do not want this growth. Stop it. We don't want it. They are telling us we don't have water, there's a water shortage in Orlando and Orange County. Why are you promoting more growth out here? You're taking and destroying the wetlands, you're destroying the quality of life, not to mention what you're doing here is a contradiction to what they are doing with the Colonial Parkway. You're putting two toll roads side by side
now? Come on. When is anyone going to listen to what the people want for a change?

We don't need this 408. We might need to widen Colonial Drive for emergency purposes. We don't need tolls on Colonial Drive either if we start doing what's right for the people. I have proposed many things that we can take care of transportation and improve it without overtaxing the public, without eminent domain, without trashing this community, but nobody in public office or the Expressway Authority want to do that. Would somebody tell me why you don't care what the people want? When do we get a voice in this? We don't want the growth, we don't want 408 extension, we don't want this insanity that you guys keep shoving down our throats. When is it going to end?

The toll prices are excessive. It causes assessments to raise the money for the roads. It's abusive. You wouldn't even need to widen Colonial Drive if you take the stupid tolls off the 408 like you were supposed to. Let the people use the Expressway instead of driving down Colonial

Drive.
Does anybody care about the truth?
Does the truth matter in America anymore, people? No. We need to stop. Stop the contradiction of what you -- here you and Florida DOT are fighting the Turnpike enterprise, they are doing one thing over here, you're doing something over here. I'm going to have to pay to build a road I can't afford to use. Why should we pay all these taxes 800 million bucks to build a road I can't use? Why do you put this on people that don't make enough money?

You know what somebody told me last night about this growth and gridlock thing? They said, do you go first class on an airplane? I said no, not unless it's free. And you know what they said? Well, that's what using the Expressway is. If you can afford it you get upgraded to first class, then you get to use the Expressway.

That's their attitude about this. If you have the money then you get the goodies. Otherwise you're going to pay a penalty with this fucking traffic while you destroy
having conflicting roads, State Road 50 here and 408 here. They need one system. Don't keep screwing around with us. We're sick of it. We don't want this 408. And when are you going to listen to us?

VALERIE TUTOR: Okay. Next speaker, Sue Dietrich. Remember, name and address.

SUE DIETRICH: Well, they heard me state before, the Expressway Authority. My name is Sue Dietrich. I live at 258 South County Road 13, Orlando, Florida, 32833.

My parents had a dream. You've heard this speech before, sir. We have been taxpayers for over 70 years. We have been property owners for over 70 years. Yes. We have a ranch that the Expressway has been trying to go through. We have fought and fought and gone to every meeting that you've ever had and fought and fought. You do not care, like she mentioned, about anybody's concerns. You don't care about anybody's livelihood. You don't care. And you give out false information because my father had a dream in World War II to buy property that his children, his grandchildren and great
grandchildren can live on.
On that property my father has found over 100 arrowheads. Just because you came out one day after it had rained, everybody knows what happens when it rains, things go down into the earth. I have over 100 pictures of endangered animals and wildlife.

The Ghost Orchid, which is very rare for the State of Florida, we have a Sierra Club on our side. Marjorie Holt who is chairman of the Sierra Club told me I could speak on her behalf tonight. They are opposed to it. Robert Lee who is on the Audubon Society does not propose this. We have a conservation act on our property that you do not care about. It is sad. But you don't care about the people in this building at all and their concerns.

Now, I'm not a public speaker, I told you that at the get-go. My brother is a public speaker and hopefully he'll say more than I do. This is sad. It's sad that you don't want to listen because actually Highway 50, I've lived all over the State of Florida, I've traveled all over the world,
no road has ever been built for projective population, no road in the State of Florida or in the United States. Thank you. I hope you will listen to our concerns.

VALERIE TUTOR: Okay. Fred Dietrich, your turn.

FRED DIETRICH: I'm Fred Dietrich, III. I'm president of Dietrich Brothers, Incorporated. Our family is the largest property owner in the old city limits of the City of Bithlo. Our western border is the Econlockhatchee River, our southern border is County Road 13. We have about 600 acres of family land.

About 20 years ago the State of Florida wanted to buy our ranch to be preserved under what they call the Card Proposal. We told them we didn't want to sell it, we wanted to continue as a ranch. After that they asked us if we would consider putting in conservation easements. We told them we would, we put the bulk of our property in conservation easements with the saying that it would never ever be encroached and developed. That's until somebody wants to
run an Expressway through you.
Our ranch, the bulk of it is wildlife conservation easements, it's a wildlife habitat, protecting the natural resources.

Our business we raise purebred Santa Gertrudis cattle which is shipped and exported around the world. We're a major producer of purebred beef cattle and we don't want this development.

We thought when we put conservation easements we were protecting it. We have all these different wildlife species who are endangered species and they were supposed to be protected and they were under conservation easements. That's until somebody wants to run an Expressway through it and destroy their habitat.

VALERIE TUTOR: Thomas Pastore.
THOMAS PASTORE: My name is Thomas Pastore, 1151 Windmill Grove Circle, Orlando, Florida. I'm a resident of Deerwood Homes. I've been living there now for about eighteen years. And I'm quite appalled tonight because perception as we all know sometimes is reality. All these
good people came out tonight to let you hear their voice, yet I don't see the rest of the CFX Authority people here. That's a very poor representation. They should be here. This is your last meeting of this phase and they should have been here. If they really care about hearing the people's voice they should have been here tonight.

But I want to go in a different direction than everyone else is going on, because I just heard about this about three weeks ago. I wasn't aware of what was going on, and later on it reached us and found out. I was going to get a crash course on everything and try to get more details and come in here and discuss wetlands, the infringements on the people and everything.

But then I discovered that there appears to be some animosity between CFX and FDOT, because FDOT now is scrambling to come up with their own concept of what they call Colonial Parkway, and you guys are scrambling to finish your presentation on the CFX Extension of 408.

You are doing a disservice and you're
disingenuous to all these good people who have come out and take time from their lives. Why? And I'll tell you why.

Because, once again, I do know as public servants perception is reality. There's something going on here that we don't know about between you guys and FDOT, because both of these plans could end up in wastepaper baskets while all these people are scared about what's going to happen to their lives day by day because you two never took the time to work. Both agencies are supposed to work in the best interest of all these people out of here, yet now you have this clandestine battle going on about whose highway is going to be the best highway for the people, and we've got to go through all the stress and the tension of finding out what's going to happen to our homes, what's going to happen to the environment, the wetlands.

We don't know because both of your plans are going to crash and you're both going to have an accident and we the people pay. You people have already spent over $\$ 1$
million on the proposal that you've given out to us. I'm not sure what FDOT has spent. That's our money. I don't see any trees out there growing money. That's their money. So you people spend our money on something that may never become a reality and that is sad. That is very, very sad.

You're doing a disservice to all these good people. You should have gotten behind the scenes with FDOT, come up with a professional plan, and present it whatever it was going to be to the people and then let us give you our impressions of what you got, good, bad or indifferent.

But you didn't do the right thing and that's sad. It's a very poor representation as is this dais if you want to call it, it's just about empty of Authority representatives which means they don't really want to hear from us. You think they are going to listen to her transcript or listen to videotape? No. They are going to go about their business because they made their minds up already and that's sad. I feel sad for you people. I have nothing
else to say. Thank you.
VALERIE TUTOR: Thank you. Okay. Greg Thompson.

GREGORY THOMPSON: My name is Gregory Thompson, G-R-E-G-O-R-Y T-H-O-M-P-S-O-N. I live at 1446 Marsh Creek Lane in Deerwood Community. And I already submitted a letter of grievance to what's going on. I will say two things.

For five years I've lived in Deerwood.
A year after I moved in I started a lawn business. I went from six customers in six months to 52. From 52 I tripled it. Over the next four years I went from being on food assistance, Florida assistance. A year after I moved in Deerwood, that was gone. I don't have a family. I'm one individual. And I know this because I'm on the streets every day, and you can't take one individual out of this and it not be the same thing it was.

Not only that. I stand to lose 35 percent of my business if you do this, and I will be back on food stamps and it won't be my fault this time.

VALERIE TUTOR: Seth Whitaker. I think I said that right. Seth Whitaker.

SETH WHITAKER: My name is Seth Whitaker. I live at 1320 Cupid Avenue. I'm a Floridian, born here in Orange County and I've got businesses here in Orange County. I'm here to represent my own business but I'm here to represent the Dietrich Ranch. They are one of the largest landowners, the largest landowner in this whole deal. He put his whole ranch into these conservation easements and he's doing it for everybody else here. He could have took -- he could have went to the money and developed his ranch but he wanted to protect our land. And I do agriculture tours, eco tours, airboat tours, but I take people out and I have people come from all over the world to see the wildlife, the animals in our county, East Orange County. And if you take this away from us, I mean, you're just -- they are going to develop right through it. When you put your land in a conservation easement, that means it's protected. It's a wildlife corridor.

I just, I'm really against this.
There's a lot more to it. And I'd hate to see, you know, something just put his whole life, not about the money, put his land in the easements and protecting it, protecting the wildlife to see it taken from him.

And the cattle, it's going to affect us with our cattle and everything else. The dying breed is a cow in Orange County. And that's -- that's a dying breed.

VALERIE TUTOR: Okay. Deborah Gilmore.
DEBORAH GILMORE: Deborah Gilmore. 636
Delaney, Orlando, 32801.
I'm asking you to think outside the box today and I'm advocating the Walt Disney style monorails, the elevated monorails with a park-and-ride component where people can keep their cars and loop all over the metro plan, Orlando, or whatever it is called.

And you can check with Wikipedia. We're so far behind many other countries. They are using monorails, mass transit in China, Norway, Japan. If you look at Wikipedia, just see how many countries are using monorails.

You can have a direct line with this monorail for the tourists coming from the airport to Disney and then to the metro area to downtown for the residents and they just park and ride it. It's quiet, less land and homeowner disruption. There's all these good people, good hard working Americans, you know, they are here and they are upset about losing their land. And also the animals will be losing their homes as well.

We need less noise and that monorails will create that, less pollution, less gridlock, less stress. Build smaller highways for the drivers passing through to Miami or the beaches or Jacksonville. You can still collect your tolls with an E-Pass on both the highways and the monorail. And it's just, it's been around for 70 years and we're so -- we keep repeating that and it's not working and Atlanta, Houston. I've lived in all these big cities. It just doesn't work. We keep repeating the same mistakes. So please consider multimodal monorail. Thank you.

VALERIE TUTOR: Bobby Beagles.

BOBBY BEAGLES: My name is Bobby Beagles. I live at 21302 Fort Christmas

Road, Christmas, Florida.
We have owned and operated a cattle ranch since 1956 in Christmas.

In 1956 my mom and dad's place was taken by Martin Marietta. They moved to downtown Orlando. In 1966 East-West Expressway built a road through mom and dad's house.

Am I against this road? No. I served on the board. This is my third board I have served on trying to get an evacuation route built from the east coast to 75 . We need some way to be able to move traffic.

Nobody likes their house destroyed. I can understand that. But at the same time DOT has done a very poor job keeping up with the traffic flow in East Orange County. I appreciate what y'all are trying to do. I hope you can find the money. I don't like the time frame because I'll probably be dead and gone before you get it built. But the road needs to be built. We need to be able to get people from the east coast, people
from East Orange County over to 75 to be able to get out of the state for the storms and stuff.

Right now they only have two roads. They have 520 coming out of Brevard County or Highway 50. Two, three, four years ago the traffic was backed up from 408 at Highway 50 to the St. John's River bridge. The traffic was backed up on 520 all the way down to the Beeline. We need to be able to move a road.

I think the committee has done a very good job trying to have less impact on people as possible to build this road. The Expressway Authority I know you got a tough choice to do. But the road needs to be put and the road needs to be built.

I'll say again, it was -- we've done everything we could in helping y'all pick the route that would have the less impact on residents and we thank you and God bless.

VALERIE TUTOR: Gail Pettit. Hard for me to tell if you're walking down here.
Gail, if you're here wave your hand. No? Okay. We'll come back to Gail. Anybody see

Gail tell her she's up.
Okay. Valerie Morales. Valerie Morales. Are you coming? Is that you?

VALERIE MORALES: My name is Valerie Morales. I live at 1131 Windmill Grove Circle. I am employed at Deerwood at Sun Communities as well as I'm a resident.

Fifteen years ago I moved here to
Orlando and I resided in Deerwood for those fifteen years. Three years ago roughly I was hired as an activities director, pool attendant-type person and I worked with residents every single day.

There is some people, how do I put this, who go to work every day and they are like, man, I have to go to work. Me, I go to work and I enjoy what I do because I get to deal with every single one of those residents every day. So not only are you possibly taking my home from me, but you're taking away the people that mean the most to me that I deal with on a daily basis.

I've watched kids grow up. I'm watching children that not only I've seen at five, now having children of their own and
being involved in the community. I just
think that this is a horrible idea. Not only are you taking away my home and my job possibly, but you're also taking away the elderly people that live in our neighborhoods that depend on us on a daily basis to be able to just keep them happy and smiling and I just think that it's horrible. I'm not for this.

VALERIE TUTOR: Florence Stanford. Florence? I want to hold it or you want me to put it in the stand?

FLORENCE STANFORD: I'll hold it.
My name is Florence Stanford. I live at 14261 Acorn Ridge Drive, Orlando, 32828. And I speak for the people in the red zone who don't own the land and have no rights under eminent domain but own houses and have put everything we have in them. Eight years ago I left an abusive marriage and have been a single mom with three teenagers now. I left a golf course community to move to Deerwood and have found higher-caliber neighbors on those streets. I am also a very proud highly educated Orange County

Public Schools teacher. And our neighborhood is home to some amazing people, retirees, neighbors who have lived on disability, and yet despite the fact that they had almost nothing, welcomed in other people's children because they knew those kids have no place to go and they didn't want them to be on the street.

I understand development has to happen. Nobody knows better than the people who live off Colonial just how bad the traffic gets and how much the urban sprawl is coming through our area. But we need to know that we will have something other than being told, we purchased the land, your tenancy is over, find a way to move your house and start over with nothing when you already built yourself from nothing up to having a house that should be worth $30-$ to $\$ 40,000$ that as of this meeting none of us would be able to sell even if we wanted to, even if we had to because no one is going to buy a house knowing that it's going to be leveled and they might get $\$ 5,000$ for their trouble.

I saw something very interesting as I
came into the open house that there was a sign about antidiscrimination, and the only thing left out of it was socioeconomic class. And when you look at the path that this road takes, the only people whose houses you are affecting are the poor and that is an issue.

VALERIE TUTOR: Donna Gonzalez. Donna Gonzalez. Where did everybody go? Chuck Johnston.

CHUCK JOHNSTON: Hi. My name is Chuck Johnston. I live at 1238 Willow Branch

Drive in Avalon Lakes subdivision off of Avalon Park Boulevard, but I also own a home at 14265 Acorn Ridge Drive in Deerwood.

When I came up here tonight, I just want to voice my concern on this proposal. Because we've heard a lot of fine speakers tonight and I don't consider myself a big public speaker.

We've heard a lot of great things, a lot of reasons as to why we shouldn't move forward with this project. And my nephew asked me tonight, why are you wasting your time coming up here and talking about
something that from what I've been told from a friend who works in Orange County who builds roads in Orange County, that when you get to this point it's already a done deal. This is nothing more than a preliminary meeting that is required to happen and that they already have the plans and this is a done thing.

But what I want to share with my family is that you have to make your voice known. You have to be part of the public. You have to stand up for everyone in the community and continue to fight the good fight.

As we've all heard, the Expressway has millions and billions of dollars. We've already heard people talk about the fact that the toll roads have already been paid for and that the monies that we're continuing to pay are being just set up to continue to pay for more roads through the community, destroying homes, destroying the environment. It's not going to stop until we as a people stand up and fight for what we believe in.

What's not been talked about, which
might come down the road, is what's going to happen to the people that are still there.

We've talked about the fact that we're impacting 271, you know, homes here, and people that can't afford to just like pick up and move on whenever, you know, somebody decides to come in and just drive a road through your home.

There's a lot of things we have to consider. We have to consider the fact that we've got people walking to work because they can't afford a car. We've got little children that have to be able to get to school that are local to this area that don't have the means to get to school. We have a lot of influential people outside the community. And the thing that really disturbs me is that the fact that when we look at a board of directors that are taking care of this whole thing, they have got tons of money to spend. They have got all kinds of money in their pocket and they are not looking out for the little people, the people like you and me who live in the area.

You know, as I mentioned, I'm a
homeowner in Deerfield, but you know who lives in that home? My sister and her family, my blood, my people that are close to me. So I'm looking out for my family, my intermediate family.

VALERIE TUTOR: Terri Dunn. I hear you. Just making sure. I don't want to leave anybody out. Everybody getting an opportunity. Oh, I'm not going to -- Sarah $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}$ is what it looks like. SARAH HRONEC: Silent H. VALERIE TUTOR: How do you say that? SARAH HRONEC: Hronec. VALERIE TUTOR: Say it again for her. SARAH HRONEC: Hi. I'm Sarah Hronec. I live at 1313 Birch Creek Drive in Deerwood.

Most of what I'm going to say has pretty much been covered by everybody else that's come up here tonight, and especially people who also live in Deerwood. You know, I lived here in Orlando permanently and, you know, in East Orange County in the Bithlo area for a little over a decade now. I was born here and I've lived, you know, with my
grandparents the first few years because we could not afford a house to live in on our own. And then we moved to Deerwood and we can finally, you know, afford to support ourselves and live in our own home.

And anywhere else that you look at in the area you're not going to find another place that has, you know, decent living conditions like Deerwood at the price that those of us in our economic class can actually afford.

You know, I grew up with, you know, single mom, divorced parents, me and my two younger siblings. We all went to Avalon Middle School and two of us have already graduated from East River and my brother is still going here.

You know, we -- you know, we were born and raised here basically and to see this road that is just going to come through and destroy a big portion of the community that I've lived in for a good five or six years now just destroyed it. It really makes me sad because it's, like I said, one of the few places that people in my economic status
and class can actually afford to live, and even then it's really tough because the rent still raises up and so on and so forth.

But to take away this place from the community is just, it's no good for us no matter how good it would be for, you know, the state or the city at large. And that's all I've got to say.

VALERIE TUTOR: Bobby Turner.
BOBBY TURNER: I'm Bobby Turner. I
live at 17764 Evans Trail. I lived in
Bithlo most of my life. When I was seventeen I lived in Orlando and was kicked out of my apartment because of my age. Bithlo welcomed me with open arms. A lot of people out there, they can't afford to live in the city. And we love our town, that river and everything about our community especially. It's not just a real estate investment. The whole reason we're out here is because our connection to land, to the wildlife and to each other.

It's not just an investment for us.
This is everything. That river is our heart and soul. This land has been put into
conservation and this family, the Dietrich family, who I happen to know, it's because of the foresight of his father that wanted to protect the land, not only from you and everyone else, but even his own family to make sure that it couldn't be developed, that it could be preserved, it could be protected so that they could learn how to work with the land, make money off of it and be a part of it and not destroy it.

What you're fixing to destroy is a lifetime of work and it can't change.

That river is my church. When I go back to that river what y'all don't understand is you consider just little pieces and blocks and this and that, but you're not seeing the whole. All the way to the Beeline that river and back at Wedgefield, all of that is connected and that -- saving all that land over there means nothing if you cut the heart out of it.

Okay. All of those animals move in a circuit, okay. If you cut half of it off then the rest of it won't be able to live
and you won't be able to fix that ever.
These people that couldn't be a part of your city and all of that, we live out there and we have to work in other parts of Orlando. Most of the people in Bithlo are workers, construction people. We built Orlando, and we have to go through that river bridge and cross over on 50. And when I realized how long it took y'all to do something with that bridge, I couldn't believe it took y'all forever to do anything, and now you only took about two years to build that bridge and now there's a whole lane on each side that you're not even using. All the power lines are all the way back, all the way to 520 . Why are you bullying Bithlo?

VALERIE TUTOR: Louis Dobles.
BOBBY TURNER: Can I finish?
VALERIE TUTOR: No. You can finish -yes, there's a court reporter over in the cafeteria, and she will take your statement.

Louis Dobles, is that right?
LOUIS DOBLES: Yes, ma'am.
My name is Louis A. Dobles and I live,

1391 Caudle Street, Orlando, Florida 32828.
I'm a retired engineer, and also was a project manager as well.

And the reason I believe, like the gentleman that stated, this thing is probably a done deal. But what's important and the reason I want this for the record is that when you look at your drawings, okay, you don't see the face of the people that are affected by this project and I'm one of them.

I'm retired. I did my best when I
designed my house which, by the way, I built in 2015, so I have a three year-old house that's going to be part of your project and a house that was done, you know, energy efficiency, the whole works. Why? Because to save money because I'm on a limited income.

Now, by you taking that away, what do I do next? You know, I can end up being maybe, not homeless, but it becomes an affordability issue for me.

So on behalf of my neighbors who have a similar situation and others that probably
have been impacted by this project, what I ask is that your socioeconomic study should include some form of appropriate compensation for people so that they can move on, you know, to make me whole. That's all I ask.

VALERIE TUTOR: Thank you. Christina George. Are you Christina?

CHRISTINA GEORGE: Yes. I'm Christina George. C-H-R-I-S-T-I-N-A G-E-O-R-G-E. I live at 14032 Sycamore Tree Drive in the Deerwood Community.

Listening to this tonight the purpose of this study says to accommodate the expected increased traffic due to population and employment growth. So in other terms you're saying "out with the old and in with the new." We've established roots here, we live, work and play here, our kids go to school here, we make a living here.

As a resident of a mobile home community being Deerwood, Florida Statute Chapter 73 regarding eminent domain has me really concerned. It actually means nothing for us. You could leave people homeless.

Your study also shows the need for evacuation. As a resident in mobile home I do evacuate. I have no issue with it. And frankly I don't understand who is coming into our community to evacuate. There's one or two hotels. You're going to take 95,75 , wherever you're going to go.

My grandmother lives in the Fairways community across the street. I evacuate her as well. Again, there's no issue. You can extend 50 , you can make it 6 lanes, the extension that's already happened in the Grove. Yeah, there is more need for it. There is traffic. But we get it, we live in the community.

Where is there not traffic? Can you not go down I-4 over by UCF and Alafaya? We adjust. We live here. Again, we have roots here. So we leave ten minutes early. We all made it here tonight, didn't we, because we know we had to be here. We know we can adjust. You leave ten minutes earlier, you pick kids up earlier for school, you make the adjustment. We don't want to move, we don't want to leave. We want to stay here
and we don't want this road.
There are wetlands and wildlife and environmental impact and I get it. It's a necessity. You even show pictures of ponds and wildlife in the habitat. Where are the pictures of my neighbors? Where are the pictures of the farmland? Where are the pictures of our faces of the people that are going to be impacted?

In closing I simply ask you not build this simple seven-mile extension. Seven miles means a lot to us in our community, this community, all of us here tonight. I ask you not take our families out of our homes, I ask you not take this off of our land, I ask you not put a wall in our back yards, and just don't build it.

VALERIE TUTOR: Dodie Sweeney.
DODIE SWEENEY: Dodie Sweeney. D-O-D-I-E S-W-E-E-N-E-Y. 1265 Windmill Grove Circle, Orlando, 32828.

I'm not talking about anything except one thing. I've got a daughter 34 years old and I want you to put your shoes on my feet. She's had three open heart surgeries. She
loves to walk. She can't work because she gets tired easy. She loves to walk. That's her thing. That's how she gets her exercise.

She's a photographer. She loves to take pictures when she walks. You tell me how is this road going to affect that. Is she going to be able to walk anymore? No. Because there's going to be too many doggone fumes from the cars on the 408 going by. You're going to kill my daughter.

You put yourself in my shoes now and tell me how you would feel if your daughter had three open heart surgeries and they were going to build a road in your backyard and she can't walk anymore. You're taking away her dream, the one thing she loves to do. That's all I can say. Don't build it. It's stupid.

VALERIE TUTOR: Okay. Terry Dunn. Loretta Humble?

LORETTA HUMBLE: Loretta Humble, H-U-M-B-L-E. I live at 849 Lockwood Drive, Orlando, Florida, 32833.

Sitting here I see all these faces and

I guarantee if I asked anybody in here to stand up who this is going to affect, everybody is going to stand up except you two because you don't live here in Orlando.

And this poor lady with the open heart surgery, she said put yourself in her shoes. But you have to have a heart to put yourself in her shoes. I'm sorry.

My house is going to be affected a little bit, but it's not going to be taken from me, but I know plenty of people that it will be and it's heartbreaking. And, I'm sorry, I forgot, you have no heart.

But, anyways, I feel for everybody and I am so sorry that this is already going through. I mean, yes, we're in phase two, next time it's phase 3 , and phase 3 is the design, so you're going to change the design from what it is now and then we're not going to have any vote on that either because you've already taken our privilege away of voting, you've taken our privilege away of deciding what we want. And we're tired of it. And we're standing up here and all you can do is look at your phones and look at us
with this plain look on your face and be heartless. I'm sorry.

VALERIE TUTOR: Okay. Gail Pettit, Timothy Sheldon, Donnie Gonzalez or Terri Dunn, are you still here? Okay. Verbatim transcript of this hearing of oral proceedings together with all written material received as part of the hearing record and all studies displays and information and material provided at the hearing will be made a part of the project decision-making process and will be available at CFX for public review upon request and on the study website.

I'll say again, if anybody still wants to make comments you can get a written comment sheet that will be a part of the public record. Thank you for attending the public hearing and providing input on this project. It is now 8:07. I hereby officially close this public hearing for the State Road 408 East Extension study. Thank you again, have a good evening.
(Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 8:10 p.m.)
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            PUBLIC HEARING
            SR 408 EXTENSION PD&E STUDY
    FROM SR 50 TO THE VICINITY OF SR 50/SR 520 INTERSECTION ORLANDO, ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA
```

Taken on: April 26, 2018

Location: East River High School
650 East River Falcons Way
Orlando, Florida 32833

Stenographically Reported By: Breean Crisp, RPR, RMR, CRR and Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large.

Thereupon,
the following comments were made in the Cafeteria:
ANNIE M.: Annie M., and I live in Christmas, Florida, and I pass on my phone number. I'm going to pass on my e-mail as well.

So I'm a little slow on the draw to find out this information. First of all, I appreciate the open -- what is it called -- town hall. I really appreciate that and the opportunity for us to come together as a community, and I'm very, very hopeful that this will give me a lot of answers about where my future is with things like travel and also trying to maintain some kind of a sense of small community as well. I don't want it to get run over with commercialism all up and down 50. I don't want it to -- you know, it gets dry with commercialism all up and down 50. If they're going to use it as a corridor, try to maintain some kind of quality of life for the people that have been there.

All right. That's it.
PETER PARENTI: The traffic study done July 5th through, I believe, July 17th, how come it wasn't done during a busy season, the end of August through the beginning of June when there's traffic
on the road? They should have did it Christmas Day. They would have got the results they wanted. They're cooking the books again.

And on the noise study, they skipped Jadestone Circle, which is adjacent to 408. No noise measurements. And you can't stand outside the door and you can't open your windows during the time when you don't have to run an air conditioner from November to February. It's too much noise.

MARSHA SUSKOWITZ: 1437 Sherman Street, Orlando, Florida 32828.

My comment -- okay. I don't even know whose idea this is, but why they can't -- the only problem in our area is Bonneville Drive. If they would build an over-ramp over Bonneville, those lights, like they did on Semoran Boulevard, all the problems would be alleviated down here, and it would be a lot cheaper than taking everybody's land. Also, they're not only taking out my land, they're going across the river and taking out my mother's land, which has been in our family for seven generations.

NANCY SWIFT: Nancy Swift, 14427 Lake
Underhill Road, Orlando, Florida 32828,
nancyswift@cfl.rr.com.

I'd like to see the completion of the Turnpike study done first and then both alternatives evaluated at the same time. There's a competing project going on that is expanding 50. And at this time, I'd prefer to see 50 expanded versus 408 Expressway disrupting residential and businesses and the environment. That's one.

Second, I'd like to see the traffic study done on the Woodbury Road and traffic improvements done on Woodbury Road and the Lake Underhill/Woodbury Road intersection prior to start. And I understand right now it's assumed that Orange County will fix the roads and right now can't even handle the traffic that it has. That's number two.

And three, I'd like to see -- I see the section one, which is the 408, the beginning of where 408 will extend to 50, I happen to be in the houses behind that. I would like to see additional noise barriers along the entranceway to that area.

PETER PARENTI: Upon completion, the traffic flow will probably go down Avalon Boulevard through Waterford Chase Parkway through the intersection of Woodbury and Lake Underhill and cause a horrific nightmare. Today it takes a half hour to go from the light to the school. After this complete mess,
if you don't change the flow of traffic, will probably take three hours.

EVELYN MORA: Evelyn and then you can put also my husband's name, Ramon Mora, and the address is 14097 Hunter Grove Drive, Orlando, Florida 32828. My phone number, 407.489.5402. My e-mail is evelyn.mora315@gmail.com.

And my comment is, how fast -- how soon can we get this going? I have no complaints. I'm all for it, so that's me.

PATRICIA WARING: We've lived here all our lives and Highway 50 is a nightmare, which, of course, it wasn't when we grew up here.

I just wanted to comment that we have lived here our whole lives, my husband and myself. We raised our family here. The dense population that is being allowed all over Central Florida, but even more and more out here now on the east side, of course, has clogged our roads. Coming here tonight, we were in bumper to bumper traffic, stop and go on East Highway 50 , so $I$ know something probably needs to be done.

But we had understood, and I've read in the paper, that FDOT has considered adding two toll lanes on each side going along their right of way.

They won't work with Central Florida Expressway. So you've got two authorities, and we're not understanding why they can't work together. Why we have to have a whole 'nother road impacting -- the people were just speaking about Deerwood I think it is, that whole community that this road will go right through. It will destroy that community. Because the places that it takes, those people are not high income people. They're not going to be able to go out and find another place comparable because they don't have that kind of money. There's not affordable housing.

Same with Bithlo. This whole area out here, this is not a high income area. Those people are going to be ruined. And the ones that are left, the overpass will go past there, it will dissect the park. It's going to be a mess.

Anyway, that aside, we just don't understand why the two entities cannot work together to make some main highway line that can -- yes, we need more lanes, but to not make a whole new road that destroys -- and, of course, it's going across the Econ. They said -- we listened to the presentation, and it's going across -- supposedly they'll make it elevated and cross the wetlands there and won't degrade them too much, but we are concerned about the environment of course as well. But there's so much information we got at that hearing, you know, that we just watched, I can't absorb it all at one point.

But anyway, that's our whole thing. We know we need more lanes because more people are allowed to populate the area, but we just don't see why it has to be a whole new road. I guess that's my whole point. I guess basically that's it.

You just destroy people's life. Of course, if it goes north, it'll destroy people on that side too, and those are low income people. You can't take low income people and take their homes and give them another place they can live. There is no other place, but they don't care. That's what it comes down to, they don't care, so anyway.

MARTHA SUSKOWITZ: 505 Lockwood Drive, Orlando, Florida 32833.

I am opposed to the 408 going through my property. I've lived there for the last 55 years and my parents have owned a place for seven generations. We've lived in the same area.

I'm opposed because it will destroy our wildlife. We've got gophers and deers all over the

|  | Page 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | place. Not only that, but it's close enough that I |
| 2 | can walk to church, walk to the bank, walk to the |
| 3 | pharmacy. There's shopping that I can walk to |
| 4 | where we're located right now, and I don't know |
| 5 | where I'd be able to move to be able to have that |
| 6 | kind of convenience anywhere. And not only that, |
| 7 | but I am handicapped. I'm blind. I am legally |
| 8 | blind. I cannot see your face and you're sitting |
| 9 | just maybe 30 inches from me. So it would be a |
| 10 | very big inconvenience for me to have to give up my |
| 11 | home. |
| 12 | RICHARD WRIGHT: Richard Wright, 863 Hamilton |
| 13 | Drive, Orlando, Florida 32833. |
| 14 | My comments on the expressway are is give it |
| 15 | to FDOT. Put it down the middle of 50 like they |
| 16 | were going to do originally and solve all these |
| 17 | problems. |
| 18 | As far as evacuation route, it'll never work. |
| 19 | It never has worked. People were sitting on the |
| 20 | side of the road with empty gas tanks trying to get |
| 21 | out of Florida during the hurricane, so that's all |
| 22 | a joke. |
| 23 | A lady had a good idea on the monorail. I |
| 24 | thought that was a good idea. But other than that, |
| 25 | I'm going to lose four properties for nothing, and |

I'm not happy about it. So quit bashing the egos between Expressway Authority and FDOT. Just give it to FDOT to put it down 50.

Okay. Thank you.
HEATHER DISANTO: Heather Disanto, 15513
Carina Drive, Orlando, Florida 32828.
This proposal or project, whatever you want to call it, does not take into account the extra traffic that Avalon Park Boulevard is already experiencing and now you're adding in even more. Not only are you impacting the people who you're taking the homes from, but you're also impacting others as well who live off of Avalon Park Boulevard.

FERNANDO MALDONADO, JR.: My name is Fernando Maldonado, Jr., and I live in 1533 Sabal Oak Lane, Orlando, Florida 32828 in the Deerwood Manufactured Home Park.

My comment is, I have lived there in that community for over 12 years now with my parents and we are going to be directly affected by this construction. We got the notice a few weeks ago, and need less to say, it's caused a lot of panic on my parents, especially my dad who, you know, has had health issues, and my mother who has health
issues as well. She has diabetes.
I just want to say that $I$ find this entire situation completely unacceptable. I think it's absolutely morally wrong that they're doing this to people's homes.

They're completely uprooting us. I have a job here. I don't want to have to leave my job because of this. And that I hope that they don't go ahead with this because this is morally wrong. It's absolutely wrong. And to completely uproot people's lives this way and destroy that community, which is a great and vibrant community, is shameful. Honestly, it's shameful and I hope that they reconsider this. That is all.
(Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at 8:15 p.m.)
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